1	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California MARK BECKINGTON Supervising Deputy Attorney General KEVIN J. KELLY Deputy Attorney General JOHN D. ECHEVERRIA Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 268843 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 510-3479 Fax: (415) 703-1234 E-mail: John.Echeverria@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Defendant Rob Bonta, In his official capacity as Attorney  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12		<b>.</b>
13	VIRGINIA DUNCAN et al.,	Case No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB
14	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF JOHN D.
15	v.	ECHEVERRIA RE SUBMISSION OF SURVEYS IN RESPONSE TO THE COURT'S ORDER ENTERED
16	ROB BONTA, in his official capacity	ON DECEMBER 15, 2022
17	as Attorney General of the State of California,	Dept: 5A  Judge: Hop Poger T Register
	Defendant.	Judge: Hon. Roger T. Benitez
18	L Labor D. Calerrania de along de Callerran	Action Filed: May 17, 2017
19	I, John D. Echeverria, declare as follows:	
20	1. I am a Deputy Attorney General with the California Department of	
21	Justice and serve as counsel to Defendant Rob Bonta, in his official capacity as	
22	Attorney General of the State of California ("Defendant"), in the above-captioned	
23	matter. Except as otherwise stated, I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth	
24	in this declaration, and if called upon as a witness I could testify competently as to	
25	those facts.	
26	2. On December 15, 2022, the Court entered an Order providing that	
27	"[t]he state defendants shall create, and the plaintiffs shall meet and confer	
28		

regarding, a survey or spreadsheet of relevant statutes, laws, or regulations in chronological order." Dkt. 134. The Order provides:

The listing shall begin at the time of the adoption of the Second Amendment and continue through twenty years after the Fourteenth Amendment. For each cited statute/law/regulation, the survey shall provide: (a) the date of enactment; (b) the enacting state, territory, or locality; (c) a description of what was restricted (e.g., dirks, daggers, metal knuckles, storage of gunpowder or cartridges, or use regulations); (d) what it was that the law or regulation restricted; (e) what type of weapon was being restricted (e.g., knife, Bowie Knife, stiletto, metal knuckles, pistols, rifles); (f) if and when the law was repealed and whether it was replaced; (g) whether the regulation was reviewed by a court and the outcome of the courts review (with case citation). Defendants may create a second survey covering a time period following that of the first list. If opposing parties cannot agree on the inclusion of a particular entry on the survey, the disagreement shall be indicated and described on a separate list.

- 3. The parties have met and conferred by email, as required by the December 15 Order. In compliance with the Court's Order, Defendant is hereby submitting Defendant's two surveys of relevant laws with a separate list of Plaintiffs' disagreements about the relevance of those laws.
- 4. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** is a true and correct copy of Defendant's Survey of Relevant Statutes (Pre-Founding 1888).
- 5. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 2** is a true and correct copy of Defendant's Survey of Relevant Statutes (1889 1930s).
- 6. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 3** is a separate list of Plaintiffs' Disagreements re Defendant's Survey of Relevant Statutes (Pre-Founding 1930s).
- 7. The surveys have been filed in compliance with the Court's Order directing the parties to identify all relevant laws, statutes, and regulations from the time of the Second Amendment to twenty years after adoption of the Fourteenth Amendment. In compliance with that Order and in recognition of the historical inquiry mandated by *Bruen*, the spreadsheets identify hundreds of relevant firearms laws, some of which were drafted well before the Thirteenth Amendment's abolition of slavery and the Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause. While our subsequent briefing, as ordered by the Court, will explain in

more detail the historical context and relevance of such laws, the Attorney General emphasizes his strong disagreement with racial and other improper discrimination that existed in some such laws, and which stand in stark contrast to California's commonsense firearm laws, which are designed to justly and equitably protect all Californians. The listing of such racist and discriminatory statutes should in no way be construed as an endorsement of such laws by the Attorney General or his counsel in this matter. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on January 11, 2023, at San Francisco, California. s/ John D. Echeverria John D. Echeverria