Exhibit "1"

Deposition Transcript of Colonel Michael Winquist June 20, 2018

In The Matter Of:

Caniglia vs Strom, et al

Colonel Michael Winquist June 20, 2018



Min-U-Script® with Word Index

		18
1		A. Not at that time, no.
VCS		
2	Ω.	Okay. Do you recall if you received any
3		instruction at the State Police Academy about
4		whether you could require someone to submit to a
5		psychiatric evaluation or some other kind of
6		medical examination without a court order or a
7		warrant?
8		A. Not in the academy, no.
9	Ω.	Okay. Have you had training on that, or
10		instruction on that since you left the academy?
11		THE WITNESS: On mental health, or
12		specific to those areas?
13		MR. LYONS: Yes.
14		A. Yes.
15	Q.	Okay. Did you have that with the State Police, or
16		with the Cranston police, or both?
17		A. With the State Police.
18	Q.	Okay. What training did you receive with the
19		State Police about requiring someone to submit to
20		a psychiatric or mental evaluation without a court
21		order?
22		A. We were taught if somebody was in imminent
23		danger of harming themselves or somebody else,
24		then we could take them either voluntarily or
25		involuntarily to the local emergency room at a

		19
1		hospital for the purpose of a mental health
2		evaluation.
3	Q.	Do you recall when you learned that?
4		A. As a rookie trooper through my superiors at
5		the State Police.
6	Q.	Okay. When you say through your superiors, do you
7		mean that there was some sort of formal
8		classroom-type training, or do you mean this was
9		something that you learned on the job from another
10		State Police officer, like on patrol?
11		A. I learned from my senior field training
12		officers, as well as on the job through my
13		superiors, what they expected in those situations.
14	Q.	Okay. Do you recall well, I'm going to back up
15		and sort of break it down. Do you recall whether
16		any of this that you learned at the State Police
17		occurred in a classroom type setting?
18		A. No.
19	Q.	So this was all in, like, conversation that you
20		had with a senior police officer?
21		A. Yes, several people that were training me.
22		Obviously, the State Police was a formalized
23		setting. It was a 16-week academy, so you can
24		only cover so much. So a lot of the details of
25		situations were covered by going out with a senior

			20
1		trooper, this is what you do in these situations.	
2		But it wasn't just one particular trooper. This	
3		is how we do this particular handle these	
4		situations.	
5	Q.	Do you recall if any of those senior troopers who	
6		said that there was authority to require someone	
7		to submit to a psychiatric evaluation if there was	İ
8		imminent danger of harm to themselves or others,	
9		told you what the authority was for that?	
10		A. If I can recall, I know they said we have a	
11		responsibility to be community caretakers, and	
12		that our role is to make sure that if somebody is	
13		in imminent danger, you can take a person to get	
14		evaluated, and you can seize property, such as	
15		firearms, to protect the public.	
16	Q.	And did you ever see any written document that	
17		authorized the State Police to either require a	
18		psychiatric evaluation or to seize property?	
19		A. Not that I recall.	
20	Q.	Okay. The State Police had written policies and	
21		procedures; is that correct?	
22		A. Believe it not, when I first got there, they	
23		were just starting to. There was a new colonel	
24		that came in, Colonel Stone was there, and he was	
25		leaving, so Colonel Culhane came from the New York	.

		21
1		State Police, and at that point they began quickly
2		drafting a large volume of policies. So when I
3		first started, a lot of policies didn't even
4		exist.
5	Q.	Were those initial policies let me back up. Do
6		you recall when the State Police was first
7		accredited by CALEA?
8		A. I don't.
9	Q.	Were the initial policies and procedures the State
10		Police were adopting when Colonel Culhane came in
11		based on CALEA standards, do you know?
12		A. I don't believe so because, if I recall,
13		CALEA was much later it was awhile before we
14		even pursued CALEA accreditation.
15	Q.	Okay. Did the initial policies and procedures
16		that were adopted under Colonel Culhane set forth,
17		for example, police policies and procedures with
18		respect to criminal investigations?
19		A. Yes.
20	Q.	Did the policies and procedures adopted under
21		Colonel Culhane set forth police authority to act
22		in a noncriminal situation?
23		A. I don't recall. I don't recall if we had any
24		detail as specific as that.
25	Q.	Okay. Do you recall whether you were told that

			22
1	the communit	y caretaking function was embodied in	
2	any written	document at all?	
3	A. No, I d	o not.	
4	Q. Okay. Do yo	u recall if you were told what the	
5	legal author	ity was for the community caretaking	
6	function?		
7	A. No, I d	o not.	
8	Q. Okay. So Co	lonel Culhane came in roughly around	
9	1990; is tha	t your recollection?	
10	A. Yes, he	was the Colonel when I actually	
11	when I was h	ired, I was hired under Colonel Stone.	
12	I graduated,	and Colonel Culhane was already	
13	installed at	that point.	
14	Q. At any time	that you were with the Rhode Island	
15	State Police	, did you ever see any written policy	
16	or procedure	that set forth the police's	
17	authority, o	r the extent of its authority under	
18	the community	y caretaking function?	
19	A. No.		
20	Q. Did you ever	see any specific written policy or	
21	procedure th	at set forth the police authority to	
22	require some	one to submit to a psychiatric	
23	evaluation?		
24	A. No, not	that I recall.	
25	Q. Did you ever	see any written policy or procedure	

		. 23
1		with the State Police that set forth its authority
2		to seize property pursuant to the community
3		caretaking function?
4		A. No, not that I recall.
5	Q.	When the State Police began adopting CALEA
6		standards, do you recall if any of them ever dealt
7		with the community caretaking function?
8		A. Not that I recall.
9	Ω,.	Did any of them deal with the authority to require
10		someone to submit to psychiatric evaluation?
11		A. Not that I recall.
12	Q.	Did any of them deal with the authority to seize
13		property, including firearms, without a court
14		order?
15		A. Not that I recall.
16	Q.	I understand you're the highest ranking officer in
17		the Cranston Police Department?
18		A. Yes.
19	Q.	And that would be confirmed by the number 1?
20		A. People always ask what that means. Yes.
21	Q.	You have a separate number that's your badge
22		number?
23		A. Not in the Cranston Police Department.
24	Q.	In the Cranston Police Department your badge is
25		also 1?

		9554 339	
			50
1		fallen, maybe a domestic assault, which is pretty	
2		common, and I told him look into it further.	
3	Q.	Okay. In fact, was there a domestic assault in	
4		Mr. Caniglia's situation?	
5		A. No.	
6	Q.	Okay. So you were mistaken in that regard?	
7		A. Yes.	
8	Q.	Okay. Did Major Quirk look into the	
9		circumstances?	
10		A. He did.	
11	Q.	And did he report back to you?	
12		A. He did.	
13	Q.	What did he tell you?	
14		A. He told me that, he explained further about	
15		the incident, he said he looked into it further,	
16		and once he explained it to me, I said, okay,	
17		that's fine, he can get his firearms back; make	
18		arrangements to do so.	
19	Q.	And do you recall when Mr. Caniglia received his	
20		firearms back?	
21		A. It was in December of 2015. I don't have the	
22		exact date. Beginning of December, I believe.	
23	Q.	Do you recall whether in fact Mr. Caniglia filed	
24		suit before he received his firearms back?	
25		A. That I don't recall what came first, if he	

		· ·	53
1		ahold of Mr. Caniglia. So I don't know what the	
2		time frame was. I only know that he got the	
3		weapon back in the beginning of December.	
4	Q.	Okay. Did Officer Quirk tell you he in fact had	
5		spoken to Attorney Lambros to tell Mr. Caniglia he	
6		could get his firearms back?	
7		A. He told me he spoke to him. Initially, he	
8		told him to get a court order, then his attorney	
9		further explained the details, he looked up the	
10		report. He came back to me, we discussed it. He	
11		said, no, this is the situation, it wasn't a	
12		domestic. I said, okay, that's fine, tell his	
13		attorney he can make arrangements to have the	
14		firearms returned to him.	
15	Q.	And did Major Quirk subsequently tell you he had	
16		that second communication with Attorney Lambros?	
17		A. I don't believe so. I just assumed he took	
18		care of it.	
19	Q.	All right. Do you know what the usual procedure	
20		is for how soon the police, Cranston Police	
21		Department, sends the notification in writing to a	
22		person whose firearm has been seized due to their	
23		temporary state of mind?	
24		A. No. I don't think there's any specific time	
25		frame. When they get an opportunity to draft a	

		62
1		A. I've been to many situations where there's
2		been suicides by firearms, and situations when
3		there has been murder suicides, and most of the
4		time the victims are likely unaware that the
5		person was not only a danger to themselves but
6		other people around them.
7	Q.	Have you ever seized a person's firearm for
8		safekeeping?
9		A. Yes.
10	Q.	How many times?
11		A. I don't have an exact number. It's been a
12		long time.
13	Q.	Can you estimate the number of times?
14		A. Probably ten or more.
15	Q.	Okay. And were all of those occasions when you
16		did when you were a member of the State Police?
17		A. Yes.
18	Q.	What were the criteria you used to determine
19		whether you could seize a firearm for safekeeping?
20		A. It was an assessment made on the scene by
21		myself and other troopers.
22	Q.	Do you have any do you have a degree or any
23		formal training in psychology or psychiatry?
24		A. Not to diagnose somebody. We are trained to
25		be aware of symptoms of somebody that's in

		63
1		emotional crisis.
2	Q.	Okay. What are the symptoms that you would look
3	~	for when you made a determination to seize
4		someone's firearms for safekeeping?
5		A. Well, the most obvious is somebody making an
6		outward statement that they want to kill
7		themselves.
8	Q.	Any other symptoms that you look for?
9	-	A. Somebody is despondent, heavy use of alcohol,
10		narcotics, combination of many different factors
11		most often.
12	Q.	Any other symptoms?
13	Q.	A. Not that I can think of offhand.
14	Q.	Do you recall where you learned those symptoms?
15	۷.	A. Formalized training, both at the Rhode Island
16		State Police, and I know the Cranston police have
17		conducted some training on mental health, first
7776440		Carting and the first provided and the property of the provided and the property of the contract of the property of the provided and the provi
18		aid is what they call it, that allows us basically
19		to be aware of symptoms to look for when people
20		are in emotional crisis.
21	Q.	So, the Cranston Police Department's the
22		knowledge of an officer on the Cranston Police
23		Department would come from its mental health
24		training that it has provided?
25		MS. MURPHY: Objection to form. You

			74
1	Q.	Okay. Are you aware of Section 40.1-5-8 of the	
2		mental health law?	
3		A. No, I'm not.	
4	Q.	Okay. I forgot if I asked you, have you seen	
5		Exhibit 19 before?	
6		A. I have not.	
7	Q.	Do you know who Michelle Lyn Branch or Kate Noveau	
8		are?	
9		A. I do not.	
10	Q.	Let me show you what was previously marked as	
11		Exhibit 9 during Officer Mastrati's deposition	
12		which appears to be a printout of a PowerPoint	
13		entitled Mental Health 101 with a handwritten date	
14		on it of 2011. Do you see that next to the	
15		exhibit sticker?	
16		A. Yes, I do.	
17	Q.	Have you seen this before?	
18		A. I have not.	
19	Q.	Let me back up and ask you to go back to Exhibit	
20		6, the first page	
21		THE WITNESS: First page?	
22		MR. LYONS: First page, correct.	
23	Q.	where it says Procedures, the first one says,	
24		Recognizing Mental Illness?	
25		A. Yes.	

			76
1		You may answer.	
2		A. They're not there to diagnose somebody,	
3		they're to recognize the symptoms and signs that	
4		are indicative of somebody suffering from mental	
5		health or a temporary crisis.	
6	Q.	Right. But does a police officer just know that	
7		intuitively, or does he base that on the other	
8		training which he has received, for example, these)
9		PowerPoint presentations?	
10		A. I think it's a combination of both. I mean,	
11		it's formalized training, and there is actual	
12		experience.	
13	Q.	Is that also true with attempting to diagnose	
14		let me withdraw the question. With respect to	
15		determining whether or not somebody is or is not	
16	,	suicidal, does that come from the mental health	
17		training, such as the PowerPoints, or does it also	•
18		come from on-the-job experience?	
19		A. Both. Yes.	
20	Q.	Okay. How would it come from on-the-job	
21		experience?	
22		A. We respond to attempted suicides. So, often	
23		we interview those people, or we interview people	
24		around them, and we are able to find out what led	
25		to that particular incident or, unfortunately,	

		d d	80
1		should consider in determining whether or not	
2		someone is suicidal	
3		A. Yes.	
4	Q.	beyond what is set forth in the training	
5		materials that have been marked as exhibits?	
6		A. I haven't, as she mentioned, I haven't gone	
7		through these, so I don't know what in these	
8		documents. There are so many different factors.	
9		There is no way to predict, if you will, or assume	(
10		every factor. There are so many different	
11		factors. We make judgment decisions every day	
12		based on our instinct, our training. So I don't	
13		know without looking at all the factors that are	
14		listed in here.	
15	Q.	Is there a reason why those factors or those	
16		criteria that the Cranston police would consider	
17		are not set forth in one comprehensive document?	
18		A. I think it would be impossible to anticipate	
19		every single circumstance that you may come	
20		across.	
21	Q.	Do you know we'll get to that a little later.	
22		Have you looked at any of the Cranston Police	
23		Department training materials on mental health to	
24		see whether any of the criteria set forth in them	
25		applied to Mr. Caniglia?	

	110
	it's clear that he wanted to end his life, and he
	wanted her to do it.
Q.	Why do you say it's clear?
	A. Based on the statements that were given
	Officer Mastrati there is a thing called assisted
	suicide, that's what I would call that situation.
Q.	Do you know whether officer excuse me, whether
	Mr. Caniglia ever made a threat to use the gun on
	himself?
	THE WITNESS: A threat that he would
	use the gun on himself?
	MR. LYONS: On himself.
	A. No, I'm not aware of that.
Q.	Do you know whether or not Mr. Caniglia ever
	threatened to use any weapon on himself?
	A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q.	If you look, you referred to the sentence at the
š	beginning of the third paragraph of the narrative,
	can you go to the last sentence?
	THE WITNESS: In the third
	paragraph?
	MR. LYONS: In the third paragraph.
	A. Yes.
Q.	Okay. It says, quote, "She," referring to Kim
	Caniglia, "stated she was not scared for her own
	Q.

		113
1		it's very serious for somebody to make that
2		statement and actually produce a firearm.
3	Q.	Do you know whether Mr. Caniglia had ever made any
4		alleged prior threats of suicide?
5		A. I'm not aware.
6	Q.	Other than the alleged statement of putting the
7		firearm and a magazine in front of his wife and
8		saying shoot me, are you aware of whether or not
9		Mr. Caniglia ever made any other statement that
10		would be considered suicidal?
11		A. I am not.
12	Q.	Do you know who made the decision to seize
13		Mr. Caniglia's firearms?
14		A. Yes.
15	Q.	Who?
16		A. Captain Henry.
17	Q.	Is the Cranston Police Department aware of the
18		Second Amendment?
19		A. Yes.
20	Q.	Is the Cranston Police Department aware of a
21		provision in the Rhode Island Constitution that
22		provides for a right to keep and bear arms?
23		A. Yes.
24	Q.	Is the Cranston Police Department aware of the
25		Fourth Amendment?

		114
1		A. Yes.
2	Q.	Is the Cranston Police Department aware of a
3		similar amendment in the Rhode Island
4		Constitution?
5		A. Yes.
6	Q.	Is the Cranston Police Department aware of the due
7		process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment?
8		A. Yes, we were.
9	Q.	Is it aware of the due process provision in the
10		Rhode Island Constitution?
11		A. Yes.
12	Q.	I may have asked this already, but just in case,
13		I'm asking again, are you aware of the Rhode
14		Island Firearms Act?
15		A. No.
16	Q.	Is the Cranston Police Department aware of the
17		Rhode Island Firearms Act?
18		A. Any members of our department that is aware?
19		I don't know.
20	Q.	Okay. Are you aware that there is a Firearms Act?
21		A. Yes. I just don't know what chapter and what
22		specifically is underneath it.
23	Q.	Are you aware whether the Rhode Island Firearms
24		Act provides any rights to people who own
25		firearms?

	115
,	A. No.
Q.	Do you know whether it provides for the
	circumstances under which a person can be deprived
)	of their firearms?
	A. Yes.
Q.	Okay. We've looked at certain provisions of the
	mental health law?
	A. Yes.
Q.	In 2015 were you aware of the Rhode Island Mental
	Health law?
	A. Not specifically, but not the exact statute
	but in general terms, yes.
Q.	Okay. Was it also true of the Cranston Police
	Department?
	A. Yes.
Q.	Do you know whether the Cranston Police Department
	has ever previously been sued for seizing a
	person's weapons?
	A. I'm not aware if they have or not.
Q.	Are you specifically aware, for example, whether
	my office has previously sued the Cranston Police
	Department for seizing someone's weapons?
	A. No.
Q.	Do you know if Mr. Caniglia made a threat to harm
	anyone else?
	Q. Q.

Exhibit "2"

Deposition Transcript of Captain Russell C. Henry, JR.
June 13, 2018

In The Matter Of:

Caniglia vs Strom, et al

Cpt. Russell C. Henry, Jr. June 13, 2018



Min-U-Script® with Word Index

		17
1		working as a police officer, or was that before?
2		A. Before.
3	Q.	Oh, okay. So how long was the mini school?
4		A. Six weeks, which I believe was the end of
5		1992. So, I think I stated I have done that while
6		I was a police officer; I misspoke. That was
7		right before I got hired. Based on the results of
8		the mini academy, I was hired in February '93.
9	Q.	Okay. Do you recall what the topics were of
10		instruction during the mini academy?
11		A. I don't specifically. It was general law
12		enforcement topics.
13	Q.	All right. Did it include constitutional law?
14		A. I don't recall specifically the topics.
15	Q.	All right. Do you have any written materials from
16		when you went to the mini academy?
17		A. I don't believe that I do.
18	Q.	How about when you went to the police training
19		academy, do you recall what the topics of
20		instruction were?
21		A. Not every topic, no. But again, general law
22		enforcement, defensive topics, report writing,
23		driving, firearms.
24	Q.	Did you receive any instruction on when it is
25		appropriate to hold or detain a person without

			18
1		arresting them?	
2		A. May have been, I don't specifically recall.	
3	Q.	Okay. Did you receive any instruction at the	
4		police training academy about when it is	
5		appropriate to seize property without a warrant or	
6		a court order?	
7		A. May have. Specifically I don't recall the	
8		exact curriculum.	
9	Q.	Okay. As we go through this deposition, what's	
10		going to happen is you may answer a question that	
11		you don't remember, and then I may follow up with	
12		a more specific question to see if that prods your	
13		recollection, it may not, but that's what I'm	
14		doing. I'm not asking the question just to be	
15		annoying.	
16		A. Again, there may very well have been, I just	
17		don't know for sure 25 years later if that was the	
18		case.	
19	Q.	That's fine. Some people have remarkable	
20		memories	
21		A. I remember the bigger topics but, you know,	
22		even today if you look at the police academy	
23		curriculum, there is four-hour blocks, two-hour	
24		blocks of different things. They cover many, many	
25		topics in the 22 weeks it is now.	

		1	19
1	Q.	Okay. When you were at the police training	
2		academy, did you have any instruction on when, if	
3		ever, it is appropriate to seize weapons for	
4		safekeeping?	
5		A. May have been, I don't specifically recall.	
6	Q.	Okay. Did you have any training, any instruction	
7		at the police training academy on the community	
8		caretaking function?	
9		A. May have been. I don't specifically recall.	
10	Q.	Okay. Did you have any training or education at	
11		the police training academy on domestic violence	
12		issues?	
13		A. Yes.	
14	Q.	What do you recall about that?	
15		A. I believe in 1993 the domestic violence laws	
16		had just recently been enacted and the mandatory	
17		arrest was part of that. So that was a new topic	
18		for law enforcement across the state that would be	
19		rolled out, I believe that was in 1992, if I	
20		remember correctly.	
21	Q.	Do you recall anything else about the instruction	
22		or education on domestic violence issues at the	
23		training academy?	
24		A. Specifically, no.	
25	Q.	Did you receive any instruction at the police	

			55
200			
1		community caretaking function?	
2		MR. LYONS: Right.	
3		A. My understanding would be as long as the	
4		community caretaking function is not used to	
5		collect evidence to prosecute a crime, that would	
6		be the limit.	
7	Q.	Okay. Otherwise, whenever the police believe	
8		they're acting to protect an individual or the	
9		community, they're authorized to take whatever	
10		steps they think are appropriate?	
11		A. Whatever reasonable steps whatever we feel	
12		is reasonable based on the facts and circumstances	
13		that exist at the time.	
14	Q.	All right. How does the police determine what is	
15		reasonable under the community caretaking	
16		function?	
17		A. I think it's in the eyes of the beholder, you	
18		know, the person who is on scene collecting all	
19		the facts, all information they can, make the most	
20		reasonable decision to resolve the situation.	
21	Q.	Are there any sets, to your knowledge, of written	
22		factors, criteria, protocols that are offered as	
23		guidelines to a police officer who is on the scene	
24		attempting to determine whether or not he or she	
25		has the authority to act under the community	

		56
1		caretaking function?
2		A. Maybe can I have her repeat that? I'm not
3		sure I understand the question.
4		(QUESTION READ)
5		A. No.
6	Q.	Do you know what training is offered to members of
7		the Cranston Police Department as to the scope of
8		their authority to act under the community
9		caretaking function?
10		A. Training? There may have been specifically,
11		I don't recall. That would be a better question
12		for the training lieutenant.
13	Q.	Okay. And who would that be?
14		A. Lieutenant Mark Freeborn. He's probably the
15		person that provided training records issued
16		earlier.
17	Q.	You referred earlier respecting the Bureau of
18		Criminal Identification?
19		A. Yes, sir.
20	Q.	Let's take a look at that now. Let me show you
21		what was previously marked as Exhibit 5 during
22		Officer Mastrati's deposition, and ask you if this
23		is the criminal order to which you referred?
24		A. This is the general order
25		MR. LYONS: I'm sorry, yes, the

75 Some time the day before, correct. 1 A. 2 So, was there anything, to your knowledge, that he said the next morning when the police officers 3 spoke to him, besides what had occurred in the 4 prior evening that indicated that he was 5 imminently dangerous? 6 7 Not that I recall at this time. 8 Q. All right. So why do the prior evening events make him imminently dangerous the next day? 9 Well, the behavior that took place the day 10 before with the firearm caused the wife to be 11 12 alarmed enough to leave the residence. Officers took that into consideration, based on the actions 13 14 he took with the firearm, the statements he wanted 15 the wife to use it on him to end his life, the fact that he still had the firearm available to 16 him, the officers thought it was reasonable to 17 have him brought to a medical facility and to be 18 19 evaluated. It's my understanding that the wife turned the firearms over to the officers 20 21 voluntarily. 22 Q. Do you know whether the Cranston Police Department consulted with any medical professional in 23 determining the circumstances under which a person 24 25 is imminently dangerous to himself or others?

		77
1	Q.	Okay. And where do they derive the
2		reasonableness?
3		A. Based on training, experience, alternatives
4		to the decision that they're going to make,
5		potential consequences for not taking the action.
6	Q.	Have the Cranston police received any training
7		A. Can I add to that last answer? Potential
8		consequences that may result from not taking
9		action.
10	Q.	Okay. Have the Cranston police received any
11		formal training in determining whether or not
12		someone is imminently dangerous?
13		A. No, not that I know of.
14	Q.	And how, from their experience, would the Cranston
15		police determine whether or not somebody was
16		imminently dangerous?
17		MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection.
18		A. I don't even know if there is an actual
19		definition of imminently dangerous. I think it's
20	20	a case-by-case basis based on the facts that a
21	1	reasonable person is presented with.
22	Q.	So what I'm getting at is if you have two similar
23		situations and two different police officers, is
24		it possible for them to come to two different
25		conclusions as to whether or not the person

			89
1		of these conditions apply to him?	
2	Q.	I'm asking whether any of them were considered,	
3		specifically considered. Let me withdraw the	
4		question. Let me put it this way: You recall	
5		having a discussion with an officer who was at	
6		Mr. Caniglia's home at the time phone	
7		conversation at the time of the alleged incident?	
8		A. Right.	
9	Q.	Did you, in the course of that conversation,	
10		discuss any of these factors or warning signs or	
11		questions that are set forth in Pages 43, 44 or 45	į
12		of the exhibit?	
13		A. Well, the sergeant told me that he asked	
14		the wife to kill him, end his life. So although	
15		he didn't threaten, which would fit this criteria	
16		on Page 44, he did speak ask the wife to do it,	ŧ
17		told her he wanted her to end his life. So to me	
18		that's synonymous with suicide. He wanted the	
19		wife to do it. Some people commit suicide by	
20		police, because they don't want to do it	
21		themselves. It was my impression he wanted his	
22		life ended by the wife. That was one of the	
23		factors.	
24	Q.	Okay. Do you know if the wife said that she	
25		believed that Mr. Caniglia wanted her to kill him?	

Allied Court Reporters, Inc. (401)946-5500 115 Phenix Avenue, Cranston, RI 02920 www.alliedcourtreporters.com

		9	0
1		In other words, did the wife believe that	
2		Mr. Caniglia wanted his wife to kill him?	
3		MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection.	
4		A. I don't know what the wife believes, other	
5		than she believed she was in danger, and she left	
6		the house for the night.	
7	Q.	Did the wife say that she was in danger, she	
8		believed she was in danger?	
9		A. My understanding is that is what was relayed	
10		to the officers on scene.	
11	Q.	Okay. Again, that was from the phone conversation	
12		you had with an officer who was at the scene?	
13		A. Yes.	
14	Q.	Do you know if any of the other warning signs of	
15		suicide were considered with respect to	
16		Mr. Caniglia?	
17		A. Talking about or writing about dying of	
18		suicide the conversation he had with his wife	
19		about dying meets that third criteria. I would	
20		say agitation was one of them, because I believe	
21		they said there was a trivial argument over a	
22		coffee mug. As far as the questions to ask, I	
23		don't know if they read any of those verbatim. I	
24		doubt they had this available to them.	
25	Q.	Do you know if anything else was considered or	

		7
		107
1		when you answered your interrogatories, and you
2		looked at it again yesterday?
3		A. Yesterday.
4	Q.	And none of those times refreshed your
5		recollection as to what had happened?
6		A. No.
7	Q.	When I say refreshed your recollection, I meant
8		brought about an independent recollection of the
9		events?
10		A. No. I hate to
11		MR. CUNNINGHAM: Go ahead, I thought
12		you were going to say something we talked about.
13		A. It was just what I to the point where I
14		don't remember if it was a phone call I was
15		informed of this, or it was me actually driving by
16		the scene and the sergeant came out and told me.
17		So I don't even recall exactly what method the
18		conversation took place by. We checked the
19		dispatch log, it wasn't on it, so I'm assuming
20		it's a phone call. Can I explain?
21		MR. CUNNINGHAM: Sure.
22		A. Because the way the police department is
23		structured, I explained this to Ms. Murphy just as
24		background
25		MR. CUNNINGHAM: Not anything you

Exhibit "3"

Deposition Transcript of
Officer John Mastrati
May 31, 2018

In The Matter Of:

Caniglia vs Strom, et al

Officer John Mastrati May 31, 2018



Min-U-Script® with Word Index

Officer John Mastrati - May 31, 2018

:			14
1		academy at night?	
2		A. Yes. It was after our normal day.	
3	Q.	Oh, okay. So you had other kinds of training	
4		during the day, and the class training was at	
5		night?	
6		A. Yes. They would have normal trainings of	
7		whatever we were allotted for that specific	
8		training during the day, and then the day usually	
9		ends at 5:00, and they would extend it past that.	
10		Usually 5:00 to 7:00 would be the law classes.	
11	Q.	Did you have any classes at the police training	
12		academy when it is appropriate to take a person	
13		into custody without arresting them?	
14		A. Yes, it would be tied in with the law	
15		classes.	-
16	Q.	What do you understanding when it is appropriate	
17		to take a person into custody without arresting	
18		them?	
19		A. It could be for interviews, witnesses.	
20	Q.	Any other circumstances?	
21		A. Not that I can think of right now.	
22	Q.	Okay. Did you have classes on when it's	
23		appropriate to seize any kind of property without	
24		a warrant?	
25		A. Yes.	

Officer John Mastrati - May 31, 2018

		18
		10
1		told someone that they had to go for a psychiatric
2		evaluation?
3		A. Not usually. I request it. Regardless of
4		the answer, I'll have the rescue come down and
5		evaluate them.
6	Q.	And if the person says I don't want to have a
7		psychiatric evaluation, what do you do then?
8		A. I still go call for the rescue to check that
9		person out depending I'm not a medical
10		professional, so I'll let them evaluate them, and
11		if they say they need to go, then they go.
12	Q.	So, your understanding is that the rescue can
13		require someone to go for a psychiatric
14		evaluation?
15		A. Yes.
16	Q.	Is the rescue that you call, is that the rescue
17		that is part of the Cranston Fire Department?
18		A. Yes.
19	Q.	Okay. And your understanding is that's also part
20		of the City of Cranston?
21		MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection.
22		THE WITNESS: The rescue?
23		MR. LYONS: Yes.
24		A. Yes.
25	Q.	Do you have any of your written materials from the

Officer John Mastrati - May 31, 2018

		4	4
1		or procedure, whether written or unwritten, that	
2		deals with the seizing of firearms for	
3		safekeeping?	
4		A. I don't think there is a policy specific for	
5		that.	
6	Q.	I take it that means you've never seen a written	
7		policy or procedure about seizing firearms for	
8		safekeeping?	
9		A. Yes.	
10	Q.	Yes, you've never seen a policy and procedure for	
11		seizing firearms for safekeeping?	
12		A. Specifically for safekeeping, yes.	
13	Q.	I just want to make sure when you say yes that	
14		you're not saying yes, I have seen a policy.	
15		A. I understand what you're saying.	
16	Q.	When a supervisor makes the decision to seize a	
17		firearm for safekeeping, do you know, or does he	
18		tell you on what basis he is making that decision?	
19		MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection.	
20		A. I wouldn't know.	
21	Q.	Okay. In other words, the supervisor does not	
22		explain to you why he's making a decision to seize	
23		a firearm?	
24		A. I mean, he could, he couldn't. If he tells	
25		me to do something, it's an order.	

Q. All right. Who made the decision to seize the firearms? A. Captain Henry. Q. Captain Henry was your supervisor? A. He was the day shift commander, yes. Q. Did Captain Henry come to the scene, or did you talk to him on the phone? A. I believe it was on the phone. Q. Did you talk to when Mr. Caniglia had his firearm and the magazine out, it's your recollection that he had them in separate in two separate hands, the magazine was in one hand, and the gun was in the other? A. Yeah, I believe that's what Kim told me. Q. Okay. And Kim is his wife? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to make sure we're clear about that. A. Yes. Yes. Q. Did Mr. Caniglia, to your knowledge, make any threat to use the firearm on himself? A. I don't recall exactly. I believe he	
firearms? A. Captain Henry. Q. Captain Henry was your supervisor? A. He was the day shift commander, yes. Q. Did Captain Henry come to the scene, or did you talk to him on the phone? A. I believe it was on the phone. Q. Did you talk to when Mr. Caniglia had his firearm and the magazine out, it's your recollection that he had them in separate in two separate hands, the magazine was in one hand, and the gun was in the other? A. Yeah, I believe that's what Kim told me. Q. Okay. And Kim is his wife? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to make sure we're clear about that. A. Yes. Yes. Q. Did Mr. Caniglia, to your knowledge, make any threat to use the firearm on himself?	-
A. Captain Henry. Q. Captain Henry was your supervisor? A. He was the day shift commander, yes. Q. Did Captain Henry come to the scene, or did you talk to him on the phone? A. I believe it was on the phone. Q. Did you talk to when Mr. Caniglia had his firearm and the magazine out, it's your recollection that he had them in separate in two separate hands, the magazine was in one hand, and the gun was in the other? A. Yeah, I believe that's what Kim told me. Q. Okay. And Kim is his wife? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to make sure we're clear about that. A. Yes. Yes. Q. Did Mr. Caniglia, to your knowledge, make any threat to use the firearm on himself?	
Q. Captain Henry was your supervisor? A. He was the day shift commander, yes. Q. Did Captain Henry come to the scene, or did you talk to him on the phone? A. I believe it was on the phone. Q. Did you talk to when Mr. Caniglia had his firearm and the magazine out, it's your recollection that he had them in separate in two separate hands, the magazine was in one hand, and the gun was in the other? A. Yeah, I believe that's what Kim told me. Q. Okay. And Kim is his wife? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to make sure we're clear about that. A. Yes. Yes. Q. Did Mr. Caniglia, to your knowledge, make any threat to use the firearm on himself?	2
A. He was the day shift commander, yes. Q. Did Captain Henry come to the scene, or did you talk to him on the phone? A. I believe it was on the phone. Q. Did you talk to when Mr. Caniglia had his firearm and the magazine out, it's your recollection that he had them in separate in two separate hands, the magazine was in one hand, and the gun was in the other? A. Yeah, I believe that's what Kim told me. Q. Okay. And Kim is his wife? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to make sure we're clear about that. A. Yes. Yes. Q. Did Mr. Caniglia, to your knowledge, make any threat to use the firearm on himself?	3
Q. Did Captain Henry come to the scene, or did you talk to him on the phone? A. I believe it was on the phone. Q. Did you talk to when Mr. Caniglia had his firearm and the magazine out, it's your recollection that he had them in separate in two separate hands, the magazine was in one hand, and the gun was in the other? A. Yeah, I believe that's what Kim told me. Q. Okay. And Kim is his wife? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to make sure we're clear about that. A. Yes. Yes. Q. Did Mr. Caniglia, to your knowledge, make any threat to use the firearm on himself?	4
talk to him on the phone? A. I believe it was on the phone. Q. Did you talk to when Mr. Caniglia had his firearm and the magazine out, it's your recollection that he had them in separate in two separate hands, the magazine was in one hand, and the gun was in the other? A. Yeah, I believe that's what Kim told me. Q. Okay. And Kim is his wife? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to make sure we're clear about that. A. Yes. Yes. Q. Did Mr. Caniglia, to your knowledge, make any threat to use the firearm on himself?	5
A. I believe it was on the phone. 9 Q. Did you talk to when Mr. Caniglia had his 10 firearm and the magazine out, it's your 11 recollection that he had them in separate in 12 two separate hands, the magazine was in one hand, 13 and the gun was in the other? 14 A. Yeah, I believe that's what Kim told me. 15 Q. Okay. And Kim is his wife? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Okay. I just want to make sure we're clear about 18 that. 19 A. Yes. Yes. 20 Q. Did Mr. Caniglia, to your knowledge, make any 21 threat to use the firearm on himself?	6
9 Q. Did you talk to when Mr. Caniglia had his 10 firearm and the magazine out, it's your 11 recollection that he had them in separate in 12 two separate hands, the magazine was in one hand, 13 and the gun was in the other? 14 A. Yeah, I believe that's what Kim told me. 15 Q. Okay. And Kim is his wife? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Okay. I just want to make sure we're clear about 18 that. 19 A. Yes. Yes. 20 Q. Did Mr. Caniglia, to your knowledge, make any 21 threat to use the firearm on himself?	7
firearm and the magazine out, it's your recollection that he had them in separate in two separate hands, the magazine was in one hand, and the gun was in the other? A. Yeah, I believe that's what Kim told me. Okay. And Kim is his wife? A. Yes. Okay. I just want to make sure we're clear about that. A. Yes. Yes. Did Mr. Caniglia, to your knowledge, make any threat to use the firearm on himself?	8
recollection that he had them in separate in two separate hands, the magazine was in one hand, and the gun was in the other? A. Yeah, I believe that's what Kim told me. Okay. And Kim is his wife? A. Yes. Okay. I just want to make sure we're clear about that. A. Yes. Yes. Did Mr. Caniglia, to your knowledge, make any threat to use the firearm on himself?	9
two separate hands, the magazine was in one hand, and the gun was in the other? A. Yeah, I believe that's what Kim told me. Q. Okay. And Kim is his wife? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to make sure we're clear about that. A. Yes. Yes. Did Mr. Caniglia, to your knowledge, make any threat to use the firearm on himself?	10
and the gun was in the other? A. Yeah, I believe that's what Kim told me. Okay. And Kim is his wife? A. Yes. Okay. I just want to make sure we're clear about that. A. Yes. Yes. Did Mr. Caniglia, to your knowledge, make any threat to use the firearm on himself?	11
A. Yeah, I believe that's what Kim told me. Q. Okay. And Kim is his wife? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to make sure we're clear about that. A. Yes. Yes. Did Mr. Caniglia, to your knowledge, make any threat to use the firearm on himself?	12
Q. Okay. And Kim is his wife? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to make sure we're clear about that. A. Yes. Yes. Q. Did Mr. Caniglia, to your knowledge, make any threat to use the firearm on himself?	13
A. Yes. Okay. I just want to make sure we're clear about that. A. Yes. Yes. Did Mr. Caniglia, to your knowledge, make any threat to use the firearm on himself?	14
Q. Okay. I just want to make sure we're clear about that. A. Yes. Yes. Did Mr. Caniglia, to your knowledge, make any threat to use the firearm on himself?	15
that. A. Yes. Yes. Did Mr. Caniglia, to your knowledge, make any threat to use the firearm on himself?	16
A. Yes. Yes. 20 Q. Did Mr. Caniglia, to your knowledge, make any threat to use the firearm on himself?	17
Q. Did Mr. Caniglia, to your knowledge, make any threat to use the firearm on himself?	18
threat to use the firearm on himself?	19
	20
22 A T don't recall evectly T believe be	21
A. I don't recarr exactry. I berreve he	22
23 asked I think he asked Kim to use it on him. I	23
would have to look at my report for what she said.	24
Q. And we will certainly do that, so I just want to	25

		56
1	Q.	All right. Did you talk to Mr. Caniglia about his
2	1850	firearms?
3		A. I don't recall.
4	Q.	Okay. Did you ask Mr. Caniglia whether you could
5		take his firearms?
6		A. I don't recall.
7	Q.	Did you ask Mrs. Caniglia whether you could take
8		Mr. Caniglia's firearms?
9		A. I believe that she suggested that we she
10		didn't want them in the house.
11	Q.	Okay. Do you recall that specifically?
12		A. Yes, because there was a second firearm
13		involved that was, I believe, it was in the
14		garage, which we wouldn't have known of and she
15		pointed out. She's like there's also another one
16		in the garage that I would like to be taken.
17	Q.	And did she say why she wanted them taken?
18		A. For the safety of Edward and herself.
19	Q.	Did Mrs. Caniglia indicate she felt she was in
20		danger?
21		A. I think initially she was more concerned
22		about him. I think after the fact, just the
23		incident, she didn't want the firearms in the
24		house, just in case there was an incident that
25		happened after.

		80
1	Q.	What was his demeanor?
2	-	A. I don't recall what his demeanor was. I know
3		he was cooperative with me. He wasn't abrasive or
35		ক্র মণ
4		aggressive.
5	Q.	Was he calm?
6	2	A. I would say for the most part I was talking
7		to him. I imagine he was upset over the incident
8		that had taken place last night, because that's
9		generally what it stemmed from. Apparently, he
10		was going through a divorce, he told me.
11	Q.	Your report says Edward stated he is not suicidal,
12		and he uses prayers to help him; do you see that?
13		A. I do.
14	Q.	Do you recall him saying that?
15		A. I do. If I put it in my report, that's what
16		he told me. It's been three years but, yes, if
17		that's his statement then, yes, that's what he
18		told me.
19	Q.	What I'm trying to do here is see by going through
20		the report and pointing out things if it actually
21		refreshes your recollection so you actually
22		remember now what happened, or if it's in your
23		report and you're saying I must have known it at
24		some point because I put it in the report, but I
25		don't remember it right now. Do you see the

			81
1		distinction I'm making?	
2		A. I do.	
3	Q.	So, what I'm going to try and find out is whether	
4		or not in fact this does remind you, and you say,	
5		oh, it comes back to me, so to speak, or you	
6		simply say I don't remember it now, but that's	
7		what I put in the report?	
8		A. Okay.	
9	Q.	So, do you actually remember Edward saying he was	
10		not suicidal?	
11		A. I do.	
12	Q.	Okay. What was he like when he said that?	
13		MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection.	
14		A. Again, he was calm, stating why I believe	
15		I said why do you want to commit suicide, and	
16		pretty much he was telling me that, convinced me	
17		he's not suicidal.	
18	Q.	Did he convince you he was not suicidal?	
19		A. No.	
20	Q.	Why not?	
21		A. Just from his actions of taking out a weapon.	1
22		For me, I can't determine if someone is not	
23		suicidal. To me, I felt that he was a risk to	
24		himself.	
25	Q.	And you said based on the fact that he took out	

		82
1	the handgun and the magazine and put them on the	
2	counter?	
3	A. Not a normal person would take out a gun and	
4	ask his wife to end his life, to me. To me,	
5	that's unusual behavior.	
6	Q. Have you had any classes or courses which said	
7	that that was suicidal behavior?	
8	A. Yes. I mean, over my mental training,	
9	they're indicators. Indicators would be not	
10	wanting to live, taking out a weapon, asking	
11	someone to end their life.	
12	Q. Has someone ever said, or do you know if someone	
13	has ever said I'm going to kill myself, but they	
14	don't really mean they're going to kill	
15	themselves?	
16	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection.	
17	A. They could. I mean, I don't know what that	
18	person is thinking, so I act on what I see to	
19	maybe prevent a situation from happening.	
20	Q. Okay. And have you ever heard of somebody saying	
21	Shoot me now	
22	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection.	
23	Q but they don't really mean shoot me now?	
24	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection.	
25	A. I heard it, but I don't know if they don't	

Allied Court Reporters, Inc. (401)946-5500 115 Phenix Avenue, Cranston, RI 02920 www.alliedcourtreporters.com

		83
1		mean it.
2	Q.	Okay. If Mr. Caniglia had really meant for his
3	- F	wife to shoot him, do you think he would have had
4		the magazine and handgun separate?
5		MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection.
6		A. I don't know.
7	Q.	Okay. Do you know if Mrs. Caniglia has ever used
8		the handgun?
9		A. I don't know.
10	Q.	Do you know if she would know how to put the
11		magazine into the handgun?
12		A. I wouldn't know.
13	Q.	Can you read to yourself the last sentence no,
14	×.	let me withdraw the question. The next sentence
15		says, quote, "He stated that he had a friend who
16		committed suicide, and he would never do that to
17		family." Do you see that?
18		A. I do.
19	•	
	Ω.	Do you remember him saying that?
20	_	A. I do.
21	Q.	Okay. How did he say that?
22		MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection.
23		A. He told me pretty much in a calm way, the way
24		he was talking to me the whole time.
25	Q.	Okay. Did he say it in a persuasive way?

		85
1	Q.	I believe you said earlier that you had the rescue
2		talk to Mr. Caniglia, and they said they were
3		going to take him to the hospital?
4		A. Yes.
5	Q.	Okay. Does this does reading this sentence
200.00	۷.	change your recollection of what happened?
6		NORTHER AND ACTION OF THE STATE
7		A. I think it's just the way it's worded. So, I
8		would call for rescue to speak with Edward. And
9		on part what their finding is, they it's
10		their decision if they're going to transport. So
11		I think when I probably spoke with them, I'd be
12		like would you be willing to talk to rescue and
13		maybe talk to somebody at the hospital, get
14		checked out. Just speaking to him in concern of
15		his well-being. And then rescue comes, they will
16		speak to him, and then that's their decision,
17		like, yes, we're going to talk to him or, no,
18		we're not going to take him.
19	Q.	Do you have a specific recollection of hearing
20		Mr. Caniglia say that he was willing to go to the
21		hospital?
22		A. Yes. When I originally spoke with him.
23	Q.	When you said he would go to the hospital, did you
24		say why you wanted him to go to the hospital?
25		A. No. I think it all stemmed off our

		89
1		A. Yes.
2	Q.	Okay. It was your understanding the firearms
3		belonged to Mr. Caniglia?
4		A. According to Kim, yes.
5	Q.	Okay. Did you ever ask Mr. Caniglia if you could
6		seize the firearms?
7		A. No.
8	Q.	Do you know if any Cranston police officer asked
9		Mr. Caniglia if the Cranston police could seize
10		the firearms?
11		A. I don't know.
12	Q.	You located one firearm under the bed?
13		A. Yes, that's where Kim pointed out that
14		firearm, that's where she told me it was where she
15		hid it initially when I spoke to her.
16	Q.	She put it under the bed?
17		A. She stated, yes. After he took it out and
18		then she stated she didn't call 911, she left, and
19		then she hid it.
20	Q.	Do you know where Mr. Caniglia usually kept that
21		firearm?
22		A. I do not.
23	Q.	Do you know if he kept it under the bed?
24		A. I don't.
25	Q.	Okay. In other words, you don't know if that was

		92
1		whether or not Kim indicated that she had any
2		concerns for her own safety?
3		MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection.
4		A. Yes. Again, I didn't know all I knew,
5		there was one weapon there, one firearm. She
6		brought up the second firearm and she wanted it
7	l y	removed from the household for his well-being, and
8		she also said she didn't want them because she
9		didn't know what he was going to do with him. For
10		me that's also for her well-being, that's why the
11		firearm was removed.
12	Q.	All right. But did she say she wanted it removed
13		because of her own well-being?
14		A. No. Mostly for Edward's well-being.
15	Q.	Are you aware of any occasion which Edward has
16		threatened to take his own life with a firearm?
17		MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection.
18		A. No.
19	Q.	Did Kim indicate that Edward had ever threatened
20		to take his own life with a firearm?
21		A. No.
22		MR. LYONS: Exhibit 3.
23		EXHIBIT 3 (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 3
24		MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION)
25	Q.	Officer Mastrati, let me show you what's been

missing, that doesn't belong to somebody, that's about it. Q. Just so we're clear, you're not aware of any general order that sets forth your authority to seize property when there's not a criminal process? A. Yes. Q. If you go to Page 5 of 6, there's a category which is Roman Numeral IV entitled Search Incident to Arrest; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And what is your understanding of what is set forth in this section? A. So if you have somebody that's in custody, you can search that person for any belongings, contraband or weapons. Q. Okay. So this section only applies to searches you can do when there's a criminal process? A. Yes. Q. Has anyone ever told you that any of the circumstances set forth here apply to a search that can be done when there's not a criminal process? A. No. (OFF THE RECORD)			98
about it. Q. Just so we're clear, you're not aware of any general order that sets forth your authority to seize property when there's not a criminal process? A. Yes. Q. If you go to Page 5 of 6, there's a category which is Roman Numeral IV entitled Search Incident to Arrest; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And what is your understanding of what is set forth in this section? A. So if you have somebody that's in custody, you can search that person for any belongings, contraband or weapons. Q. Okay. So this section only applies to searches you can do when there's a criminal process? A. Yes. Q. Has anyone ever told you that any of the circumstances set forth here apply to a search that can be done when there's not a criminal process? A. No.			
Q. Just so we're clear, you're not aware of any general order that sets forth your authority to seize property when there's not a criminal process? A. Yes. Q. If you go to Page 5 of 6, there's a category which is Roman Numeral IV entitled Search Incident to Arrest; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And what is your understanding of what is set forth in this section? A. So if you have somebody that's in custody, you can search that person for any belongings, contraband or weapons. Q. Okay. So this section only applies to searches you can do when there's a criminal process? A. Yes. Q. Has anyone ever told you that any of the circumstances set forth here apply to a search that can be done when there's not a criminal process? A. No.	1		missing, that doesn't belong to somebody, that's
general order that sets forth your authority to seize property when there's not a criminal process? A. Yes. Q. If you go to Page 5 of 6, there's a category which is Roman Numeral IV entitled Search Incident to Arrest; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And what is your understanding of what is set forth in this section? A. So if you have somebody that's in custody, you can search that person for any belongings, contraband or weapons. Q. Okay. So this section only applies to searches you can do when there's a criminal process? A. Yes. Q. Has anyone ever told you that any of the circumstances set forth here apply to a search that can be done when there's not a criminal process? A. No.	2		about it.
seize property when there's not a criminal process? A. Yes. Q. If you go to Page 5 of 6, there's a category which is Roman Numeral IV entitled Search Incident to Arrest; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And what is your understanding of what is set forth in this section? A. So if you have somebody that's in custody, you can search that person for any belongings, contraband or weapons. Q. Okay. So this section only applies to searches you can do when there's a criminal process? A. Yes. Q. Has anyone ever told you that any of the circumstances set forth here apply to a search that can be done when there's not a criminal process? A. No.	3	Q.	Just so we're clear, you're not aware of any
A. Yes. Q. If you go to Page 5 of 6, there's a category which is Roman Numeral IV entitled Search Incident to Arrest; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And what is your understanding of what is set forth in this section? A. So if you have somebody that's in custody, you can search that person for any belongings, contraband or weapons. Q. Okay. So this section only applies to searches you can do when there's a criminal process? A. Yes. Q. Has anyone ever told you that any of the circumstances set forth here apply to a search that can be done when there's not a criminal process? A. No.	4		general order that sets forth your authority to
A. Yes. Q. If you go to Page 5 of 6, there's a category which is Roman Numeral IV entitled Search Incident to Arrest; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And what is your understanding of what is set forth in this section? A. So if you have somebody that's in custody, you can search that person for any belongings, contraband or weapons. Q. Okay. So this section only applies to searches you can do when there's a criminal process? A. Yes. Q. Has anyone ever told you that any of the circumstances set forth here apply to a search that can be done when there's not a criminal process? A. No.	5		seize property when there's not a criminal
Q. If you go to Page 5 of 6, there's a category which is Roman Numeral IV entitled Search Incident to Arrest; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And what is your understanding of what is set forth in this section? A. So if you have somebody that's in custody, you can search that person for any belongings, contraband or weapons. Q. Okay. So this section only applies to searches you can do when there's a criminal process? A. Yes. Q. Has anyone ever told you that any of the circumstances set forth here apply to a search that can be done when there's not a criminal process? A. No.	6		process?
is Roman Numeral IV entitled Search Incident to Arrest; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And what is your understanding of what is set forth in this section? A. So if you have somebody that's in custody, you can search that person for any belongings, contraband or weapons. Q. Okay. So this section only applies to searches you can do when there's a criminal process? A. Yes. Q. Has anyone ever told you that any of the circumstances set forth here apply to a search that can be done when there's not a criminal process? A. No.	7		A. Yes.
Arrest; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And what is your understanding of what is set forth in this section? A. So if you have somebody that's in custody, you can search that person for any belongings, contraband or weapons. Q. Okay. So this section only applies to searches you can do when there's a criminal process? A. Yes. Q. Has anyone ever told you that any of the circumstances set forth here apply to a search that can be done when there's not a criminal process? A. No.	8	Q.	If you go to Page 5 of 6, there's a category which
A. Yes. Q. And what is your understanding of what is set forth in this section? A. So if you have somebody that's in custody, you can search that person for any belongings, contraband or weapons. Q. Okay. So this section only applies to searches you can do when there's a criminal process? A. Yes. Q. Has anyone ever told you that any of the circumstances set forth here apply to a search that can be done when there's not a criminal process? A. No.	9		is Roman Numeral IV entitled Search Incident to
12 Q. And what is your understanding of what is set 13 forth in this section? 14 A. So if you have somebody that's in custody, 15 you can search that person for any belongings, 16 contraband or weapons. 17 Q. Okay. So this section only applies to searches 18 you can do when there's a criminal process? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Has anyone ever told you that any of the 21 circumstances set forth here apply to a search 22 that can be done when there's not a criminal 23 process? 24 A. No.	10		Arrest; do you see that?
forth in this section? A. So if you have somebody that's in custody, you can search that person for any belongings, contraband or weapons. Q. Okay. So this section only applies to searches you can do when there's a criminal process? A. Yes. Q. Has anyone ever told you that any of the circumstances set forth here apply to a search that can be done when there's not a criminal process? A. No.	11		A. Yes.
A. So if you have somebody that's in custody, you can search that person for any belongings, contraband or weapons. Q. Okay. So this section only applies to searches you can do when there's a criminal process? A. Yes. Q. Has anyone ever told you that any of the circumstances set forth here apply to a search that can be done when there's not a criminal process? A. No.	12	Q.	And what is your understanding of what is set
you can search that person for any belongings, contraband or weapons. Q. Okay. So this section only applies to searches you can do when there's a criminal process? A. Yes. Q. Has anyone ever told you that any of the circumstances set forth here apply to a search that can be done when there's not a criminal process? A. No.	13		forth in this section?
contraband or weapons. Okay. So this section only applies to searches you can do when there's a criminal process? A. Yes. Uhas anyone ever told you that any of the circumstances set forth here apply to a search that can be done when there's not a criminal process? A. No.	14		A. So if you have somebody that's in custody,
Q. Okay. So this section only applies to searches you can do when there's a criminal process? A. Yes. Q. Has anyone ever told you that any of the circumstances set forth here apply to a search that can be done when there's not a criminal process? A. No.	15		you can search that person for any belongings,
you can do when there's a criminal process? A. Yes. Q. Has anyone ever told you that any of the circumstances set forth here apply to a search that can be done when there's not a criminal process? A. No.	16		contraband or weapons.
A. Yes. Q. Has anyone ever told you that any of the circumstances set forth here apply to a search that can be done when there's not a criminal process? A. No.	17	Q.	Okay. So this section only applies to searches
Q. Has anyone ever told you that any of the circumstances set forth here apply to a search that can be done when there's not a criminal process? A. No.	18		you can do when there's a criminal process?
circumstances set forth here apply to a search that can be done when there's not a criminal process? A. No.	19		A. Yes.
that can be done when there's not a criminal process? A. No.	20	Q.	Has anyone ever told you that any of the
23 process? 24 A. No.	21		circumstances set forth here apply to a search
24 A. No.	22		that can be done when there's not a criminal
	23		process?
25 (OFF THE RECORD)	24		A. No.
2 ⁴⁸	25		(OFF THE RECORD)

		103
1		A. Yes.
2	Q.	Is that what happened?
3		A. Yes.
4	Q.	What are the criteria for involuntary emergency
5		psychiatric evaluation?
6		A. I'm sorry, not involuntary. I believe, yes,
7		it was involved, but I didn't have to force him to
8		have to go to the hospital. I requested it, which
9		he voluntarily did.
10	Q.	So
11		A. So the way I mean, these are guidelines,
12		I'm not going to escalate the situation by telling
13		somebody straight-out, you're going to the
14		hospital. So I talked to him, calmed him down, or
15		just made him feel comfortable, and then at that
16		point I said would you want to talk to someone due
17		to the situation and what's going on and all that,
18		which he voluntarily said okay. Which that would
19		have to do with the hospital, that had to do with
20		the rescue personnel. Whatever happened with the
21		rescue personnel, I don't know.
22	Q.	Did you raise the idea of talking to someone at
23		the hospital, or did Mr. Caniglia?
24		A. Bringing it up? Yes, I asked him, would you
25		want to, to what the incident was. At that point

		104
1		I felt to help him, maybe suggest, instead of just
2		saying okay, see you later.
3	Q.	I don't understand.
4		A. So, to what the incident was to what I felt I
5		needed to do, maybe see if he would want to get
6		help and talk. So instead of just clearing out
7		the call and just saying, okay, you don't want to
8		go, not offering him those services, I don't feel
9		I would have done enough for him on that call. So
10		I offered him, hey, listen, this is what you can
11		do, would you want to do it, and he stated yes,
12		which I had the rescue come, and then as far as
13		whatever happened as far as the transport to the
14		hospital, I don't know.
15	Q.	What hospital did he go to?
16		A. I believe it was Kent.
17	Q.	Is Kent a mental health facility?
18		A. I don't know. I don't know what they have to
19		offer there. That would be more in the hands of
20		the rescue personnel, because they deal with the
21		hospitals.
22	Q.	Okay. You were not involved determining what
23		facility Mr. Caniglia went to?
24		A. No. I have no involvement with him going to
25		the hospital. My involvement was having him talk

		105
1		to the rescue personnel who is more trained with
2		the mental health issues and having them decide
3		from there. They have their own way of talking to
		_
4	_	him and getting him help.
5	Q.	So if the rescue had said he's fine, he doesn't
6		need to go anyplace, you would have been okay with
7		that?
8		A. Yes.
9	Q.	If you go to the next page, Page 3, please,
10		there's a section entitled custody; do you see
11		that?
12		A. Yes.
13	Q.	Was Mr. Caniglia taken into custody?
14		A. No.
15	Q.	Then the two sections underneath there refer to
16		voluntary admission and involuntary admission; do
17		you see that?
18		A. Yes.
19	Q.	And if I understand correctly, it's your
20		position well, I take it back. You don't know
21		what Mr. Caniglia and the rescue people discussed?
22		A. No.
23	Q.	So, in terms of whether or not whatever decision
24		was made, you don't know whether or not at that
25		point Mr. Caniglia going to the hospital was

		117
-		A. I believe both.
1		
2	Q.	If you go to Page 8 actually, let me ask you a
3		question before that. Do you recall if you
4		attended this presentation in 2013?
5		A. I do.
6	Q.	And did you attend this presentation in 2013?
7		A. I did.
8	Q.	Okay. Now if you go to Page 8, there's a slide,
9		Number 23, it appears which is entitled Assess
10		for Risk of Suicide or Harm; do you see that?
11		A. I do.
12	Q.	Okay. Do you recall seeing this slide in 2013?
13		A. I don't recall specifically.
14	Q.	Okay. It says there are several bullet points
15		listed there, the first one says, "Individual may
16		be compliant, nod and say they understand, but may
17		not comprehend." Do you see that?
18		A. I do.
19	Q.	Did Mr. Caniglia comprehend what you were saying
20		to him?
21		A. I believe he did.
22	Q.	Okay. The next bullet point says, "People with
23		mental illness have seven times more contact with
24		the police officers during their lifetimes than
25		the person without a mental illness." Do you see

	118
	that?
	A. I do.
Q.	Are you aware of any other contact that
	Mr. Caniglia had with police officers other than
	the one incident in which you were involved?
	A. No.
Q.	The next bullet point says, "They may behave in
	unusual ways that draw the attention of others
	(posturing, laughing, staring)." Do you see that?
	A. I do.
Q.	Did Mr. Caniglia behave in any unusual ways that
	drew the attention of others?
	A. Just what I was told the way he acted, but as
	I was talking to him, he seemed normal.
Q.	When you refer to that, you're referring to the
	argument he had with his wife the previous night?
	A. Yes.
Q.	The next bullet point says, "They may not readily
	recognize or understand the badge, vehicle or
	uniform"; do you see that?
	A. Yes.
Q.	Did Mr. Caniglia recognize and understand your
	badge, vehicle and uniform?
	A. I imagine he did, I spoke to him.
Q.	Did he know you were a Cranston police officer?
	Q. Q.

		119
		119
1		A. He did.
2	Q.	The next bullet point says, "Allow yourself and
3		the individual an escape route, if appropriate,
4		appropriate for safety and to induce anxiety." Do
5		you see that?
6		A. I do.
7	Q.	When you spoke with Mr. Caniglia, did you feel the
8		need to allow him an escape route?
9		A. No.
10	Q.	Did you feel the need to allow yourself an escape
11		route?
12		A. No. The only thing I was worried about is
13		the firearm that he had, that's why I called him
14		outside. I wouldn't talk to him in his house.
15	Q.	He did not bring the firearm out with him?
16		A. He was instructed to come out without a
17		firearm on the phone.
18	Q.	Did he indicate he would have brought the firearm
19		out if you hadn't said so?
20		A. No.
21	Q.	Did he say he even knew where the firearm was?
22		A. No.
23	Q.	Did he say anything about the firearm when you
24		talked to him on the phone?
25		A. I can't remember if it was on the phone, he

		120
124		
1		admitted to having the firearm and bringing the
2		firearm out. I'm just not sure if that was on the
3	,	phone or when I spoke to him in person, or both.
4	Q.	When you said he admitted to bringing the
5	1	firearm
6		A. Presenting the firearm during the argument.
7	Q.	The previous night?
8		A. Yes.
9	Q.	And then the last bullet point says, "Be prepared
10		to repeat questions and commands without showing
11		frustration." Do you see that?
12		A. I do.
13	Q.	Did you have to repeat any questions or commands
14		to Mr. Caniglia?
15		A. No.
16	Q.	Do you have any knowledge of any presentations on
17		mental illness that may have been given to the
18		Cranston police in 2008?
19		A. No.
20	Q.	I believe I asked you earlier if you had any
21		knowledge of any state statutes dealing with
22		mental health?
23		A. You did.
24	Q.	Your answer was no; is that correct?
25		A. Yes.
1)	_	

Exhibit "4"

Deposition Transcript of Officer Wayne Russell July 20, 2018

In The Matter Of:

Caniglia vs Strom, et al

Officer Wayne Russell July 20, 2018



Min-U-Script® with Word Index

Officer Wayne Russell - July 20, 2018

		44
1		apart from reviewing the incident report or
2		A. Okay.
3	Q.	stuff you heard from other officers?
4		A. That he was he was nice. He was very
5		polite.
6	Q.	Okay.
7		A. He as far as I what I remember from
8		outside of the incident report, I believe I was
9		working overtime so I think I worked third before
10		that. He was very welcoming.
11	Q.	Okay. When you say "he," you're talking about
12		Mr. Caniglia?
13		A. Yes, sir.
14	Q.	Okay.
15		A. I didn't I don't believe I said anything to
16		him.
17	Q.	Okay.
18		A. As far as I remember, I never went inside the
19		house.
20	Q.	Okay.
21		A. I think myself and I think Officer Smith just
22		stood on the porch while Officer Mastrati and
23		Sergeant Barth spoke to him.
24	Q.	Okay.
25		A. Besides that, I don't really

Officer Wayne Russell - July 20, 2018

		40	6
1	Q.	Okay. Was Mr. Caniglia agitated or upset?	
- 28	۷.		
2		A. Not that I not that I can recall, no.	
3	Q.	Okay. When you were on the porch and you were	
4		talking with him, did Mr. Caniglia ever indicate	
5		that he wanted to harm himself?	
6		MS. MURPHY: Objection. You may answer.	
7		A. I was never speaking to him.	
8	Q.	(By Mr. Lyons) Okay. I'm asking what you may	
9		have overheard.	
10		A. Between Mastrati and	
11	Q.	And Mr. Caniglia. Did Mr. Caniglia ever say	
12		anything that indicated that he wanted to harm	
13		himself?	
14		A. I don't believe so. I think he might have	
15		said between the argument with him and his wife,	
16		"if you want me gone" or "you want to end this"	
17		or something I don't remember the specifics,	
18		though.	
19	Q.	Okay. Do you specifically recall whether Mr.	
20		Caniglia described what happened in the argument?	
21		THE WITNESS: Do you mind if I just take	
22		a break for a second?	
23		MR. LYONS: Oh, absolutely.	
24		THE WITNESS: I'm just getting a little	
25		airy. Can I get a drink of water?	

Allied Court Reporters, Inc. (401)946-5500 115 Phenix Avenue, Cranston, RI 02920 www.alliedcourtreporters.com

Officer Wayne Russell - July 20, 2018

			48
1	Q.	Okay. All right. I want to focus on what you	
2	Section 1	actually remember	
3		A. Okay.	
4	Q.	separate from the incident report, separate	
5	100000000	from anything that another officer may have told	
6		you.	
7		A. Okay.	
8	Q.	Okay. All right. So you remember Mr. Caniglia	
9		said the argument was about a coffee mug?	
10		A. Yes.	
11	Q.	Okay. Do you remember if Mr. Caniglia said	
12		anything about any firearms?	
13		A. Yes. I believe he said that he presented his	
14		wife with a firearm	
15	Q.	Okay.	
16		A and said, "if you want me gone" I don't	
17		remember specifically what he said, but I do	
18		remember a firearm being involved.	
19	Q.	Okay. Do you remember if he said whether or not	
20		the firearm was loaded?	
21		A. No, I don't specifically remember that.	
22	Q.	Okay. Do you remember him saying anything about	
23		a magazine for the firearm?	
24		A. Without from my personal memory, no.	
25	Q.	Okay. Do you recall if he said where he got the	

Exhibit "6"

Deposition Transcript of Richard Greene July 26, 2018

In The Matter Of:

Caniglia vs Strom, et al

Richard Greene July 26, 2018



Min-U-Script® with Word Index

		37
	protocols that you just listed, are the ones you	
	would consider when you're trying to determine	
	whether or not somebody was at risk for suicide;	
	is that correct?	
	A. You have to rephrase that question because I	
	have a feeling that the way you're asking the	
	question, and the way I'm thinking is two	
	different things.	
Q.	And that's exactly the kind of thing I want to	
	clear up.	
	A. Just because they have one of these, you're	
	asking me if I think they're going to commit	
	suicide or not?	
Q.	No, I'm not at that point yet. I'll get there.	
	A. The question is could I use one of these to	
	believe that they might commit suicide or are	
	suicidal, the answer is yes.	
Q.	So the factors that you identified that are on	
	this list, those are the factors you would	
	consider in deciding whether somebody was at risk	
	for suicide?	
	A. It could be one of the reasons, one of them.	
Q.	So, is there any particular way that you weigh	
	those different factors in concluding whether	
	somebody was at risk for suicide?	
	Q.	would consider when you're trying to determine whether or not somebody was at risk for suicide; is that correct? A. You have to rephrase that question because I have a feeling that the way you're asking the question, and the way I'm thinking is two different things. Q. And that's exactly the kind of thing I want to clear up. A. Just because they have one of these, you're asking me if I think they're going to commit suicide or not? Q. No, I'm not at that point yet. I'll get there. A. The question is could I use one of these to believe that they might commit suicide or are suicidal, the answer is yes. Q. So the factors that you identified that are on this list, those are the factors you would consider in deciding whether somebody was at risk for suicide? A. It could be one of the reasons, one of them. Q. So, is there any particular way that you weigh those different factors in concluding whether

		42
		32
1	Q.	Do you remember anything specific that the police
2		said to you?
3		A. Yes. They told me that they recovered the
4		weapon, which is a gun, and they told me that the
5		patient wanted his wife to shoot him with his own
6		gun.
7	Q.	Do you remember anything else the police told you?
8		A. No.
9	Q.	Did you talk to Mr. Caniglia?
10		A. Yes.
11	Q.	All right. Do you remember what Mr. Caniglia
12		said?
13		A. I believe, per the report now, I asked the
14		patient what was going on, and he told me exactly
15		what the police officer told me. He did not deny
16		the allegations.
17	Q.	Okay. Do you know if you asked or if you were
18		told whether the gun was loaded?
19		A. No.
20	Q.	Did you would you normally have asked that
21		question?
22		A. No.
23	Q.	Would it matter to you?
24		A. No.
25	Q.	Why not?

		2.4
		44
1		dispute with his wife.
2	Q.	Do you recall if you asked Mr. Caniglia about any
3		of the risk factors for suicide that you have
4		identified?
5		A. I don't recall.
6	Q.	Do you know if the police made a determination
7		whether or not Mr. Caniglia was suicidal?
8		A. No idea.
9	Q.	Apart from the fact that Mr. Caniglia had made
10		this statement about he wanted his wife to shoot
11		him, did you consider any other factors as to
12		whether he was a risk for suicide?
13		A. Other than him telling me he wanted his wife
14		to shoot him twice, from the night before and that
15		day.
16	Q.	Is it your understanding that he had said that
17		twice?
18		A. Yes.
19	Q.	And what is that based on?
20		A. From what the police told me, and what he
21		told me.
22	Q.	So your recollection is Mr. Caniglia told you that
23		he had twice told his wife he wanted her to shoot
24		him?
25		A. The patient, or the police. He told me, I

		45
1		believe from the report, I'm not sure if it was
2		the police or Mr. Caniglia, I think they both told
3		me the exact same story, pretty close. He
4		confirmed it.
5	Q.	Do you recall Mr. Caniglia actually saying that he
6		had twice told his wife he wanted her to shoot
7		him?
8		A. I believe so, yes. The night before, and
9		that morning.
10	Q.	No, no. I mean, as you're sitting here now, do
11		you remember those words coming out of
12		Mr. Caniglia's mouth?
13		A. If I wrote it in the report, yes.
14	Q.	Do you know if Mr. Caniglia had a history of
15		depression or other mental disorders?
16		A. He did not tell me.
17	Q. 🃁	Did you ask him?
18		A. I'm sure I did.
19	Q.	Okay. Do you know what he said?
20		A. If I didn't write it in the report, I would
21		say no.
22	Q.	Okay. Do you know if he made any prior suicidal
23		gestures or attempts?
24		A. No idea.
25	Q.	Do you know if you asked him?

		95077 992
		46
1		A. I do not recall.
2	Q.	Do you know if he had feelings of hopelessness?
3		A. Well, when you say your wife is going to
4		leave you, I don't know how you want to I can't
5		tell you how the guy feels. All I know is he was
6		upset.
7	Q.	Why do you say his wife was going to leave him?
8		A. Because he told me that; that's in my report.
9	Q.	You have a specific recollection of Mr. Caniglia
10		saying that his wife was going to leave him?
11		A. I wrote it in my report, so, yes.
12	Q.	Do you know if Mr. Caniglia had a history of
13		impulsive or aggressive behavior?
14		A. No, I didn't.
15	Q.	Did you ask him?
16		A. I don't remember.
17	Q.	Do you know if he had a recent loss of a loved
18		one, job, money or social loss?
19		A. No idea.
20	Q.	Did you ask him?
21		A. I don't remember.
22	Q.	Do you know if he had well, PTSD stands for
23		post-traumatic stress disorder, correct?
24		A. Correct.
25	Q.	Do you know if Mr. Caniglia had PTSD?

		-	
			47
1		A. No idea.	
2	Q.	Did you ask him?	
3		A. I don't remember.	
4	Q.	Did he have a history of alcohol or drug abuse?	
5		A. No idea.	
6	Q.	Did you ask him?	
7		A. Don't remember.	
8	Q.	Had he given away any of his personal belongings	
9		or cherished possessions?	
10		A. No idea.	
11	Q.	Did you ask him?	
12		A. I don't remember.	
13	Q.	Had he had major physical stress physically?	
14		A. No idea.	
15	Q.	Did you ask him?	
16	•	A. Don't remember.	
17		MR. CUNNINGHAM: Can we take a	
18		five-minute break?	
19		MR. LYONS: Absolutely.	
20		(RECESS)	
21	Q.	Did you hear any conversations between	
22		Mr. Caniglia and any Cranston police officer?	
23		A. No.	
24	Q.	Do you know who made the decision that	
25		Mr. Caniglia was going to go to the hospital?	

		49
1	Q.	Okay. Did Mr. Caniglia ever say I want to go to
2	198031	the hospital for a psych evaluation?
3		A. Them words, no.
4	Q.	You inferred from the fact that Mr. Caniglia did
5		not verbally object, that he was agreeing to go to
6		the hospital?
7		MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection.
8		A. I told him, most likely I told him that we
9		were going to the hospital for a psych evaluation;
10		from what he said, I would have wrote it if he
11		denied to go. He went willingly.
12	Q.	And on what basis do you say he went willingly?
13		A. Because he got in my truck, I didn't have
14		police with me, there was no restraints, it was
15		verbally, it was calm, and he admitted to
16		everything that happened. So in his mind he got
17		out of the situation by leaving the house. He
18		walked in my truck without any issue. We talked
19		and we drove to the hospital. There was no
20		unwillingness, there was no if there's anything
21		that would not want him to go, it would have been
22		written in the report.
23	Q.	Okay. So there was no discussion with you about
24		why he was agreeing to go to the hospital, as you
25		understood it?

Exhibit "7"

Deposition Transcript of Sergeant Brandon Barth July 19, 2018 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

EDWARD A. CANIGLIA,

vs.

C.A. No. 15-525

1

ROBERT F. STROM as the Finance Director of THE CITY OF CRANSTON, et al.,

DEPOSITION OF SERGEANT BRANDON BARTH, a witness in the above-entitled cause, taken on behalf of the Plaintiff, before Devin J. Baccari, CSR, at the Law Office of Strauss, Factor, Laing & Lyons, One Davol Square, Suite 305, Providence, Rhode Island, on July 19, 2018, scheduled at 10:00 a.m.

PRESENT:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

STRAUSS, FACTOR, LAING & LYONS BY: THOMAS W. LYONS, ESQUIRE RHIANNON S. HUFFMAN, ESQUIRE

FOR THE DEFENDANTS:

DESISTO LAW LLC

BY: PATRICK K. CUNNINGHAM, ESQUIRE

Allied Court Reporters, Inc. (401) 946-5500 115 Phenix Avenue, Cranston, RI 02920 www.alliedcourtreporters.com

Sergeant Brandon Barth - July 19, 2018

86 1 say that that was from my experience as a police 2 Again, I'm not a medical professional, 3 so I don't know whether a statement like that rises to that level. But in my experience when 4 someone makes any type of statement to harm 5 themselves, whether it's through anger or 6 7 anything else, they're not in their right frame of mind and they need to at least speak to a 8 9 medical professional in regards to that. Can you read your Interrogatory Number 7 and your 10 11 response. 12 (Witness complied.) 13 A. Okay. 14 (By Mr. Lyons) All right. And there are eight 15 factors that you list in this response that I understand constitute the totality of the 16 circumstances here; is that fair to say? 17 18 A. Yes. Number 1 is plaintiff and his wife were 19 Q. involved in divorce proceedings. Do you see 20 21 that? 22 A. Yes. 23 Did you hear Mrs. Caniglia say that? A. I don't recall specifically if it was her or 24 25 another officer telling me at the time, but --

Sergeant Brandon Barth - July 19, 2018

		124
1		but depending on what he asks you, I may have
2		some follow-ups.
3		MR. CUNNINGHAM: I promise to be short
4		and speak slowly because I have a tendency to
5		ramble.
6		EXAMINATION BY MR. CUNNINGHAM
7	Q.	Could you look at Exhibit 9 again. Mr. Lyons
8		asked you to look at page Bates stamped number
9		000243.
10		A. Okay.
11	Q.	And I believe your testimony, Sergeant, was that
12		you considered Bullet Point 1 and Bullet Point 2
13		as a warning sign of suicide in this particular
14		case; is that correct?
15		A. Correct.
16	Q.	Okay. If you look at the bottom of the page, it
17		also lists agitation. Did you consider the fact
18		that Mr. Caniglia was agitated as a result of the
19		argument he had with his wife?
20		MR. LYONS: Objection.
21		A. His demeanor at the time did show agitation
22		and it was kind of added to the totality of the
23		circumstances.
24	Q.	(By Mr. Cunningham) Thank you. If you could
25		take a look at Exhibit 6, which is, sorry,

Sergeant Brandon Barth - July 19, 2018

		127
1	Q.	Do you know whether or not any police officer
2		told Mr. Caniglia that unless he went for the
3		psychiatric evaluation his firearms would be
4	3	seized?
5		A. I don't recall that conversation.
6	Q.	Okay. Would you deny that there was such a
7		statement made to Mr. Caniglia?
8		MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection.
9		A. I only know the what from what I recall
10		that I was there. There were instances where I
11		wasn't with certain officers, so
12		MR. LYONS: Okay. All right. I have no
13		other questions.
14		MR. CUNNINGHAM: I don't either.
15		THE REPORTER: Would you like a copy?
16		MR. CUNNINGHAM: Yes.
17		(Deposition adjourned at 1:13 p.m.)
18		* * * * *
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Exhibit "8"

Deposition Transcript of
Officer Austin Smith
June 1, 2018

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

EDWARD A. CANIGLIA Plaintiff

VS.

C.A. NO. 15-525

1

ROBERT F. STROM, as the Finance Director of THE CITY OF CRANSTON, et al.

Defendants

DEPOSITION OF OFFICER AUSTIN SMITH, a Defendant in the above-entitled case, taken on behalf of the Plaintiff, before Linda L. Guglielmo, RPR-RMR, a Notary Public in and for the State of Rhode Island, at the offices of DeSisto Law, 60 Ship Street, Providence, Rhode Island, on Friday, June 1, 2018 at 9:00 A.M.

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF.....STRAUSS, FACTOR, LAING & LYONS BY: THOMAS W. LYONS, ESQ.

FOR THE DEFENDANTS....DeSISTO LAW

BY: PATRICK K. CUNNINGHAM, ESQ.

Officer Austin Smith - June 1, 2018

		45
1	Q.	Captain
2	~	A. Henry. But I don't remember if he was on
3		scene, though.
VADA 1		000 A 360 MAG
4	Q.	Sergeant Barth was on scene, though?
5		A. Yes.
6	Q.	Do you know on what basis it was determined that
7		Mr. Caniglia was a threat to himself or others?
8		A. I don't understand that question.
9	Q.	Okay. I think you said that the people involved
10		in making a determination that Mr. Caniglia was a
11		threat to himself or others were his wife,
12		himself, the supervisor and the Cranston Fire
13		Department?
14		A. Yes.
15	Q.	Okay. Do you know on what basis they made that
16		determination?
17		A. Statements made by, I believe statements made
18		by his wife, and statements made by him.
19	Q.	Do you know what those statements were that were
20		made by his wife?
21		A. I don't know the exact statements.
22	Q.	Did you speak to Mr. Caniglia's wife?
23		A. I don't recall.
24	Q.	Okay. Did you speak to Mr. Caniglia?
25		A. I don't believe so, but I don't exactly

Exhibit "9"

Deposition Transcript of

Kim Caniglia

June 27, 2018

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

EDWARD A. CANIGLIA

VS

C.A. NO. 15-525-M-LDA

ROBERT F. STROM, as the Finance Director of the CITY OF CRANSTON, et al

D-E-P-O-S-I-T-I-O-N

DEPOSITION of Kim Caniglia, taken in the above-entitled cause on behalf of the Defendants, pursuant to notice, before Brenda A. Scharver, Notary Public in and for the State of Rhode Island, at the offices of DeSisto Law, 60 Ship Street, Providence, Rhode Island on June 27, 2018 scheduled for 10:00 a.m.

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

DeSISTO LAW

BY: PATRICK K. CUNNINGHAM, ESQ.

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: THOMAS W. LYONS, III, ESQ.

Page 22 MR. LYONS: Objection. 1 I put the gun back where it normally is. 2 magazine because I was worried about Ed. 3 When you say that, what were you worried about? 4 A His-- I was worried about his state of mind. I was 5 worried about what he had just said. 6 7 Q When you say you were worried about his state of mind, 8 with respect to what particularly? MR. LYONS: Objection 9 That he wasn't happy, that I didn't know how to make him 10 11 happy, the argument that we just had. But why would you hide the magazine? 12 It seemed a reasonable thing to do. 13 14 Why would it be reasonable? A Because Ed was depressed. 15 Q Were you afraid he was going to do something with the gun 16 17 and the magazine? A Yes. 18 Q It's okay. Again, I'm sorry that this leads to emotion. 19 20 I thought that was why, but again, we don't want to put words in your mouth. 21 I believe you said during the incident you were going 22 to call 911? 23 A Yes. 24

Page 63 1 nine lines down and go to the middle of the paragraph where it states, "wife shared that her hope was that her husband could get some help here in the ER"; do you see that? 5 A Yes. 6 Do you remember sharing that concern or hope? 7 A It was taken out of context. What was taken out of context? 8 9 A This statement. Q Let me start with this: Did you make the statement that 10 you hoped that your husband could get some help in the ER 11 12 or something similar to that statement? A Yes. 13 Q You just expressed to me that it was taken out of 14 15 context. Could you explain to me how it was taken out of context, how you believe it was taken out of context? 16 A Because prior to that I had told her that he was not 17 happy, he was in a depression and I didn't know why and I 18 didn't know how to help him and I hoped that he could get 19 20 help in the ER. 21 Q So you were concerned about his depression? A Yes. There was something wrong with my husband. 22 23 Q And you hoped that he could get some type of help for 24 that while he was in the hospital?

Exhibit "10"

Deposition Transcript of Edward A. Caniglia June 29, 2018

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

EDWARD A. CANIGLIA,
Plaintiff

v.

C.A. NO. 15-525-M-LDA

1

ROBERT F. STROM, as the Finance Director of the CITY OF CRANSTON, et al.

Defendants

DEPOSITION OF EDWARD A. CANIGLIA, taken on behalf of the Defendants, Friday, June 29, 2018 at 10:00 a.m., pursuant to Rule 30 and Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure at the offices of DeSisto Law LLC, 60 Ship Street, Providence, Rhode Island, before Linda F. Oakley, RPR, Notary Public.

APPEARANCES:

STRAUSS, FACTOR, LAING & LYONS BY: THOMAS W. LYONS, III, ESQ. Counsel for the Plaintiff

DESISTO LAW LLC
BY: CAROLINE MURPHY, ESQ.
Counsel for the Defendants

Allied Court Reporters, Inc. (401)946-5500 115 Phenix Avenue, Cranston, RI 02920 www.alliedcourtreporters.com

Edward A. Caniglia - June 29, 2018

		38
1		MS. MURPHY: Off the record, yes.
2		MR. LYONS: Yes, let's go off the record.
3		(Discussion off the record)
4		THE COURT REPORTER: Back on the record?
5		MR. LYONS: Yes, back on the record.
6	Q.	And so I apologize. I misheard your earlier
7		statement.
8		A. He
9	Q.	And I'll ask to clarify. You stated earlier that you
10	(4	heard an officer suggest the confiscation of your
11		firearms.
12		A. Yes. He suggested that in situations like this,
13		but that's not that's when I told them, "You're
14		not confiscating anything."
15	Q.	And just to be clear, when you said, "You're not
16		confiscating anything," you're directing this
17		statement to the officer in the white shirt?
18		A. To the officers, all the officers.
19	Q.	Do you recall how the officers were positioned when
20		you were having this making this statement, where
21		they were located?
22		A. One was here, one was here, one was directly in
23	\	front of me, and the other one was behind him.
24	Q.	And was this in the back yard of your house?
25		A. On the back porch.