

1 George M. Lee (SBN 172982)
2 gml@seilerepstein.com
3 **SEILER EPSTEIN LLP**
4 275 Battery Street, Suite 1600
5 San Francisco, California 94111
6 Phone: (415) 979-0500
7 Fax: (415) 979-0511

8 Raymond M. DiGuiseppe (SBN 228457)
9 law.rmd@gmail.com
10 **THE DIGUISEPPE LAW FIRM, P.C.**
11 4320 Southport-Supply Road, Suite 300
12 Southport, North Carolina 28461
13 Phone: 910-713-8804
14 Fax: 910-672-7705

15 Adam Kraut, Esq. (Admitted *pro hac vice*)
16 akraut@fpclaw.org
17 **FIREARMS POLICY COALITION**
18 1215 K Street, 17th Floor
19 Sacramento, CA 95814
20 (916) 476-2342

21 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

22 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
23 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

24 JANICE ALTMAN, an individual, et al.

25 Plaintiffs,

26 vs.

27 COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA,
28 CALIFORNIA, et al.

Defendants.

Case No. 4:20-cv-02180-JST

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE
FILING OF CONSOLIDATED OPPOSITION
AND REPLY TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION [ECF 20]**

//

//

//

STIPULATION

The parties to this action, by and through each of the counsel undersigned, hereby agree and STIPULATE as follows:

1. Pursuant to the Court’s Order of April 18, 2020 [ECF 37] directing the parties to meet and confer regarding the filing of a single consolidated opposition brief to plaintiffs’ pending motion for preliminary injunction [ECF 20], the parties have met, conferred and agree that all defendants below shall file one, consolidated opposition brief in response to the plaintiffs’ motion, and that plaintiffs shall file one reply memorandum. The parties do not foresee a need to alter the page limitations for the opposition and reply memorandums, and they will otherwise comply with the requirements of N.D. Civ. L.R. 7-4.

2. To accommodate the need to coordinate the preparation and filing of a consolidated opposition, the parties have agreed to jointly request that the Court extend the current briefing schedule by one week, such that the defendants’ combined opposition and supporting papers would be filed on or before May 1, 2020 at 5:00 p.m., and plaintiffs’ reply memorandum would be filed on or before May 8, 2020 at 5:00 p.m.

3. The parties do not anticipate or request a continuation of the current hearing date of May 13, 2020.

4. The parties respectfully request that the Court adopt this stipulation by endorsing the proposed order that follows.

SO STIPULATED by:

Dated: April 21, 2020

SEILER EPSTEIN LLP

/s/ George M. Lee
George M. Lee

Attorney for Plaintiffs

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: April 21, 2020

**OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA**

/s/ Jason M. Bussey

Jason M. Bussey
Deputy County Counsel

*Attorney for Defendants County of Santa Clara,
Laurie Smith, Jeffrey Rosen and Sara Cody*

Dated: April 21, 2020

**OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY
CITY OF SAN JOSE**

/s/ Nora Frimann

Nora Frimann
Assistant City Attorney

*Attorney for Defendants City of San Jose,
California, Sam Liccardo, and Edgardo Garcia*

Dated: April 21, 2020

**OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY
CITY OF MOUNTAIN VIEW**

/s/ Lance Bayer

Lance Bayer
Special Assistant City Attorney

*Attorney for Defendants City of Mountain View,
California, and Max Bosel*

Dated: April 21, 2020

**OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF ALAMEDA**

/s/ Raymond L. MacKay

Raymond L. MacKay
Senior Deputy County Counsel

*Attorney for Defendants County of Alameda,
California, Gregory Ahern and Erica Pan*

1 Dated: April 21 2020

**OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF SAN MATEO**

2

3

/s/ Daniel McCloskey

4

Daniel McCloskey

5

Deputy County Counsel

6

*Attorney for Defendants County of San Mateo,
California, Carlos Bolanos, and Scott Morrow*

7 Dated: April 21, 2020

BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP

8

/s/ Kevin D. Siegel

9

Kevin D. Siegel

10

*Attorney for Defendants City of Pacifica,
California and Dan Steidle*

11

12 Dated: April 21, 2020

**OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA**

13

/s/ Thomas L. Geiger

14

Thomas L. Geiger

15

Assistant County Counsel

16

*Attorney for Defendants County of Contra Costa,
California, David Livingston, and Chris
Farnitano*

17

18 Dated: April 21, 2020

BEST, BEST & KRIEGER LLP

19

/s/ Gene Tanaka

20

Gene Tanaka

21

*Attorney for Defendants City of Pleasant Hill,
California and Bryan Hill*

22

23

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

The Court, having reviewed the foregoing stipulation of the parties, and finding good cause appears, hereby ORDERS that defendants shall file one, consolidated opposition brief in response to the plaintiffs’ motion for preliminary injunction [ECF 20] and that plaintiffs shall file one reply memorandum. Defendants’ combined opposition and supporting papers shall be filed by May 1, 2020 at 5:00 p.m., and plaintiffs’ reply memorandum shall be filed on or before May 8, 2020 at 5:00 p.m. Hearing of this matter shall remain on calendar for May 13, 2020 at 2:00 p.m.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____

HON. JON S. TIGAR
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE