

1 MICHAEL J. GARCIA, CITY ATTORNEY  
2 EDWARD B. KANG, PRINCIPAL ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY, SBN: 237751  
3 613 E. Broadway, Suite 220  
4 Glendale, CA 91206  
5 Telephone: (818) 548-2080  
6 Facsimile: (818) 547-3402  
7 Email: ekang@glendaleca.gov

8 Attorneys for Defendants,  
9 CITY OF GLENDALE, GLENDALE CHIEF OF  
10 POLICE CARL POVILAITIS; and GLENDALE  
11 CITY CLERK SUZIE ABAJIAN

12 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
13 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

14 CALIFORNIA RIFLE & PISTOL )  
15 ASSOCIATION, INCORPORATED; )  
16 SECOND AMENDMENT )  
17 FOUNDATION; GUN OWNERS OF )  
18 CALIFORNIA, INC., )

19 Plaintiffs, )

20 vs. )

21 CITY OF GLENDALE; GLENDALE )  
22 CHIEF OF POLICE CARL )  
23 POVILAITIS, in his official capacity; )  
24 GLENDALE CITY CLERK SUZIE )  
25 ABAJIAN, in her official capacity; and )  
26 DOES 1-10, )

27 Defendants. )

Case No.: 2:22-cv-07346-SB-JC

**STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME  
TO RESPOND TO INITIAL  
COMPLAINT AND TO CONTINUE  
THE DECEMBER 16, 2022 STATUS  
CONFERENCE; [PROPOSED]  
ORDER THEREON**

Complaint Served: October 18, 2022

Current Response Date: November 29,  
2022

New Response Date: January 6, 2023

Hon. Stanley Blumenfeld Jr.

1 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between Plaintiffs California Rifle & Pistol  
 2 Association, Incorporated, Second Amendment Foundation and Gun Owners of  
 3 California, Inc. (collectively “Plaintiffs”), and Defendants City of Glendale, Glendale  
 4 Chief of Police Carl Povilaitis, and Glendale City Clerk Suzie Abajian (collectively  
 5 “Defendants”), through their undersigned counsel, that Defendants may have a thirty-eight  
 6 (38) day extension of time, up to and including January 6, 2023, to file an answer or  
 7 otherwise respond to Plaintiffs’ Complaint in the above-captioned action. One prior  
 8 extension of 21 days has previously been stipulated to by the parties.

9 Good cause exists to approve the instant stipulation as Defendants plan to file a  
 10 motion to dismiss which will incorporate many of the same arguments as Defendants’  
 11 opposition to Plaintiffs’ motion for preliminary injunction. The Court’s ruling on  
 12 Plaintiffs’ motion thus will likely narrow or streamline many of the issues that will be  
 13 raised in Defendants’ motion to dismiss which is currently due prior to the hearing on  
 14 Plaintiffs’ motion, and therefore approval of the instant stipulation will promote judicial  
 15 economy and conserve the Court’s and parties’ resources.

16 The Parties further stipulate that the status conference currently scheduled for  
 17 December 16, 2022, be continued to a date convenient to the Court’s calendar in January  
 18 2023, except for January 12. Good cause exists to approve the instant stipulation as lead  
 19 counsel for Plaintiffs, Joshua Robert Dale and Don Kilmer, are both scheduled to appear  
 20 on December 16 for a bench trial before the Honorable Roger Benitez in the matter of  
 21 *South Bay Rod & Gun Club, et al. v. Bonta* (Case No. 3:22-cv-01461-RBM-WVG).

22  
 23 DATED: November 21, 2022

MICHEL & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

24  
 25 By: /s/Konstadinos T. Moros  
 26 Konstadinos T. Moros  
 27 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
 28 California Rifle & Pistol Association and  
 Gun Owners of California, Inc.

1 DATED: November 21, 2022

MICHAEL J. GARCIA, CITY ATTORNEY

2  
3 By: 

4 EDWARD B. KANG  
5 Attorneys for Defendants  
6

7 **ATTESTATION**

8 Pursuant to Local Rule 5-4.3.4(a)(2)(i), the filer attests that all other signatories  
9 listed, and on whose behalf the filing is submitted, concur in the filing's content and have  
10 authorized the filing.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
10

11 CALIFORNIA RIFLE & PISTOL )  
12 ASSOCIATION, INCORPORATED; )  
13 SECOND AMENDMENT )  
14 FOUNDATION; GUN OWNERS OF )  
15 CALIFORNIA, INC., )

16 Plaintiffs, )

17 vs. )

18 CITY OF GLENDALE; GLENDALE )  
19 CHIEF OF POLICE CARL )  
20 POVILAITIS, in his official capacity; )  
21 GLENDALE CITY CLERK SUZIE )  
22 ABAJIAN, in her official capacity; and )  
23 DOES 1-10, )

24 Defendants. )  
25 )  
26 )  
27 )  
28 )

Case No.: 2:22-cv-07346-SB-JC

**[PROPOSED] ORDER RE  
STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME  
TO RESPOND TO INITIAL  
COMPLAINT AND TO CONTINUE  
THE DECEMBER 16, 2022 STATUS  
CONFERENCE**

Complaint Served: October 18, 2022

Current Response Date: November 29,  
2022

New Response Date: January 6, 2023

Hon. Stanley Blumenfeld Jr.

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

Pursuant to the parties' stipulation and good cause appearing thereon, **IT IS SO ORDERED**. Defendant should answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's complaint on or before Friday, January 6, 2023. Further, the status conference in this matter, currently scheduled for December 16, 2022, is hereby continued to \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_.

Dated:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Stanley Blumenfeld, Jr.  
United States District Judge