Case 2:17-cv-00903-WBS-KJN Document 28-11 Filed 06/14/17 Page 1 of 4

SEILER EPSTEIN ZIEGLER & APPLEGATE LLP Attorneys at Law	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	George M. Lee (SBN 172982) Douglas A. Applegate (SBN 142000) SEILER EPSTEIN ZIEGLER & APPLEGATE LLP 601 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, California 94111 Phone: (415) 979-0500 Fax: (415) 979-0511 Raymond M. DiGuiseppe (SBN 228457) LAW OFFICES OF RAYMOND MARK DIGTA 4002 Executive Park Blvd., Suite 600 Southport, NC 28461 Phone: (910) 713-8804 Fax: (910) 672-7705 Attorneys for Plaintiffs WILLIAM WIESE, JEREMIAH MORRIS, LANCE COWLEY, SHERMAN MACASTON, ADAM RICHARDS, CLIFFORD FLORES, L.Q. DANG, FRANK FEDEREAU, ALAN NOR TODD NIELSEN, THE CALGUNS FOUNDATI FIREARMS POLICY COALITION, FIREARMS POLICY FOUNDATION, and SECOND AMENDMENT FOUNDATION UNITED STATES D	RMANDY, ION, DISTRICT COURT
	16	FOR THE EASTERN DIST	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	17	WILLIAM WIESE, et al.,	Case No. 2:17-cv-00903-WBS-KJN
	18	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF LUONG Q. DANG IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
	19	VS.	TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND ISSUANCE OF PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
	20		
	21	XAVIER BECERRA, in his official capacity as Attorney General of California, et al.,	[FRCP 65; E.D. L.R. 231]
	22	Defendants.	Date: TBA Time: TBA
	23	2 oronaans.	Courtroom 5
	24		Judge: Hon. William B. Shubb
	25		
	26	//	
	27	//	
	28	//	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DECLARATION OF LUONG Q. DANG

I, Luong Q. Dang, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an adult resident of the County of Alameda, California, and I am a named plaintiff in this matter. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this declaration, and if called as a witness, could competently testify thereto.
- 2. This declaration is executed in support of plaintiffs' motion for the issuance of a temporary restraining order, and issuance of a preliminary injunction, made pursuant to FRCP 65, whereby we are seeking an injunction prohibiting the temporary/permanent enforcement of the prohibition on the possession of large-capacity magazines, set forth in Pen. Code § 32310, subidy. (c) and (d) as enacted in 2016.
- 3. After emigrating from Vietnam after the conflict there, I have lived in California. I am a law-abiding individual, and I am not prohibited from owning firearms.
- 4. Since I emigrated to the United States, I have been a long-standing collector of firearms, some of which are rare and unique. In conjunction with such collection, I acquired, before 2000, two large capacity magazines as that term is defined by the statute. These largecapacity magazines were originally manufactured for, and made a part of a Steyr GB pistol, chambered in 9mm, which I subsequently purchased and acquired legally.
- 5. As far as I am aware, the magazines that I have for the Steyr GB pistol were the only magazines made for that pistol. On information and belief, I am not aware of the existence of any subsequently-manufactured ten-round magazines compatible with this particular pistol. Without these magazines, I have no way of operating this pistol. I therefore believe that the law that would require me to relinquish these magazines presents a substantial burden on my rights as a gun and property owner.
- 6. I have therefore brought this action on my own behalf, and in a representative capacity on behalf of the class of law-abiding California citizens who have lawfully possessed large-capacity magazines (as that term is defined by statute), since before 2000. Each of these "pre-ban" magazines which I own are inherent operating parts of firearms. Each such firearm

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

containing these "pre-ban" large capacity magazines is lawfully owned by me, as is my right, as guaranteed by the U.S. Constitution.

- 7. I do not wish to remove or sell these pre-ban large-capacity magazines in my possession, as they are literally irreplaceable, given the prohibition on the further purchase, acquisition or manufacture of such items under California law. I am unwilling to destroy or surrender these pre-ban large capacity magazines in my possession.
- 8. Therefore, I believe that these large-capacity magazines in my possession have substantial value, as irreplaceable items. Moreover, it would likely cost me substantial amounts to replace the magazines with functional equivalents, using magazines holding ten or fewer rounds, if any are or even would be available at all. In my view, this deprives me of the beneficial use of the firearm as it was intended to be used, and undermines its utility.
- 9. I believe, as the owner of a firearm for which no magazines holding 10 or fewer rounds is available, that I am subject to the exemption set forth in Pen. Code § 32406, subdiv. (f), as enacted pursuant to SB 1446, because I have lawfully possessed these large-capacity magazines solely for use with the Steyr GB. However, I am informed and believe that there are two separate versions of Pen. Code § 32406, both of which are chaptered and are currently on the books. I am unable to tell which version controls. I am told that the Attorney General has taken the position in other litigation that the Proposition 63 version (to which no such exception applies), controls because it was passed after the SB 1446 version was passed and signed into law. I therefore desire clarification by the court on this point.
- 10. Because of these facts and circumstances, as of July 1, unless the enforcement of Pen. Code § 32310(c) is enjoined, I will suffer a permanent physical deprivation of personal property, which happen to be inherent, operating parts of firearms, the ownership of which is protected by the Constitution. I will therefore and for the reasons stated here suffer irreparable injury unless the law is enjoined.

// 28

//

1

2

3

	4	
	5 6 7 8 9	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
Attorneys at Law	11	
	12	
	13	
×	14	
at La	15	
torneys at	16	
ttori	17	
A	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
1	22 23	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	

28

11. As of	July 1, 2017, if Penal Code § 32310(c) remains in effect and is enforced, I			
will comply with the	e law, but in so doing, I will be deprived of all beneficial use of the property			
For these reasons stated in this declaration, I am respectfully asking the court to enjoin the				
enforcement of the b	oan on the prohibition of these "pre-ban" large-capacity magazines set forth			
in Pen. Code § 3231	0(c) and (d) as amended.			

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on June 10 2017.

burg grang

Luong Q. Dang