Case No. 20-56233

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JONAH MARTINEZ, et al. Plaintiffs/Appellants,

v.

ALEX VILLANUEVA, et al. Defendants/Appellees

Appeal From The United States District Court for the Central District of California Honorable André Birotte Jr. Lower Court Docket No. 2:20-cv-02874-AB-SK

DEFENDANTS' MOTION UNDER F.R.A.P. 41 AND CIRCUIT RULE 41-1 FOR ISSUANCE OF REMAND AND MANDATE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REMAND AND MANDATE ORDER ISSUED IN A RELATED MATTER; DECLARATION OF JIN S. CHOI

PAUL B. BEACH, STATE BAR No. 166265 JIN S. CHOI, STATE BAR NO. 180270 LAWRENCE BEACH ALLEN & CHOI, PC 150 South Los Robles Avenue, Suite 660 Pasadena, California 91101 (818) 545-1925 Telephone

Attorneys for Defendants-Appellees
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES,
ALEX VILLANUEVA and BARBARA FERRER

This Panel "resolve[d] this case for the reasons set forth in *McDougall v*. *County of Ventura*, No. 20-56220, __ F.4th __ (9th Cir. Jan. 20, 2022), a related case involving different parties but materially similar issues." *See*, Panel Memorandum at 1-2, filed January 20, 2022.

On March 8, 2022, however, this Court entered an order that *sua sponte* granted *en banc* review in *McDougall*, and vacated the Panel's published opinion in said case. *See*, *McDougall* Docket. *En banc* oral argument was scheduled for June 21, 2022. *Id*.

Accordingly, Defendants/Appellees filed a motion to stay the issuance of mandate in this case until the resolution of the *en banc* proceeding in *McDougall*. This Court granted the motion on March 14, 2022. (ECF 43.)

On June 29, 2022, the *en banc* Panel in *McDougall* published their opinion, stating:

The district court's judgment is vacated, and this case is remanded to the district court for further proceedings consistent with the United States Supreme Court's decision in *New York State Rifle & Pistol Ass'n, Inc. v. Bruen*, 597 U.S. _____ (2022).

The parties shall bear their own attorney's fees, costs and expenses. This order constitutes the mandate of this court.

McDougall v. County of Ventura, No. 20-56220, 2022 U.S. App. LEXIS 18039 (9th Cir. June 29, 2022).

Case: 20-56233, 06/30/2022, ID: 12484238, DktEntry: 44, Page 3 of 4

Because this Panel's ruling in this case was directly tied to the original Panel decision in *McDougall* — which has been superseded by the just published *en banc* opinion — Defendants/Appellees respectfully request that this Panel vacate its January 20, 2022 memorandum decision, and issue a remand and mandate order consistent with the order issued in *McDougall*.

Plaintiffs/Appellants were notified of this Motion, and they confirmed that they will not oppose this Motion. (Declaration of Jin S. Choi, \P 2.)

Dated: June 30, 2022 Respectfully submitted,

LAWRENCE BEACH ALLEN & CHOI, PC

By /s/ Jin S. Choi
Paul B. Beach
Jin S. Choi
Attorneys for Defendants/Appellees
County of Los Angeles, Barbara

Ferrer, and Alex Villanueva

Case: 20-56233, 06/30/2022, ID: 12484238, DktEntry: 44, Page 4 of 4

DECLARATION OF JIN S. CHOI

I, Jin S. Choi, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney at law, duly authorized to practice before this Court and I am a shareholder in the law firm of Lawrence Beach Allen & Choi, PC, attorneys of record for Defendants/Appellees County of Los Angeles, Sheriff Alex Villanueva (in his official capacity only), and County Public Health Director Barbara Ferrer (in her official capacity only) in the within entitled action. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein. If called to testify to the matters herein, I could and would competently do so.
- 2. On June 30, 2022, Plaintiffs/Appellants' counsel, Mr. Raymond DiGuiseppe, was advised by email of this Motion. Counsel for Appellants advised my office that Appellants will not oppose this Motion.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on June 30, 2022, at Pasadena, California.

s/ Jin. S. Choi	
Jin S. Choi	