

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

JAMES FAHR, et al.,

 Plaintiffs,

 v.

CITY OF SAN DIEGO, et al.,

 Defendants.

Case No. 21-cv-1676-BAS-BGS

ORDER:

- (1) SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE AND ORAL ARGUMENT ON PLAINTIFFS’ MOTION FOR A TRO (ECF No. 9); AND**

- (2) DIRECTING PLAINTIFFS TO SERVE DEFENDANTS WITH THIS ORDER**


Pursuant to this Court’s Order denying their *ex parte* application for a temporary restraining order seeking to enjoin Defendants City of San Diego and its Chief of Police, David Nisleit, from enforcing Ordinance O-2022-7 (“TRO”) (ECF No. 2), Plaintiffs filed a renewed application for a TRO on September 28, 2021 and submitted proof that they had given notice to Defendants of their intention to seek such relief that same day (“Renewed Motion for a TRO”). (ECF No. 9.) Plaintiffs request an expedited schedule for this Court to hear their Renewed Motion for a TRO. (*Id.*)

1 Defendants shall file any brief(s) in opposition to the Motion for a TRO **no later**
2 **than October 7, 2021**. Plaintiffs shall file any reply **no later than October 12, 2021**. The
3 Court further **ORDERS** the parties to appear in person for oral argument **on October 19,**
4 **2021 at 9:30 a.m.** in Courtroom 4B. *See* Civ. L. R. 7.1(d)(1).

5 The Court **ORDERS** Plaintiffs to serve Defendants with a copy of this Order and to
6 provide proof of such service **no later than October 1, 2021**.

7 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

8
9 **DATED: September 30, 2021**


Hon. Cynthia Bashant
United States District Judge