	Case 2:17-cv-00903-WBS-KJN Document 8	34 Filed 07/16	/18 Page 1 of 7					
1	XAVIER BECERRA, State Bar No. 118517							
2	Attorney General of California MARK R. BECKINGTON, State Bar No. 126009							
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General JOHN D. ECHEVERRIA, State Bar No. 268843							
4	Deputy Attorney General 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702							
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6249 Fax: (213) 897-5775							
6	E-mail: John.Echeverria@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Defendants							
7 8	George M. Lee, State Bar No. 172982 Douglas A. Applegate, State Bar No. 142000							
9	SEILER EPSTEIN ZIEGLER & APPLEGATE I 601 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000	LLP						
10	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 979-0500							
11	Fax: (415) 979-0511							
12	Raymond M. DiGuiseppe, State Bar No. 228457 LAW OFFICES OF RAYMOND MARK DIGUISEPPE, PLLC 2 North Front Street, Fifth Floor							
13								
14	Wilmington, NC 28401 Telephone: (910) 713-8804							
15	Fax: (910) 672-7705 Attorneys for Plaintiffs							
16	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
17	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
18	SACRAMENTO DIVISION							
19								
20	WILLIAM WIESE, et al.,	2:17-cv-00903-	WBS-KJN					
21	Plaintiff,	JOINT STATU	JS REPORT					
22	v.	Date:	July 30, 2018					
23		Time: Courtroom:	1:30 p.m. 5, 14th Floor					
24	XAVIER BECERRA, et al.,	Trial Date:	Hon. William B. Shubb None Set					
25	Defendant.	Action Filed:	April 28, 2017					
26								
27	Pursuant to the Court's Order entered on March 23, 2018 (Dkt. No. 82), and in accordance							
28	with this Court's April 28, 2017 Order re Status (Pretrial Scheduling Conference) (Dkt. No. 4)							
	Joint Status Report (2:17-cv-00903-WBS-KJN)							
	Voint Sattas Report (2.		· · · · · · ·					

Case 2:17-cv-00903-WBS-KJN Document 84 Filed 07/16/18 Page 2 of 7

(the "April 28 Order"), the parties hereby jointly submit this Joint Status Report in advance of the Scheduling Conference presently set for July 30, 2018, at 1:30 p.m.

I. REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF STAY AND CONTINUANCE OF SCHEDULING CONFERENCE.

On March 23, 2018, the Court stayed the instant proceedings pending the outcome of the California Attorney General's appeal of the grant of a preliminary injunction in *Duncan v*.

Becerra, Ninth Circuit Case No. 17-56081, through and until the Scheduling Conference set for July 30, 2018. Oral argument in the *Duncan* appeal was held on May 14, 2018, after which the Ninth Circuit took the matter under submission. As of the date of this filing, the Ninth Circuit has yet to issue a decision in the appeal. To provide additional time for the Ninth Circuit to issue a decision, and because such a decision may provide guidance to this Court in ruling on Defendants' planned motion to dismiss Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, the parties respectfully request that the Court continue the Scheduling Conference, and extend the stay of the instant proceedings, by approximately two months, to September 24, 2018, or to another date that is convenient for the Court, subject to any party's ability to inform the Court of any developments that would warrant a lifting of the stay and rescheduling the Scheduling Conference at an earlier date, including the issuance of a decision in the *Duncan* appeal. Pursuant to Local Rule 137(b), the parties have submitted herewith a proposed order conforming to this request.

II. STATEMENTS ON SUBJECTS LISTED IN THE APRIL 28 ORDER.

In addition to the parties' request for a continuance of the Scheduling Conference, and an extension of the stay, to accommodate the forthcoming Ninth Circuit decision in *Duncan*, the parties hereby provide statements on the subjects listed in paragraph 2 of the April 28 Order:

a. Summary of the Claims

Plaintiffs challenge California's prohibition on the possession of firearm magazines that can hold more than ten rounds of ammunition, or "large-capacity magazines," as set forth in California Penal Code § 32310. Plaintiffs claim that the prohibition (1) violates the Second Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, (2) violates the Takings Clauses of the U.S. and California Constitutions and the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution,

(3) is unconstitutionally vague, (4) is unconstitutionally vague and overbroad, and (5) violates the Equal Protection Clauses of the U.S. and California Constitutions. **Status of Service** b. All Defendants have been served with the Third Amended Complaint. There are no crossdefendants in this action. Possible Joinder of Additional Parties c. The parties do not anticipate joining any additional parties in this action. d. **Contemplated Amendments to the Pleadings** Plaintiffs have filed a Third Amended Complaint and do not plan to further amend the pleadings in this action. **Jurisdiction and Venue** e. under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

The Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1367(a). Venue is proper

Proposed Discovery Plan f.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Pursuant to the Court's Order issued on March 12, 2018 (Dkt. No. 80), discovery in this action has been stayed pending resolution of Defendants' planned motion to dismiss the Third Amended Complaint. If the Third Amended Complaint survives a motion to dismiss, and the stay on discovery is lifted, the parties will submit a discovery plan after resolution of the motion to dismiss.

Proposed Discovery Cut-Off Date g.

Pursuant to the Court's Order issued on March 12, 2018 (Dkt. No. 80), discovery in this action has been stayed pending resolution of Defendants' planned motion to dismiss the Third Amended Complaint. If the Third Amended Complaint survives a motion to dismiss, and the stay on discovery is lifted, the parties will submit a discovery cut-off date after resolution of the motion to dismiss.

h. **Proposed Cut-Off Date for All Motions**

Pursuant to the Court's Order issued on March 12, 2018 (Dkt. No. 80), discovery in this action has been stayed pending resolution of Defendants' planned motion to dismiss the Third

Case 2:17-cv-00903-WBS-KJN Document 84 Filed 07/16/18 Page 4 of 7

Amended Complaint. If the Third Amended Complaint survives a motion to dismiss, and the stay on discovery is lifted, the parties will submit motion cut-off dates after resolution of the motion to dismiss.

i. Proposed Modification of Standard Pretrial Proceedings

The parties do not anticipate proposing any modifications to the standard pretrial proceedings due to any special nature of this action.

j. Estimated Length of Trial

If the action is not dismissed, and the case proceeds to trial, the parties estimate a bench trial of up to seven (7) days.

k. Statement of Related Cases

There are no related cases pending in the Eastern District of California.

This case is similar to *Duncan v. Becerra*, No. 17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB, which is pending before the Honorable Roger T. Benitez in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. In that action, the court entered a preliminary injunction enjoining enforcement of the possession ban on large-capacity magazines, which the California Attorney General has appealed to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. The appeal has been fully briefed, and oral argument has been set for May 14, 2018.

l. Other Matters Discussed in Local Rule 240

As discussed in Section I, *supra*, the parties request that the Court continue the Status Conference and extend the stay by 60 days, or to a date that is convenient for the Court, to provide additional time for the Ninth Circuit to issue a decision in the *Duncan* appeal; any such decision may provide guidance for this Court's disposition of this action. There are no other matters discussed in Local Rule 240 that may add to the just and expeditious disposition of this matter.

m. Nongovernmental Corporate Disclosure Statement

On June 5, 2017, Plaintiffs filed a Corporate Disclosure Statement pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 7.1. (Docket No. 8.) There have been no changes to the information contained in the Corporate Disclosure Statement. In accordance with the April 28 Order, and for

Case 2:17-cv-00903-WBS-KJN Document 84 Filed 07/16/18 Page 5 of 7

the convenience of the Court, Plaintiffs provide the following information contained in their Corporate Disclosure Statement:

- Plaintiff The Calguns Foundation, Inc. is a non-profit membership organization, incorporated under the laws of California. This plaintiff does not have a parent corporation, and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock or membership interest.
- Plaintiff Firearms Policy Coalition, Inc. is a non-profit membership organization, incorporated under the laws of Delaware. This plaintiff does not have a parent corporation, and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock or membership interest.
- Plaintiff Firearms Policy Foundation, Inc. is a non-profit membership organization, incorporated under the laws of Delaware. This plaintiff does not have a parent corporation, and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock or membership interest.
- Plaintiff Second Amendment Foundation, Inc. is a non-profit membership
 organization, incorporated under the laws of Delaware. This plaintiff does not have a
 parent corporation, and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock
 or membership interest.

	Case 2:17-cv-00903-WBS-KJN	Document 84	Filed 07/16/18 Page 6 of 7
1	Dated: July 16, 2018		XAVIER BECERRA
2			Attorney General of California MARK R. BECKINGTON
3			Supervising Deputy Attorney General
4			
5			/s/ John D. Echeverria JOHN D. ECHEVERRIA
6			Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Defendants
7			Thorneys for Defendants
8			
9	Dated: July 16, 2018		SEILER EPSTEIN ZIEGLER & APPLEGATE LLP
10			
11			/s/ George M. Lee
12			(as authorized on July 16, 2018) George M. Lee
13			Attorneys for Plaintiffs
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
20		6	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Case Name:	Wiese, William, et al. v. Xavier Becerra, et al.	No.	2:17-cv-00903-WBS-KJN					
I hereby certify that on <u>July 16, 2018</u> , I electronically filed the following documents with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system:								
JOINT STATUS REPORT								
I certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the CM/ECF system.								
	or penalty of perjury under the laws of the d that this declaration was executed on a							

Declarant

Beth Capulong

/s/ Beth Capulong

Signature

SA2017106942 62897642.docx