	Case 2:17-cv-00903-WBS-KJN Document	43 Filed 06/15/17 Page 1 of 3	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		TES DISTRICT COURT TRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SACRAMENTO DIVISION		
12			
13 14	WILLIAM WIESE, et al., Plaintiffs,	2:17-cv-00903-WBS-KJN  STIPULATION RE EXTENSION OF	
15	v.	TIME TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO COMPLAINT	
16 17	XAVIER BECERRA, et al.,	(Local Rule 144(a))	
18 19	Defendants.	Courtroom: 5, 14th Floor Judge: Hon. William B. Shubb Trial Date: None Set Action Filed: April 28, 2017	
20		7 telloli i lied. 7 pili 20, 2017	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	1		
	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order (2:17-cv-00903-WBS-KJN)		

## Case 2:17-cv-00903-WBS-KJN Document 43 Filed 06/15/17 Page 2 of 3

1	Plaintiffs William Wiese, Jeremiah Morris, Lance Cowley, Sherman Macaston, Adam	
2	Richards, Clifford Flores, L.Q. Dang, Frank Federeau, Alan Normandy, Todd Nielsen, the	
3	Calguns Foundation, Firearms Policy Coalition, Firearms Policy Foundation, and Second	
4	Amendment Foundation (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), and Defendants Attorney General Xavier	
5	Becerra, in his official capacity, and Acting Chief Martha Supernor (collectively, "Defendants,"	
6	and together with Plaintiffs, the "Parties"), by and through their respective counsel, hereby	
7	stipulate and agree as follows:	
8	WHEREAS, on April 28, 2017, Plaintiffs filed their Complaint for Declaratory and	
9	Injunctive Relief;	
10	WHEREAS, on May 22, 2017, the parties stipulated to and Defendants filed a stipulation	
11	for an extension of time to respond to the originally-filed complaint;	
12	WHEREAS, on June 5, 2017, Plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint for	
13	Declaratory and Injunctive Relief;	
14	WHEREAS, on June 12, 2017 Plaintiffs filed their Motion for Temporary Restraining	
15	Order, Motion for Preliminary Injunction, which was renewed and refiled on June 14, 2017;	
16	WHEREAS, Defendants' last day to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiffs' First	
17	Amended Complaint currently is June 20, 2017;	
18	WHEREAS, in the interest of efficiency and economy, the Parties agree that Defendants'	
19	time to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint should be extended until after the	
20	resolution of the Motion for Preliminary Injunction;	
21	WHEREAS, no previous extensions have been sought since the filing of Plaintiffs' First	
22	Amended Complaint;	
23	THEREFORE, pursuant to Local Rule 144 (a) and in consideration of the foregoing, it is	
24	hereby stipulated that:	
25	Defendant's last day to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's First Amended	
26	Complaint shall be no later than 21 days after entry of this Court's order regarding the Motion for	
27	Preliminary Injunction.	

	Case 2:17-cv-00903-wBS-KJN   Document 43	Filed 06/15/17 Page 3 of 3
1	Dated: June 15, 2017	Respectfully submitted,
2		XAVIER BECERRA
3		Attorney General of California TAMAR PACHTER Supervising Deputy Attorney General
4		Supervising Deputy Attorney General JOHN D. ECHEVERRIA Deputy Attorney General
5		/s/ Alexandra Robert Gordon Alexandra Robert Gordon
<ul><li>6</li><li>7</li></ul>		Deputy Attorney General  Attorneys for Defendants
8	Dated: June 15, 2017	Seiler Epstein Ziegler & Applegate LLP
9		
10		
11		/s/ George M. Lee George M. Lee
12		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
13		
14	HAVING CONSIDERED THE STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, AND GOOD CAUSE	
15	APPEARING, IT IS SO ORDERED:	
16	Dated:	
17		Hon. William B. Shubb
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>		
40	3	
	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order (2:17-cv-00903-WBS-KJN)	