

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

**GREGORY T. ANGELO, ET AL.**

Plaintiffs,

v.

**DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, ET AL.**

Defendants.

---

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

Civil Action No. 22-cv-1878 RDM

**ORDER**

(Proposed)

Before the Court is Defendants’ motion for expedited discovery and responsive pleadings thereto. Defendants seek to propound six interrogatories to Plaintiffs relating to their use of the Metro system and whether they have been subject to criminal attacks either on public transportation or otherwise in the District of Columbia. Defendants assert the interrogatories will provide information relevant to whether Plaintiffs have standing and whether Plaintiffs are suffering irreparable harm.

Plaintiffs’ Complaint alleges sufficient facts from which the Court can conclude they possess standing inasmuch as they hold District of Columbia concealed carry licenses, aver they ride the Metro system and would carry concealed handguns on the Metro system if DC Code Section 7-2509.07(a)(6) is declared invalid as unconstitutional. Moreover, the law is clear that where a constitutional violation is alleged, the irreparable harm requirement for a preliminary injunction is met. Although the requested discovery has potential relevance to the extent of Plaintiffs’ damages, at this stage in consideration of injunctive relief, the requested discovery is not likely to lead to the adduction of relevant evidence.

Accordingly, the Court will deny Defendants motion.

IT IS ORDERED that Defendants' motion for expedited discovery is DENIED.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2022

---

Hon. Randolph D. Moss  
District Court Judge