

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

DEC 20 2018

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

LORI RODRIGUEZ; et al.,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

v.

CITY OF SAN JOSE; et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

No. 17-17144

D.C. No. 5:15-cv-03698-EJD
Northern District of California,
San Jose

ORDER

The parties are ordered to file simultaneous briefs, not to exceed 15 pages, discussing what effect, if any, the prior state court rulings have on our court's ability to decide Lori Rodriguez's specific claims here in light of the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine, see, e.g., *Noel v. Hall*, 341 F.3d 1148 (9th Cir. 2003), and the doctrines of preclusion, see, e.g., *Clements v. Airport Auth. of Washoe Cty.*, 69 F.3d 321 (9th Cir. 1995); *White v. City of Pasadena*, 671 F.3d 918 (9th Cir. 2012).

The parties shall also address what effect, if any, the organizational Plaintiffs-Appellants' presence in the case has on the *Rooker-Feldman* and preclusion analyses.

The parties' briefs shall be filed no later than January 7, 2019. No reply briefs will be allowed.

FOR THE COURT:

MOLLY C. DWYER
CLERK OF COURT

By: Wendy Lam
Deputy Clerk
Ninth Circuit Rule 27-7