v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Kim Rhode, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

Xavier Becerra, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No.: 18-cv-00802-BEN-JLB

SCHEDULING ORDER REGULATING DISCOVERY AND OTHER PRETRIAL PROCEEDINGS

Pursuant to Rule 16.1(d) of the Local Rules, a Case Management Conference was held on December 4, 2018. After consulting with the attorneys of record for the parties and being advised of the status of the case, and good cause appearing, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED**:

1. The Court understands that parties to litigation often enter into stipulations that a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information not be revealed or be revealed only in specified way. Any motion for a protective order entering such stipulation(s) in this case shall be filed no later than <u>January 11, 2019</u>. The motion shall comply with Section V. of Judge Burkhardt's Civil Chambers Rules. If the need for a protective order is not initially apparent to the parties and only becomes apparent due to a later development in the case, the parties must seek leave to file a late motion for

protective order, supported by good cause which includes an explanation as to why the parties could not have anticipated the need for a protective order.

- 2. Any motion to join other parties, to amend the pleadings, or to file additional pleadings shall be filed by **February 8, 2019**.
- 3. All fact discovery shall be completed by all parties by <u>June 7, 2019</u>. "Completed" means that all discovery under Rules 30-36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and discovery subpoenas under Rule 45, must be initiated a sufficient period of time in advance of the cut-off date, so that it may be completed by the cut-off date, taking into account the times for service, notice and response as set forth in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Counsel shall promptly and in good faith meet and confer with regard to all discovery disputes in compliance with Civil Local Rule 26.1(a). The Court expects counsel to make every effort to resolve all disputes without court intervention through the meet and confer process. If the parties reach an impasse on any discovery issue, counsel shall file an appropriate motion within the time limit and procedures outlined in the undersigned magistrate judge's chambers rules. A failure to comply in this regard will result in a waiver of a party's discovery issue. Absent an order of the court, no stipulation continuing or altering this requirement will be recognized by the court.

Discovery motions must be filed in the time and manner directed by Magistrate Judge Burkhardt (*see* Judge Burkhardt's Civil Chambers Rules on Discovery Disputes available on the Court's website). All discovery motions must be filed within 30 days of the service of an objection, answer, or response which becomes the subject of dispute, or the passage of a discovery due date without response or production, and only after counsel (and any unrepresented parties) have met and conferred to resolve the dispute <u>and</u> complied with Section IV.B. of Judge Burkhardt's Civil Chambers Rules.

4. The parties shall designate their respective experts in writing by <u>June 21, 2019</u>. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(A), the parties must identify any person who may be used at trial to present evidence pursuant to Rules 702, 703 or 705 of the Federal Rules of Evidence. This requirement is not limited to retained

experts. The date for exchange of rebuttal experts shall be by <u>August 2, 2019</u>. The written designations shall include the name, address and telephone number of the expert and a reasonable summary of the testimony the expert is expected to provide. The list shall also include the normal rates the expert charges for deposition and trial testimony.

- 5. By <u>June 21, 2019</u>, each party shall comply with the disclosure provisions in Rule 26(a)(2)(A) and (B) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. This disclosure requirement applies to all persons retained or specially employed to provide expert testimony, or whose duties as an employee of the party regularly involve the giving of expert testimony. Except as provided in the paragraph below, any party that fails to make these disclosures shall not, absent substantial justification, be permitted to use evidence or testimony not disclosed at any hearing or at the time of trial. In addition, the Court may impose sanctions as permitted by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37(c).
- 6. Any party shall supplement its disclosure regarding contradictory or rebuttal evidence under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(D) and 26(e) by **August 2, 2019**.
- 7. All expert discovery shall be completed by all parties by <u>August 30, 2019</u>. The parties shall comply with the same procedures set forth in the paragraph governing fact discovery. Failure to comply with this section or any other discovery order of the court may result in the sanctions provided for in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37, including a prohibition on the introduction of experts or other designated matters in evidence.
- 8. All other pretrial motions must be filed by <u>October 11, 2019</u>. Counsel for the moving party must obtain a motion hearing date from the law clerk of the judge who will hear the motion. The period of time between the date you request a motion date and the hearing date may vary from one district judge to another. Please plan accordingly. Failure to make a timely request for a motion date may result in the motion not being heard. Deadlines for filing motions in limine will be set by the district judge at the final Pretrial Conference.

28 | ///

- 9. When filing a Motion for Summary Judgment and/or Adjudication, the parties need not file a separate statement of material facts absent prior leave of court.
- 10. A Mandatory Settlement Conference shall be conducted on <u>September 17</u>, <u>2019</u>, at <u>2:00 PM</u> in the chambers of <u>Magistrate Judge Jill L. Burkhardt</u>, Edward J. Schwartz U.S. Courthouse, 221 West Broadway, Suite 5140, San Diego, California 92101. Counsel or any party representing himself or herself shall lodge confidential settlement briefs directly to chambers by <u>September 9</u>, <u>2019</u>. All parties are ordered to read and to fully comply with the Chamber Rules of the assigned magistrate judge.

The **confidential** settlement statements should be lodged by e-mail to efile_Burkhardt@casd.uscourts.gov. Each party's settlement statement shall concisely set forth the following: (1) the party's statement of the case; (2) the controlling legal issues; (3) issues of liability and damages; (4) the party's settlement position, including the last offer or demand made by that party; (5) a separate statement of the offer or demand the party is prepared to make at the settlement conference; and (6) a **list of all attorney and non-attorney conference attendees** for that side, including the name(s) and title(s)/position(s) of the party/party representative(s) who will attend and have settlement authority at the conference. If exhibits are attached and the total submission amounts to more than 20 pages, a hard copy must also be delivered directly to Magistrate Judge Burkhardt's chambers. **Settlement conference statements shall not be filed with the Clerk of the Court. Settlement conference statements may be exchanged confidentially with opposing counsel within the parties' discretion.**

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 16.3, all party representatives and claims adjusters for insured defendants with full and unlimited authority¹ to negotiate and enter into a binding

¹ "Full authority to settle" means that the individuals at the settlement conference must be authorized to fully explore settlement options and to agree at that time to any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. Heileman Brewing Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp., 871 F.2d 648 (7th Cir. 1989). The person needs to have "unfettered discretion and authority" to change the settlement position of a party. Pitman v. Brinker Int'l., Inc., 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-86 (D. Ariz. 2003). The purpose of requiring a person with unlimited settlement authority to attend the conference includes that the person's view of the case may be altered during the

1 settlement, as well as the principal attorney(s) responsible for the litigation, must be present 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 9

11

10

13

12

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

27

28

26

and legally and factually prepared to discuss and resolve the case at the mandatory settlement conference. In the case of an entity, an authorized representative of the entity who is not retained outside counsel must be present and must have discretionary authority to commit the entity to pay an amount up to the amount of the Plaintiff's prayer (excluding punitive damages prayers). The purpose of this requirement is to have representatives present who can settle the case during the course of the conference without consulting a superior.

Counsel for a United States government entity may be excused from this requirement so long as the government attorney who attends the MSC conference (1) has primary responsibility for handling the case, and (2) may negotiate settlement offers which the attorney is willing to recommend to the government official having ultimate settlement authority.

Failure to attend the conference or obtain proper excuse will be considered grounds for sanctions.

- 11. For bench trials before the Honorable Roger T. Benitez, counsel shall file their Memoranda of Contentions of Fact and Law and take any other action required by Civil Local Rule 16.1(f)(2) by **January 13, 2020**.
- 12. Counsel shall comply with the pretrial disclosure requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(3) by January 13, 2020. Failure to comply with these disclosure requirements could result in evidence preclusion or other sanctions under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37.
- 13. Counsel shall meet and take the action required by Civil Local Rule 16.1(f)(4) by **January 20, 2020**. At this meeting, counsel shall discuss and attempt to enter into stipulations and agreements resulting in simplification of the triable issues. Counsel shall

face to face conference. Id. at 486. A limited or a sum certain of authority is not adequate. Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc., 270 F.3d 590, 595-97 (8th Cir. 2001).

- exchange copies and/or display all exhibits other than those to be used for impeachment. The exhibits shall be prepared in accordance with Civil Local Rule 16.1(f)(4)(c). Counsel shall note any objections they have to any other parties' Pretrial Disclosures under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(3). Counsel shall cooperate in the preparation of the proposed pretrial conference order.
- 14. Counsel for Plaintiff will be responsible for preparing the pretrial order and arranging the meetings of counsel pursuant to Civil Local Rule 16.1(f). By <u>January 27</u>, <u>2020</u>, Plaintiff's counsel must provide opposing counsel with the proposed pretrial order for review and approval. Opposing counsel must communicate promptly with Plaintiff's attorney concerning any objections to form or content of the pretrial order, and both parties shall attempt promptly to resolve their differences, if any, concerning the order.
- 15. The Proposed Final Pretrial Conference Order, including objections to any other parties' Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(3) Pretrial Disclosures shall be prepared, served and lodged with the assigned district judge by **February 3, 2020**, and shall be in the form prescribed in and comply with Civil Local Rule 16.1(f)(6).
- 16. The final Pretrial Conference is scheduled on the calendar of the **Honorable Roger T. Benitez** on <u>February 10, 2020</u>, at <u>10:30 AM</u>.
- 17. The parties must review the chambers' rules for the assigned district judge and magistrate judge.
- 18. A post trial settlement conference before a magistrate judge may be held within 30 days of verdict in the case.
- 19. The dates and times set forth herein will not be modified except for good cause shown.
- 20. Briefs or memoranda in support of or in opposition to any pending motion shall not exceed twenty-five (25) pages in length without leave of a district court judge. No reply memorandum shall exceed ten (10) pages without leave of a district court judge. Briefs and memoranda exceeding ten (10) pages in length shall have a table of contents and a table of authorities cited.

21. Plaintiff's counsel shall serve a copy of this order on all parties that enter this case hereafter.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 6, 2018

Hon. Jill L. Burkhardt

United States Magistrate Judge