	Case 5:22-cv-00501-BLF Document 29 F	iled 03/23/22 Page 1 of 3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JOSEPH W. COTCHETT (SBN 36324) jcotchett@cpmlegal.com TAMARAH P. PREVOST (SBN 313422) tprevost@cpmlegal.com ANDREW F. KIRTLEY (SBN 328023) akirtley@cpmlegal.com MELISSA MONTENEGRO (SBN 329099) mmontenegro@cpmlegal.com COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP San Francisco Airport Office Center 840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200 Burlingame, CA 94010 Telephone: (650) 697-6000 Facsimile: (650) 697-0577 <i>Attorneys for Defendants</i>	
11		
12	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT
13	FOR THE NORTHERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14	SAN JOSE I	DIVISION
15	SANJOSEI	
16	NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR GUN	Case No. 5:22-cv-00501-BLF
17	RIGHTS, INC. , a non-profit corporation, and MARK SIKES , an individual,	DECLARATION OF TAMARAH
18		PREVOST IN SUPPORT OF
19	Plaintiffs,	OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY
20	V.	INJUNCTION
21	CITY OF SAN JOSE , a public entity, JENNIFER MAGUIRE , in her official	Date: July 21, 2022 Time: 9:00 AM
22	capacity as City Manager of the City of San	Courtroom: 3 – 5th Floor
22 23	capacity as City Manager of the City of San Jose, and the CITY OF SAN JOSE CITY COUNCIL,	Judge: Hon. Beth Labson Freeman
	Jose, and the CITY OF SAN JOSE CITY COUNCIL,	
23	Jose, and the CITY OF SAN JOSE CITY	Judge: Hon. Beth Labson Freeman
23 24	Jose, and the CITY OF SAN JOSE CITY COUNCIL,	Judge: Hon. Beth Labson Freeman
23 24 25	Jose, and the CITY OF SAN JOSE CITY COUNCIL,	Judge: Hon. Beth Labson Freeman
23 24 25 26	Jose, and the CITY OF SAN JOSE CITY COUNCIL,	Judge: Hon. Beth Labson Freeman
23 24 25 26 27 28 ▲ LAW OFFICES	Jose, and the CITY OF SAN JOSE CITY COUNCIL, Defendants.	Judge: Hon. Beth Labson Freeman Complaint Filed: January 25, 2022
23 24 25 26 27 28 ♥	Jose, and the CITY OF SAN JOSE CITY COUNCIL,	Judge: Hon. Beth Labson Freeman Complaint Filed: January 25, 2022

Case 5:22-cv-00501-BLF Document 29 Filed 03/23/22 Page 2 of 3

1	I, Tamarah P. Prevost, hereby declare as follows:	
2	1. I am an attorney duly admitted to practice before all courts of the State of California	
3	and before the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, and am a partner with the	
4	law firm of Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP, counsel for Defendants City of San Jose, Jennifer	
5	Maguire, and City of San Jose City Council (collectively, "Defendants") in this matter.	
6	2. I make this Declaration in support of Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion	
7	for Preliminary Injunction.	
8	3. I have personal knowledge of each of the facts stated herein, and if called as a witness,	
9	I could and would testify competently to all facts herein.	
10	4. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of a June 29, 2021 San Jose	
11	City Council Memorandum.	
12	5. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is a true and correct copy of a January 25, 2022 San	
13	Jose City Council Agenda.	
14	6. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3 is a true and correct copy of a report prepared by the	
15	Pacific Institute for Research and Evaluation, entitled "Incidence and Cost of Firearm Injuries in San	
16	Jose, CA" dated January 19, 2022.	
17	7. Attached hereto as Exhibit 4 is a true and correct copy of the June 4, 2020 New	
18	England Journal of Medicine article, "Handgun Ownership and Suicide in California."	
19	8. Attached hereto as Exhibit 5 is a true and correct copy of the Educational Fund to	
20	Stop Gun Violence article, "Unintentional Shootings."	
21	9. Attached hereto as Exhibit 6 is a true and correct copy of the article, "Sandy Hook	
22	Families Settle Lawsuits Against Lanza Estate for \$1.5M" featured in the Hartford Courant.	
23	10. Attached hereto as Exhibit 7 is a true and correct copy of the December 15, 2020	
24	article, "Man Who Shot Intruder in His Home Sued for Wrongful Death" by Gilman & Bedigian,	
25	LLC.	
26	11. Attached hereto as Exhibit 8 is a true and correct copy of a "Supplemental	
27	Memorandum," dated January 21, 2022, from San Jose City Attorney Nora Frimann to the City	
28 🛛	Counsel, which contains hyperlinks to fourteen documents. Exhibits 4-10 to this Declaration	
LAW OFFICES Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP	DECL. OF TAMARAH PREVOST ISO OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS'1MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION; CASE NO. 5:22-CV-00501-BLF1	

Case 5:22-cv-00501-BLF Document 29 Filed 03/23/22 Page 3 of 3

1	(attached herein) are a sampling of the documents listed in this Supplemental Memorandum, and for
2	the Court's convenience, the remaining documents listed in the Supplemental Memorandum are
3	attached as a compendium hereto. The Supplemental Memorandum states: "The attached list
4	provides the citations for the various research and data sources used in the recitals of the proposed
5	ordinance that is being considered at the City Council's January 25, 2022 meeting. It may be useful
6	in Council's deliberations on the matter."
7	12. Attached hereto as Exhibit 9 is a true and correct copy of January 21, 2022 San Jose
8	City Council Memorandum.
9	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 22 nd
10	day of March 2022 at Burlingame, California.
11	<u>/s/ Tamarah P. Prevost</u>
12	TAMARAH P. PREVOST
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

LAW OFFICES COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY, LLP DECL. OF TAMARAH PREVOST ISO OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION; CASE NO. 5:22-CV-00501-BLF

۲