$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	MATTHEW D. ZINN (State Bar No. 214587) ANDREW P. MILLER (State Bar No. 324093) SHUTE, MIHALY & WEINBERGER LLP				
$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	396 Hayes Street San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 552-7272 Facsimile: (415) 552-5816				
4					
5	zinn@smwlaw.com amiller@smwlaw.com				
6	Attorneys for Defendants				
7	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, GREGORY J. AHERN, and ERICA PAN				
8	and ERICA PAN				
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION				
11					
12	JANICE ALTMAN et al.,	Case No. 4:20-cv-02180			
13	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER			
14	v.	The Hon. Jon S. Tigar			
15	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA et al.,				
16	Defendants.				
17					
18	Pursuant to United States District Court, Northern District of California				
19	Civil Rules of Court, Rules 6-2 and 16-2(d), Defendants County of Alameda,				
20	Gregory J. Ahern, and Erica Pan ("Defendants") and Plaintiffs Janice Altman et al.				
21	through counsel, hereby enter into the following Stipulation and request that the				
22	Court issue the attached order accordingly.				
23	STIPULATION				
24	WHEREAS, on November 30, 2020, this Court granted with leave to amend				
25	Defendants' motion to dismiss Count 2 of Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint (due				
26	process); ordered that Plaintiffs could file a Second Amended Complaint within 21				
27	days of that order; and ordered that if Plaintiffs did not file an amended complaint,				
28	Plaintiffs' due process claim would be dismissed with prejudice;				

1	WHEREAS, the deadline for any Second Amended Complaint was December				
2	21, 2020;				
3	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs did not file a Second Amended Complaint.				
4	WHEREAS, following this Court's order on the motion to dismiss, Defendants				
5	retained new counsel;				
6	WHEREAS, Defendants anticipated that Plaintiffs would file a Second				
7	Amended Complaint on or before December 21, 2020, and further anticipated that				
8	Defendants would move to dismiss that complaint;				
9	WHEREAS, because Plaintiffs did not file a Second Amended Complaint,				
10	Defendants' answer is now due on Monday, January 4, 2021;				
۱1	WHEREAS, although counsel have worked diligently to come up to speed on				
12	this litigation, they require additional time to prepare a comprehensive and concise				
13	answer that will aid the Court in resolving this case;				
L4	WHEREAS, the period in which Defendants need to prepare the answer is				
L5	punctuated by several major holidays, including Christmas and New Year's Eve;				
ا 16	WHEREAS, the parties believe it would be appropriate to wait until after the				
۱7	pleadings are settled before proceeding with the Initial Case Management				
18	Conference and the preparation required for it;				
19	NOW THEREFORE, the parties to this action, by and through their				
20	respective counsel, hereby stipulate and request that the Court issue an order as				
21	follows:				
22	1. Defendant's Answer to the First Amended Complaint will be due on				
23	January 18, 2021.				
24	2. The parties request that the Court continue the Initial Case				
25	Management Conference currently scheduled for Tuesday, January 12, 2021 by two				
26	weeks to January 26, 2021, or such time thereafter as is convenient for the Court.				

27

28

1	3.	3. The parties will file and serve a Joint Case Management Statement one		
$_2$	  week befo	week before the new scheduled date for the Initial Case Management Conference or		
3		as otherwise ordered by the Court.		
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$	4.			
5	facsimile.	_	CAC	cated in counterparts and by chair of
6			спі	JTE, MIHALY & WEINBERGER LLP
$\begin{vmatrix} 6 \\ 7 \end{vmatrix}$	DATED.	December 20, 2020	SIIC	TE, WIHALI & WEINDERGER LEI
8			By:	/s/Matthew D. Zinn
9				MATTHEW D. ZINN
10				ANDREW P. MILLER
11				Attorneys for Defendants
12				COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, GREGORY J.
13				AHERN, AND ERICA PAN
14	DATED:	December 28, 2020	SEI	LER EPSTEIN, LLP
15				
16				
17	By: /s/George M. Lee GEORGE M. LEE		/s/George M. Lee	
18			GEORGE M. LEE	
19				Attorneys for Plaintiffs
20				
21	T 1			
$_{22}$	I hereby attest that I have on file all holographic signatures corresponding to			
$_{23}$	any signatures indicated by a conformed signature (/S/) within this e-filed			
$_{24}$	document	document.		
$\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 25 \end{bmatrix}$				/s/Matthew D. Zinn
$\begin{vmatrix} 26 \\ 26 \end{vmatrix}$				
27				
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$				
40				3

[PROPOSED] ORDER The above parties having stipulated, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY  $\mathbf{2}$ ORDERED that time for Defendants to Answer the First Amended Complaint be extended to January 18, 2021. The Court further orders that the Initial Case Management Conference in this matter be continued to January 26, 2021 at 2:00 p.m. The Plaintiffs and the County Defendants shall file a Joint Case Management Statement with the Court on or before January 19, 2021. DATED: December 31, 2020 United States District Judge