1 2 3 4 5 6 7	RICHARD DOYLE, City Attorney (88625) NORA FRIMANN, Assistant City Attorney (93 CLIFFORD S. GREENBERG, Sr. Deputy City MARK J. VANNI, Deputy City Attorney (2678) Office of the City Attorney 200 East Santa Clara Street, 16 th Floor San José, California 95113-1905 Telephone Number: (408) 535-1900 Facsimile Number: (408) 998-3131 E-Mail Address: cao.main@sanjoseca.gov Attorneys for CITY OF SAN JOSE	3249) y Attorney (122612) 392)
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	LORI RODRIGUEZ, THE SECOND AMENDMENT FOUNDATION, INC., THE CALGUNS FOUNDATION, INC., Plaintiffs, v. CITY OF SAN JOSE, et al., Defendants.	Case Number: 5:15-CV-03698-EJD REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF CITY'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT DATE: November 10, 2016 TIME: 9:00 a.m. COURTROOM: 4 JUDGE: Hon. Edward J. Davila
20 21	Defendants City of San Jose and Officer Steven Valentine request that the Court to take judicial notice pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 201 of the following:	
22	1) The City filed a Potition under Secti	ion 8102 to dispose of the twolve firearms as
23	1) The City filed a Petition under Section 8102 to dispose of the twelve firearms as	
24	reflected in the City's Petition initiating City of San Jose v. Edward V. Rodriguez (Santa Clara	
25	Superior Court No. 1-13-CV-241669). A true and correct copy of the City's Petition is	
26	attached as Exhibit B to the Declaration of Mark Vanni, counsel for Defendants.	
27	2) Lori Rodriguez intervened Plaintiff in City of San Jose v. Edward Rodriguez et al.	
28	and argued for return of the firearms as reflected in Lori Rodriguez's Response and Regues	

3

4 5

6

7 8

10 11

9

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

for Hearing in City of San Jose v. Edward V. Rodriguez, which is attached as Exhibit C to the Declaration of Mark Vanni.

- 3) The Reporter's Transcript from the hearing before the Honorable Peter Kirwan. which the parties have stipulated is an accurate account of the proceedings, and the arguments, facts, and judicial findings stated therein. A true and correct copy of this transcript is attached as Exhibit D to the Declaration of Mark Vanni.
- 4) Judge Kirwan's Order, dated September 16, 2013, granting the City's Petition for Disposition of Weapons in the City of San Jose v. Edward V. Rodriguez, a true and correct copy of which is attached as Exhibit E to the Declaration of Mark Vanni.
- 5) The decision of the Sixth District California Court of Appeal in City of San Jose v. Edward V. Rodriguez et al., 2015 WL 1541988 (April 2, 2015, H040317) and the judicial findings stated therein. A true and correct copy of this decision is attached hereto as Exhibit F to the Declaration of Mark Vanni.

The Court is permitted to take judicial notice of court documents and records, and "may take notice of proceedings in other courts, both within and without the federal judicial system, if those proceedings have a direct relation to matters at issue." U.S. ex rel. Robinson Rancheria Citizens Council v. Borneo, Inc., 971 F.2d 244, 248 (9th Cir. 1992); cf. Fed. R. App. P. 32.1(b) (instructing parties to submit a copy of an "opinion, order, judgment, or disposition" unavailable on publicly accessible electronic databases). Because the Court records included with Defendants' motion, and the facts and findings stated therein, involve ///

///

///

/// ///

/// ///

///

prior proceedings among the parties relating to the confiscation and return of the firearms at issue, Defendants request that the Court take judicial notice. Respectfully submitted, Dated: September 1, 2016 RICHARD DOYLE, City Attorney By: _ /s/ Mark Vanni_ MARK J. VANNI Deputy City Attorney Attorneys for CITY OF SAN JOSE and OFFICÉR STEVEN VALENTINE