1

2

1	Pursuant to Rules 7.2 and 12.1 of the Local Rules for the United States District
2	Court for the Southern District of California, and this Court's Civil Case Procedures
3	Plaintiffs B & L Productions, Inc., d/b/a Crossroads of the West; Ronald J. Diaz, Sr.
4	John Dupree; Christopher Irick; Robert Solis; Lawrence M. Walsh; Captain Jon's
5	Lockers, LLC.; LAX Firing Range, Inc., d/b/a LAX Ammo; California Rifle &
6	Pistol Association, Incorporated; South Bay Rod and Gun Club, Inc.; and Second
7	Amendment Foundation (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), and Defendants Governor Gavir
8	Newsom; Attorney General Rob Bonta; Karen Ross, Secretary of California
9	Department of Food & Agriculture; the 22nd District Agricultural Association; and
10	District Attorney Summer Stephan (collectively, "Defendants," and together with
11	Plaintiffs, the "Parties"), by and through their attorneys, hereby stipulate and jointly
12	move as follows:
13	WHEREAS, on August 18, 2022, this Court granted the Defendants' motions
14	to dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint with leave to file an amended complaint by August
15	31, 2022 (ECF No. 35);
16	WHEREAS, the Plaintiffs filed a First Amended Complaint on August 31,
17	2022 (ECF No. 36);
18	WHEREAS, the First Amended Complaint (ECF No. 36) includes new
19	allegations to support the nine claims previously alleged in the Complaint, and raises
20	a new claim under the Second Amendment. The Complaint (ECF No. 1) raised
21	constitutional claims only under the First Amendment and Equal Protection Clause,
22	but the First Amended Complaint also raises a Second Amendment claim for the
23	first time following the United States Supreme Court's decision in N.Y. State Rifle &
24	Pistol Ass'n v. Bruen, 142 S.Ct. 2111 (2022);
25	WHEREAS, on September 8, 2022, Plaintiffs filed a "Notice of Errata Re: Firs
26	Amended Complaint" (ECF No. 37), which included a redlined version of the First
27	Amended Complaint compared to Complaint, as required under Local Rule 15.1(c).
28	This redlined version was inadvertently omitted from the filing of the First Amended

1 Complaint on August 31, 2022; 2 WHEREAS, under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(3), the Defendants' 3 responses to the First Amended Complaint are currently due on September 14, 2022; 4 WHEREAS, Defendants have notified the Plaintiffs of their intent to move to 5 dismiss the First Amended Complaint; 6 WHEREAS, the Parties agree that an extended briefing schedule is in the best 7 interest of the Court and the Parties to give sufficient time for the Parties to prepare 8 their briefing given the number and complexity of the claims and issues raised in the 9 First Amended Complaint, including a new claim under the Second Amendment; 10 WHEREAS, it would be less burdensome on the Court for the Parties to file a 11 joint motion for an extended briefing schedule, as opposed to filing two joint motions: one to extend the deadline for the motion to dismiss, and one to extend the 12 13 deadline for the opposition and reply briefs; 14 WHEREAS, the Parties agree to an extension of 45 days for Defendants to file 15 their motions to dismiss, which would result in the motions to dismiss being due on 16 October 31, 2022 because the 45th day falls on a Saturday (October 29, 2022); 17 WHEREAS, the Parties agree that Plaintiffs' opposition brief would be due 28 18 days after the extended deadline for the motions to dismiss, which would result in 19 the opposition brief being due on November 28, 2022; 20 WHEREAS, the Parties agree that Defendants' reply briefs to support their 21 motions to dismiss would be due 21 days after the deadline for the Plaintiffs' 22 opposition brief, which would result in the reply briefs being due on December 19, 2022; 23 24 WHEREAS, Defendants will obtain a hearing date from the Court for the 25 motions to dismiss in accordance with this Court's Civil Case Procedures and Local 26 Rule 7.1(e). 27 NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing, the Parties further 28 stipulate and jointly move as follows: