

1 LEROY SMITH, State Bar No. 107702
County Counsel, County of Ventura
2 CHARMAINE H. BUEHNER, State Bar No. 220868
Assistant County Counsel
3 800 South Victoria Avenue, L/C #1830
Ventura, California 93009
4 Telephone: (805) 654-2588
Facsimile: (805) 654-2185
5 E-mail: charmaine.buehner@ventura.org

6 Attorneys for Defendants County of Ventura
(also erroneously sued as Ventura County Public
7 Health Care Agency), Sheriff William Ayub
(erroneously sued as "Bill Ayub"), Robert Levin
8 and William T. Foley

9

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12

13	DONALD MCDUGALL, an	}	No. 2:20 cv-029927 CBM(ASX)			
14	individual; JULIANA GARCIA, an		}	DEFENDANTS' EVIDENTIARY		
15	individual; SECOND AMENDMENT			}	OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFFS'	
16	FOUNDATION; CALIFORNIA				}	MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY
17	GUN RIGHTS FOUNDATION; and					}
18	FIREARMS POLICY COALITION,	}				
19	INC.,		}			
20				}		
21	Plaintiffs,				}	
22	vs.					}
23	COUNTY OF VENTURA,	}				
24	CALIFORNIA; BILL AYUB, in his		}			
25	official capacity; WILLIAM T.			}		
26	FOLEY, in his official capacity,				}	
27	ROBERT LEVIN, in his official					}
28	capacity; and VENTURA COUNTY	}				
	PUBLIC HEALTH CARE AGENCY,		}			
	Defendants.			}		

24 ///
25 ///
26 ///
27 ///
28 ///

1 Defendants County of Ventura (also erroneously sued as Ventura County
 2 Public Health Care Agency), Sheriff William Ayub (erroneously sued as “Bill
 3 Ayub”), Robert Levin, and William T. Foley (collectively, “Defendants”) hereby
 4 submit the following statement of evidentiary objections in opposition to the
 5 motion for preliminary injunction (“MPI”) filed by plaintiffs Donald McDougall,
 6 Juliana Garcia, Second Amendments Foundation, California Gun Rights
 7 Foundation, and Firearms Policy Coalition, Inc. (collectively, “Plaintiffs”).

	<u>Evidence Objected To</u>	<u>Basis for Objection</u>
	Declaration of Don McDougall (“McDougall Decl.”) (ECF 20-2)	
13 14 15 16	1. McDougall Decl., ¶ 3. ECF 20-2, pg ID 130, entire paragraph.	Lacks foundation, improper legal opinion and conclusion. (Federal Rules of Evidence (“FRE”), rules 602, 901 & 702.)
17 18	2. McDougall Decl., ¶ 4. ECF 20-2, pg. ID 130, entire paragraph.	Lacks foundation. (FRE, rules 602 and 901.)
19 20 21	3. McDougall Decl., ¶ 5. ECF 20-2, pg. ID 130, entire paragraph.	Lacks foundation. (FRE, rules 602 and 901.)
22 23	4. McDougall Decl., ¶ 7. ECF 20-2, pg. ID 131, entire paragraph.	Not relevant. (FRE, rule 401.)
24 25 26	5. McDougall Decl., ¶ 8. ECF 20-2, pg. ID 131, all text in first and only sentence after the word “which.”	Lacks foundation, improper legal opinion and conclusion. (FRE, rules 602, 901 & 702.)
27 28	6. McDougall Decl., ¶ 9. ECF 20-2, pg. ID 131, phrase in first and	Lacks foundation, improper legal opinion and conclusion. (FRE, rules

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

	only sentence that reads: “that I cannot begin the background check process on.”	602, 901 & 702.)
7.	McDougall Decl., ¶ 11. ECF 20-2, pg. ID 131, phrase in first and only sentence that reads: “Unlike individuals in other states.”	Lacks foundation. (FRE, rules 602 & 901.)
8.	McDougall Decl., ¶ 12. ECF 20-2, pg. ID 131, entire paragraph.	Lacks foundation, improper legal opinion and conclusion. (FRE, rules 602, 901 & 702.)
9.	McDougall Decl., ¶ 14. ECF 20-2, pg. ID 132, entire paragraph.	Lacks foundation, improper legal opinion and conclusion. (FRE, rules 602, 901 & 702.)
10.	McDougall Decl., ¶ 15. ECF 20-2, pg. ID 132, entire paragraph.	Lacks foundation. (FRE, rule 602; also, hearsay, FRE, rule 802.)
	Declaration of Juliana Garcia (ECF 20-3) (“Garcia Decl.”)	
11.	Garcia Decl., ¶ 3. ECF 20-3, pg. ID 134), entire paragraph.	Lacks foundation, improper legal opinion and conclusion. (FRE, rules 602, 901 & 702.)
12.	Garcia Decl., ¶ 4. ECF 20-3, pg. ID 134, first phrase in first and only sentence of paragraph that reads: “Unlike other constitutionally protected products that can be purchased online and shipped directly to me,	Lacks foundation, improper legal opinion and conclusion. (FRE, rules 602, 901 & 702.)

1		under federal and state law”	
2	13.	Garcia Decl., ¶ 5. ECF 20-3, pg. ID 134), first phrase in first and only sentence of paragraph that reads: “Unlike individuals in other states, under State [<i>sic</i>] law. . . .”	Lacks foundation, improper legal opinion and conclusion. (FRE, rules 602, 901 & 702.)
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	14.	Garcia Decl., ¶ 6. ECF 20-3, pg. ID 134, first phrase in first and only sentence of paragraph that reads: “In order for me to comply with federal and State [<i>sic</i>] law. . . .”	Lacks foundation, improper legal opinion and conclusion. (FRE, rules 602, 901 & 702.)
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14	15.	Garcia Decl., ¶ 7. ECF 20-3, pg. ID 135, entire paragraph.	Lacks foundation, improper legal opinion and conclusion. (FRE, rules 602, 901 & 702.)
15			
16			
17	16.	Garcia Decl., ¶ 8. ECF 20-3, pg. ID 135, entire paragraph.	Lacks foundation and speculative. (FRE, rules 602 & 901.)
18			
19	17.	Garcia Decl., ¶ 9. ECF 20-3, pg. ID 135, entire paragraph.	Lacks foundation and speculative. (FRE, rules 602 & 901.)
20			
21	18.	Garcia Decl., ¶ 11. ECF 20-3, pg. ID 135-36, last two sentences of paragraph.	Lacks foundation, speculative, improper legal opinion and conclusion. (FRE, rules 602, 901 & 702.)
22			
23			
24	19.	Garcia Decl., ¶ 12. ECF 20-3, pg. ID 136, entire paragraph.	Lacks foundation, speculative, improper legal opinion and conclusion. (FRE, rules 602, 901 & 702.)
25			
26	20.	Garcia Decl., ¶ 13. ECF 20-3, pg. ID 136, entire paragraph.	Not relevant. (FRE, rule 401.)
27			
28			

1	21.	Garcia Decl., ¶ 14. ECF 20-3, pg. ID 136, entire paragraph.	Lacks foundation and speculative. (FRE, rules 602 & 901.)
2			
3	22.	Garcia Decl., ¶ 15. ECF 20-3, pg. ID 136, entire paragraph.	Lacks foundation and speculative. (FRE, rules 602 & 901.)
4			
5	23.	Garcia Decl., ¶ 16. ECF 20-3, pg. ID 136, entire paragraph.	Lacks foundation and speculative. (FRE rules 602 & 901.)
6			
7	24.	Garcia Decl., ¶ 17. ECF 20-3, pg. ID 137, entire paragraph.	Lacks foundation, speculative, improper legal opinion and conclusion. (FRE, rules 602, 901 & 702.)
8			
9			
10		Declaration of Alan Gottlieb	
11		(ECF 20-4) (“Gottlieb Decl.”)	
12	25.	Gottlieb Decl., ¶ 6. ECF 20-4, pg. ID 139-140, entire paragraph.	Lacks foundation, speculative. (FRE, rules 602 & 901.)
13			
14	26.	Gottlieb Decl., ¶ 7. ECF 20-4, pg. ID 140, entire paragraph.	Lacks foundation, speculative. (FRE, rules 602 & 901.)
15			
16		Declaration of Gene Hoffman	
17		(ECF 20-5) (“Hoffman Decl.”)	
18	27.	Hoffman Decl., ¶ 7. ECF 20-5, pg. ID 143, entire paragraph.	Lacks foundation, speculative. (FRE, rules 602 & 901.)
19			
20	28.	Hoffman Decl., ¶ 8. ECF 20-5, pg. ID 143, entire paragraph.	Lacks foundation, speculative. (FRE, rules 602 & 901.)
21			
22		Declaration of Brandon Combs	
23		(ECF 20-6) (“Combs Decl.”)	
24	29.	Combs Decl., ¶ 13. ECF 20-6, pg. ID 148, entire paragraph.	Lacks foundation, speculative, improper legal opinion and conclusion. (FRE, rules 602, 901 & 702.)
25			
26	30	Combs Decl., ¶ 14. ECF 20-6, pg. ID 148, second sentence.	Lacks foundation, speculative, improper legal opinion and conclusion. (FRE, rules 602, 901 & 702.)
27			
28			

