1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	The DiGuiseppe Law Firm, P.C. Raymond M. DiGuiseppe CA State Bar No. 228457 4320 Southport-Supply Road Suite 300 Southport, NC 28461 P: 910-713-8804 E: law.rmd@gmail.com Michael P. Sousa Law Offices of Michael P. Sousa, APC 3232 Governor Dr., Suite A San Diego, CA 92122 T: 858-453-6122 E: msousa@msousalaw.com William Sack Firearms Policy Coalition 5550 Painted Mirage Road, Suite 320 Las Vegas, NV 89149 Appearing Pro Hac Vice Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Rob Bonta Attorney General of California Anthony R. Hakl Supervising Deputy Attorney General Rita B. Bosworth Deputy Attorney General CA State Bar No. 234964 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Ste 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102 P: 415-510-3592 E: <u>Rita.Bosworth@doj.ca.gov</u> <i>Attorneys for Defendants</i>	
17 18	LINITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT	
18 19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
20		Case No.: 20-cv-2190-DMS-DEB	
20	Plaintiffs,		
22	7/0	JOINT STIPULATION AND MOTION TO VACATE	
23	vs.	SCHEDULING ORDER	
24	ROB BONTA, et al., Defendants.		
25			
26			
27 28	The parties hereby make this joint stip Scheduling Order for the reasons that follow	pulation and motion to vacate the pretrial w:	

1

On June 23, 2022, the United States Supreme Court issued its opinion in *New York State Rifle & Pistol Association, Inc. v. Bruen,* U.S. \_\_, 142 S.Ct. 2111
 (2022), and significantly changed the legal landscape in Second Amendment cases
 by establishing a new framework for analyzing Second Amendment claims.

*Bruen* is already impacting other cases involving Second Amendment claims. *See e.g., McDougall v. Ventura County*, Ninth Circuit case no. 20-56220 (reversing
and remanding for further proceedings consistent with *Bruen*); *Martinez v. Villanueva*, Ninth Circuit case no. 20-56233 (same); *Nguyen v. Bonta*, case no. 3:20cv-02470-WQH-MDD (ordering supplemental briefing and inviting submission of
supplemental evidence on pending cross-motions for summary judgment, so as to
address the proper resolution of the claims in light of *Bruen's* impact on the analysis).

It is also expected that *Bruen* will significantly impact the manner in which
the Second Amendment claims are resolved here. Accordingly, the parties stipulate
and agree that the current Scheduling Order (ECF No. 30; ECF No. 32) be vacated,
and they respectfully request the Court's consent to do so for good cause under FRCP
rule 16(b)(4).

The parties further stipulate and agree that Plaintiffs will file a secondamended complaint on or before August 22, 2022, with the case to thereafter proceed in accordance with the process and timelines set forth in the applicable rules. A new scheduling order and any other matters related to the process ahead can

be discussed and resolved as necessary and appropriate for efficiency at the upcoming status conference currently scheduled for July 29, 2022.

Dated: July 19, 2022 Respec

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Raymond M. DiGuiseppe

The DiGuiseppe Law Firm, P.C. Raymond M. DiGuiseppe CA State Bar No. 228457 4320 Southport-Supply Road Suite 300

2

1 2	P: 910	port, NC 28461 0-713-8804	
3		.rmd@gmail.com	
4		a Bosworth	
5	5 Deput	y Attorney General	
6	CA St	ate Bar No. 234964 olden Gate Avenue, Ste. 11000	
7	455 G	rancisco, CA 94102-7004	
8	-	none: (415) 510-3592 : Rita.Bosworth@doj.ca.gov	
9		. Inita. Dosworin e doj.ou.gov	
10	CERTIFICATION		
11	I certify that I have obtained authorization to affix to this document the		
12	electronic signatures of the above-listed signatories, pursuant to Section 2(f)(4) of		
13	the Electronic Case Filing Administrative Policies and Procedures Manual.		
14	DATED: July 19, 2022	ymond M. DiGuiseppe	
15	5 Raym	ond M. DiGuiseppe	
16	5		
17	7		
18	3		
19			
20	)		
21			
22	2		
23	3		
24	4		
25	5		
26	5		
27	7		
28	3		
	3		
	5		