#### Case 2:19-cv-00617-KJM-AC Document 76 Filed 10/31/22 Page 1 of 14 1 ROB BONTA, State Bar No. 202668 Attorney General of California 2 R. MATTHEW WISE, State Bar No. 238485 Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 RYAN DAVIS, State Bar No. 266330 Deputy Attorney General 4 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 5 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-6050 6 Fax: (916) 324-8835 E-mail: Ryan.Davis@doj.ca.gov 7 Attorneys for Defendant Attorney General Rob Bonta 8 9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 13 14 MARK BAIRD and RICHARD Case No. 2:19-cv-00617-KJM-AC GALLARDO, 15 DEFENDANT ATTORNEY GENERAL Plaintiffs. ROB BONTA'S ANSWER TO 16 PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT v. 17 Courtroom: 18 ROB BONTA, in his official capacity as Judge: Kimberly J. Mueller Attorney General of the State of California, 19 Action Filed: April 10, 2019 and DOES 1-10, 20 Defendants. 21 Defendant Attorney General Rob Bonta hereby answers the Second Amended Complaint 22 23 filed by Plaintiffs Mark Baird and Richard Gallardo as follows: 1. Paragraph No. 1 consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions. 24 To the extent that a response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendant denies 25 each and every allegation. 26 27 28

- 2. Paragraph No. 2 contains allegations that cite case law, which speaks for itself.

  Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
  - 3. Paragraph No. 3 consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions. To the extent that a response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
  - 4. Paragraph No. 4 contains allegations that cite case law, which speaks for itself.

    Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
  - 5. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to respond to the historical allegations in Paragraph No. 4, and on that basis denies each and every allegation. Paragraph No. 4 also contains allegations that cite case law, which speaks for itself. Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
  - 6. Paragraph No. 6 contains allegations that cite case law, which speaks for itself.

    Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
  - 7. Paragraph No. 7 contains allegations that cite case law, which speaks for itself.

    Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
  - 8. Paragraph No. 8 consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions. To the extent that a response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
  - 9. Paragraph No. 9 consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions. To the extent that a response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.

4

7

10 11

12

13 14

16

15

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26 27

- 10. Paragraph No. 10 consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions. To the extent that a response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- Paragraph No. 11 contains allegations that cite statutory provisions, which speak for 11. themselves. Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 12. Paragraph No. 12 contains allegations that cite statutory provisions, which speak for themselves. Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations in Paragraph No. 13, and on that basis denies each and every allegation.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations in Paragraph No. 14, and on that basis denies each and every allegation.
- 15. In answer to Paragraph No. 15, Defendant admits that he is the Attorney General of the State of California and that he is sued in his official capacity only. Paragraph No. 15 contains allegations that cite the California Constitution, which speaks for itself. Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- Paragraph No. 16 consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions. To the extent that a response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- Paragraph No. 17 consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions. To the extent that a response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 18. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations in Paragraph No. 18, and on that basis denies each and every allegation.
- 19. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations in Paragraph No. 19, and on that basis denies each and every allegation.

- 20. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations in Paragraph No. 20, and on that basis denies each and every allegation.
- 21. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations in Paragraph No. 21, and on that basis denies each and every allegation.
- 22. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations in Paragraph No. 22, and on that basis denies each and every allegation.
- 23. Paragraph 23 consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions. To the extent that a response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 24. In answer to Paragraph 24, Defendant admits that the California Department of Justice does not provide a separate application for a permit to carry a handgun in an exposed (i.e., open) manner, but denies that the application it provides cannot be used in counties with populations of less than 200,000 persons to apply for a permit to carry a handgun in an exposed manner. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to respond to the remaining allegations in Paragraph No. 24, and on that basis denies each and every allegation.
- 25. In answer to Paragraph No. 25, Defendant admits that according to the 2020 census, Siskiyou County had a population of less than 200,000 people. Paragraph No. 25 includes allegations that contain argument and legal contentions. To the extent that a response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
  - 26. Defendant denies the allegation in Paragraph No. 26.
- 27. Paragraph No. 27 includes allegations that contain argument and legal contentions. To the extent that a response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation. Regarding the allegations as to what Mr. Baird has been informed, Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to respond, and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 28. Paragraph No. 28 consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions. To the extent that a response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.

3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

- 29. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations in Paragraph No. 29, and on that basis denies each and every allegation.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations in Paragraph No. 30, and on that basis denies each and every allegation.
- 31. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations in Paragraph No. 31, and on that basis denies each and every allegation.
- 32. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations in Paragraph No. 32, and on that basis denies each and every allegation.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations in 33. Paragraph No. 33, and on that basis denies each and every allegation.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations in Paragraph No. 34, and on that basis denies each and every allegation.
- 35. Paragraph 35 consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions. To the extent that a response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- In answer to Paragraph 36, Defendant admits that the California Department of 36. Justice does not provide a separate application for a permit to carry a handgun in an exposed (i.e., open) manner, but denies that the application it provides cannot be used in counties with populations of less than 200,000 persons to apply for a permit to carry a handgun in an exposed manner. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to respond to the remaining allegations in Paragraph No. 36, and on that basis denies each and every allegation.
- 37. In answer to Paragraph No. 36, Defendant admits that according to the 2020 census, Siskiyou County had a population of less than 200,000 people. Paragraph No. 36 includes allegations that contain argument and legal contentions. To the extent that a response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
  - Defendant denies the allegation in Paragraph No. 38. 38.
- 39. Paragraph No. 39 includes allegations that contain argument and legal contentions. To the extent that a response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendant denies

each and every allegation. Regarding the allegations as to what Mr. Gallardo has been informed, Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to respond, and on that basis denies the allegations.

- 40. Paragraph No. 40 consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions. To the extent that a response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 41. Paragraph No. 41 contains allegations that cite a constitutional provision, which speaks for itself. Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 42. Paragraph No. 42 contains allegations that cite case law, which speaks for itself. Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 43. Paragraph No. 43 contains allegations that cite case law, which speaks for itself. Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 44. Paragraph No. 44 contains allegations that cite case law, which speaks for itself. Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 45. Paragraph No. 45 contains allegations that cite case law, which speaks for itself. Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 46. Paragraph No. 46 contains allegations that cite case law, which speaks for itself. Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 47. Paragraph No. 47 contains allegations that cite case law, which speaks for itself. Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.

- 4
- 5
- 7
- 8

- 20

- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27

- 48. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations in Paragraph No. 48, and on that basis denies each and every allegation.
- Paragraph No. 49 contains allegations that cite case law, which speaks for itself. Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- Paragraph No. 50 contains allegations that cite case law, which speaks for itself. 50. Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- Paragraph No. 51 contains allegations that cite case law, which speaks for itself. 51. Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- Paragraph No. 52 contains allegations that cite case law, which speaks for itself. 52. Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 53. Paragraph No. 40 consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions. To the extent that a response to this paragraph is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 54. Paragraph No. 52 contains allegations that cite case law, which speaks for itself. Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- Paragraph No. 55 consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions. 55. To the extent that a response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- Paragraph No. 56 contains allegations that cite California law, which speaks for itself. Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.

- 57. Paragraph No. 57 contains allegations that cite statutory provisions, which speak for themselves. Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 58. Paragraph No. 58 contains allegations that cite statutory provisions, which speak for themselves. Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 59. Paragraph No. 59 contains allegations that cite statutory provisions, which speak for themselves. Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 60. Paragraph No. 60 contains allegations that cite statutory provisions, which speak for themselves. Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 61. Paragraph No. 61 contains allegations that cite case law, which speaks for itself. Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 62. Paragraph No. 62 contains allegations that cite case law, which speaks for itself. Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 63. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations in Paragraph No. 63, and on that basis denies each and every allegation.
- 64. Paragraph No. 64 contains allegations that cite case law, which speaks for itself. Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 65. Paragraph No. 65 contains allegations that cite case law, which speaks for itself. Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.

- 66. Paragraph No. 66 contains allegations that cite case law, which speaks for itself. Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 67. Paragraph No. 67 consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions. To the extent that a response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 68. Paragraph No. 68 consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions. To the extent that a response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 69. Paragraph No. 69 contains allegations that cite case law, which speaks for itself. Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 70. Paragraph No. 70 consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions. To the extent that a response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 71. Paragraph No. 71 consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions. To the extent that a response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 72. Paragraph No. 72 contains allegations that cite case law, which speaks for itself. Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 73. Paragraph No. 73 contains allegations that cite case law, which speaks for itself. Defendant denies any allegations that misstate the law. To the extent that a further response is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 74. Paragraph No. 74 consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions. To the extent that a response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.

- 75. Paragraph No. 75 consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions. To the extent that a response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 76. Paragraph No. 76 consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions. To the extent that a response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 77. Paragraph No. 77 consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions. To the extent that a response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 78. Paragraph No. 78 consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions. To the extent that a response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 79. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations in Paragraph No. 79, and on that basis denies each and every allegation.
- 80. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations in Paragraph No. 80, and on that basis denies each and every allegation.
- 81. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to respond to the allegations in Paragraph No. 81, and on that basis denies each and every allegation.
- 82. Paragraph No. 82 consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions. To the extent that a response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 83. Paragraph No. 83 consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions. To the extent that a response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 84. Paragraph No. 84 consists of allegations that contain argument and legal contentions. To the extent that a response to the allegations in this paragraph is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation.

# 2

# 3

#### 4

5

# 6

## 7 8

# 9

## 10 11

- 12
- 13
- 14

## 15

## 16

- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20 21
- 22

24

26

#### FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Second Amended Complaint, and each cause of action therein, is barred by the equitable doctrines of estoppel, laches, unclean hands, and/or waiver.

#### FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

To the extent Defendant has undertaken any conduct with respect to the subjects and events underlying the Second Amended Complaint, such conduct was, at all times material thereto, undertaken in good faith and in reasonable reliance on existing law.

#### SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant has not knowingly or intentionally waived any applicable affirmative defense. Defendant reserves the right to assert and rely upon additional affirmative defenses as may become available or apparent during discovery proceedings or as may be raised or asserted by others in this case, and to amend the Answer and/or affirmative defenses accordingly. Defendant further reserves the right to amend the Answer to delete affirmative defenses that they determine are not applicable after subsequent discovery.

WHEREFORE, Defendant prays that:

- 1. This Court deny Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint in its entirety and dismiss this case with prejudice.
  - 2. Plaintiffs take nothing by the Second Amended Complaint.
  - 3. Defendant be awarded his costs incurred in defending this action.
- 4. The Court grant such other and further relief in favor of Defendant and adverse to Plaintiffs that the Court deems just and proper.

## Case 2:19-cv-00617-KJM-AC Document 76 Filed 10/31/22 Page 13 of 14 Respectfully submitted, Dated: October 31, 2022 ROB BONTA Attorney General of California R. MATTHEW WISE Supervising Deputy Attorney General /s/ Ryan R. Davis RYAN R. DAVIS Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Defendant Attorney General Rob Bonta

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Case Name:	Baird, Mark v. Rob Bonta	No.	2:19-cv-00617-KJM-AC
•	ify that on October 31, 2022, I electron Court by using the CM/ECF system:	ically filed	the following documents with the
DEFENDA	ANT ATTORNEY GENERAL ROB SECOND AMENDEI		
I certify that <b>all</b> participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the CM/ECF system.			
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on October 31, 2022, at Sacramento, California.			
	Ritta Mashriqi		/s/Ritta Mashriqi
	Declarant		Signature

SA2019101934 36683598.docx