1	C. D. Michel – SBN 144258	
2	Anna M. Barvir – SBN 268728 Tiffany D. Cheuvront – SBN 317144	
3	MICHEL & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 180 East Ocean Blvd., Suite 200	
4	Long Beach, CA 90802 Telephone: 562-216-4444	
5	Facsimile: 562-216-4445 cmichel@michellawyers.com	
6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs/Petitioners G. Mitchell Kirk and California Rifle & Pistol Association, Incorporated	
8	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT O	F THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	FOR THE COUNTY	Y OF SANTA CLARA
10	DOWNTOWN	COURTHOUSE
11	G. MITCHELL KIRK; and CALIFORNIA RIFLE & PISTOL ASSOCIATION,	Case No: 19CV346360
12	INCORPORATED,	SEPARATE STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACTS IN
13	Plaintiffs and Petitioners,	SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
14	VS.	Date: July 2, 2020
15 16	CITY OF MORGAN HILL; MORGAN HILL CHIEF OF POLICE DAVID SWING, in his official capacity; MORGAN HILL CITY	Time: 9:00 a.m. Judge: Judge Peter Kirwan Dept.: 19
17	CLERK IRMA TORREZ, in her official capacity; and DOES 1-10,	[Filed concurrently with Plaintiffs' Notice of
18	Defendants and Respondents.	Motion and Motion for Summary Judgment, Memorandum of Points and Authorities, Request for Judicial Notice, and Declarations of Anna M.
19		Barvir, G. Mitchell Kirk, and Michael Barranco]
20		Action filed: April 15, 2019
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
20 27		
27		
20		1
	SEPARATE STATEMENT	Γ OF UNDISPUTED FACTS

1	Under Code of Civil Procedure section 437c, subdivision (b), and California l	Rules of	
2	Court, rule 3.1350, Plaintiffs G. Mitchell Kirk and California Rifle & Pistol Association,		
3	Incorporated, submit the following Separate Statement of Undisputed Facts in Support of		
4	Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment against Defendants City of Morgan Hill, Morgan Hill		
5	Chief of Police David Swing, and Morgan Hill City Clerk Irma Torrez.		
6			
7	UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACTS		
8 9	#Moving Parties' Undisputed Material Facts and Supporting EvidenceOpposing Party's Response an Evidence	d Supporting	
 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	1 Plaintiff G. Mitchell Kirk is a resident, taxpayer, and law-abiding firearm owner in and subject to the laws of the city of Morgan Hill, California. Pls.' Ver. Compl. Decl. & Inj. Rel. & Verif. Petit. Writ Mand. &/or Prohib. ("Pls.' Verif. Compl."), at ¶ 13 & p.21 (attached to Decl. Anna M. Barvir ("Barvir Decl.") as Ex. X); Defs.' Ver. Answer Verif. Compl. Decl. & Inj. Rel. & Verif. Petit. Writ Mand. &/or Prohib. ("Defs.' Verif. Answer") ¶ 13 (attached to Barvir Decl. as Ex. Y); Decl. G. Mitchell Kirk ("Kirk Decl.") ¶¶ 2-4. 2 Plaintiff Kirk is not a law enforcement officer, peace officer, United States marshal, member of the United States military or National Guard, or a federally licensed firearm dealer. Kirk Decl. ¶ 5.		
 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	 3 Plaintiff California Rifle & Pistol Association, Incorporated ("CRPA"), is a nonprofit membership organization incorporated under the laws of California with headquarters in Fullerton, California. Pls.' Verif. Compl. ¶ 14 & pp. 12, Barvir Decl. Ex. X; Defs.' Verif. Answer ¶ 14, Barvir Decl. Ex. Y; Statement of Information (Form SI-100) Re: CRPA (May 11, 2018) (attached to Barvir Decl. as Ex. AA); Decl. Michael Barranco ("Barranco Decl.") ¶ 3. 4 CRPA has tens of thousands of members and 		
	supporters in California, including members 2		
	SEPARATE STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS		

1 2		who reside in, conduct business in, visit, or travel through Morgan Hill, or who are otherwise subject to the laws of the city of Morgan Hill.	
2		Pls.' Verif. Compl. ¶ 14, Barvir Decl. Ex. X;	
4		Defs.' Verif. Answer ¶ 14, Barvir Decl. Ex. Y; Barranco Decl. ¶¶ 3, 5.	
5	5	Plaintiff CRPA counts among its members	
6 7		and supporters law enforcement officers, peace officers, members of the United States military and National Guard, and federally licensed firearm dealers.	
8		Barranco Decl. ¶¶ 3, 6.	
9	6	Plaintiff CRPA also represents the interests	
10		of countless members and supporters who are not law enforcement officers, peace officers, United States marshals, members of the	
11 12		United States military or National Guard, or federally licensed firearm dealers.	
13		Barranco Decl. ¶ 7.	
14 15	7	Defendant City of Morgan Hill is a municipal corporation formed under the laws of California.	
15 16 17		Pls.' Verif. Compl. ¶ 15, Barvir Decl. Ex. X; Defs.' Verif. Answer ¶ 15, Barvir Decl. Ex. Y.	
18	8	Defendant David Swing is the Chief of Police of the Morgan Hill Police Department.	
19 20		Pls.' Verif. Compl. ¶ 16, Barvir Decl., Ex. X; Defs.' Verif. Answer ¶ 16, Barvir Decl., Ex. Y.	
21	9	Defendant Irma Torrez is the City Clerk of Morgan Hill.	
22 23		Pls.' Verif. Compl. ¶ 17, Barvir Decl., Ex. X; Defs.' Verif. Answer ¶ 17, Barvir Decl., Ex.	
24		Y	
25	10	On November 8, 2016, California voters enacted Proposition 63, which included,	
26		among other things, a requirement that firearm owners report to law enforcement if their firearm is lost or stolen.	
27 28		Pls.' Verif. Compl. ¶ 4, Barvir Decl., Ex. X; Defs.' Verif. Answer ¶ 4, Barvir Decl., Ex.	
		3	
		SEPARATE STATEMENT OF UN	DISPUTED FACTS
l	l		

1		Y; Pls.' Req. Jud. Ntc. Supp. Mot. Summ. J.	
		("Pls.' Req. Jud. Ntc.") Ex. C, at pp. 22-23.	
2 3	11	25250, which requires victims of firearm theft within the state to report to a local law	
4		enforcement agency that their firearm has been stolen within five days of the theft or	
5		within five days after the victim reasonably becomes aware of the theft.	
6		Pls.' Verif. Compl. ¶ 4, Barvir Decl., Ex. X;	
7		Defs.' Verif. Answer ¶ 4, Barvir Decl., Ex. Y; Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. C, at pp. 22-23; Pen. Code § 25250, subd. (a) ("Commencing July	
8		1, 2017, every person shall report the loss or theft of a firearm he or she owns or possesses	
9		to a local law enforcement agency in the jurisdiction in which the theft or loss	
10		occurred within five days of the time he or she knew or reasonably should have known	
11		that the firearm had been stolen or lost.")	
12	12	2 Proposition 63 also created Penal Code section 25270, which lays out which facts	
13		must be included in a section 25250 report to law enforcement. These details include "the	
14		make, model, and serial number of the	
15		firearm, if known by the person, and any additional relevant information required by	
16		the local law enforcement agency taking the report."	
17		Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. C, at p. 23; Pen. Code, § 25270.	
18	10		
19	13	(b), if a firearm owner recovers any firearm	
20		previously reported lost or stolen, they must so inform local law enforcement within five	
21		days.	
22		Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. C, at p. 23; Pen Code, § 25250, subd. (b) ("Every person who has	
23		reported a firearm lost or stolen under subdivision (a) shall notify the local law	
24		enforcement agency in the jurisdiction in which the theft or loss occurred within five	
25		days if the firearm is subsequently recovered by the person.")	
26	14		
27		exceptions to the state theft-reporting law.	
28		Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. C, at p. 23; Pen. Code, §§	
20		Α	
		4 SEPARATE STATEMENT OF UNDISPUT	ED FACTS

1		25250, subd. (c), 25255.
2	15	Under Penal Code section 25250, subdivision
2		(c), created by Proposition 63, no person is required to report the theft or loss of "an
4		antique firearm within the meaning of subdivision (c) of [Penal Code] section 16170."
5		Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. C, at p. 23; Pen. Code § 25250, subd. (c).
6	16	Under Penal Code section 25255,
7 8	10	subdivisions (a) through (d), created by Proposition 63, the state theft-reporting requirement does not apply to:
9		(1) any law enforcement officer or peace
10		officer acting within the scope of their duties who reports the loss or theft to their
10		employing agency;
11		(2) any United States marshal or member of the United States armed forces or the
12		National Guard engaged in their official duties;
14		(3) any federally licensed firearms importer,
15		manufacturer, or dealer who reports the theft or loss in compliance with applicable federal
16		law; or
17		(4) any person whose firearm was lost or stolen before July 1, 2017.
18 10		Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. C, at p. 23; Pen. Code, § 25255.
19 20	17	Proposition 63 also created Penal Code
20		section 25260, which requires "every sheriff or police chief [to] submit a description of
21		each firearm that has been reported lost or stolen directly into the Department of Justice
22		Automated Firearms System."
23		Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. C, at p. 23; Pen. Code, § 25260.
24	18	Proposition 63 also created Penal Code
25		section 25275, which makes it a crime to report a firearm has been lost or stolen
26		knowing that report to be false.
27 28		Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. C, at p. 23; Pen. Code, § 25275, subd. (a) ("No person shall report to a local law anforcement agoncy that a firearm
20		local law enforcement agency that a firearm
		5 SEPARATE STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS

		has been lost or stolen, know that report to be
1		false. A violation of this section is an infraction, punishable by a fine not exceeding
2		two hundred fifty dollars (\$250) for a first
3		offense, and by a fine no exceeding one thousand dollars (\$1000) for a second or
4		subsequent offense.")
5	19	Under Penal Code section 25250, should his firearm be lost or stolen, Plaintiff Kirk has
6		five days to report the loss or theft to local law enforcement in the jurisdiction where the
7		loss or theft occurred.
8		Pls.' Verif. Compl. ¶ 4, Barvir Decl., Ex. X;
		Defs.' Verif. Answer ¶ 4, Barvir Decl., Ex. Y; Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. C, at pp. 22-23; Pen.
9		Code § 25250, subd. (a); Kirk Decl. ¶ 5.
10	20	Under Penal Code section 25250, should a member of CRPA have their firearm lost or
11		stolen, they have five days to report the loss or theft to local law enforcement in the
12		jurisdiction where the loss or theft occurred.
13		Pls.' Verif. Compl. ¶ 4, Barvir Decl., Ex. X; Defs.' Verif. Answer ¶ 4, Barvir Decl., Ex.
14		Y; Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. C, at pp. 22-23; Pen.
15		Code § 25250, subd. (a); Barranco Decl. ¶ 8.
16	21	On November 28, 2018, the City of Morgan Hill adopted Ordinance No. 2289 ("the
17		Ordinance"), which amended, inter alia, section 9.04.030 of the Morgan Hill
18		Municipal Code.
19		Pls.' Verif. Compl. ¶ 1, Barvir Decl., Ex. X; Defs.' Verif. Answer ¶ 1, Barvir Decl., Ex.
20		Y; Req. Jud. Ntc. Exs. A, at pp. 8-9, E, at pp.
		61-62, Ex. F, at pp. 61, 67; Morgan Hill Mun. Code § 9.04.030.
21	22	The Ordinance requires individuals to report
22		the loss or theft of a firearm to the Morgan Hill Police Department within 48 hours if the
23		loss or theft occurred within the city of Morgan Hill <i>or</i> the owner of the firearm
24		resides in the city of Morgan Hill.
25		Pls.' Verif. Compl. ¶¶ 2-3, Barvir Decl., Ex. X; Defs.' Verif. Answer ¶¶ 2-3, Barvir Decl.,
26		Ex. Y; Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. A, at pp. 8-9, Ex.
27		D, at pp. 45-46, 48, Ex. F at pp. 75-76; Morgan Hill Mun. Code § 9.04.030 ("Duty to
28		report theft or loss of firearms. Any person who owns or possesses a firearm (as defined
		6
		SEPARATE STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS

1 2 3		in Penal Code Section 16520 or as amended) shall report the theft or loss of the firearm to the Morgan Hill Police Department within forty-eight hours of the time he or she knew or reasonably should have known that the firearm had been stolen or lost, whenever: (1) the person resides in the city of Morgan Hill;	
4		or (2) the theft or loss of the firearm occurs in the city of Morgan Hill").	
5 6	23	B The penalties for violating Penal Code Section 25250 are listed in Section 25265	
7		and are as follows:	
8 9		"(a) Every person who violates Section 25250 is, for a first violation, guilty of an infraction, punishable by a fine not to exceed one hundred dollars (\$100).	
10		(b) Every person who violates Section 25250	
11		is, for a second violation, guilty of an infraction, punishable by a fine not to exceed	
12		one thousand dollars (\$1,000). (c) Every person who violates Section 25250	
13		is, for a third or subsequent violation, guilty of a misdemeanor, punishable by	
14		imprisonment in a county jail not exceeding six months, or by a fine not to exceed one	
15 16		thousand dollars (\$1,000), or by both that fine and imprisonment."	
17		Pen. Code, § 25265.	
18	24	Violation of MHMC section 9.04.030 include confiscation and/or fines.	
19		Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. B, at p. 12; Morgan Hill Mun. Code, § 1.19.010 ("This chapter	
20		provides for an administrative citation process that may be used by the city to	
21 22		address any violation of the municipal code . "); Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex B, at p. 14; Morgan	
22 23		Hill Mun. Code. § 1.19.060, subd. (B) ("If no specific fine amount is set, the amount of the fine shall be one hundred dollars for a first	
23 24		violation, two hundred dollars for a second violation of the same ordinance within one	
25		year, and five hundred dollars for each additional violation of the same ordinance	
26		within one year"); Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. A, at p. 10; Morgan Hill Mun. Code, § 9.04.060	
27		("Any instrument, device or article used or possessed in violation of the provisions of this chapter is declared to be a public	
28		nuisance and may be confiscated and	
		SEPARATE STATEMENT OF UNDISPU	TED FACTS

l		
1		possessed by a police officer of the city and
1		turned over to the chief of police under the conditions set forth in this section. If no
2		complaint for violation of this chapter is filed
3		within seventy-two hours of the taking, the instrument or device shall be returned to the
4		person from whom it was taken. If a complaint for violation of this chapter is filed
		within seventy-two hours, the chief of police
5		may return it to the person from whose possession it was taken upon such conditions
6		as he deems desirable for the public welfare. If the person from whom it was taken is not
7		convicted of a violation of this chapter, then
8		the device or instrument shall be returned to him without any conditions. If there is a
9		conviction and sixty days have expired since
		the date of conviction, the same may be destroyed by the chief of police or returned
10		to the person from whom it was taken upon such conditions as the chief deems desirable
11		for the public welfare.")
12	25	While the City was considering adopting the
13		ordinance, Plaintiff CRPA twice notified lawmakers of its opposition to the law,
14		explaining that section 25250 preempted the
		City's proposed 48-hour reporting requirement.
15		Letter from Tiffany D. Cheuvront to Donald
16		Larkin, Morgan Hill City Attorney (June 1,
17		2018) (attached to Barvir Decl. as Ex. BB, at pp. 53-60); Letter from Tiffany D. Cheuvront
18		to Donald Larkin, Morgan Hill City Attorney (Oct. 22, 2018) (attached to Barvir Decl. as
		Ex. CC, at pp. 62-65).
19	26	On October 30, 2018, Plaintiff CRPA again
20		notified Defendant Morgan Hill in writing of its position that Penal Code section 25250
21		preempted Ordinance No. 2289, requesting
22		that the City voluntarily repeal the Ordinance.
23		Pls.' Verif. Compl. ¶ 7, Barvir Decl., Ex. X;
		Defs.' Verif. Answer ¶ 7, Barvir Decl., Ex.
24		Y; Letter from Tiffany D. Cheuvront to Donald Larkin, Morgan Hill City Attorney
25		(Oct. 30, 2018) (attached to Barvir Decl. as Ex. DD, at pp. 67-69).
26		
27	27	Defendant City of Morgan Hill did not voluntarily repeal Ordinance No. 2289, and it
28		took effect as Morgan Hill Municipal Code 9.04.030 on December 29, 2018. The City
-0	L	· · · · ·
		8 SEPARATE STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS
		SET MATE STATEMENT OF CADISI OFED FACTS

	has enforced the law since that time and has
	never disavowed its intention to do so.
	Pls.' Verif. Compl. ¶¶ 7, 8, 11 Barvir Decl. Ex. X; Defs.' Verif. Answer ¶¶ 7, 11, Barvir Decl., Ex. Y; Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. A, at p. 9; Def. Morgan Hill's Resp. Pls.' Form Interrogs., Set One, at p. 8:16-18 (attached to Barvir Decl. as Ex. Z).
2	
	Springs, notifying local lawmakers that section 25250 preempted its local attempt to shorten the time that firearm-theft victims
	have to report their property stolen. On November 14, 2018, after receiving CRPA's analysis, the city of Palm Springs voluntarily repealed its 48-hour reporting requirement.
	Barvir Decl. Exs. EE-KK, at pp. 71-111.
2	\mathcal{O}
	throughout California have adopted their own local firearm theft-reporting laws.
	Req. Jud. Ntc. Exs. M-W, at pp. 424-444.
30	0 The city of Los Angeles requires the reporting of lost or stolen firearms to local law enforcement within 48 hours.
	Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. M, at p. 423; L.A. Mun. Code, § 55.2
3	1The city of Oakland requires the reporting of lost or stolen firearms to local law enforcement within 48 hours.
	Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. N, at p. 426; Oakland Mun. Code, § 9.36.131.
32	2 The city of Port Hueneme requires the reporting of lost or stolen firearms to local law enforcement within 48 hours.
	Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. P, at p. 430; Port Hueneme Mun. Code, § 3914.10.
3:	3 The city of Sacramento requires the reporting of lost or stolen firearms to local law enforcement within 48 hours.
	Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. Q, at p. 430; Sacramento Mun. Code, § 9.32.180.
	9
	9 SEPARATE STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS

1 2	34	The city of San Francisco requires the reporting of lost or stolen firearms to local law enforcement within 48 hours.	
3		Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. R, at p. 434; S.F. Mun. Code, § 616.	
4 5	35	The city of Sunnyvale requires the reporting of lost or stolen firearms to local law enforcement within 48 hours.	
6 7		Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. U, at p. 440; Sunnyvale Mun. Code, § 9.44.030.	
8 9	36	The city of Tiburon requires the reporting of lost or stolen firearms to local law enforcement within 48 hours.	
9 10		Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. W, at p. 444; Tiburon Mun. Code, § 32-27.	
11 12	37	The city of Oxnard requires the reporting of lost or stolen firearms to local law enforcement within 72 hours.	
13 14		Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. O, at p. 428; Oxnard Mun. Code, § 7-141.1.	
15 16	38	The city of Simi Valley requires the reporting of lost or stolen firearms to local law enforcement within 72 hours.	
17		Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. T, at p. 438; Simi Valley Mun. Code, § 5-22.12.	
18 19	39	The city of Thousand Oaks requires the reporting of lost or stolen firearms to local law enforcement within 72 hours.	
20 21		Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. V, at p. 442; Thousand Oaks Mun. Code, § 5-11.02.	
22 23	40	The city of Santa Cruz requires the reporting of lost or stolen firearms to local law enforcement within five days.	
24		Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. S, at p. 436; Santa Cruz Mun. Code, § 9.30.010.	
25 26	41	At the October 24, 2018 meeting of the Morgan Hill City Council, councilmembers received within their agenda packets a City Council Staff Report and a PowerPoint	
27 28		Presentation citing that the city of San Jose requires reporting of lost or stolen firearms to	
		10	
		SEPARATE STATEMENT OF UN	DISPUTED FACTS

1		local law enforcement within 24 hours.
1 2		Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. F, at pp. 73, 75-76, 277.
3	42	In adopting MHMC section 9.40.030, the City of Morgan Hill cited four general "reasons for requiring theft reporting."
4 5		Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. F, at p. 75.
6	43	In adopting MHMC section 9.40.030, the City of Morgan Hill claimed that "[w]hen a
7		crime gun is traced by law enforcement to
8		the last purchaser of record, the owner may falsely claim that the gun was lost or stolen to hide his or her involvement in the crime or
9		in gun trafficking" and that "[r]eporting laws provide a tool for law enforcement to detect
10		this behavior and charge criminals who engage in it."
11		Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. F, at p. 75.
12	44	In adopting MHMC section 9.40.030, the
13		City of Morgan Hill claimed that "[r]eporting laws help disarm prohibited persons by
14		deterring them from falsely claiming that their firearms were lost or stolen."
15		Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. F, at p. 75.
16	45	In adopting MHMC section 9.40.030, the
17		City of Morgan Hill claimed that "[r]eporting laws protect gun owners from unwarranted criminal accusations when their guns are
18		recovered at a crime scene and make it easier for law enforcement to locate a lost or stolen
19		firearm and return it to its lawful owner."
20		Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. F, at p. 75.
21	46	In adopting MHMC section 9.40.030, the City of Morgan Hill claimed that "[r]eporting
22		laws make gun owners more accountable for their weapons."
23 24		Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. F, at p. 75.
24 25	47	In adopting MHMC section 9.40.030, the
25 26		City of Morgan Hill did not cite any evidence showing that its 48-hour theft-reporting
26 27		requirement is more likely to serve the City's interests than the statewide 5-day requirement.
28		Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. D, at pp. 42, 46-46, Ex. F,
		11
		SEPARATE STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS

1		at pp. 73-88, 265-289, Ex. H, at pp. 308-309, Ex. J, pp. 347-362.
2 3	48	In adopting MHMC section 9.40.030, the City of Morgan Hill did not cite any evidence showing that its 48-hour theft-reporting
4		requirement is more likely to deter false reporting that a firearm has been lost or
5		stolen to cover up criminal activity than the statewide 5-day requirement.
6 7		Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. D, at pp. 42, 46-46, Ex. F, at pp. 73-88, 265-289, Ex. H, at pp. 323-326, Ex. J, pp. 347-362.
8	49	In adopting MHMC section 9.40.030, the
9		City of Morgan Hill did not cite any evidence showing that its 48-hour theft-reporting requirement is more likely to deter false
10		reporting by prohibited persons that a firearm has been lost or stolen than the statewide 5-
11		day requirement.
12		Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. D, at pp. 42, 46-46, Ex. F, at pp. 73-88, 265-289, Ex. H, at pp. 323-326,
13		Ex. J, pp. 347-362.
14	50	In adopting MHMC section 9.40.030, the City of Morgan Hill did not cite any evidence
15		showing that its 48-hour theft-reporting requirement is more likely to protect gun
16		owners from unwarranted criminal accusations when their guns are recovered at
17		a crime scene than the statewide 5-day requirement.
18 10		Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. D, at pp. 42, 46-46, Ex. F,
19 20		at pp. 73-88, 265-289, Ex. H, at pp. 323-326, Ex. J, pp. 347-362.
21	51	In adopting MHMC section 9.40.030, the City of Morgan Hill did not cite any evidence
22		showing that its 48-hour theft-reporting requirement is more likely to aid law
23		enforcement in recovering lost or stolen firearm than the statewide 5-day requirement.
24		Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. D, at pp. 42, 46-46, Ex. F,
25		at pp. 73-88, 265-289, Ex. H, at pp. 323-326, Ex. J, pp. 347-362.
26	52	In adopting MHMC section 9.40.030, the
27		City of Morgan Hill did not cite any evidence showing that its 48-hour theft-reporting
28		requirement is more likely to make gun owners more accountable for their weapons
		12
		SEPARATE STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS

	than the statewide 5-day requirement.	
	Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. D, at pp. 42, 46-46, Ex. F, at pp. 73-88, 265-289, Ex. H, at pp. 323-326, Ex. J, pp. 347-362.	
53	There is no reliable body of academic or scientific work establishing that firearm theft-reporting requirements, in general, have any impact on the City's purported interests in its 48-hour reporting requirement.	
,	Morral et al., The Science of Gun Policy: A Critical Synthesis of Research Evidence on the Effects of Gun Policies in the United States (Rand Corp. 2018) p. 180. ("RAND Study") (attached to Barvir Decl. as Ex. EE).	
54	There is no reliable body of academic or scientific work that would establish that	
	requiring the reporting of firearm theft or loss to law enforcement within 48 hours is more	
2	likely to aid law enforcement than requiring the reporting within 5 days.	
	See RAND Study, at p. 180, Barvir Decl. Ex. EE.	
55	According to the United States Department	
	of Justice, while about 90% of burglaries involving stolen firearms were reported to law enforcement between 2005 and 2010,	
,	only about 1 of every 5 firearms had been recovered between 1 day and 6 months after reporting.	
	Langton, U.S. Dept. of Justice, Crime Data	
	Brief: Firearms Stolen During Household Burglaries and Other Property Crimes, 2005- 2010 (Nov. 2012) ("USDOJ Crime Brief")	
	p. 256 (attached to Barvir Decl. as Ex. PP); see also RAND Study, at p. 180, Barvir Decl.	
	Ex. EE.	
56	According to the United States Department of Justice, although "victimizations involving	
	stolen firearms could have occurred from one day to up to six months before the NCVS	
	[National Crime Victimization Study] interview [from which these statistics were	
5	drawn], the amount of time that had elapsed made no significant difference in the	
,	percentage of households for which guns had not been recovered at the time of the interview."	
	13	
	SEPARATE STATEMENT OF	UNDISPUTED FACTS

		USDOJ Crime Brief, at p. 256, Barvir Decl.
1		Ex. PP.
2 3	57	The Legal Community Against Violence ("LCAV"), now known as the Giffords Law Center to Prevent Gun Violence, has
4		published a series of "model laws" for state
		and local governments to adopt. Among the model laws the organization has promoted
5 6		throughout California requires the reporting of lost or stolen firearms.
7		Legal Community Against Violence, Model Laws for a Safer America: Seven
8		Regulations to Promote Responsible Gun Ownership and Sales (Sept. 2011) ("LCAV
9		Model Laws") pp. 273, 329-333 (attached to Barvir Decl. at Ex. QQ).
10	58	The LCAV Model Laws cite the federal law
11		requirement that firearm dealers report the loss or theft of firearms in their inventory
12		within 48 hours as justification for the 48- hour limit proposed in the 2011 version of
13		the organizations' theft-reporting model law.
14		LCAV Model Laws, at pp. 332-333, Barvir Decl. Ex. OO.
15	59	In 2011, the Association of Bay Area Governments ("ABAG") published a report
16		recommending that area cities and counties,
17		including the City of Morgan Hill, adopt model ordinances requiring the reporting of
18		lost or stolen firearms.
19		Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. F, at pp. 75-76, 89-104; Association of Bay Area Governments, A
20		High Price to Pay: The Economic and Social Costs of Youth Gun Violence in San Mateo
21		County (Sept. 2011) ("ABAG Report") p. 192 (attached to Barvir Decl. at Ex. MM).
22	60	LCAV has assisted ABAG in its efforts to
23		promote gun control laws in the Bay Area region of California, and it prepared the
24		model laws for ABAG's Youth Gun Violence Task Force. Among those model
25		laws was a requirement for the reporting of firearm theft or loss.
26		Legal Community Against Violence, 2009
27		California Report: Recent Developments in Federal, State, and Local Gun Laws (June 12,
28		2009) pp. 390-391 (attached to Barvir Decl.
		14
	 	SEPARATE STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS

1		as Ex. RR).			
2	61	In enacting Penal Code section 25250, the statewide theft-reporting requirement,			
3		Proposition 63 voters recognized that such laws help law enforcement "investigate			
4		crimes committed with stolen guns, break up gun trafficking rings, and return guns to their			
5		lawful owners."			
6		Req. Jud. Ntc. Ex. C, at p. 22.			
7	62	Supporters of Proposition 63, which created Penal Code section 25250, informed voters			
8		that the reporting of lost and stolen firearms would "help police shut down gun trafficking rings and logota caches of illegel weepons"			
9		rings and locate caches of illegal weapons," "recover stolen guns before they're used in crimes and return them to their lawful			
10		owners."			
11		Ballot Pamp., Gen. Elec. (Nov. 8, 2016) rebuttal to argument against Prop. 63, p. 402			
12		(attached to Barvir Decl. as Ex. SS).			
13					
14	Dated: May 1, 2020 MICHEL & ASSOCIATES, P.C.				
15					
16		<u>s/ Anna M. Barvir</u> Anna M. Barvir			
17		Attorneys for Plaintiffs			
18					
19 20					
20					
21					
22 23					
23 24					
24 25					
25 26					
20 27					
27					
-		15			
		SEPARATE STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS			
	I	II III III III III III III III III III			

1 2	PROOF OF SERVICE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA			
3	I, Laura Palmerin, am employed in the City of Long Beach, Los Angeles County,			
4	California. I am over the age eighteen (18) years and am not a party to the within action. My business address is 180 East Ocean Boulevard, Suite 200, Long Beach, California 90802.			
5	On May 1, 2020, I served the foregoing document(s) described as			
6	SEPARATE STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACTS IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT			
7	on the interested parties in this action by placing			
8 9	[] the original [X] a true and correct copy			
10	thereof by the following means, addressed as follows:			
11	Roderick M. ThompsonHannah Shearerrthompson@fbm.comhshearer@giffords.org			
12	InterpretationInstructive griffords.orgJames AllisonHannah Friedmanjallison@fbm.comhfriedman@giffords.orgFarella Braun + Martel LLPGiffords Law Center to Prevent Gun Violence235 Montgomery Street, 17th FloorSan Francisco, CA 94104San Francisco, CA 94104San Francisco, CA 94104Attorneys for Defendants/RespondentsSan Francisco, CA 94104			
13				
14				
15				
16 17	X (BY ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION) As follows: I served a true and correct copy by electronic transmission via One Legal. Said transmission was reported and completed without error.			
18	X (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on May 1, 2020, at Long Beach, California.			
19				
20	<u>s/ Laura Palmerin</u> Laura Palmerin			
21				
22				
23 24				
24 25				
23 26				
27				
28				
	16			
	PROOF OF SERVICE			