EXHIBIT A

Case 4:20-cv-02180-JST Document 63-1 Filed 06/12/20 Page 2 of 3

Subject: RE: Altman v. County of Santa Clara - Request for Clarification

Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 at 3:53:30 PM Pacific Daylight Time

From: Kiniyalocts, Melissa

To: George Lee, Bussey, Jason, Press, Douglas, Frimann, Nora, Bayer, Lance, Chopra, Krishan,

CityAttorney@mountainview.gov, MacKay, Raymond, County Counsel, Daniel McCloskey, Sarah Trela, Siegel, Kevin D., Kenyon, Michelle Marchetta, Thomas Geiger, Patrick Hurley, Gene

Tanaka, Dakotah Benjamin, Laskowska, Margo

CC: Raymond DiGuiseppe, Adam Kraut

Attachments: image001.png

George,

We interpret the order as dismissing not only Santa Clara, San Mateo, and Contra Costa Counties, but also the individual defendants associated with those entities and the City Defendants within their jurisdictions. But we are agreeable to seeking clarification of the order by filing a stipulation and proposed order of dismissal as to the following defendants: County of Santa Clara, Laurie Smith, Jeffrey Rosen, Sara Cody, City of San José, Sam Liccardo, Edgardo ("Eddie") Garcia, City of Mountain View, Max Bosel, County of San Mateo, Carlos Bolanos, Scott Morrow, City of Pacifica, Dan Steidle, County of Contra Costa, David Livingston, Chris Farnitano, City of Pleasant Hill, and Bryan Hill.

We believe an order of dismissal will create a clearer record than an order on an administrative motion. But if you are unwilling to file a stipulation, we will not oppose an administrative motion. We do, however, ask that you send us a draft before filing.

Thanks,

-Melissa



Melissa Kiniyalocts | Lead Deputy County Counsel

Office of the County Counsel, County of Santa Clara

70 West Hedding Street, East Wing, 9th Floor | San José, CA 95110

Office: (408) 299-5937 | Facsimile: (408) 292-7240

melissa.kiniyalocts@cco.sccgov.org

NOTICE TO RECIPIENT: The information in this email is confidential and may be protected by the attorney-client and/or work product privileges. If you received this email in error, any review, use, dissemination, distribution, or copying of it is strictly prohibited. Please notify Administration, Office of the County Counsel, of the error immediately at 408-299-5900 and delete this communication and any attached documents from your system.

From: George Lee <gml@seilerepstein.com> Sent: Thursday, June 4, 2020 12:57 PM

To: Kiniyalocts, Melissa <Melissa.Kiniyalocts@cco.sccgov.org>; Bussey, Jason <jason.bussey@cco.sccgov.org>; Press, Douglas <douglas.press@cco.sccgov.org>; Frimann, Nora <nora.frimann@sanjoseca.gov>; Bayer, Lance <Lance.Bayer@MountainView.gov>; Chopra, Krishan <Krishan.Chopra@mountainview.gov>;

CityAttorney@mountainview.gov; MacKay, Raymond, County Counsel <raymond.mackay@acgov.org>; Daniel

McCloskey <dmccloskey@smcgov.org>; Sarah Trela <strela@smcgov.org>; Siegel, Kevin D.

<KSiegel@bwslaw.com>; Kenyon, Michelle Marchetta <MKenyon@bwslaw.com>; Thomas Geiger

<Thomas.Geiger@cc.cccounty.us>; Patrick Hurley <Patrick.Hurley@cc.cccounty.us>; Gene Tanaka

<Gene.Tanaka@bbklaw.com>; Dakotah Benjamin <Dakotah.Benjamin@bbklaw.com>
Cc: Raymond DiGuiseppe <law.rmd@gmail.com>; Adam Kraut <akraut@fpclaw.org>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Altman v. County of Santa Clara - Request for Clarification

Dear Counsel:

Plaintiffs intend to bring a motion or application requesting clarification of the Court's Order Denying Preliminary Injunction [ECF No. 62], in particular, where the Court states at p. 8:5-8:

Because Plaintiffs in San Mateo, Santa Clara, and Contra Costa Counties are now clearly able to purchase firearms and ammunition (or will be once the Orders go into effect), the Court holds that the case is moot as to those Defendants. The San Mateo, Santa Clara, and Contra Costa Defendants are hereby dismissed.

The clarification that plaintiffs seek is to have the Court specify what parties are being dismissed, i.e., the counties themselves, or also the cities within those counties [Pacifica, San Jose, Mountain View, and Pleasant Hill]. In the alternative, plaintiffs would simply request that the Court enter judgment, forthwith, as to all defendants that the Court intended to dismiss from the case.

Please let us know as soon as possible if you would be willing to join in this request for clarification, or if you would otherwise oppose the motion/application, and if so, what the basis for the opposition would be.

Thank you for your attention to this matter; we look forward to hearing from you.

George M. Lee
SEILER EPSTEIN LLP
275 Battery Street, Suite 1600
San Francisco, California 94111
tel. (415) 979-0500
fax (415) 979-0511

email: gml@seilerepstein.com