

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8 CALIFORNIA RIFLE AND PISTOL  
9 ASSOCIATION, INCORPORATED , et al.

10 Plaintiff(s),

11 v.

12 CITY OF GLENDALE, et al.

13 Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:22-cv-07346-SB-JC

**MANDATORY SCHEDULING  
CONFERENCE (MSC) ORDER**

**Date: December 16, 2022**

**Time: 8:30 a.m.**

**Courtroom: 6C**

14  
15  
16 **READ THIS ORDER CAREFULLY BECAUSE IT CONTROLS THIS CASE**  
17 **AND DIFFERS IN PART FROM THE LOCAL RULES. FAILURE TO**  
18 **COMPLY MAY RESULT IN SANCTIONS**

19 This case has been assigned to Judge Stanley Blumenfeld, Jr. and is set for  
20 scheduling conference pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b) on the above date in  
21 Courtroom 6C of the First Street Courthouse, 350 West First Street, Los Angeles,  
22 CA, 90012.

23  
24 **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

- 25 **1. Preliminary Matters**  
26 **2. Mandatory Scheduling Conference (MSC)**  
27 **3. Joint 26(f) Report**  
28 **4. Case Management Order (CMO)**

1     **1.    PRELIMINARY MATTERS**

- 2           **a.    Self-Represented Parties.** Parties appearing pro se must comply with  
3           the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules. *See* L.R. 1-3,  
4           83-2.2.3. “Counsel,” as used in this order, includes parties appearing pro  
5           se.
- 6           **b.    Notice to be Provided by Counsel.** Plaintiff’s counsel or, if plaintiff is  
7           appearing pro se, defendant’s counsel, shall provide this Order to all  
8           known parties who have not yet appeared or who appear after the date of  
9           this Order.
- 10          **c.    Court’s Website.** This and all other applicable standing orders in this  
11          case are available on Judge Blumenfeld’s Webpage  
12          (<https://www.cacd.uscourts.gov/honorable-stanley-blumenfeld-jr>). The  
13          Local Rules are available on the Central District of California website  
14          (<https://www.cacd.uscourts.gov/court-procedures/local-rules>).
- 15          **d.    Pleadings.** If plaintiff has not previously served the operative complaint  
16          on all defendants, plaintiff shall promptly do so and file proof(s) of  
17          service within 3 days thereafter. Each defendant likewise shall promptly  
18          serve and file a responsive pleading and file proof of service within 3  
19          days thereafter (if not previously done). At the scheduling conference,  
20          the Court will set a deadline—usually within approximately 60 days—for  
21          hearing motions to amend the pleadings or add parties (including DOE  
22          defendants).
- 23          **e.    Discovery.** The parties are reminded of their obligations to (i) make  
24          initial disclosures “without awaiting a discovery request” (Fed. R. Civ.  
25          P. 26(a)(1)) and (ii) confer on a discovery plan *at least* 21 days before the  
26          scheduling conference (Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)). The Court encourages  
27          counsel to agree to begin to conduct discovery actively *before* the  
28          scheduling conference. At the very least, the parties shall comply fully

with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a), producing most of what is required in the early stage of discovery, because the Court will impose strict deadlines to complete discovery in the case management order.

## 2. **MANDATORY SCHEDULING CONFERENCE (MSC)**

- a. **Continuance.** A request to continue the scheduling conference will be granted only for good cause. The parties should plan to file the Joint Rule 26(f) Report on the original due date even if a continuance of the MSC is granted. The Court will not continue the MSC to allow the parties to explore settlement.
- b. **Vacating the Conference.** The Court may vacate the scheduling conference and issue a case management order based on the Joint Rule 26(f) Report. Please complete the Report fully and carefully.
- c. **Participation.** Lead trial counsel must attend the scheduling conference, unless excused by the Court for good cause shown in a declaration attached to the Report. An untimely request generally will not be considered absent a declaration showing an emergency that could not have been foreseen or avoided.
- d. **Remote Appearances.** Remote appearances are not permitted except for good cause shown in a declaration attached to the Report. An untimely request generally will not be considered absent a declaration showing an emergency that could not have been foreseen or avoided.

## 3. **JOINT RULE 26(F) REPORT**

- a. **Due Date.** File the Joint Rule 26(f) Report (Report) *no later than 10 days* before the scheduling conference. An order to show cause will issue if the Report is not timely filed.
- b. **Jointly Filed.** Plaintiff shall draft the Report—unless plaintiff is self-represented and not a lawyer or the parties agree otherwise—but it shall be jointly signed and filed (i.e., a single report submitted by all parties).

1        **c. Format and Contents.** The Court requires strict compliance with the  
 2 requirements for both the format and contents of the Report. Under the  
 3 title in the caption, *list the dates* of: the Original Complaint; Removal (if  
 4 removed); Responsive Pleading; and Trial (Proposed). The Report then  
 5 shall set forth the following information *using numbered section*  
 6 *headings and lettered sub-headings that correspond precisely to those*  
 7 *below:*

- 8        (1) **Subject Matter Jurisdiction.** State the basis of federal  
 9 jurisdiction, including supplemental jurisdiction. For federal  
 10 question jurisdiction, cite the federal law under which the claim  
 11 arises. For diversity jurisdiction, state each party's citizenship and  
 12 the amount in controversy.
- 13        (2) **Statement of the Case.** A short synopsis of the main claims,  
 14 counterclaims, affirmative defenses, and procedural history.
- 15        (3) **Damages/Insurance.**
- 16            a. *Damages.* The realistic range of provable damages.
- 17            b. *Insurance.* Whether there is insurance coverage, the extent of  
 18 coverage, and whether there is a reservation of rights.
- 19        (4) **Parties, Evidence, etc.** A list of parties, percipient witnesses, and  
 20 key documents on the main issues in the case. For conflict  
 21 purposes, corporate parties must identify all subsidiaries, parents,  
 22 and affiliates.
- 23        (5) **Discovery.**
- 24            a. *Status of Discovery.* A discussion of the present state of  
 25 discovery, including a summary of completed discovery.
- 26            b. *Discovery Plan.* A detailed discovery plan, as contemplated by  
 27 Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f). A general statement to the effect that  
 28 discovery will be conducted on all claims and defenses will

1 result in the case being deemed of low-level complexity.

2 (6) **Legal Issues.** A brief description of all key legal issues, including  
3 any significant procedural, substantive, or evidentiary issues.

4 (7) **Motions.**

5 a. *Procedural Motions.* A statement of the likelihood of motions  
6 to add other parties or claims, file amended pleadings, transfer  
7 venue, etc.

8 b. *Dispositive Motions.* A description of the issues or claims that  
9 any party believes may be determined by motion to dismiss  
10 or motion for motion for summary judgment (MSJ). For the  
11 Court's Standing Order governing MSJs, see MSJ Order (scroll  
12 to bottom of Judge Blumenfeld's webpage).

13 c. *Class Certification Motion.* For a putative class action, the  
14 Court shall set a deadline for hearing the class certification  
15 motion. The motion must generally be filed to allow for at  
16 least three weeks between the filing of the reply and the  
17 hearing. The parties must act diligently and begin discovery  
18 immediately, because the motion must be filed no later than  
19 120 days from the date *originally* set for the scheduling  
20 conference, unless the Court orders otherwise. Any request  
21 for additional time beyond the 120 days must be supported by  
22 a detailed "Class Certification Plan"—attached as an exhibit  
23 at the end of the Report—showing all anticipated activity and  
24 the corresponding date for each activity, up to the hearing on  
25 the motion. *The failure to provide the Class Certification*  
26 *Plan will result in the denial of additional time.*

27 ///

28 ///

1                   (8) **Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR).**

- 2                   a. *Prior Discussions.* A description of any prior oral or written  
3                   settlement discussions (without stating the terms).
- 4                   b. *ADR Selection.* The parties shall state their preference for  
5                   mediation before: the magistrate judge (ADR-1), the court  
6                   mediation panel (ADR-2), or a private mediator (at the parties'  
7                   expense) (ADR-3). Failure to state a preference shall be  
8                   construed as authorizing the Court to select at will. Participation  
9                   in ADR by all parties, including an officer with full settlement  
10                  authority for corporate parties, is mandatory.

11                  (9) **Trial.**

- 12                  a. *Proposed Trial Date.* The trial date is set within a prescribed  
13                  period from the *original* due date of the initial responsive  
14                  pleading.
- 15                  • The period depends on the level of factual and legal  
16                  complexity:
- 17                  Low Level: 4-6 Months (e.g., ADA, Lemon Law, and  
18                  personal injury cases);
- 19                  Medium Level: 7-12 Months (e.g., civil rights, contract,  
20                  trademark, copyright, and employment cases); and
- 21                  High Level: 12-18 Months (e.g., complex antitrust, RICO,  
22                  or securities class actions).
- 23                  • The parties must justify the proposed trial date, even if it is  
24                  a joint request, as the strength of the justification rather  
25                  than the fact of agreement or case type will dictate the trial  
26                  setting. The strength of the justification will be determined  
27                  by the detailed information provided in completing the  
28                  sections above along with an explanation in Section 9.

- A case will be deemed to be of low-level complexity absent detailed justification for the proposed trial date.

b. *Time Estimate.* A realistic estimate of the number of court days required for trial, specifying the number of witnesses each party contemplates calling. If the time estimate exceeds four days, counsel should justify in sufficient detail the basis for the estimate.

c. *Jury or Court Trial.* Specify whether trial will be by jury or by court. The default will be a court trial if the parties fail to specify.

d. *Magistrate Judge.* Whether the parties agree to try the case (either by jury or court trial) before a magistrate judge. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636 (requiring party consent). The parties may choose any magistrate judge identified on the Central District website and submit the consent form.

e. *Trial Counsel.* The names of the attorneys who will try the case and their respective roles.

**(10) Special Requests/Other Issues.**

If there are no special requests or other issues (such as those noted below), answer “None” for Section 10 of the Report.

a. *Independent Expert or Master.* Whether the Court should consider appointing a master (Fed. R. Civ. P. 53) or an independent expert (if the case involves substantial discovery disputes, complex scientific issues for the bench, etc.).

b. *Manual for Complex Litigation Procedures.* Whether these procedures should be used in whole or part.

c. *Other Issues.* A statement of any other issues affecting case management—e.g., unusually complex technical issues,

discovery in foreign jurisdiction(s), protective-order disputes, accommodation needs (interpreters, ADA requests, etc.), and any proposed ordering of proof (severance, bifurcation, etc.).

- e. **ERISA Cases Involving Benefit Claims.** The parties need only submit a joint status report identifying any special issues that should be considered. The parties should proceed with the preparation of the administrative record and briefing without delay upon service of the complaint. A court trial, ordinarily limited to oral argument on the administrative record, will be scheduled *within six months* from the filing of the original complaint, unless good cause for additional time is shown in the status report. If the Court concludes that the decision would not benefit from oral argument, the matter may be submitted for decision on the papers.

#### 4. **CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER (CMO).**

- a. **Pretrial Dates.** The Pretrial Conference (PTC) will be set about three weeks before the trial date. All other pretrial dates *generally* will be set the following number of weeks before the PTC:

<b><u>Pretrial Event</u></b>	<b><u>PTC</u></b>	<b><u>Notes</u></b>
<b>Fact Discovery</b> Cutoff:	<b>18</b> weeks	Last day for responses/depos. Don't wait to the last minute or risk not having time for dispute resolution.
<b>Expert Discovery</b> Cutoff:	<b>14</b> weeks	Initial/Rebuttal Disclosures–20/18 weeks. Parties may modify so long as the cut-off is unchanged.
<b>Discovery Motion</b> Cutoff:	<b>14</b> weeks	Complete discovery in advance of cut-off in time for hearing <i>and</i> any court-ordered discovery.
<b>Non-Discovery Motion</b> Cutoff:	<b>12</b> weeks	For summary judgment motions, see <u>MSJ Order</u> on the Court's webpage (scroll to bottom).
<b>ADR</b> Cutoff:	<b>10</b> weeks	Set before cut-off to allow for follow-up sessions. A status conference is set within 2 weeks of the cut-off.
<b>Trial Filings</b> (1 <sup>st</sup> Set):	<b>4</b> weeks	See <u>Trial and Pretrial Order</u> on Judge Blumenfeld's webpage (scroll to bottom).
<b>Trial Filings</b> (2 <sup>nd</sup> Set):	<b>2</b> weeks	See <u>Trial and Pretrial Order</u> on Judge Blumenfeld's webpage (scroll to bottom).

///

///



1       **b. Continuances.** The CMO deadlines will *not* be continued absent a  
2       *timely* showing of *good cause* presented in a Word document along with  
3       a proposed order delivered to Judge Blumenfeld’s Chambers email. The  
4       Court applies the same standard of good cause to all extension requests—  
5       whether opposed, unopposed or jointly requested.

6       i. Good cause. Good cause requires a specific, detailed, and non-  
7       conclusory showing of diligence from the outset of the case,  
8       describing: (1) all relevant work previously done (including when  
9       each item was completed), (2) all relevant work that remains to be  
10      done, (3) why the remaining work could not previously have been  
11      done (including efforts made to complete each remaining item), and  
12      (4) why the amount of time requested is needed to complete the  
13      remaining work. This information *must* be provided in table form  
14      contained in the Attachment to the CMO.

15      ii. Diligence. Diligence generally will not be found when a party opts  
16      for strategic staging of discovery (or other tasks) or for in-person  
17      deposition that prevents completion within the existing deadline.  
18      The parties should plan to complete discovery far enough in advance  
19      of the discovery deadline to allow for both the filing of a discovery  
20      motion if necessary and the completion of any court-ordered  
21      discovery. Moreover, a desire to engage in settlement discussions  
22      generally does not constitute good cause to extend discovery  
23      deadlines. The parties are strongly encouraged to agree to exchange  
24      initial disclosures promptly and to actively commence discovery  
25      before the MSC.

26      iii. Proposed Order. The parties must complete and submit the CMO  
27      Continuance Order Template on Judge Blumenfeld’s webpage under  
28      “Orders & Additional Documents” at the bottom of the webpage.

Please follow the highlighted directions at the end of the document.

File the Proposed Order and submit an electronic Word copy to Judge Blumenfeld's Chambers email, SB\_Chambers@cacd.uscourts.gov. Failure to use and properly submit the CMO Continuance Order Template will result in the striking or summary denial of the request.

iv. Denied with Prejudice. Denial of an extension request, including summary denial, is *with prejudice*. The parties should therefore present all available information showing that the outstanding discovery or other litigation tasks cannot be completed within the existing deadlines despite all reasonable diligence from the outset of the case. A party is *not* permitted to resubmit a denied extension request with information that was either previously submitted or previously available.

\* \* \*

*Failure to comply with the procedural requirements* above—including the use and proper submission of the table in the MSC Attachment and the CMO Continuance Order Template—may result in the extension request being stricken or summarily denied. An improper resubmission of a denied extension request may result in sanctions.

c. **Motion Deadlines.** All hearing deadlines reflect *the last day when a motion may be heard*, and a hearing must be set on *an open hearing date*. Hearing dates are closed at least four weeks in advance (depending on the volume of motions scheduled). The Court may close calendar dates in advance of the 28–day motion schedule. Consult Judge Blumenfeld's webpage before scheduling a hearing to determine availability. A party that waits until the last day to have a motion heard risks having the motion stricken and deemed untimely if the motion is set

1 on a date that turns out to be unavailable or is otherwise deficient. *Do*  
2 *not wait until the last minute to file.*

3  
4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 

6 Dated: November 3, 2022

7 

---

Stanley Blumenfeld, Jr.  
8 United States District Court Judge  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28