Case_{II}3:19-cv-00134-CAB-NLS Document 28 Filed 07/03/19 PageID.2362 Page 1 of 5

1	WHEREAS, Rule 12(a)(4)(A) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
2	provides that, unless the court sets a different time, a responsive pleading must be
3	served within 14 days after notice of the Court's action denying a motion to
4	dismiss;
5	WHEREAS, the Court's June 18, 2019 Order did not specify a different time
6	by which sole remaining Defendant 22nd District Agricultural Association must file
7	a responsive pleading to the Complaint;
8	WHEREAS, 14 days after the Court's June 18, 2019 Order was July 2, 2019;
9	WHEREAS, the Court's June 18, 2019 Order provided that discovery in this
10	matter shall begin immediately, with a deadline of August 16, 2019;
11	WHEREAS, following the Court's June 18, 2019 Order, Defendant's counse
12	immediately began putting together a discovery plan, and:
13	 Prepared initial disclosures which were served on Plaintiffs' counsel
14	on June 28, 2019;
15	 Prepared Requests for Production of Documents for each of the 10
16	Plaintiffs, which were served on Plaintiffs' counsel on June 27, 2019;
17	 Prepared Interrogatories for each of the 10 Plaintiffs, which were
18	served on Plaintiffs' counsel on June 28, 2019;
19	 Conducted a conference with Plaintiffs' counsel on July 2, 2019 to
20	discuss depositions and availability of witnesses;
21	WHEREAS, Defendant's counsel has been and will continue to diligently
22	defend this case;
23	WHEREAS, Defendant's counsel was focused on preparing discovery
24	requests to meet the August discovery cut-off set in the Court's June 18, 2019
25	Order;
26	WHEREAS, the Complaint in this matter consists of 198 separate paragraphs
27	that Defendant must respond to;
28	2

1 WHEREAS, Defendant's counsel diligently tried to get its Answer on file on 2 July 2, 2019 before midnight, but could not obtain client approval in time; 3 WHEREAS, Defendant seeks an additional day to file its Answer to the 4 Complaint; 5 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs' counsel suffers no prejudice from a one-day delay in 6 receiving Defendant's Answer to the Complaint; 7 WHEREAS, Defendant's counsel has agreed to provide its own discovery 8 responses to any written discovery propounded by Plaintiffs one day earlier than 9 otherwise required under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; 10 WHEREAS the Parties are working together cooperatively; NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties hereby stipulate, and jointly move the 11 12 Court for an Order approving the Parties' request to extend the time by which Defendant must respond to Plaintiffs' Complaint by one-day, with Defendant filing 13 14 its Answer no later than July 3, 2019. 15 Dated: July 3, 2019 16 Respectfully submitted, 17 XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California 18 Paul Stein Supervising Deputy Attorney General 19 20 <u>s / Natash</u>a Saggar Sheth NATASHA SAGGAR SHETH 21 Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Defendant 22nd District 22 Agricultural Association 23 24 25 26 27 28

Case_{II}3:19-cv-00134-CAB-NLS Document 28 Filed 07/03/19 PageID.2365 Page 4 of 5

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Case Name: **B&L Productions**, Inc., et al v.

No. 19-cv-0134-CAB-NLS

22nd District Agricultural

Association, et al.

I hereby certify that on July 3, 2019, I electronically filed the following documents with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system:

JOINT MOTION AND STIPULATION EXTENDING DEFENDANT'S TIME TO ANSWER COMPLAINT

I certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the CM/ECF system.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on July 3, 2019, at San Francisco, California.

> J. Wong Declarant

SA2019100346 21524599.docx