

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY	
Robert J. Nelson (State Bar No. 132797)		
Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP		
275 Battery Street, 29th Floor. San Francisco, CA 94111-3339		
TELEPHONE NO.: 415.956.1000 FAX NO. (Optional): 415.956.1008		
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): rnelson@lchb.com	FILED/ENDORSED	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Kelley and Denis O'Sullivan		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Sacramento	FED 24 2000	
STREET ADDRESS: 720 9th Street, room 101	FEB 2 4 2022	
MAILING ADDRESS: 720 9th Street	p.,.	
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Sacramento, CA 95814	By: A. Turner Deputy Clork	
BRANCH NAME: Gordon D. Schaber Courthouse	ochor cicix	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Kelley and Denis O'Sullivan		
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Ghost Gunner, Inc., et al.	i	
CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT	CASE NUMBER:	
(Check one): UNLIMITED CASE (Amount demanded exceeds \$25,000) UNLIMITED CASE (Amount demanded is \$25,000 or less)	34-2021-00302934	
A CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE is scheduled as follows:		
Date: 03/11/2022 Time: 1:30 PM Dept.: 40	Div.: Room:	
Address of court (if different from the address above):		
Tradition of court (if amorona monitario additional above).		
Notice of Intent to Appear by Telephone, by (name): Robert J. Nelson; Cait	lin M. Nelson	
INSTRUCTIONS: All applicable boxes must be checked, and the specified	information must be provided	
Party or parties (answer one):		
a. This statement is submitted by party (name):	•	
b. This statement is submitted jointly by parties (names): See attachment	1(b)	
	•	
2. Complaint and cross-complaint (to be answered by plaintiffs and cross-complainant	ts only)	
a. The complaint was filed on <i>(date)</i> : June 17, 2021		
b. The cross-complaint, if any, was filed on (date):		
2. Complete the first annual basel of the second se		
3. Service (to be answered by plaintiffs and cross-complainants only)	have appeared to have been discharged	
a. All parties named in the complaint and cross-complaint have been served, have appeared, or have been dismissed.		
b. The following parties named in the complaint or cross-complaint		
(1) have not been served (specify names and explain why not):		
(2) Analysis have been served but have not appeared and have not been dismissed (specify names):		
See attachment 3(b)(2)		
(3) have had a default entered against them (specify names):		
c. The following additional parties may be added (specify names, nature of involvement in case, and date by which		
they may be served):	•	
4. Description of case		
a. Type of case in 🗸 complaint 🔲 cross-complaint (Describe, ii	ncluding causes of action):	
Personal injury case alleging: (1) negligence; (2) negligence per se; (3) nuisance; (5) violation of Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 et seq. Defendants	negligent entrustment; (4) public named via market share liability theory.	

CM-110

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Kelley and Denis O'Sullivan	CASE NUMBER:			
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Ghost Gunner, Inc., et al.	34-2021-00302934			
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Gliust Guillier, Inc., et al.				
4. b. Provide a brief statement of the case, including any damages. (If personal injury damages are sought, specify the injury and damages claimed, including medical expenses to date [indicate source and amount], estimated future medical expenses, lost earnings to date, and estimated future lost earnings. If equitable relief is sought, describe the nature of the relief.) See attachment 4(b)				
(If more space is needed, check this box and attach a page designated as Attachment 4b.)				
5. Jury or nonjury trial The party or parties request a jury trial a nonjury trial. (If more than one party, provide the name of each party				
requesting a jury trial):	n one party, provide the hame of each party			
6. Trial date				
 a. The trial has been set for (date): b. No trial date has been set. This case will be ready for trial within 12 months of explaints. 	of the date of the filing of the complaint (if			
not, explain): Related criminal prosecution will be tried in ∼1 year. Critical discover	v cannot be completed until that time			
c. Dates on which parties or attorneys will not be available for trial (specify dates and	•			
7. Estimated length of trial				
The party or parties estimate that the trial will take (check one):				
a. days (specify number): 10				
b. hours (short causes) (specify):	•			
8 Trial representation (to be ensured for each party)	•			
 Trial representation (to be answered for each party) The party or parties will be represented at trial	n the caption by the following:			
a. Attorney:				
b. Firm:				
c. Address:				
d. Telephone number: f. Fax num e. E-mail address: g. Party re	•			
Additional representation is described in Attachment 8.	presented:			
9. Preference				
This case is entitled to preference (specify code section):				
10. Alternative dispute resolution (ADR)				
 ADR information package. Please note that different ADR processes are availabed the ADR information package provided by the court under rule 3.221 for information court and community programs in this case. 				
(1) For parties represented by counsel: Counsel has has not proving rule 3.221 to the client and reviewed ADR options with the client.	rided the ADR information package identified			
(2) For self-represented parties: Party L has L has not reviewed the ADR	t information package identified in rule 3.221.			
 Referral to judicial arbitration or civil action mediation (if available). This matter is subject to mandatory judicial arbitration under Code of Civil mediation under Code of Civil Procedure section 1775.3 because the amstatutory limit. 	il Procedure section 1141.11 or to civil action ount in controversy does not exceed the			
(2) Plaintiff elects to refer this case to judicial arbitration and agrees to limit re Civil Procedure section 1141.11.	ecovery to the amount specified in Code of			
(3) This case is exempt from judicial arbitration under rule 3.811 of the Califormediation under Code of Civil Procedure section 1775 et seq. (specify ex				

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Kelley and Denis O'Sullivan	CASE NUMBER:
	34-2021-00302934
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Ghost Gunner, Inc., et al.	34-2021-00302934

10. c. Indicate the ADR process or processes that the party or parties are willing to participate in, have agreed to participate in, or have already participated in (check all that apply and provide the specified information):

	The party or parties completing this form are willing to participate in the following ADR processes (check all that apply):	If the party or parties completing this form in the case have agreed to participate in or have already completed an ADR process or processes, indicate the status of the processes (attach a copy of the parties' ADR stipulation):	
(1) Mediation		Mediation session not yet scheduled Mediation session scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete mediation by (date): Mediation completed on (date):	
(2) Settlement conference		Settlement conference not yet scheduled Settlement conference scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete settlement conference by (date): Settlement conference completed on (date):	
(3) Neutral evaluation		Neutral evaluation not yet scheduled Neutral evaluation scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete neutral evaluation by (date): Neutral evaluation completed on (date):	
(4) Nonbinding judicial arbitration		Judicial arbitration not yet scheduled Judicial arbitration scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete judicial arbitration by (date): Judicial arbitration completed on (date):	
(5) Binding private arbitration		Private arbitration not yet scheduled Private arbitration scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete private arbitration by (date): Private arbitration completed on (date):	
(6) Other (specify):		ADR session not yet scheduled ADR session scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete ADR session by (date): ADR completed on (date):	

	CM-110
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Kelley and Denis O'Sullivan	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Ghost Gunner, Inc., et al.	34-2021-00302934
11. Insurance a. Insurance carrier, if any, for party filing this statement (name): b. Reservation of rights: Yes No c. Coverage issues will significantly affect resolution of this case (explain):	·
12. Jurisdiction Indicate any matters that may affect the court's jurisdiction or processing of this case Bankruptcy Other (specify): Status:	e and describe the status.
13. Related cases, consolidation, and coordination a. There are companion, underlying, or related cases. (1) Name of case: California v. Adel Sambrano Ramos (2) Name of court: Sacramento Superior Court (3) Case number: 19FE011057 (4) Status: Pending Additional cases are described in Attachment 13a. b. A motion to consolidate coordinate will be filed	by <i>(name party):</i>
14. Bifurcation The party or parties intend to file a motion for an order bifurcating, severing, o action (specify moving party, type of motion, and reasons):	r coordinating the following issues or causes of
15. Other motions The party or parties expect to file the following motions before trial (specify motions)	oving party, type of motion, and issues):
16. Discovery a The party or parties have completed all discovery. b The following discovery will be completed by the date specified (describe Party	all anticipated discovery): <u>Date</u>
c. The following discovery issues, including issues regarding the discovery canticipated (specify): An important issue involves the possible identification of the specion of Officer O'Sullivan. Sacramento law enforcement have indicated inspection of the firearms until the criminal trial of the alleged murparties intend to raise with the Court whether the Court would (1) produced for physical inspection in this matter; or, in the alternative completed, at which point the firearms utilized in connection with the The parties agree an inspection of the firearms is an important through the firearms agree and for establish which, if any defendant is the	ific firearms used at the scene of the murder to Plaintiffs that they will not allow derer has concluded. At the CMC, the enter an order requiring that the firearms be ve, (2) stay the case until the criminal trial is the murder can be inspected by the parties. reshold issue that may potentially exculpate

CM-110

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Kelley and Denis O'Sullivan	CASE NUMBER:	
Chart Current Inc. et al.	34-2021-00302934	
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Gnost Gunner, Inc., et al.		
17. Economic litigation a. This is a limited civil case (i.e., the amount demanded is \$25,000 or less) and the economic litigation procedures in Code of Civil Procedure sections 90-98 will apply to this case.		
b. This is a limited civil case and a motion to withdraw the case from the discovery will be filed (if checked, explain specifically why economic should not apply to this case):		
 18. Other issues The party or parties request that the following additional matters be consconference (specify): See 16(c). 	sidered or determined at the case management	
19. Meet and confer a. The party or parties have met and conferred with all parties on all sul of Court (if not, explain):	bjects required by rule 3.724 of the California Rules	
 After meeting and conferring as required by rule 3.724 of the California R (specify): See 16(c). 	ules of Court, the parties agree on the following	
20. Total number of pages attached (if any):		
I am completely familiar with this case and will be fully prepared to discuss the status of discovery and alternative dispute resolution, as well as other issues raised by this statement, and will possess the authority to enter into stipulations on these issues at the time of the case management conference, including the written authority of the party where required.		
Date: 2/24/22		
Robert J. Nelson (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	SIGNATURE OF BARTY OF A TYCRAIRY	
Caitlin M. Nelson	(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY) OITIM) MILLON /	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY) dditional signatures are attached.	
•		

CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT FORM: ATTACHMENT 1(b)

This statement is submitted jointly by the parties listed below:

- KELLEY AND DENIS O'SULLIVAN;
- RYAN BEEZLEY AND BOB BEEZLEY d/b/a RBTACTICIALTOOLING.COM;
- THUNDER GUNS LLC, d/b/a THUNDERTACTICAL.COM;
- GHOST FIREARMS LLC, d/b/a GRID DEFENSE and GHOSTRIFLES.COM;
- BLACKHAWK MANUFACTURING GROUP INC., d/b/a 80PERCENTARMS.COM;
- POLYMER80, INC., d/b/a POLYMER80.COM and P80 TACTICAL;
- JAMES TROMBLEE, JR., d/b/a USPATRIOTARMORY.COM;
- JUGGERNAUT TACTICAL, INC. d/b/a JTACTICAL.COM;
- WM. C. ANDERSON, INC., d/b/a ANDERSONMANUFACTURING.COM; and
- GHOST GUNNER INC., d/b/a GHOSTGUNNER.NET.

CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT FORM: ATTACHMENT 3(b)(2)

The following parties have been served but have not appeared and have not been dismissed:

- MATRIX ARMS, d/b/a MATRIXARMS.COM;
- INDUSTRY ARMAMENT INC., d/b/a AMERICANWEAPONSCOMPONENTS.COM;
- JAMES MADISON TACTICAL LLC, d/b/a JAMESMADISONTACTICAL.COM;
- M-16 PARTS SUPPLY LLC, d/b/a M-16PARTS.COM;
- MFY TECHNICAL SOLUTIONS LLC, d/b/a 5DTACTICAL.COM;
- TACTICAL GEAR HEADS LLC, d/b/a 80-LOWER.COM;
- AR-15LOWERRECEIVERS.COM; and
- JSD SUPPLY, d/b/a JSDSUPPLY.COM and 80PERCENTGUYS.COM.

CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT FORM: ATTACHMENT 4(b)

The parties jointly submit this Attachment 4(b) to the Case Management Statement, as follows:

Plaintiffs' Statement

Plaintiffs' daughter, a Sacramento police officer, was killed in the line of duty by one or more ghost gun products. Plaintiffs seek damages for her pain and suffering, loss of property, medical expenses, funeral expenses, and future contributions. Plaintiffs further seek punitive damages and equitable relief, including implementation of responsible business practices and abatement of public nuisance relating to Defendants' ghost gun products. Market share liability allows a plaintiff to establish a prima facie case against a group of defendants for an injury caused by a harmful product when it cannot be determined from which defendant the product originated. Liability is apportioned according to each defendants' respective market share of sales of that product when the harm occurred. It is appropriately applied here, to the extent that the very nature of Defendants' ghost gun products renders them untraceable, and Defendants together comprise a substantial share of the ghost gun market.

Defendants' Statement

Plaintiffs allege that the shooting incident at issue was perpetrated using one or more semi-automatic rifles assembled using a variety of readily available component parts, including an unfinished lower receiver – or "receiver blank" – which was machined further to become an operable lower receiver incorporated into a functioning firearm. Plaintiffs seek to hold numerous manufacturers, distributors and retailers of unfinished lower receivers – which were legal to manufacture and sell – liable for the criminal misuse of their products by a third party. Plaintiffs, however, concede that they do not know which, if any, of the defendants named in this action manufactured the parts used by the shooter to assemble the firearms. Plaintiffs are pursuing a market share liability theory for this reason. Market share liability, however, is an alternative theory of liability applicable in a very narrow subset of product liability cases where a group of manufacturers produce from an identical formula a defective product that poses a singular, inherent risk of harm. It does not – and cannot – apply in this case.

2378881.1 CASE NO. 34-2021-00302934

CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT FORM: ATTACHMENT 8

Additional representation at trial will be as follows:

Sean A. Brady

Michel & Associates, P.C. Attorneys at Law 180 East Ocean Boulevard, Suite 200

Long Beach, CA 90802

Email: sbrady@michellawyers.com

Telephone: 562-216-4444 Facsimile: 562-216-4445

Attorneys for Defendants RYAN BEEZLEY AND BOB BEEZLEY d/b/a RBTACTICIALTOOLING.COM, THUNDER GUNS LLC, d/b/a THUNDERTACTICAL.COM, GHOST FIREARMS LLC, d/b/a GRID DEFENSE and GHOSTRIFLES.COM, and BLACKHAWK MANUFACTURING GROUP INC., d/b/a 80PERCENTARMS.COM

Germain D. Labat
 Greenspoon Marder LLP
 1875 Century Park East, Suite 1900
 Los Angeles, CA 90067
 Email: germain.labat@gmlaw.com

T. 1 . 1 (202) 000 4520

Telephone: (323) 880-4539

And

James McGuire GREENSPOON MARDER LLP 590 Madison Avenue, Suite 1800 New York, New York 10022 Telephone: (212)501-7673

Facsimile: (212) 524-5050

Email: james.mcguire@gmlaw.com

Attorneys for Defendants POLYMER80, INC., d/b/a POLYMER80.COM and P80 TACTICAL

David A. Melton
 Molly A. Flores
 MaryJo Smart
 Poter Scott Law Firm
 350 University Ave, Suite 200
 Sacramento, CA

Email: dmelton@porterscott.com

mflores@porterscott.com msmart@porterscott.com Telephone: 916.929.1481 Facsimile: 916.927.3706

And

Anthony M. Pisciotti
Danny Lallis
Ryan Erdreich
Pisciotti Lallis Erdreich, P.C.
30 Columbia Turnpike, Suite 205
Florham Park, NJ 07932
TEL: (973)245-8100

TEL: (973)245-8100 FAX: (973)245-8101

Email: apisciotti@pisciotti.com dlallis@pisciotti.com rerdreich@pisciotti.com

Attorneys for Defendant JAMES TROMBLEE, JR., d/b/a USPATRIOTARMORY.COM

Howard Schilsky

Renzulli Law Firm, LLP One N. Broadway, Suite 1005 White Plains, NY 10601

Email: hschilsky@renzullilaw.com

Telephone: 914-285-0700 Facsimile: 914-285-1213

Attorneys for Defendant JUGGERNAUT TACTICAL, INC. d/b/a JTACTICAL.COM

William A. Jenkins, Esq.
 Ericksen Arbuthnot
 100 Howe Ave., Ste. 110S

Sacramento, CA 95825 Email: wjenkins@ericksenarbuthnot.com

Telephone: (916) 483-5181 ext.223

Facsimile: (916) 483-7558

Attorneys for Defendant WM. C. ANDERSON, INC., d/b/a ANDERSONMANUFACTURING.COM

• David H. Pollock

Jacobsen & McElroy PC 2401 American River Drive, Suite 100 Sacramento, CA 95825

Email: dpollock@jacobsenmcelroy.com Telephone: (916) 971-4100 Ext. 231

Facsimile: (916) 971-4150

And

Grant D. Waterkotte
Tina M. Robinson
Pettit Kohn Ingrassia Lutz & Dolin PC
5901 W. Century Blvd., Suite 1100
Los Angeles, CA 90045
Email: gwaterkotte@pettitkohn.com

trobinson@pettitkohn.com Telephone: (310) 649-5772 Facsimile: (310) 649-5777

Attorneys for Defendant GHOST GUNNER INC., d/b/a GHOSTGUNNER.NET

2378893.1 CASE NO. 34-2021-00302934