FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 06/29/2022 11:53 PM INDEX NO. 451625/2020

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 735

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/29/2022

Exhibit C

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/29/2022

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

```
Page 1
       SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
1
2
                   COUNTY OF NEW YORK
3
    PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF
    NEW YORK, BY LETICIA
4
    JAMES ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ) Index No.
    THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ) 451625/2020
5
                               )
                Plaintiffs,
6
                               ) VIDEOCONFERENCE/
                               ) VIDEOTAPED
    vs.
7
    THE NATIONAL RIFLE
                               ) DEPOSITION OF
    ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA,
8
    INC., WAYNE LAPIERRE, ) PETER R. BROWNELL
9
    WILSON PHILLIPS, JOHN
    FRAZER, AND JOSHUA POWELL )
10
               Defendants.
11
12
                THE VIDEOCONFERENCE/VIDEOTAPED
13
    DEPOSITION OF PETER R. BROWNELL, taken before
14
    Gale Sweeney Christensen, Certified Shorthand
15
    Reporter, Registered Professional Reporter,
16
    and Notary Public of the State of Iowa,
    commencing at 9:37 a.m., October 1, 2021, at
17
18
    Suite 3300, 801 Grand Avenue, Des Moines,
19
    Iowa.
20
21
22
23
    Reported by: Gale Sweeney Christensen,
24
                   CSR, RPR
25
```

212-267-6868 516-608-2400

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

INDEX NO. 451625/2020 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/29/2022

Page 320

MS. EISENBERG: Can you answer my question? How much more do you have?

MS. CONNELL: I would say I have twenty minutes at least.

MS. EISENBERG: Okay. So here is This is Svetlana my statement on the record: Eisenberg on behalf of the NRA. So this is a deposition that was noticed by the New York Attorney General's Office. At the beginning on the record, I asked them to clarify pursuant to which procedural Rule they are taking this deposition and that I assumed, in the absence of clarity, that this was being done pursuant to Article 31 of the CPLR. the record reflects, Ms. Connell refused to provide such clarity, but in the absence of a specific reference to a CPLR section in the subpoena or courtesy of Opposing Counsel providing me with that information, I am currently operating under the assumption that we are, indeed, proceeding within the strictures of Article 31 of the CPLR.

Assuming that's where we are, under Rule 3117 of the CPLR, the -- a deposition can be used at a trial or a hearing but on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

INDEX NO. 451625/2020 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/29/2022

Page 321

certain conditions. One of those is that the party against whom it's being used must have been present or represented as of the taking of the deposition. Clearly the NRA was present and represented. But the key provision of that Rule is that it permits use of depositions at trial only, quote, so far as admissible under the Rules of Evidence, closed quote.

So that takes us into the Rules of Evidence in New York. And they make crystal clear that the only way the deposition can be used against my client, the NRA, is if the NRA had the opportunity to be represented by Counsel at the deposition but also to cross-examine the witness. And that comes from Section 8.36, part 2, of the Guide to New York Evidence.

So here we are. We've been on the record for about seven hours. I have not had an opportunity to ask a single question. Ms. Connell, by my count, has covered over 25 different topics. So I do have quite a few cross-examination questions. I have not been given any opportunity to ask my

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/29/2022

Page 322

cross-examination questions with regard to any of the 25 or so topics covered by Ms. Connell. And sitting here right now, I estimate that I will have between two to three hours of cross-examination questions.

Now, I do recognize that under the Commercial Division Rule the deponent is presumptively going to be deposed only for seven hours, but the Rules also provide for the flexibility depending on the circumstances and the facts surrounding a particular witness. So I hope that we can work with Mr. Klinefeldt, Mr. Brownell's counsel, and the New York Attorney General's Office to find a mutually convenient time either the next week or the week after so that the NRA can have the opportunity to cross-examine the witness.

Of course, if we were denied that opportunity, we would take the position and do take the position that the deposition is not usable at a trial or a hearing against the NRA over the NRA's objections.

So we are available to meet and confer with Mr. Klinefeldt and everyone else,

INDEX NO. 451625/2020 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/29/2022

Page 323 1 but that is the NRA's position, and we 2 certainly are keeping this deposition 3 continuing and open for the reasons that I just said. 4 5 MR. KLINEFELDT: Would anyone else 6 like to make a record? 7 MR. CORRELL: Yes. This is Kent 8 Correll on behalf of Wayne LaPierre. I think 9 that Ms. Eisenberg stated it beautifully, and 10 I adopt the same position with respect to 11 Mr. LaPierre. 12 MR. FLEMING: This is William 13 Fleming. I just wanted to get on the record 14 to say that I do have questions for the 15 witness and agree. I hope we can kind of 16 work out a mutually convenient time for 17 everyone. Thank you. MR. MCLISH: Tom McLish for Josh 18 19 Powell. We reserve our rights to 20 cross-examine the witness and hope that we 21 can work it out to reconvene and finish the 22 deposition. 23 MR. FARBER: Yes, this is Seth 24 Farber on behalf of Mr. Phillips. We'll

reserve our rights to ask questions after the

25