

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Center for Biological Diversity, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
United States Forest Service,
Defendant.

No. CV-12-08176-PCT-SMM
ORDER

Before the Court is Plaintiffs’ Unopposed Motion for Extension of Page Limitations for Plaintiffs’ Consolidated Response to Motions to Dismiss, Judgment on the Pleadings, and Amicus Brief. (Doc. 163.) Plaintiffs request leave to file their consolidated response to Defendants’ motions to dismiss (Docs. 157, 161), judgment on the pleadings (Doc. 160), and the State of Arizona’s *amicus curiae* brief (Doc. 159) in excess of the 17-page limit set forth in LRCiv 7.2. (Doc. 163.) Defendants’ motions and the State of Arizona’s *amicus curiae* brief raise numerous different arguments to which Plaintiffs must respond. Instead of filing three separate responses to the aforementioned motions and *amicus curiae* brief, Plaintiffs may file one consolidated response totaling up to 40 pages.

After review and consideration, and good cause appearing, the Court will grant Plaintiffs’ motion.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED granting Plaintiffs’ Motion for Extension of Page Limitations for Plaintiffs’ Consolidated Response to Motions to Dismiss, Judgment on the

1 Pleadings, and Amicus Brief. (Doc. 163.)


2 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiffs may file one consolidated response
3 to Defendants' motions to dismiss (Docs. 157, 161), judgment on the pleadings (Doc. 160),
4 and the State of Arizona's *amicus curiae* brief (Doc. 159) totaling up to 40 pages.

5 Dated this 13th day of December, 2019.

6

7

8


Honorable Stephen M. McNamee
Senior United States District Judge

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28