

1 George M. Lee (SBN 172982)

2 [gml@seilerepstein.com](mailto:gml@seilerepstein.com)

3 **SEILER EPSTEIN LLP**

4 275 Battery Street, Suite 1600

5 San Francisco, California 94111

6 Phone: (415) 979-0500

7 Fax: (415) 979-0511

8 Raymond M. DiGuiseppe (SBN 228457)

9 [law.rmd@gmail.com](mailto:law.rmd@gmail.com)

10 **THE DIGUISEPPE LAW FIRM, P.C.**

11 4320 Southport-Supply Road, Suite 300

12 Southport, North Carolina 28461

13 Phone: (910) 713-8804

14 Fax: (910) 672-7705

15 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

16 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
17 **FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

18 ADAM BRANDY, an individual, et al.,

Case No. 2:20-cv-02874-AB-SK

19 Plaintiffs,

**PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST FOR  
JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT  
OF THEIR OPPOSITION TO  
DEFENDANTS COUNTY OF LOS  
ANGELES, SHERIFF ALEX  
VILLANUEVA, AND BARBARA  
FERRER'S MOTION FOR  
JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS**

20 vs.

21 ALEX VILLANUEVA, in his official  
22 capacity as Sheriff of Los Angeles County,  
23 California, and in his capacity as the  
24 Director of Emergency Operations, et al.,

25 Defendants.

Date: October 2, 2020  
Time: 10:00 a.m.  
Courtroom 7B  
Hon. André Birotte, Jr.

26 Plaintiffs, by and through counsel, request this Court take judicial notice,  
27 pursuant to Rule 201 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, of the following matter of  
28 public record relevant to the pending Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings:

1 **Exhibit A:** The judicial opinion in *County of Butler v. Wolf*, \_\_\_ F.Supp.3d  
2 \_\_\_ (W.D. Pa. 2020), 2020 WL 5510690, published September 14, 2020.

3 This opinion was published after Plaintiffs filed their Opposition to  
4 Defendants’ Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings (Doc. 60, filed August 28, 2020),  
5 and thus was unavailable at the time to cite as a supporting authority. It is relevant  
6 to the determination of the applicable standards of constitutional scrutiny in this case  
7 and to the proper application of those standards—in particular the analysis appearing  
8 at pages \*5 through \*10. As a relevant judicial opinion from a readily available and  
9 verifiable source, the opinion is a “matter of public record” proper for judicial notice.  
10 *Daghlian v. DeVry University, Inc.*, 461 F.Supp.2d 1121, 1143 (C.D. Cal. 2006)  
11 (quoting *Lee v. City of Los Angeles*, 250 F.3d 668, 688 (9th Cir.2001) (“under  
12 Fed.R.Evid. 201, a court may take judicial notice of ‘matters of public record’”);  
13 *Reyn’s Pasta Bella, LLC v. Visa USA, Inc.*, 442 F.3d 741, 746, n. 6 (9th Cir. 2006)  
14 (“We may take judicial notice of court filings and other matters of public record.”).

15 Plaintiffs thus respectfully submit this opinion, attached hereto as Exhibit A,  
16 for the Court’s judicial notice concerning the Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings.

17 Dated: September 29, 2020

18  
19 /s/ Raymond DiGuiseppe \_\_\_\_\_  
20 Raymond DiGuiseppe  
21 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28