

TOP NEWS

Ruling upholds Hawaii's limits on carrying guns in public

By Associated Press • March 24, 2021 • Updated 1:44 pm

Hawaii's strict limit on openly carrying firearms is lawful, a panel of federal appeals court judges ruled today in a lawsuit by a man who tried unsuccessfully several times to obtain a license to carry a loaded gun in public.

George Young's lawyer said he will ask the U.S. Supreme Court to review the case. "We are hopeful the Supreme Court will grant review in Mr. Young's case," attorney Alan Beck said.

Young wants to carry a gun for self-defense and says that not being able to do so violates his rights. His 2012 lawsuit was dismissed, with a judge siding with officials who said the Second Amendment only applied to guns kept in homes.

He appealed. Three federal appeals court judges later ruled in his favor but the state asked for a fuller panel of judges to hear the case.

That panel of 11 judges on the 9th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals today "held that the Second Amendment does not guarantee an unfettered, general right to openly carry arms in public for individual self-defense. Accordingly, Hawai'i's firearms-carry scheme is lawful."

The Second Amendment allows states "to enact common sense regulations like those we have in Hawaii," state Attorney General Clare Connors said in statement. The ruling properly upholds the constitutionality of Hawaii's "longstanding law allowing persons to carry firearms openly in public when licensed to do so," she said.

Hawaii has a "de facto ban" on carrying guns in public, Beck said.

It's not a flat ban because individuals can carry firearms if they have good cause, Neal Katyal, an attorney representing Hawaii, argued before the panel in September.

The ruling comes on the same day the Hawaii attorney general's office issued a report showing that all private citizens who applied for licenses to carry a gun in public in 2020 were denied.

Statewide last year, 123 employees of private security firms applied for and were issued carry licenses and one was denied, according to the report.

Young's applications failed to identify "the urgency or the need" to openly carry a firearm in public, the ruling noted: "Instead, Young relied upon his general desire to carry a firearm for self-defense."

Get the latest news by email

By clicking submit, you agree to Star-Advertiser's Terms of Service and Privacy Policy. This site is protected by reCAPTCHA and the Google Privacy Policy and Terms of Service apply.

Enter your email address

Sign Up

Judge Diarmuid O'Scannlain, one of the four dissenting judges, wrote that the majority's finding that the Second Amendment only guarantees the right to keep a firearm for self-defense within one's home, "is as unprecedented as it is extreme."



Sponsored Content

Neuropathy & Nerve Damage? Do This Immediately (Watch)

By NerveShieldPlus - Suffer From Neuropathy? Try This Immediately

① Our Privacy Policy has been updated. By continuing to use our site, you are acknowledging and agreeing to our updated Privacy Policy and our





Be the first to know

Get web push notifications from Star-Advertiser when the next breaking story happens — it's FREE! You just need a su orted web browser.

Subscribe for this feature

