

United States District Court
Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JANICE ALTMAN, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, CALIFORNIA,
et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 20-cv-02180-JST

SCHEDULING ORDER

Re: ECF No. 93

The Court hereby sets the following case deadlines pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16 and Civil Local Rule 16-10:

Event	Deadline
Fact discovery cut-off	August 31, 2021
Expert disclosures	September 30, 2021
Expert rebuttal	October 29, 2021
Expert discovery cut-off	November 19, 2021
Deadline to file dispositive motions	November 30, 2021
Pretrial conference statement due	April 22, 2022
Pretrial conference	Friday, April 29, 2022, at 2:00 p.m.
Trial	Monday, May 23, 2022, at 8:30 a.m.
Estimate of trial length (in days)	1-3 days

This case will be tried to the Court.

United States District Court
Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Counsel may not modify these dates without leave of court. The parties shall comply with the Court’s standing orders, which are available at <https://cand.uscourts.gov/judges/tigar-jon-s-jst/>.

The Court has set a dispositive motion deadline which allows enough time for the Court to consider any such motions well in advance of trial. The parties should assume that any subsequent continuance of the dispositive motion deadline, or any enlargement of the dispositive motion briefing schedule beyond that set forth in Civil Local Rule 7-3, will result in a continuance of the pretrial conference and trial dates of equal or greater length.

The parties must take all necessary steps to conduct discovery, compel discovery, hire counsel, retain experts, and manage their calendars so that they can complete discovery in a timely manner and appear at trial on the noticed and scheduled dates. All counsel must arrange their calendars to accommodate these dates, or arrange to substitute or associate in counsel who can.

Trial dates set by this Court should be regarded as firm. Requests for continuance are disfavored. The Court will not consider any event subsequently scheduled by a party, party-controlled witness, expert or attorney that conflicts with the above trial date as good cause to grant a continuance. The Court will not consider the pendency of settlement discussions as good cause to grant a continuance.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 26, 2021



JON S. TIGAR
United States District Judge