$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	MATTHEW D. ZINN (State Bar No. 214587)   ANDREW P. MILLER (State Bar No. 324093)   SHUTE, MIHALY & WEINBERGER LLP				
3	396 Hayes Street San Francisco, California 94102				
4	Telephone: (415) 552-7272				
5	zinn@smwlaw.com amiller@smwlaw.com				
6	Attorneys for Defendants				
7	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, GREGORY J. AHERN, and NICHOLAS MOSS				
8	and NICHOLAS MOSS				
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION				
11					
12	JANICE ALTMAN et al.,	Case No. 4:20-cv-02180			
13	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER			
14	v.	The Hon. Jon S. Tigar			
15	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA et al.,				
16	Defendants.				
17					
18	Pursuant to United States District Court, Northern District of California Civil				
19	Rules of Court, Rules 6-2 and 16-2(d), Defendants County of Alameda, Gregory J.				
20	Ahern, and Nicholas Moss ("Defendants") and Plaintiffs Janice Altman et al.,				
21	through counsel, hereby enter into the following Stipulation and request that the				
22	Court issue the attached order accordingly.				
23	STIPULATION				
24	WHEREAS, on November 30, 2020, this Court granted with leave to amend				
25	Defendants' motion to dismiss Count 2 of Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint (due				
26	process); ordered that Plaintiffs could file a Second Amended Complaint within 21				
27	days of that order; and ordered that if Plaintiffs did not file an amended complaint,				
28	Plaintiffs' due process claim would be dismissed with prejudice;				

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Case No. 4:20-cv-02180 10

1112

13

15

14

16

1718

1920

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

WHEREAS, following this Court's order on the motion to dismiss, Defendants retained new counsel;

WHEREAS, Defendants anticipated that Plaintiffs would file a Second Amended Complaint on or before December 21, 2020, and further anticipated that Defendants would move to dismiss that complaint;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs did not file a Second Amended Complaint;

WHEREAS, on December 31, 2020, pursuant to a stipulation of the Parties, this Court ordered that Defendants' answer shall be due on January 18, 2020, that the Initial Case Management Conference shall be continued to January 26, 2020, and that the Parties shall file a Joint Case Management Statement with the Court on or before January 19, 2021;

WHEREAS, the County will be filing its answer by January 19, and although it had contemplated filing a motion for judgment on the pleadings thereafter, the County has since decided against filing a motion at this time;

WHEREAS, there is no dispositive motion pending that might obviate the need for the Rule 26(f) conference, a discovery plan, and initial disclosures; and

WHEREAS, the parties require additional time to comply with those requirements before a case management conference;

NOW THEREFORE, the parties to this action, by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate and request that the Court issue an order as follows:

- 1. The parties request that the Court continue the Initial Case
  Management Conference currently scheduled for Tuesday, January 26, 2021 by
  three weeks to February 16, 2021, or such time thereafter as is convenient for the
  Court.
- 2. The parties will file and serve a Joint Case Management Statement one week before the new scheduled date for the Initial Case Management Conference or as otherwise ordered by the Court.

1	3.	The parties shall n	neet and	confer as required by Federal Rules of Civil	
2	Procedure, Rule 26(f) ("Rule 26(f) Conference") no later than Tuesday, January 26,				
3	2021.				
4	4.	The parties shall n	nake the	initial disclosures required by Federal Rules	
5	of Civil Procedure, Rule 26(a)(1) no later than Tuesday, February 9, 2021.				
6	5.	5. This stipulation may be executed in counterparts and by email or			
7	facsimile.				
8	DATED: J	January 19, 2021	SHU	JTE, MIHALY & WEINBERGER LLP	
9					
10					
11			By:	/s/Matthew D. Zinn	
12				MATTHEW D. ZINN ANDREW P. MILLER	
13				THE DIVISION OF THE PROPERTY O	
				Attorneys for Defendants	
14				COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, GREGORY J. AHERN, AND NICHOLAS MOSS	
15				AHERN, AND NICHOLAS MOSS	
16	DATED: J	January 19, 2021	SEI	LER EPSTEIN, LLP	
17					
18					
19			By:	/s/George M. Lee	
20				GEORGE M. LEE	
21				Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
$_{22}$					
23					
$_{24}$	I hereby attest that I have on file all holographic signatures corresponding to any signatures indicated by a conformed signature (/S/) within this e-filed document.				
25					
				/s/Matthew D. Zinn	
26					
27					
28					
				3	

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER
2	The above parties having stipulated, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY
3	ORDERED that the Initial Case Management Conference in this matter be
4	continued to, 2021 at
5	The Plaintiffs and the County Defendants shall file a Joint Case Management
6	Statement with the Court on or before, 2021.
7	The Plaintiffs and the County Defendants shall meet and confer pursuant to
8	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 26(f) on or before, 2021.
9	The Plaintiffs and the County Defendants shall make the initial disclosures
10	required by Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 26(a)(1) on or before,
11	2021.
12	
13	DATED:, 2021
14	
15	
16	Hon. Jon S. Tigar United States District Judge
17	1328265.3
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	1