PETER J. MAZZA
Attorney for the United States
Acting Under Authority
Conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 515
NICHOLAS W. PILCHAK
Massachusetts Bar No. 669658
ANDREW R. HADEN
California Bar No. 258436
Assistant U.S. Attorney

SEP 1 5 2020

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY DEPUTY

880 Front Street, Room 6293

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

San Diego, California 92101

Telephone:(619) 546-9709/6961 Email: Nicholas.Pilchak@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the United States

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,
v.

PLEA AGREEMENT

MORAD MARCO GARMO,

Defendant.

IT IS HEREBY AGREED between the plaintiff, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, through its counsel, Peter J. Mazza, Attorney for the United States Acting Under Authority Conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 515, Nicholas W. Pilchak and Andrew R. Haden, Assistant U.S. Attorneys, and Defendant, MORAD MARCO GARMO, through his counsel, Kevin Barry McDermott as follows:

T

THE PLEA

Defendant agrees to plead guilty to Count One of the Indictment, charging Defendant with Engaging in the Business of Dealing in Firearms Without a License, in violation of Title 18, United States Code,

Sections 922(a)(1)(A), 923(a), 924(a)(1)(D), and 2. In addition, Defendant consents to the forfeiture allegations of the Indictment.

In exchange for entering a plea pursuant to this agreement, the United States agrees to (1) move to dismiss the remaining charges against Defendant without prejudice when Defendant is sentenced, and (2) not prosecute Defendant thereafter on such dismissed charges. The only exceptions are if Defendant breaches the terms of this plea agreement or if Defendant's guilty plea is set aside for any reason. If Defendant breaches this agreement or his guilty plea is set aside, section XII below shall apply.

II

NATURE OF THE OFFENSE

A. ELEMENTS EXPLAINED

The offense to which Defendant is pleading guilty has the following elements:

- 1. Defendant was willfully engaged in the business of dealing in firearms from March 2013 to February 13, 2019; and
- 2. During that time, Defendant did not have a license as a firearms dealer.

Someone who is engaged in the business as a dealer in firearms is defined as a person who devotes time, attention, and labor to dealing in firearms as a regular course of trade or business with the principal objective of livelihood and profit through the repetitive purchase and resale of firearms, but it does not include a person who makes occasional sales, exchanges, or purchases of firearms for the enhancement of a personal collection or for a hobby, or who sells all or part of his personal collection of firearms.

2.3

B. ELEMENTS UNDERSTOOD AND ADMITTED - FACTUAL BASIS

Defendant has fully discussed the facts of this case with defense counsel. Defendant has committed each of the elements of the crime, and admits that there is a factual basis for this guilty plea. The following facts are true and undisputed:

- 1. Between March 2013 and February 13, 2019, Defendant acquired approximately 144 firearms and sold or otherwise transferred 98 firearms to other individuals. At no time did Defendant possess the Federal Firearms License ("FFL") required to lawfully engage in the business of dealing in firearms. Defendant knew, however, that an FFL was required for the repetitive purchase and resale of firearms for profit and livelihood, as he was doing. Defendant expressly admits that he knew that his conduct dealing in firearms was unlawful.
- 2. As a law enforcement officer, Defendant was permitted to purchase certain handguns that California law generally barred non-law enforcement officers from initially purchasing, typically referred to as off roster handguns. Defendant was also exempt from California laws limiting handgun purchases to one per month, and restricting the availability of high-capacity magazines. Defendant admits that he occupied a position of public trust as a law enforcement officer, and that he abused that position to commit this offense.
- 3. Part of Defendant's business of dealing in firearms involved acquiring firearms with the intent to transfer them to another person: unlawful transactions commonly known as "straw purchases." Many such purchases involved off roster handguns. Defendant resold some off roster handguns for a profit or exchanged them for valuable services, and provided others to individuals in exchange for favors or to build good will for future favors, such as aid for Defendant's anticipated campaign for Sheriff of San Diego County. Defendant also supplied certain firearms buyers with related items that they could not legally obtain themselves, such as high-capacity magazines.
- 4. Defendant directed co-defendant Fred Magana in acquiring two off roster Walther handguns for co-defendant Leo Hamel as part of a straw purchase in about April 24, 2017, at a time when Defendant directly supervised Magana at the San Diego County Sheriff's Department ("SDCSD").
- 5. In conducting his unlicensed firearms dealing, Defendant was aided and abetted by co-defendant Giovanni Tilotta and Tilotta's FFL, Honey Badger Firearms. Among other acts,

£- £-

5

11

15

14

17

16

18 19

20 21

22

24

2.5

23

26

27

28

Tilotta knowingly processed unlawful straw purchases for Defendant, Magana and Hamel. Tilotta also prepared backdated firearms transfer paperwork for Defendant and his close associates, enabling them to avoid the ten-day waiting period for handgun transfers under California law.

- 6. On October 28, 2016, Defendant and Tilotta sold a Glock 27 .40 caliber handgun, a Daniel Defense AR-15 style rifle, and a Smith & Wesson Shield handgun to San Diego defense attorney V.B. inside the Captain's Office of the SDCSD's Rancho San Diego station. Defendant directed Tilotta to backdate the state and federal transfer paperwork for V.B.'s firearms transactions. Defendant also provided V.B. with SDCSD-issued ammunition in connection with this sale. Defendant admits that this firearms sale was in violation of California law.
- 7. On November 30, 2016, Defendant used his law enforcement status to conduct an unlawful straw purchase of an off roster CZ 75D 9mm handgun that he intended to transfer to San Diego defense attorney V.B., who could not otherwise have initially acquired the handgun. As agreed, Defendant later transferred the CZ 75D to V.B. on May 3, 2017.
- 8. As part of his unlicensed firearms dealing, Defendant encouraged firearms buyers to apply for permits to carry a concealed weapon ("CCW") from the SDCSD. Co-defendant Waiel Anton aided and abetted Defendant's unlicensed dealing by helping Defendant's buyers apply for CCW permits in exchange for cash payments, and paying Defendant a kickback of \$100 per CCW applicant referred by Defendant. As part of this arrangement, on or after February 5, 2019, Defendant accepted a kickback of \$100 in cash from Anton for referring an undercover ATF agent to Anton for these services.
- Defendant admits that he received at least \$8,350 in proceeds 9. from his unlicensed dealing in firearms, representing only the proceeds directly received from undercover ATF agents for the purchase of firearms.
- 10. Defendant admits that all firearms and ammunition seized from him and his residence on February 13, 2019 were involved in the offense to which he is pleading guilty, as were all firearms registered to Defendant but seized from Leo Hamel.
- Defendant also abused his position by disclosing confidential 11. law enforcement information. On July 10, 2018, Defendant called his cousin ("Individual 4") and tipped him off that Campo Greens (an illegal marijuana dispensary in Defendant's area of responsibility at SDCSD) was scheduled to be searched by SDCSD personnel the following morning. Defendant did this to warn his other cousin ("Individual 3"), who was also Individual 4's brother, and who had an ownership interest in Campo Greens, as Defendant knew. On July 11, 2018, Defendant called Individual 4 to notify him that the scheduled search of Campo Greens had been canceled.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 12. Defendant admits that he provided this information to Individual 3 for the purpose of helping Campo Greens (and Individual 4) evade law enforcement officers and avoid the seizure of the illegal dispensary's narcotics and proceeds.
- Defendant further abused his position by seeking to profit 13. from a condemned property used as an unlicensed marijuana dispensary. Between May 2018 and August 2018, Defendant recommended that Individual 6 (the landlord for an unlicensed marijuana dispensary that was condemned by San Diego County following an SDCSD search) hire Anton and Individual 5 (then a San Diego County employee) as "consultants" to help get Individual 6's property reopened. Under the plan, Anton would pretend to rent Individual 6's property, and Individual 5 would facilitate the property's reopening with the County. In exchange for recommending Individual 5 as a "consultant" to Individual 6, Defendant was to receive 10% of Individual 5's fee. When Individual 6 declined to hire Anton and Individual 5, Defendant told Individual 5 (who was still employed at the County at the time) to tell the County to "piss on" Individual 6.
- During the investigation of this conduct, Defendant obstructed justice by making material false statements to agents of the FBI and ATF concerning matters within their jurisdiction. Specifically, Defendant falsely denied making straw purchases, and falsely claimed he would not put his deputies in harm's way by notifying the subjects of an impending search warrant. Defendant also falsely denied receiving any money from Anton after the sale of a Ruger handgun to Anton in January 2019, which he knew was a false statement because Defendant had received \$100 from Anton on or after February 5, 2019 as described above. Defendant knew that it was unlawful to make such false statements.

III

PENALTIES

The crime to which Defendant is pleading carries the following penalties:

- Α. a maximum of 5 years in prison;
- В. a maximum fine of \$250,000;
- C. a mandatory special assessment of \$100;
- a term of supervised release of up to 3 years. Failure to comply with any condition of supervised release may result in revocation of supervised release, requiring Defendant to

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

1.7 1.8

19 20

.23

21

24

2526

27

28

serve in prison, upon revocation, all or part of the statutory maximum term of supervised release;

E. forfeiture of all firearms and ammunition involved in the offense.

IV

DEFENDANT'S WAIVER OF TRIAL RIGHTS AND UNDERSTANDING OF CONSEQUENCES

This guilty plea waives Defendant's right at trial to:

- A. Continue to plead not guilty and require the Government to prove the elements of the crime beyond a reasonable doubt;
- B. A speedy and public trial by jury;
- C. The assistance of counsel at all stages;
- D. Confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses;
- E. Testify and present evidence and to have witnesses testify on behalf of Defendant; and,
- F. Not testify or have any adverse inferences drawn from the failure to testify.

V

DEFENDANT ACKNOWLEDGES NO PRETRIAL RIGHT TO BE PROVIDED WITH IMPEACHMENT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE INFORMATION

Any information establishing the factual innocence of Defendant known to the undersigned prosecutor in this case has been turned over to Defendant. The United States will continue to provide such information establishing the factual innocence of Defendant.

If this case proceeded to trial, the United States would be required to provide impeachment information for its witnesses. In addition, if Defendant raised an affirmative defense, the United States would be required to provide information in its possession that supports such a defense. By pleading guilty Defendant will not be provided this information, if any, and Defendant waives any right to this information. Defendant will not attempt to withdraw the guilty plea or to file a collateral attack based on the existence of this information.

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

27

26

28

VI

DEFENDANT'S REPRESENTATION THAT GUILTY PLEA IS KNOWING AND VOLUNTARY

Defendant represents that:

- Defendant has had a full opportunity to discuss all the facts and circumstances of this case with defense counsel and has a clear understanding of the charges and the consequences of this plea. By pleading quilty, Defendant may be giving up, and rendered ineligible to receive, valuable government benefits and civic rights, such as the right to vote, the right to possess a firearm, the right to hold office, and the right to serve on a jury. The conviction in this case may Defendant to various collateral consequences, subject including but not limited to revocation of probation, parole, supervised release in another case; debarment government contracting; and suspension or revocation of a professional license, none of which can serve as grounds to withdraw Defendant's quilty plea.
- B. No one has made any promises or offered any rewards in return for this guilty plea, other than those contained in this agreement or otherwise disclosed to the Court.
- C. No one has threatened Defendant or Defendant's family to induce this guilty plea.
- D. Defendant is pleading guilty because Defendant is guilty and for no other reason.

VII

AGREEMENT LIMITED TO U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

This plea agreement is limited to the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of California, and cannot bind any other authorities in any type of matter, although the United States will bring this plea agreement to the attention of other authorities if requested by Defendant.

VIII

APPLICABILITY OF SENTENCING GUIDELINES

The sentence imposed will be based on the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. \$ 3553(a). In imposing the sentence, the sentencing judge must

consult the United States Sentencing Guidelines (Guidelines) and take them into account. Defendant has discussed the Guidelines with defense counsel and understands that the Guidelines are only advisory, not mandatory. The Court may impose a sentence more severe or less severe than otherwise applicable under the Guidelines, up to the maximum in the statute of conviction. The sentence cannot be determined until a presentence report is prepared by the U.S. Probation Office and defense counsel and the United States have an opportunity to review and challenge the presentence report. Nothing in this plea agreement limits the United States' duty to provide complete and accurate facts to the district court and the U.S. Probation Office.

IX

SENTENCE IS WITHIN SOLE DISCRETION OF JUDGE

This plea agreement is made pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(c)(1)(B). The sentence is within the sole discretion of the sentencing judge who may impose the maximum sentence provided by statute. It is uncertain at this time what Defendant's sentence will be. The United States has not made and will not make any representation about what sentence Defendant will receive. Any estimate of the probable sentence by defense counsel is not a promise and is not binding on the Court. Any recommendation by the United States at sentencing also is not binding on the Court. If the sentencing judge does not follow any of the parties' sentencing recommendations, Defendant will not withdraw the plea.

¥

PARTIES' SENTENCING RECOMMENDATIONS

A. SENTENCING GUIDELINE CALCULATIONS

Although the Guidelines are only advisory and just one factor the Court will consider under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) in imposing a sentence, the parties will jointly recommend the following Base Offense Level, Specific Offense Characteristics, Adjustments, and Departures:

1.	Base Offense Level, § 2K2.1(a)(7)	12
2.	Number of firearms (98), § 2K2.1(b)(1)(C)	+6
3.	Leadership role, § 3B1.1(c)	+2
4.	Abuse of trust, § 3B1.3	+2
5.	Obstruction of justice, § 3C1.1	+21
6.	Acceptance of responsibility, §§ 3E1.1(a)/(b)	-3
7.	Expeditious resolution, § 5K2.0	-22
8.	Combination of circumstances, § 5K2.0	-23

B. ACCEPTANCE OF RESPONSIBILITY

Despite paragraph A above, the United States need not recommend an adjustment for Acceptance of Responsibility if Defendant engages in conduct inconsistent with acceptance of responsibility including, but not limited to, the following:

Defendant expressly agrees that the adjustment under USSG \S 3C1.1 applies, notwithstanding comment note 5.

The parties agree to jointly recommend this departure for Defendant's 1) waiver of appeal and 2) expeditious resolution of his case.

The parties agree to jointly recommend this departure based upon Defendant's public service career and contributions to public safety, apart from the relevant conduct in this case.

- 1. Fails to truthfully admit a complete factual basis as stated in the plea at the time the plea is entered, or falsely denies, or makes a statement inconsistent with, the factual basis set forth in this agreement;
- 2. Falsely denies prior criminal conduct or convictions;
- Is untruthful with the United States, the Court or probation officer;
- 4. Breaches this plea agreement in any way; or
- 5. Transfers or conceals property (or properties) that would otherwise be available for payment of restitution.

C. NO FURTHER ADJUSTMENTS AND SENTENCE REDUCTIONS INCLUDING THOSE UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 3553

Defendant may not recommend additional downward adjustments, departures, including Criminal History departures under USSG § 4A1.3, or sentence reductions under 18 U.S.C. § 3553.

D. NO AGREEMENT AS TO CRIMINAL HISTORY CATEGORY

The parties have no agreement as to Defendant's Criminal History Category.

E. "FACTUAL BASIS" AND "RELEVANT CONDUCT" INFORMATION

The facts in the "factual basis" paragraph of this agreement are true and may be considered as "relevant conduct" under USSG § 1B1.3 and as the nature and circumstances of the offense under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1).

F. PARTIES' RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING CUSTODY

The parties will jointly recommend that Defendant be sentenced at the low end of the advisory guideline range as calculated by the United States at the time of sentencing.

G. SPECIAL ASSESSMENT/FINE /FORFEITURE

1. Special Assessment

The parties will jointly recommend that defendant pay a special assessment in the amount of \$100.00 per felony count of conviction to be paid forthwith at time of sentencing. Defendant shall pay the special assessment through the office of the Clerk of the District Court

by bank or cashier's check or money order made payable to the "Clerk, United States District Court."

2. Fine

The parties will jointly recommend that Defendant pay a fine in the amount of \$8,350.00.

3. Forfeiture

The parties agree that forfeiture shall be governed by the provisions of the attached forfeiture addendum.

H. SUPERVISED RELEASE

If the Court imposes a term of supervised release, Defendant agrees that he will not later seek to reduce or terminate early the term of supervised release until he has served at least 2/3 of his term of supervised release or probation and has fully paid and satisfied any special assessments, fine, criminal forfeiture judgment and restitution judgment imposed by the Court.

XI

DEFENDANT WAIVES APPEAL AND COLLATERAL ATTACK

Defendant waives (gives up) all rights to appeal and to collaterally attack every aspect of the conviction and sentence, including any forfeiture order. The only exceptions are: 1) Defendant may appeal a custodial sentence above the high end of the guideline range recommended by the United States at sentencing (if USSG § 5G1.1(b) applies, the high end of the range will be the statutorily required mandatory minimum sentence); and 2) Defendant may collaterally attack the conviction or sentence on the basis that Defendant received ineffective assistance of counsel. Defendant also explicitly waives any challenge to the constitutionality of the statutes to which Defendant is pleading. If

Defendant appeals, the United States may support on appeal the sentence or restitution order actually imposed.

BREACH OF THE PLEA AGREEMENT

XII

Defendant and Defendant's attorney know the terms of this agreement and shall raise, before the sentencing hearing is complete, any claim that the United States has not complied with this agreement. Otherwise, such claims shall be deemed waived (that is, deliberately not raised despite awareness that the claim could be raised), cannot later be made to any court, and if later made to a court, shall constitute a breach of this agreement.

Defendant breaches this agreement if Defendant violates or fails to perform any obligation under this agreement. The following are nonexhaustive examples of acts constituting a breach:

- 1. Failing to plead guilty pursuant to this agreement;
- 2. Failing to fully accept responsibility as established in Section X, paragraph B, above;
- Failing to appear in court;
- 4. Attempting to withdraw the plea;
- 5. Failing to abide by any court order related to this case;
- 6. Appealing (which occurs if a notice of appeal is filed) or collaterally attacking the conviction or sentence in violation of Section XI of this plea agreement; or
- 7. Engaging in additional criminal conduct from the time of arrest until the time of sentencing.

If Defendant breaches this plea agreement, Defendant will not be able to enforce any provisions, and the United States will be relieved of all its obligations under this plea agreement. For example, the United States may proceed to sentencing but recommend a different

sentence than what it agreed to recommend above. Or the United States may pursue any charges including those that were dismissed, promised to be dismissed, or not filed as a result of this agreement (Defendant agrees that any statute of limitations relating to such charges is tolled indefinitely as of the date all parties have signed this agreement; Defendant also waives any double jeopardy defense to such charges). In addition, the United States may move to set aside Defendant's guilty plea. Defendant may not withdraw the guilty plea based on the United States' pursuit of remedies for Defendant's breach.

Additionally, if Defendant breaches this plea agreement: (i) any statements made by Defendant, under oath, at the guilty plea hearing (before either a Magistrate Judge or a District Judge); (ii) the factual basis statement in Section II.B in this agreement; and (iii) any evidence derived from such statements, are admissible against Defendant in any prosecution of, or any action against, Defendant. This includes the prosecution of the charge that is the subject of this plea agreement or any charge(s) that the United States agreed to dismiss or not file as part of this agreement, but later pursues because of a breach by the Defendant. Additionally, Defendant knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently waives any argument that the statements and any evidence derived from the statements should be suppressed, cannot be used by the United States, or are inadmissible under the United States Constitution, any statute, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and any other federal rule.

2.0

2.2

CONTENTS AND MODIFICATION OF AGREEMENT

IIIX

This plea agreement embodies the entire agreement between the parties and supersedes any other agreement, written or oral. No modification of this plea agreement shall be effective unless in writing signed by all parties.

VIX

DEFENDANT AND COUNSEL FULLY UNDERSTAND AGREEMENT

By signing this agreement, Defendant certifies that Defendant has read it (or that it has been read to Defendant in Defendant's native language). Defendant has discussed the terms of this agreement with defense counsel and fully understands its meaning and effect.

13 //

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14 1/

15 1/

16 1/

17 1/

18 //

19 //

20 11 //

21 1/.

22 /

23 1/

24 1/

25 1/

26 /

27 /

28

Def. Initials

XV

DEFENDANT SATISFIED WITH COUNSEL

Defendant has consulted with counsel and is satisfied with counsel's representation. This is Defendant's independent opinion, and Defendant's counsel did not advise Defendant about what to say in this regard.

PETER J. MAZZA
Attorney for the United States
Acting Under Authority
Conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 515

q	9	2020			
ΆΤ	ED				

9/2/2020 DATED NICHOLAS W. PILCHAK
ANDREW R. HADEN
Assistant U.S. Attorneys

KRVIN BARRY McDERMOTT Defense Counsel

IN ADDITION TO THE FOREGOING PROVISIONS TO WHICH I AGREE, I SWEAR UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FACTS IN THE "FACTUAL BASIS" SECTION ABOVE ARE TRUE.

9/2/2020 ATED

MORAD MARCO GARMO Defendant

Approved By:

Emily W. Aller

23 Assistant U.S. Attorney

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2526

27

FORFEITURE ADDENDUM

UNITED STATES V. MORAD MARCO GARMO

19cr4768-GPC

Defendant's conviction will include forfeiture. This forfeiture addendum is incorporated into and part of Defendant's plea agreement, and the additional terms and warnings below apply.

- A. <u>Penalty</u>. In addition to the penalties in the plea agreement, federal law states Defendant must forfeit all firearms and ammunition involved in the offense.
- B. <u>Property Subject to Forfeiture</u>. As part of Defendant's guilty plea to Count One of the Indictment, as set forth in section I of the plea agreement, Defendant agrees to forfeit the following firearms and ammunition, which were seized on February 13, 2019:
 - (1) 5,360 ROUNDS OF 7.62 MM AMMUNITION (SEIZED AS ITEM #650)
 - (2) 25 ROUNDS OF FEDERAL 9MM AMMUNITION (SEIZED AS ITEM #78)
 - (3) COBRA ENTERPRISES, INC. / KODIAK INDUSTRIES MODEL
 CB38 .38 CALIBER DERRINGER, SN: CT045564
 - (4) COLT COBRA .38 CALIBER REVOLVER, SN: A80727
 - (5) COLT DETECTIVE SPECIAL .38 CALIBER REVOLVER, SN: 21368M
 - (6) COLT DETECTIVE SPECIAL .38 CALIBER REVOLVER,
 SN: M04560
 - (7) COLT MAGNUM CARRY .357 CALIBER REVOLVER, SN: SY1396
 - (8) COLT SAA .45 CALIBER REVOLVER, SN: 87502SA
 - (9) CZ (CESKA ZBROJOVKA) MODEL CZ P-07 9MM PISTOL, SN: C014422

1	(10)	CZ (CESKA ZBROJOVKA) MODEL CZ P-10 C PISTOL,	
2		SN: C381287	
3	(11)	CZ (CESKA ZBROJOVKA) PISTOL, SN: C811552	
4	(12)	GLOCK GMBH MODEL 19 9MM PISTOL, SN: XNH690	
5	(13)	HECKLER AND KOCH MODEL P7 9MM PISTOL, SN: 76548	
6	(14)	KAHR ARMS - AUTO ORDNANCE MODEL CW380 .380 CALIBER	
7		PISTOL, SN: RK7080	
8	(15)	KIMBER PRO CARRY II 9MM PISTOL, SN: KRF23210	
9	(16)	KIMBER MODEL K6S .357 CALIBER REVOLVER,	
10		SN: RV024450	
11	(17)	PHOENIX ARMS CO. MODEL HP22A .22 CALIBER PISTOL,	
12		SN: 4434886	
13	(18)	POLAND PISTOL, SN: JS03691	
14	(19)	RUGER MODEL P944DC .40 CALIBER PISTOL, SN: 30803379	
15	(20)	RUGER BLACKHAWK .357 CALIBER REVOLVER, SN: 3871204	
16	(21)	RUGER MODEL GP100 .357 CALIBER REVOLVER,	
17		SN: 17730080	
18	(22)	RUGER MODEL SP101 .357 CALIBER REVOLVER,	
19		SN: 57106474	
20	(23)	RUGER VAQUERO .40 CALIBER, SN: 5749871	
21	(24)	SIG-SAUER MODEL P220 .45 CALIBER PISTOL,	
22		SN: 37B000145	
23	(25)	SIG-SAUER PISTOL, SN: 66A105681	
24	(26)	SMITH & WESSON MODEL 10 .38 CALIBER REVOLVER,	
25		SN: CEZ9978	
26	(27)	SMITH & WESSON MODEL 12 .38 CALIBER REVOLVER,	
27		SN: D823758	
	l II		

Case 3:19-cr-04768-GPC Document 110 Filed 09/15/20 PageID.639 Page 18 of 22 SMITH & WESSON MODEL 19 .357 CALIBER REVOLVER, (28) 1 2 SN: 7K56927 SMITH & WESSON MODEL 19 .357 CALIBER REVOLVER, (29)3 SN: DKU8368 4 (30)SMITH & WESSON MODEL 60 .38 CALIBER REVOLVER, 5 SN: 74736 6 SMITH & WESSON MODEL 60 .38 CALIBER REVOLVER, 7 (31)SN: R160786 8 SMITH & WESSON MODEL 617 .22 CALIBER REVOLVER, 9 (32)SN: DDZ0607 10 SMITH & WESSON MODEL 627 .357 CALIBER REVOLVER, (33)11 SN: CZP0847 12 SMITH & WESSON MODEL 64 .38 CALIBER REVOLVER, 13 (34)SN: 63514 14 SMITH & WESSON MODEL 66 .357 CALIBER REVOLVER, 15 (35)16 SN: CEY3933 SMITH & WESSON MODEL 66 .357 CALIBER REVOLVER, 17 (36)18 SN: DJJ5111 (37)SMITH & WESSON BODYGUARD .38 CALIBER REVOLVER, 19 20 SN: CPY7500BG38 21 (38)TAURUS MODEL 85 .38 CALIBER REVOLVER, SN: IT42980 22 (39)WALTHER PPQ 9MM PISTOL, SN: FCC6047 23 (40)WILSON COMBAT MODEL CQB .45 CALIBER PISTOL, 24 SN: WCT28420 25 (41)AERO PRECISION MODEL X15 RIFLE, SN: AR05098 26 (42)BRAVO COMPANY INC. MODEL MFG BCM4 RIFLE, 27 SN: A028574

| Case 3:19-cr-04768-GPC | Document 110 | Filed 09/15/20 | PageID.640 | Page 19 of 22

Ш		
1	(43)	CENTURY ARMS INTERNATIONAL MODEL AK-63DS 7.62 MM
2		RIFLE, SN: A136925
3	(44)	CHILDERS GUNS, LLC MODEL CG1 7.62 MM RIFLE,
4		SN: AM03246
5	(45)	F.N. (FN HERSTAL) SCAR 17S RIFLE, SN: HC37214
6	(46)	IZHMASH (IMEZ) SAIGA 7.62 MM RIFLE, SN: 13419980
7	(47)	M&M INC. (M&M INDUSTRIES) MODEL M10X ELITE 7.62 MM
8		RIFLE, SN: C01067
9	(48)	MORRISSEY INC. MODEL AAM-47 7.62 MM RIFLE,
10		SN: AA006714
11	(49)	ROMARM/CUGIR MODEL GP WASR 10/62 7.62 MM RIFLE,
12		SN: 1971CA0780
13	(50)	ROSSI MODEL 1892 HARTFORD .357 CALIBER RIFLE,
14		SN: K263436
15	(51)	RUGER MODEL 10/22 .22 CALIBER RIFLE, SN: 82637553
16	(52)	RUGER AMERICAN RIFLE, SN: 690025548
17	(53)	SPRINGFIELD ARMORY, MODEL M1A .308 RIFLE,
18		SN: 408758
19	(54)	UNKNOWN MANUFACTURER RIFLE, SN: 2781452
20	(55)	WINCHESTER MODEL 94AE .30-30 CALIBER RIFLE,
21		SN: 5232454
22	(56)	ZASTAVA RIFLE, SN: M92PV062648
23	(57)	MOSSBERG MODEL 500A 12-GAUGE SHOTGUN, SN: R689024
24	(58)	MOSSBERG MODEL 590 12-GAUGE SHOTGUN, SN: T620688
25	(59)	REMINGTON ARMS COMPANY, INC. MODEL 870 EXPRESS
26	·	MAGNUM 12-GAUGE SHOTGUN, SN: AB340745M
27		

- (60) REMINGTON ARMS COMPANY, INC., MODEL 870 POLICE MAGNUM 12-GAUGE SHOTGUN, SN: RS95864Y
- (61) TWO CZ PlOC 9MM MAGAZINES (SEIZED AS ITEM #77)
- C. <u>Basis of Forfeiture</u>. Defendant owns all the property in paragraph B and admits such property represents firearms and ammunition involved in the offense and is subject to forfeiture to the United States pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 924(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c).
- D. Immediate Entry of Preliminary Order of Forfeiture. Defendant consents and agrees to the immediate entry of a preliminary order of forfeiture upon entry of the guilty plea. Defendant agrees that upon entry of the preliminary order of forfeiture, such order shall be final as to Defendant's interests in the properties. Defendant warrants and represents as a material fact that he is the sole owner of all the properties described above and that no other person or entity has any claim or interest in them. Defendant agrees to immediately withdraw any claims in pending administrative or civil forfeiture proceedings to properties seized in connection with this case that are directly or indirectly related to the criminal conduct. Defendant agrees to execute all documents requested by the Government to facilitate or complete the forfeiture process. Defendant further agrees not to contest, or to assist any other person or entity in contesting, the forfeiture of property seized in connection with this case. Contesting or assisting others in contesting the forfeiture shall constitute a material breach of the plea agreement, relieving the Government of all its obligations under the agreement including but not limited to its agreement to recommend an adjustment for Acceptance of Responsibility.
 - E. Entry of Orders of Forfeiture and Waiver of Notice. Defendant

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3.

consents and agrees to the entry of orders of forfeiture for such property and waives the requirements of Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 32.2 and 43(a) regarding notice of the forfeiture in the charging instrument, announcement of the forfeiture at sentencing, and incorporation of the forfeiture in the judgment. Defendant understands that the forfeiture of assets is part of the sentence that may be imposed in this case and waives any failure by the Court to advise defendant of this, pursuant to Rule 11(b)(1)(J), at the time the Court accepts the guilty plea(s).

- F. Waiver of Constitutional and Statutory Challenges. Defendant further agrees to waive all constitutional and statutory challenges (including direct appeal, habeas corpus, or any other means) to any forfeiture carried out in accordance with this agreement, including any claim that the forfeiture constitutes an excessive fine or punishment under the United States Constitution. Defendant agrees to take all steps as requested by the United States to pass clear title to forfeitable assets to the United States and to testify truthfully in any judicial forfeiture proceeding.
- G. Agreement Survives Defendant; No Forfeiture Abatement. Defendant agrees that the forfeiture provisions of this plea agreement are intended to, and will, survive defendant, notwithstanding the abatement of any underlying criminal conviction after the execution of this agreement. The forfeitability of any particular property pursuant to this agreement shall be determined as if defendant had survived, and that determination shall be binding upon defendant's heirs, successors

	•	
1		
2	2 judgment amount, is collected in ful	Ll.
3	11	PETER J. MAZZA
4	4	Attorney for the United States
5		Acting Under Authority Conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 515
6		All Mall
7		NWW FWY
8		ANDREW R. HADEN Assistant U.S. Attorneys
9		12/10 d
10		EVIN BARRY MCDERMOTT
11		eferse Counsel
12	2 9/2/2020	M Dayer
13		MORAD MARCO GARMO Defendant
14		
15	5	
16	6	
17	7	
18	8	
19	9	
20	0	
21	1	
22	2	
23	3	
24	4	
25	5	
26	6	
27	7	

Case 3:19-cr-04768-GPC Document 110 Filed 09/15/20 PageID.643 Page 22 of 22