		7
1		A. Within the last few weeks, whenever
2	Q.	Ms. Murphy
3		A Ms. Murphy or somebody from DeSisto Law
4		contacted me and tried to make arrangements to set
5		it up, but there was interrogatories, so I
6		anticipated that this would take place at some
7		point in time.
8	Q.	Okay. Between when you learned this deposition
9		would take place and today, what did you do to
10		prepare?
11		A. I did meet with Attorney Murphy, and I did
12		review the police report and some of our
13		department policies.
14	Q.	Did the review of the police report and department
15		policies occur when you met with Ms. Murphy?
16		A. Yes.
17	Q.	Aside from the meeting with Ms. Murphy where you
18		looked at those documents, did you do any other
19		preparation?
20		A. No.
21	Q.	When you say the police report, are you referring
22		to the incident report?
23		A. Yes, that's correct.
24	Q.	Okay. And the department policies, do you recall
25		which ones you looked at?

		8
1		A. Mental health policy, and a BCI policy.
2	Q.	Were there any other policies you looked at?
3		A. I believe a domestic violence policy as well.
4	Q.	Did you speak with any other Cranston police
5		officers about this case?
6		A. I have not.
7	Q.	Okay. Are you aware that other Cranston police
8		officers have had their deposition taken?
و		A. No I don't know who, but I know this case
10		is ongoing. So I am aware that some officers have
11		been deposed, but I'm not even sure who has been
12		deposed as of now. I was away on vacation, out of
13		state, for the last two weeks, so
14		MR. LYONS: Hopefully someplace nice and
15		relaxing.
16		THE WITNESS: Florida.
17	Q.	Have you seen the transcripts of any depositions?
18		A. I have not.
19	Q.	Does the Cranston Police Department have any
20		photographs or videos respecting the incident with
21		Mr. Caniglia?
22		A. I do not believe so.
23	Q.	Okay. What is your date of birth?
24		A. 3-9-69.
25	Q.	And where were you born?

	-		16
1		well, but 28 years go by quick. I know that was	
2		like a foundation of what we were taught.	
3	Q.	Okay. Do you recall if you had any courses on	
4		when it was appropriate to seize property of some	
5		kind without a warrant or a court order?	
6		A. Yes.	
7	Q.	And what do you recall about that?	
8		A. Can you be specific? We could probably go on	
9		all day about search and seizure. We went through	
10		the Fourth Amendment, how to apply for search	
11		warrants, things of that nature. Even though I	
12		was a road trooper, you generally don't do that,	
13		but you do that as a detective; frequently we'd	
14		apply for search warrants.	
15	Q.	Right. I'm setting aside the circumstances where	
16		you applied for a search warrant or you have some	
17		other court order.	
18		A. Okay.	
19	Q.	Do you recall what they told you about, the	
20		circumstances under which it is appropriate for a	
21		police officer to seize property without a warrant	
22		or a court order?	
23		A. Yes.	
24	Q.	Okay. What do you recall about that?	
25		A. Well, there is a number of exceptions to the	

. 14

- Fourth Amendment to have to apply for a warrant. So, you know, there is obviously automobile exceptions, exigent circumstances. There is a whole list of different exceptions to the Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure law.
- Q. What do you recall about the automobile exception?

 A. Because a vehicle is movable, that if there is probable cause, you can search the vehicle at that time because of the fact that the evidence could leave quickly, and so on and so forth. So if something is in plain view, Plain View Doctrine, several things that apply in those situations.
- Q. How about exigent circumstances, what do you recall about that?
 - A. Pretty much if somebody's safety is in imminent risk of safety to a person, that you can, you know, make entry or search and seize property at that time, at least secure it, and especially with automobiles, obviously, because they're movable objects.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall if you received any instruction that you could seize, for example, a firearm in a residence without a warrant or a court order?

18 Not at that time, no. 1 A. Do you recall if you received any 2 Q. instruction at the State Police Academy about 3 whether you could require someone to submit to a 4 psychiatric evaluation or some other kind of 5 medical examination without a court order or a 6 7 warrant? Not in the academy, no. 8 Have you had training on that, or 9 Q. instruction on that since you left the academy? 10 THE WITNESS: On mental health, or 11 specific to those areas? 12 MR. LYONS: Yes. 13 Α. Yes. 14 Did you have that with the State Police, or 15 with the Cranston police, or both? 16 With the State Police. 17 Okay. What training did you receive with the 18 Q. State Police about requiring someone to submit to 19 a psychiatric or mental evaluation without a court 20 order? 21 We were taught if somebody was in imminent 22 Α. danger of harming themselves or somebody else, 23 then we could take them either voluntarily or 24

involuntarily to the local emergency room at a

19 hospital for the purpose of a mental health 1 evaluation. 2 Do you recall when you learned that? 3 Q. As a rookie trooper through my superiors at 4 the State Police. 5 When you say through your superiors, do you Okav. 6 ο. mean that there was some sort of formal 7 classroom-type training, or do you mean this was 8 something that you learned on the job from another 9 State Police officer, like on patrol? 10 I learned from my senior field training 11 officers, as well as on the job through my 12 superiors, what they expected in those situations. 13 Do you recall -- well, I'm going to back up 14 0. and sort of break it down. Do you recall whether 15 any of this that you learned at the State Police 16 occurred in a classroom type setting? 17 18 A. No. So this was all in, like, conversation that you 19 Q. had with a senior police officer? 20 Yes, several people that were training me. 21 Obviously, the State Police was a formalized 22 setting. It was a 16-week academy, so you can 23 only cover so much. So a lot of the details of 24 situations were covered by going out with a senior 25

- trooper, this is what you do in these situations.

 But it wasn't just one particular trooper. This

 is how we do this particular -- handle these

 situations.
- Q. Do you recall if any of those senior troopers who said that there was authority to require someone to submit to a psychiatric evaluation if there was imminent danger of harm to themselves or others, told you what the authority was for that?
 - A. If I can recall, I know they said we have a responsibility to be community caretakers, and that our role is to make sure that if somebody is in imminent danger, you can take a person to get evaluated, and you can seize property, such as firearms, to protect the public.
- Q. And did you ever see any written document that authorized the State Police to either require a psychiatric evaluation or to seize property?

 A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Okay. The State Police had written policies and procedures; is that correct?
 - A. Believe it not, when I first got there, they were just starting to. There was a new colonel that came in, Colonel Stone was there, and he was leaving, so Colonel Culhane came from the New York

25

Q.

Okay.

Do you recall whether you were told that

			22
1		the community caretaking function was embodied in	
2		any written document at all?	
3		A. No, I do not.	
4	Q.	Okay. Do you recall if you were told what the	×
5		legal authority was for the community caretaking	
6		function?	
7		A. No, I do not.	
8	Q.	Okay. So Colonel Culhane came in roughly around	
9		1990; is that your recollection?	
10		A. Yes, he was the Colonel when I actually	
11		when I was hired, I was hired under Colonel Stone.	
12		I graduated, and Colonel Culhane was already	
13		installed at that point.	
14	Q.	At any time that you were with the Rhode Island	
15		State Police, did you ever see any written policy	
16		or procedure that set forth the police's	
17		authority, or the extent of its authority under	
18		the community caretaking function?	
19		A. No.	
20	Q.	Did you ever see any specific written policy or	
21		procedure that set forth the police authority to	
22		require someone to submit to a psychiatric	
23		evaluation?	
24		A. No, not that I recall.	
25	Q.	Did you ever see any written policy or procedure	

		2	23
1		with the State Police that set forth its authority	
2		to seize property pursuant to the community	
3		caretaking function?	
4		A. No, not that I recall.	
5	Q.	When the State Police began adopting CALEA	
6		standards, do you recall if any of them ever dealt	
7		with the community caretaking function?	
8		A. Not that I recall.	
9	Q.	Did any of them deal with the authority to require	
10		someone to submit to psychiatric evaluation?	
11		A. Not that I recall.	
12	Q.	Did any of them deal with the authority to seize	
13		property, including firearms, without a court	
14		order?	
15		A. Not that I recall.	
16	Q.	I understand you're the highest ranking officer in	
17		the Cranston Police Department?	
18		A. Yes.	
19	Q.	And that would be confirmed by the number 1?	
20		A. People always ask what that means. Yes.	
21	Q.	You have a separate number that's your badge	
22		number?	
23		A. Not in the Cranston Police Department.	
24	Q.	In the Cranston Police Department your badge is	
25		also 1?	

		31
1		circumstances.
2	Q.	Was the community caretaking function embodied in
3		any state or federal statute?
4		A. No.
5	Q.	With respect to the authority that was passed last
6		year by which the police could require someone to
7		go for a psychiatric evaluation, your
8		understanding was there was no statutory authority
9		for that prior to last year?
10		A. There was no specific law that allowed that.
11	Q.	Your understanding is the authority for that arose
12		under the community caretaking function?
13		A. That's correct.
14	Q.	Are you aware of any court decision which says
15		that police had the authority to require someone
16		to go for a psychiatric evaluation pursuant to the
17		community caretaking function?
18		A. No, not specifically.
19	Q.	Are you aware of any court decision that said
20		police had the authority to seize firearms from a
21		person's house pursuant to the community
22		caretaking function?
23		A. Not specifically.
24	Q.	We have received in this case, through what's
25		called the discovery process, a number of general

		28
1		the Rhode Island State Police Academy. I would
2		think they're very similar. Some of the
3		instructors are actually instructors at both
4		academies; I'm aware of that.
5	Q.	Does the municipal police training academy provide
6		training or education now with respect to the
7		community caretaking function?
8		A. I am not aware if they do or not.
9	Q.	Does the civil rights committee address anything
10		so far besides the Comprehensive Community Police
11		Relations Act?
12		A. No.
13	Q.	How about the legislative committee, what does it
14		do?
15		A. The legislative committee reviews legislation
16		at the State House that's being presented, and in
17		some position we take a stance on some of the
18		legislation, and then also keep our fellow chiefs
19		apprised of what type of legislation is being
20		presented at the State House and any changes that
21		are actually new laws that are passed.
22	Q.	All right. It has come up during the course of
23		this case that last year, meaning 2017, there was
24		an amendment to the mental health statute with
25		respect to police requiring people to go for a

- psychiatric evaluation?
- A. Yes, I'm aware of that.

- Q. Were you involved in that legislation last year?

 A. I was not.
 - Q. Oh, okay. What's your understanding of why that legislation came into being?
 - A. Well, Chief Pizzari (phonetic), who I know personally, had mentioned that in the particular mental health statute -- it might be the mental health statute, but in a particular statute, I don't recall which one, there was no mention of specific ability for a law enforcement officer to take somebody against their will to a mental health facility. So my understanding is that law has been changed to allow for that, or specify that.
 - Q. Has the Police Chiefs Association had any internal communications or discussion about the authority of police to seize firearms for safekeeping?

 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. What kind of discussions have they had about that?
 - A. The Red Flag law is a law that the association supported and actually helped draft.
 - Q. And my understanding is that was passed this year?

2	^
.5	u

A. That's my understanding, yes.

Q. Okay. And what was the reason that the Red Flag law was passed this year?

MS. MURPHY: Objection as to the form. You may answer.

- Q. What's your understanding of why the Red Flag law was passed this year?
 - A. My understanding was, obviously there has been a lot of gun violence in the United States, and situations where there was no formalized law or mechanism that allowed police officers to remove firearms from people that are exhibiting signs of being a danger to themselves or somebody else.
- Q. So the purpose of the Red Flag law was to provide that authority to police?
 - A. To clarify: I believe, my opinion, we had the authority prior to that, but now it's codified in law, and that's what we were hoping would happen.
- Q. Was there any statute that provided that authority prior to the passage of the Red Flag law this year?
 - A. I believe the community caretaking function allowed us to seize a firearm in certain

		31	
1		circumstances.	
2	Q.	Was the community caretaking function embodied in	
3		any state or federal statute?	
4		A. No.	
5	Q.	With respect to the authority that was passed last	
6		year by which the police could require someone to	
7		go for a psychiatric evaluation, your	
8		understanding was there was no statutory authority	
9		for that prior to last year?	
10		A. There was no specific law that allowed that.	-
11	Q.	Your understanding is the authority for that arose	
12		under the community caretaking function?	
13		A. That's correct.	
14	Q.	Are you aware of any court decision which says	
15		that police had the authority to require someone	
16		to go for a psychiatric evaluation pursuant to the	
17		community caretaking function?	
18	80	A. No, not specifically.	
19	Q.	Are you aware of any court decision that said	
20		police had the authority to seize firearms from a	
21		person's house pursuant to the community	
22		caretaking function?	
23		A. Not specifically.	
24	Q.	We have received in this case, through what's	
25		called the discovery process, a number of general	

ļ			57
1		A. I didn't have actually, I did have a copy	
2		of this one. Attorney Murphy did provide me a	
3		copy, so I did look at both.	
4	Q.	Okay. I'm going to ask you to go to Page 2.	
5		Toward the bottom of Page 2 in the Section C,	
6		"Response to people with perceived mental	
7		illness," there's Roman Numeral IV, I'm going to	
8		direct your attention to that. It talks about	9
9		options that a police officer has when selecting	
10		an appropriate disposition when responding to	
11		people with perceived mental illness; do you see	
12		that?	
13		A. Yes.	
14	Q.	And it lists several sections there. 5 says,	
15		"Assist in regarding voluntary admission to a	
16		mental facility if requested"?	
17		A. Yes.	
18	Q.	And 6 says, "Transport for involuntary emergency	
19		psychiatric evaluation if the person's behavior	
20		meets the criteria for this action"; do you see	
21		that?	
22		A. Yes.	
23	Q.	Does one of those two apply to the situation	
24		involving Mr. Caniglia?	
25		A. Actually they both do, but number 5 was	

exercised in this situation.

- Q. And why would you say number 5 was the one that was exercised?
 - A. Based on the reading of the police report,
 Mr. Caniglia voluntarily went with the rescue to
 be evaluated at the hospital.
 - Q. If Mr. Caniglia was told that his firearms were going to be seized, but that if he went to the hospital and was cleared, he could get them back, would you consider -- and he agreed to do that under those circumstances, would you say that was voluntary or involuntary?
 - A. I would say it's still voluntary.
- Q. Why would you say it's still voluntary?
 - A. I wasn't there, so I don't want to speculate, but I didn't see that in the police report. So I don't think I can even answer that because that wasn't my understanding of the situation.
 - Q. If that was the situation, if a person was told we're going to seize your firearms unless you agree to go to the hospital and get cleared, would you consider his statement that he would then go to the hospital to be voluntary or involuntary?
 - A. Well, I'm assuming he had the option to say no. So I would say it's still voluntary.

		59)
1	Q.	When does a person's behavior meet the criteria	
2		for an involuntary emergency psychiatric	
3	*	evaluation?	
4		A. If the officer believes that person is in	
5 '		imminent harm of their safety or another person.	
6	Q.	Do you know whether any officer made a	
7		determination that Mr. Caniglia was in imminent	5
8		danger of harm?	
9		A. I believe Officer Mastrati made that	
10		assessment, along with his supervisors.	
11	Q.	All right. And you base that on the incident	
12		report?	ŀ
13		A. Yes.	
14	Q.	If you go to the next page of the general order,	
15		it says Custody; do you see that?	
16		A. Yes.	
17	Q.	Was Mr. Caniglia taken into custody?	
18		A. No, he was not.	
19	Q.	Under that it says voluntary admission is part	
20		F, and then involuntary admission is part G. Do	
21		you believe that Mr. Caniglia's situation involved	
22		a voluntary admission or involuntary admission?	
23		A. Voluntary admission.	
24	Q.	Okay. Would Mr. Caniglia's situation have met the	
25		criteria for an involuntary admission?	

		78
1		that seriously, and that is a strong likelihood
2		that somebody people that do kill themselves
3		often do talk about it to other people.
4	Q.	Right. You would agree that somebody may say, for
5		example, I could just shoot myself, but that
6		doesn't necessarily mean they're actually
7		suicidal?
8		A. Not in every situation.
9	Q.	Right. I mean, you've heard people say that, and
10		you knew they weren't actually suicidal?
11		A. Depends in what context they say it, how they
12		said it. And again, there might be other factors.
13	Q.	Would the Cranston Police Department have a policy
14		of seizing somebody's firearms or requiring them
15		to go to a psychiatric evaluation every time they
16		said something like, I could just shoot myself?
17		A. No. We leave it up to the officers on scene,
18		their training, their experience and their
19		discretion. They weigh all the facts they have in
20		front of them and make a reasonable decision
21		whether they should transport that person or not.
22	Q.	Besides the factors that may be set forth in any
23		of the written materials that we've been provided,
24		are there any other criteria that a Cranston
25		police officer would consider in making that

		113
1		it's very serious for somebody to make that
2		statement and actually produce a firearm.
3	Q.	Do you know whether Mr. Caniglia had ever made any
4		alleged prior threats of suicide?
5		A. I'm not aware.
6	Q.	Other than the alleged statement of putting the
7		firearm and a magazine in front of his wife and
8		saying shoot me, are you aware of whether or not
9		Mr. Caniglia ever made any other statement that
10		would be considered suicidal?
11		A. I am not.
12	Q.	Do you know who made the decision to seize
13		Mr. Caniglia's firearms?
14		A. Yes.
15	Q.	Who?
16		A. Captain Henry.
17	Q.	Is the Cranston Police Department aware of the
18		Second Amendment?
19		A. Yes.
20	Q.	Is the Cranston Police Department aware of a
21		provision in the Rhode Island Constitution that
22		provides for a right to keep and bear arms?
23		A. Yes.
24	Q.	Is the Cranston Police Department aware of the
25		Fourth Amendment?

	Γ	
		114
1		A. Yes.
2	Q.	Is the Cranston Police Department aware of a
3		similar amendment in the Rhode Island
4		Constitution?
5		A. Yes.
6	Q.	Is the Cranston Police Department aware of the due
7		process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment?
8		A. Yes, we were.
9	Q.	Is it aware of the due process provision in the
10		Rhode Island Constitution?
11		A. Yes.
12	Q.	I may have asked this already, but just in case,
13		I'm asking again, are you aware of the Rhode
14		Island Firearms Act?
15		A. No.
16	Q.	Is the Cranston Police Department aware of the
17		Rhode Island Firearms Act?
18		A. Any members of our department that is aware?
19		I don't know.
20	Q.	Okay. Are you aware that there is a Firearms Act?
21		A. Yes. I just don't know what chapter and what
22		specifically is underneath it.
23	Q.	Are you aware whether the Rhode Island Firearms
24		Act provides any rights to people who own
25		firearms?

		116
1		A. Not that I'm aware of.
2	Q.	Other than the community caretaking function, are
3		you aware of any other authority that the Cranston
4		Police Department allegedly had in 2015 to seize
5		Mr. Caniglia's firearms?
6		A. No.
7	Q.	Other than the community caretaking function, are
8		you aware of any other authority that the Cranston
9		Police Department allegedly had to transport him
10		for a psychiatric evaluation?
11		A. No.
12	Q.	Let me show you what was previously marked as
13		Exhibit 25 during Captain Henry's deposition and
14		ask if you've seen this before?
15		A. No, I've never seen this before.
16	Q.	Okay. This is entitled "Re: Caniglia return of
17		firearms." Apparently it was created by BCI
18		Detective Michael Caramante. At the bottom there
19		are two different lines, one of which says
20		captain's signature denying the return of weapons,
21		and there appears to be a signature and a date of
22		9-1-15; do you see that?
23		A. Yes.
24	Q.	Do you recognize the signature?
25	<u> </u>	A. I don't.
- 6		

		126
1		scene. I don't want to say every single time,
2		because there is underlying circumstances and
3		people that are interviewed, that we assess the
4		credibility of that threat. Obviously, in this
5		situation, they had reason to believe that the
6		caller exaggerated. I don't know what led them to
7		determine that, but it's a different situation.
8	Q.	When you say this situation, you mean Exhibit
9		26?
10		A. Yes.
11	Q.	Is it the position of the Cranston Police
12		Department that the community caretaker function
13		gave it the authority to seize Mr. Caniglia's
14		firearms even if he objected?
15		A. Yes.
16	Q.	Is it the position of the Cranston Police
17		Department that the community caretaker function
18		gave it the authority to require him to go for a
19		psychiatric evaluation even if he objected?
20		A. Yes.
21	Q.	I've asked you a lot of questions. Is there
22		anything we have not discussed about
23		Mr. Caniglia's incident that you think is
24		important?
25		A. I don't believe so. I wasn't there, so I'm