1	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California			
2	MARK R. BECKINGTON Supervising Deputy Attorney General			
3	George Waters Deputy Attorney General			
4	State Bar No. 88295 1300 I Street, Suite 125			
5	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550			
6	Telephone: (916) 210-6059 Fax: (916) 324-8835	E-FILE 12/20/2	D 2017 4:53 PM	
7	E-mail: George.Waters@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Defendants/Respondents State of	FRESI	NO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT	
8	California, Xavier Becerra, and the California Department of Justice	By: R.	Faccinto, Deputy	
9	•	E STATE OI	F CALIFORNIA	
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF FRESNO			
11	COUNTIONTRESINO			
12				
13				
14	SHERIFF CLAY PARKER, TEHAMA COUNTY SHERIFF; HERB BAUER	Case No. 10	OCECG02116	
15	SPORTING GOODS; CALIFORNIA RIFLE AND PISTOL ASSOCIATION;		E TO PLAINTIFFS' NTATION RE FEES	
16	ABLE'S SPORTING, INC.; RTG SPORTING COLLECTIBLES, LLC; AND	Date:	January 10, 2018	
17	STEVEN STONECIPHER,	Time: Dept:	3:30 p.m. 402	
18	Plaintiffs and Petitioners,	Judge:	The Honorable Jeffrey Y. Hamilton	
19	v.	Action File	d: June 17, 2010	
20	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA; XAVIER			
21	BECERRA, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS ATTORNEY GENERAL			
22	FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA; THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF			
23	JUSTICE, AND DOES 1-25,			
24	Defendants and Respondents.			
25				
26				
27				
28				

PLAINTIFF CRPA FOUNDATION HAS NOT PROVIDED DOCUMENTATION OF THE AMOUNT IT CONTRIBUTED TO APPELLATE FEES IN THIS ACTION.

On November 29, 2017, the Court entered an order allowing Plaintiff CRPA Foundation to recover the sum of money it contributed to the Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees for the appeal in this action. (11/29/17 Order at p. 9.) The Court noted that, according to Plaintiffs' counsel, this action had been funded exclusively by the NRA and the CRPA Foundation and that principal funding was provided by the NRA. (*Id.*, p. 4.) Accordingly, the Court instructed Plaintiff CRPA Foundation to "serve and file documentation evidencing the total amount it contributed to the fees and costs paid in support of this litigation[.]" (*Id.*, p. 9.) In response, Plaintiffs have filed two declarations. (Supplemental Declarations of Haydee Villegas and Anna M. Barvir, 12/8/17.) The declarations are not responsive to the Court's order.

The question posed by the Court was how much did Plaintiff CRPA Foundation contribute to the fees on the appeal of this action. In response, Plaintiffs offer the Supplemental Declaration of Haydee Villegas, who testifies that (a) she is the Office Manager of the Michel & Associates, (b) CRPA Foundation pays the law firm a flat monthly fee to conduct litigation on its behalf, (c) she was asked to prepare a report of invoices sent to and payments made by CRPA Foundation during the time that the appeal was active, ¹ and (d) the report is attached to her declaration as Exhibit B. (Villegas Decl., ¶¶ 4-8.) The report shows total invoices and payments in the amount of \$285,000. (*Id.*, Exh. B, p. 2.)

The Villegas Declaration is unresponsive because it does not state the amount contributed by CRPA Foundation to appellate fees in this action. Rather the declaration states that CRPA Foundation paid the law firm a flat monthly fee "to conduct litigation on its behalf." (Villegas Decl., ¶ 7.) The monthly payments are described on the checks as a "Flat Fee Retainer" or "Flat Retainer." (*Id.*, Exh. C [checks #1107 (7/28/11), #1109 (9/6/11)].) There is no explanation why the monthly retainer increased from \$5,000 to \$10,000 in October 2012; the retainer appears to

The report covers two periods, May 2011 through December 2013, and January 2017 through May 2017. The first period roughly tracks period of appellate litigation. Notice of Appeal was filed in this Court on April 28, 2011, and the Fifth District Court of Appeal released its opinion on November 6, 2013. (*Parker v. State* (2013) 221 Cal.App.4th 340, review granted and opinion superseded (Cal. 2014) 167 Cal.Rptr.3d 658.) The second period very roughly tracks the initial briefing on Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees motion.

1	have no relationship to whatever CRPA Foundation paid for in the present action. (Id., Exh. B,			
2	p. 1.) Further, the Michelle firm has represented CRPA Foundation in several actions other than			
3	this one. (See, e.g., http://michellawyers.com/barry-bauer-et-al-v-california-department-of-			
4	justice-et-al/ [last visited 12/20/17] [describing Bauer v. DOJ, USDC ED Cal. No. 11-01440,			
5	where Michelle & Associates represents Plaintiff CRPA Foundation];			
6	http://michellawyers.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/08/Flanagan-v-Harris-			
7	Complaint_Conformed.pdf [last visited 12/20/17] [describing Flanagan v. Harris, USDC CD			
8	Cal. No. 16-06164, where Michelle & Associates represents Plaintiff CRPA Foundation].)			
9	Plaintiffs' second declaration, the Supplemental Declaration of Anna M. Barvir, also is not			
10	responsive. It attaches what appears to be the 2015 tax return of the NRA. The Court has already			
11	held that funds contributed the NRA are not compensable. The declaration sheds no light on the			
12	current issue: How much of the claimed fees are attributable to CRPA Foundation?			
13	There is a complete failure of proof here. CRPA Foundation has the burden to show that			
14	the financial burden of private enforcement warrants subsidizing its attorneys' fees. (Consumer			
15	Cause Inc. v. Mrs. Gooch's Natural Foods (2005) 127 Cal. App. 4th 387, 401.) Its own counsel			
16	6 has admitted that a non-party provided the bulk of the financ	has admitted that a non-party provided the bulk of the financing. (Waters Decl. (6/9/17), Exh. 6,		
17	p. 4.) Having failed to establish an essential element of its cl	aim despite being given an		
18	8 opportunity by the Court to do so, CRPA Foundation should	opportunity by the Court to do so, CRPA Foundation should not be heard to claim any fees. The		
19	Court would have to speculate as to what CRPA Foundation paid and what it should be awarded.			
20	The fee motion should be denied.			
21	Dated: December 20, 2017 Respects	fully Submitted,		
22		BECERRA General of California		
23	MARK Ř	BECKINGTON sing Deputy Attorney General		
24	_	ing Deputy Attorney General		
25	s/George	e Waters WATERS		
26	Deputy .	Attorney General		
27	State of	s for Defendants/Respondents California, Xavier Becerra, and		
28		fornia Department of Justice		

DECLARATION OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE AND SERVICE BY U.S. MAIL

Case Name:

Sheriff Clay Parker, et al. v. The State of California

No.:

10CECG02116

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar, at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter. I am familiar with the business practice at the Office of the Attorney General for collecting and processing electronic and physical correspondence. In accordance with that practice, correspondence placed in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General is deposited with the United States Postal Service with postage thereon fully prepaid that same day in the ordinary course of business. Correspondence that is submitted electronically is transmitted using the Oddessy electronic filing system. Participants who are registered with Oddessy will be served electronically. Participants in this case who are not registered with Oddessy will receive hard copies of said correspondence through the mail via the United States Postal Service or a commercial carrier.

On December 20, 2017, I electronically served the attached RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' **DOCUMENTATION RE FEES** by transmitting a true copy via this Court's Oddessy system. Because one or more of the participants in this case have not registered with the Court's Oddessy system or are unable to receive electronic correspondence, on December 20, 2017, I placed a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General at 1300 I Street, Suite 125, P.O. Box 944255, Sacramento, CA 94244-2550, addressed as follows:

Anna Barvir Michel & Associates, P.C. 180 East Ocean Blvd., Suite 200 Long Beach CA 90802-4079

Attorney for Plaintiffs and Petitioners

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on December 20, 2017, at Sacramento, California.

Janice Titgen

Declarant

SA2010101624 12903200.doc