1	ROB BONTA			
2	Attorney General of California P. PATTY LI			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ANNA FERRARI			
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 261579			
5	JOHN D. ECHEVERRIA Deputy Attorney General			
6	State Bar No. 268843 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000			
7	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 510-3479			
8	Fax: (415) 703-1234 E-mail: John.Echeverria@doj.ca.gov			
9	Attorneys for Defendant Rob Bonta, in his official capacity ¹			
10				
11	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
12	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION			
13	WESTERN	DIVISION		
14		1		
15	STEVEN RUPP; STEVEN DEMBER; CHERYL JOHNSON;	8:17-cv-0074	6-JLS-JDE	
16	MICHAEL JONES;		ENTAL EXPERT	
17	CHRISTOPHER SÉIFERT; ALFONSO VALENCIA; TROY	OF BRENN	ND DECLARATION AN RIVAS	
18	WILLIS; and CALIFORNIA RIFLE & PISTOL ASSOCIATION, INCORPORTED			
19	INCORPORATED,	Courtroom:	8A The Honorable Legenhine	
20	Plaintiffs,	Judge:	The Honorable Josephine L. Staton	
21	V.	A stion Filed.	Amil 24, 2017	
22	ROB BONTA, in his official capacity	Action Flied:	April 24, 2017	
23	as Attorney General of the State of California; and DOES 1-10,			
24	Defendants.			
25		J		
26	¹ Rob Bonta has succeeded former	Attornev Gene	ral Xavier Becerra as the	
27	Attorney General of the State of California. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 25(d), Attorney General Bonta, in his official capacity, is substituted as			
28	the defendant in this case.	, in his official	capacity, is substituted as	

SUPPLEMENTAL EXPERT REPORT AND DECLARATION OF BRENNAN RIVAS

I, Brennan Rivas, declare under penalty of perjury that the following is true
and correct:

I have been asked by the Office of the Attorney General of the
 California Department of Justice to prepare an expert report regarding historical
 regulations that prohibited the public carry and possession of certain weapons. This
 supplemental expert report and declaration ("Report") is based on my own personal
 knowledge and experience, and, if I am called as a witness, I could and would
 testify competently to the truth of the matters discussed in this Report.

I have evaluated the historical justifications and purposes of laws
 restricting the carrying of certain weapons, in addition to their scope in restricting
 the use of certain weapons associated with urgent societal problems of the time
 while simultaneously protecting the right to use other weapons for constitutionally
 protected, lawful purposes.

16

PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS

I have a Ph.D. in history from Texas Christian University, awarded in
 2019. My expertise includes historical weapon regulations in the United States. I
 have several publications on this topic, including peer-reviewed articles in the
 Southwestern Historical Quarterly, and a chapter in an edited collection
 forthcoming by Oxford University Press; earlier this year, my article, "Enforcement
 of Public Carry Restrictions: Texas as a Case Study (June 2022), was published in
 the UC Davis Law Review.

4. I am currently completing a book manuscript based upon my
dissertation research, which traces the development and implementation of weapon
and firearm policies in Texas across a century-long period.

27 5. A true and correct copy of my current curriculum vitae is attached as
28 Exhibit A to this Report.

I have provided expert witness testimony in *Miller v. Bonta*, No. 3:19 cv-01537-BEN-JLB (S.D. Cal.); *Duncan v. Bonta*, No. 3:17-cv-1017-BEN-JLB
 (S.D. Cal.); *Brumback v. Ferguson*, No. 1:22-cv-03093 (E.D. Wa.); *Sullivan v. Ferguson*, No. 3:22-cv-05403 (W.D. Wa.); *Angelo v. District of Columbia*, No.
 1:22-cv-01878-RDM (D.D.C.); *Hanson v. District of Columbia*, No. 1:22-cv-02256
 (D.D.C.); *Frey v. Bruen*, No. 7:21-cv-5334 (S.D.N.Y.); and *Christian v. Nigrelli*,
 No. 1:22-cv-695 (W.D.N.Y).

8 7. I have been retained by the State of California to provide expert
9 opinion and testimony regarding historical regulations that prohibited the public
10 carry and possession of certain weapons. I am being compensated at a rate of \$130
11 per hour.

OPINIONS

12

13

14

15

16

8. As discussed in this Report, the proliferation of nineteenth-century firearm restrictions, including those enacted in Texas, Tennessee, and Arkansas, demonstrate a robust governmental response to the scourge of gun violence that swept the Nation. Importantly, these restrictions did not flatly ban the carry or

possession of all arms and instead targeted only those weapons that posedsignificant risk to public safety at that time.

19 20

I. BRIEF HISTORY OF THE COLT REVOLVER AND THE SPREAD OF HANDGUN VIOLENCE IN THE NINETEENTH CENTURY

9. 21 The field of gun law history is a relatively young and obscure one, 22 though it will undoubtedly continue to grow as Second Amendment jurisprudence 23 generates a need for more and better scholarship on the subject. My research, 24 which represents some of the most in-depth work on nineteenth-century gun 25 regulations, shows that there are historical firearm regulations similar to 26 California's assault weapons restriction. Notably, during this period, several states 27 prohibited the sale, gift, transfer, or importation of certain types of revolvers and 28 other pistols which people of the time associated with criminal activity.

1 10. The revolver design that came to dominate American markets during
 2 the mid- and late nineteenth century was patented by Samuel Colt in 1836. He was
 3 not the first inventor to produce a multi-shot pistol, but he was the first whose
 4 creation became technologically and socially significant. Even though Colt had a
 5 working revolver by the mid-1830s, it took decades for his invention to become
 6 commercially successful.

7 11. The Colt revolver diverged from pistols then widely available in two critical ways. First, it was breech-loading, meaning that ammunition did not need 8 9 to be inserted through the end of the barrel (muzzle-loading). Second, it provided 10 multiple shots without reloading; the standard design eventually settled at six 11 rounds. The earliest revolvers (those manufactured prior to and during the Civil 12 War) were of the "cap and ball" type, which required a delicate and time-13 consuming reloading process. By about the 1870s, technological developments in 14 the design and functionality of ammunition meant that later models of Colts could 15 use individual cartridges; these could be inserted fairly quickly into the cylinder, which made the reloading process much swifter-a boon on the battlefield, but a 16 17 new danger in other contexts.

18 Though Colt's revolver was a revolutionary device that represented a 12. 19 paradigmatic shift in firearm technology, his company struggled to reach its 20 potential. The expiration of Colt's patent in 1857 opened the door for other 21 manufacturers to enter the market without having to endure the same decades-long 22 startup cost. Meanwhile, the growing crisis over slavery and its looming prospect 23 of war gave Colt what he had always wanted—substantial government patronage. 24 Southern states ordered as many revolvers as they could in the lead-up to Fort 25 Sumter, and Colt's Patent Fire Arms Manufacturing Company was more than 26 willing to deliver. But the far more important contracts came from the United 27 States military, whose orders for pistols like Colt's revolver skyrocketed during the 28

course of the Civil War.² Wartime production by Colt, in addition to the new
entrants into the market (like Smith & Wesson), created an unprecedented
infrastructure to manufacture staggeringly large quantities of pistols. As production
capacity increased and the U.S. military demobilized, more of these weapons
became available to and affordable for American consumers; by the 1870s, the net
result was more and cheaper pistols spread throughout the country³, introducing the
United States to its first experience with rampant gun violence.

- 8 13. The Civil War Era, making up the central three decades of the 9 nineteenth century (1840-1870), marked a sharp departure for the United States in 10 terms of violence and homicide in comparison to other Western nations. Distrust in governing institutions and tremendous economic change wrought by 11 industrialization primed Americans for homicidal violence to a degree that was 12 unprecedented in American history. In northern cities, rising population levels 13 14 accompanied urbanization, labor agitation, and poverty, which caused an increase 15 in homicide and crime. Though military victory and a renewed faith in American 16 government reduced homicide in northern states after the 1860s, the rates for the 1870s and 1880s in the north remained higher than those from the more peaceful 17 era prior to the 1840s, and by the close of the 1890s northern homicide rates began 18
- 19

20

21

22

³ Colt's Army revolvers cost about \$20 at the time of the Civil War, but
subsequent entrants into the market sold small pocket pistols for as little as a couple
of dollars. For example, see digitized Sears and Roebuck catalog (1898), pp. 365367. Regardless of caliber, the pistols from Colt's ran about \$12 to \$13 in the
catalog but retailed elsewhere for something closer to \$18 (see pp. 367).
Meanwhile, the smaller caliber pocket pistols from other brands could be ordered
for as little as \$1.40 (see pp. 365). For the 1898 Sears & Roebuck catalog online,
see https://bit.ly/3VeUhHo.

² On the life of Samuel Colt and the history of his firearm manufacturing companies, see Jim Rasenberger, *Revolver: Sam Colt and the Six-Shooter that Changed America* (New York: Scribner, 2020).

ratcheting upward yet again.⁴ Broader crime rates for the late nineteenth century 1 2 are harder to pin down than those for homicide, but the development of urban, 3 industrial life produced abundant opportunities for the criminally inclined. That 4 city governments enacted new criminal ordinances and increased funding for police 5 strongly suggests that urban residents perceived themselves to be more vulnerable 6 to victimization than they had been in the past. In the southern states, the 7 revolutionary consequences of emancipation and Reconstruction created an 8 atmosphere of distrust of government and one's neighbor, mutual hatred, and 9 deeply ideological partisanship that resulted in tremendous, gut-wrenching violence 10 suffered primarily by Black Americans and their political allies. The disruption of 11 war, occupation, and frequent changes in state government and constitutional 12 structure bred attitudes of vigilantism and disregard for the judicial process. Rates 13 of violence and homicide remained quite high in the southern states across the nineteenth century.⁵ The proliferation of deadly weapons, and especially easily 14 15 concealable pistols, to a point of near ubiquity in American communities rendered 16 the interpersonal conflicts that erupted as a result of urbanization, Reconstruction, 17 economic hardship, and social dislocation all the more deadly.

18

II. GOVERNMENTAL RESPONSES TO THE RISE IN HANDGUN VIOLENCE

19 14. The response to this rise in gun violence varied across the United
20 States. The most popular approach was the enactment or strengthening of public
21 carry laws. Jurisdictions that did not already have such laws were likely to enact

- ⁵ Roth, *American Homicide*, 411-434.
- 28

²²

⁴ On homicide in American history, particularly as broken down into
northern and southern regions, see Randolph Roth, *American Homicide*(Cambridge: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press, 2009), 297-326, 386-388
(for trends in northern areas); 185 (for data-supported charts showing trends in
homicide for large cities across the entire nineteenth century); 184 (complicating
data from p.185 by showing that some rural northern areas experienced sharp rise in
crime after 1865 and therefore emulated what took place in the American South
during that time)

them, and those using the older mechanism of sureties to keep the peace were likely 1 2 to transition toward the implementation of criminal statutes mandating fines and/or jail time for violators.⁶ These public carry regulations targeted concealable items 3 4 like pistols, sword canes, and daggers that were used in the commission of crimes 5 and generally referred to as deadly weapons. The closing third of the nineteenth 6 century saw a flurry of this activity as states and municipalities tried new penalties, 7 added new weapons to the lists of prohibited weapons, and generally attempted to 8 eliminate small, easily concealable weapons from the public sphere.⁷

9 15. Another strategy employed by state governments to reduce gun 10 violence and gun crime was to tax certain types of firearms. In 1894, Georgia enacted a new occupation tax law that applied to "dealers in pistols and other 11 12 weapons." A dealer in "pistols, toy pistols shooting cartridges, pistol or rifle cartridges, dirks, bowie-knives, or metal knucks" had to pay twenty-five dollars per 13 place of business.⁸ In 1907, the Texas legislature placed a fifty-percent sales tax 14 15 upon pistols; dealers had to report their sales and pay the required tax to the state comptroller's office on a quarterly basis.⁹ Sales and occupation taxes like these 16

17

 ⁶ The Repository of Historical Gun Laws, a database maintained by the Duke
 Center for Firearms Law, reflects that American state and local governments
 enacted statutes and ordinances specifically relating to "carrying weapons" in large
 numbers during the period from the close of the Civil War in 1865 through the end
 of the nineteenth century. See <u>https://firearmslaw.duke.edu/repository/search-the-repository/</u>.

 ⁷ In the second half of the nineteenth century, items like metal knuckles and razor blades became targets for proscription alongside bowie knives, pistols, and sword canes.

 ⁸ Acts of the General Assembly of the State of Georgia (1894) available
 online from the Digital Library of Georgia; see
 <u>https://dlg.usg.edu/record/dlg_zlgl_75343012/fulltext.text</u> and
 <u>https://dlg.usg.edu/collection/dlg_zlgl?range%5Byear_facet%5D%5Bbegin%5D=1</u>

^{26 &}lt;u>880&range%5Byear_facet%5D%5Bend%5D=1899&sort=year+desc</u>. Also, there were likely many more occupation taxes, though they have not been

comprehensively indexed as of yet.

⁹ An Act providing for the levy and collection of an occupation tax . . .,

1 tended to be less about generating revenue than regulating an activity that was 2 frowned upon by society more generally. Occupation tax laws applied to vendors 3 who appealed to vices like smoking, gambling, and playing games as well as 4 peddlers and itinerant salesmen. When a Texas appellate court upheld the stringent 5 sales tax (over loud complaints by dealers), the judge described the business of 6 selling pistols as one "hurtful to the welfare of society" and among that class of 7 occupations "detrimental to the health, morals, or good order of society." As a 8 result, the court reasoned that the legislature "would have the right, not only to levy 9 an excessive tax, which would be prohibitory thereof, but could go further and absolutely prohibit any one from engaging therein."10 10

Arkansas and Tennessee, for example, adopted a two-pronged 11 16. 12 approach that displayed attributes of both public carry laws as well as dealer 13 regulations. The first prong was to prohibit the public carrying of pistols.¹¹ Courts in both states struck down early versions of the laws because they applied to all 14 15 revolvers, including those being issued to certain classes of soldiers by the United 16 States military.¹² But they were quickly amended to exclude "army and navy pistols"-those types or models in use by the U.S. military-when carried openly 17 18 in the hand. By exempting these models, Arkansas and Tennessee lawmakers made 19 their gun policies comport with the reigning Second Amendment jurisprudence of 20

21

- General Laws of Texas, §XVIII (1907). See also Brennan Gardner Rivas, "The 22 Deadly Weapon Laws of Texas: Regulating Guns, Knives, and Knuckles in the 23 Lone Star State, 1836-1930, (PhD diss., Texas Christian University, 2019) 161-162. 24
 - ¹⁰ Caswell & Smith v. State, 148 SW 1159 (Tex. App. 1912).

¹¹ See 1869-1870 Tenn. Pub. Acts, 2d. Sess., An Act to Preserve the Peace 25 and Prevent Homicide, ch. 13, § 1; 1874-1875 Acts of Ark., An Act to Prohibit the 26 Carrying of Side-Arms, and Other Deadly Weapons, at p. 155, § 1.

27 ¹² Andrews v. State, 50 Tenn. 165 (1871); Wilson v. State, 33 Ark. 557 (1878). 28

their day, which held that militia arms enjoyed special protection from certain forms of regulation.

3 Unlike today, where laws generally prevent the civilian sale of 17. 4 military-grade weapons while carving out protections for self-defense weapons, 5 Americans of the nineteenth century did just the opposite; case law at that time held that a citizen's militia obligation conferred upon certain kinds of firearms, 6 7 especially muskets and rifles, a protected status under the law as "militia arms," 8 while those smaller weapons which lent themselves to concealability and were 9 more conducive to interpersonal violence could be prohibited. This view of arms 10 and their place in society changed in the twentieth century as a result of substantial 11 alterations to the militia system (and the development of the National Guard) as 12 well as the advent of automatic and select-fire weapons for military use.

13 18. When the Tennessee high court struck down the initial statute, which prohibited the carrying of *all* pistols, lawmakers swiftly wrote a replacement statute 14 15 that, "it shall not be lawful for any person to publicly carry a dirk, sword cane, Spanish stiletto, belt or pocket pistol, or revolver, other than an army pistol, or such 16 17 as are commonly carried and used in the United States army, and in no case shall it 18 be lawful for any person to carry such army pistol publicly or privately about his person in any other manner than openly in his hands."¹³ It is worth noting that even 19 20 the exempted army/navy pistols could not be carried concealed, or even visible 21 within a waistband or hip holster; the only way to carry legally exempted pistols was to hold them in one's hand. The purpose of this additional phrase was to 22 23 curtail as much as possible the carrying of these weapons in public spaces so that a 24 person would only do so in the event of a real emergency. Arkansas's replacement

25 26

1

2

28

¹³ 1871 Tenn. Pub. Acts 81, An Act to Preserve the Peace and to Prevent Homicide, ch. 90, § 1; *State v. Wilburn*, 66 Tenn. 57, 61 (1872).

statute was similar to that of Tennessee.¹⁴ The Tennessee Supreme Court upheld 1 2 that state's replacement statute against constitutional challenge.¹⁵ The revised Arkansas statute received no notable challenge.

3

4 19. The second prong which these states employed was a prohibition on 5 the sale of certain pistols. Tennessee prohibited "any person to sell, or offer to sell, or bring into the State for the purpose of selling, giving away, or otherwise 6 7 disposing of, belt or pocket pistols, or revolvers, or any other kind of pistol, except army or navy pistols."¹⁶ Arkansas followed suit but went even further by 8 9 prohibiting the sale of pistol cartridges as well. "Any person who shall sell, barter, 10 or exchange, or otherwise dispose of, or in any manner furnish to any person any dirk or bowie knife, or a sword or a spear in a cane, brass or metal knucks, or any 11 pistol, of any kind of whatever, except as are used in the army or navy of the United 12 13 States, and known as the navy pistol, or any kind of cartridge for any pistol, or any 14 person who shall keep such arms or cartridges for sale, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor."¹⁷ 15

- 16
- 17
- 18

- ¹⁵ State v. Wilburn, 66 Tenn. 57, 61 (1872). 25 ¹⁶ 1879 Tenn. Pub. Act 135-36, An Act to Prevent the Sale of Pistols, 26 chap. 96, § 1; State v. Burgoyne, 75 Tenn. 173, 173-74 (1881). 27 ¹⁷ Acts of the General Assembly of Arkansas, No. 96 § 3 (1881).
- 28

¹⁴ 1881 Ark. Acts 191, An Act to Preserve the Public Peace and Prevent 19 Crime, chap. XCVI, § 1-2 ("That any person who shall wear or carry, in any manner whatever, as a weapon, any dirk or bowie knife, or a sword, or a spear in a 20 cane, brass or metal knucks, razor, or any pistol of any kind whatever, except such 21 pistols as are used in the army or navy of the United States, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor.... Any person, excepting such officers or persons on a journey, and 22 on his premises, as are mentioned in section one of this act, who shall wear or carry 23 any such pistol as i[s] used in the army or navy of the United States, in any manner except uncovered, and in his hand, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor."). 24

Throughout the nineteenth century, Americans voiced their displeasure 1 20. with the practice of carrying weapons in public spaces.¹⁸ Condemnations of such 2 3 behavior and calls for regulations rang out across the country and became 4 increasingly common during the late nineteenth century when economic and 5 technological developments had made them easier to produce and cheaper to 6 purchase. Arkansas and Tennessee were no exception to this national rule, and 7 commentators there engaged in the same discourse of their counterparts elsewhere. 8 The "shocks and violent convulsions which have been so fatal to law and order in 9 the South" were well known, as was the fact that "the pistol, the knife, the shotgun and the bludgeon too often do their bloody work."¹⁹ After the 1875 statute went 10 into effect in Arkansas, news editors began praising it as "about the best law that 11 has ever been enacted in this state," and one that, had it been in effect since 12 13 statehood in 1836, "would have saved the lives of thousands of good men who have 14 fallen victim to the vice of carrying deadly weapons, or from the results and natural consequences thereof."²⁰ Some judges in Tennessee began handing down penalties 15 of a fifty-dollar fine plus sixty days in jail, and "as a result few persons carry deadly 16 weapons in [that] county."²¹ Reports of this rigid enforcement in Tennessee elicited 17

- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- nuisances of our day." (quoting Hill v. State, 53 Ga. 472, 474 (1874))).
- 22 23

24

¹⁹ "Crime in the South" *Arkansas Democrat* (Little Rock, Arkansas), June 7, 1879, 2.

¹⁸ For example, see Patrick Charles, Armed in America 152 (2018) (noting

thought that extends the guarantee to the right to carry pistols, dirks, Bowie-knives,

²⁰ *Newport News* (Newport, Arkansas), quoted in *Daily Arkansas Gazette* (Little Rock, Arkansas), April 27, 1875, 2.

the Georgia Supreme Court's view that it was "at a loss to follow the line of

and those other weapons of like character, which, as all admit, are the greatest

²⁵ ²¹ The practice began with Judge Horrigan of Shelby County, the seat of
 ²⁶ which is Memphis, Tennessee. Judge Quarles of Nashville declared his intention to
 ²⁷ follow suit. *Daily Arkansas Gazette* (Little Rock, Arkansas), January 7, 1883, 4.
 ²⁸ Judge Allen of Davidson County, Tennessee pledged to "impartially enforce the

praise among Arkansans, who viewed it as a social benefit that in Tennessee "men 1 2 who for years converted themselves into walking arsenals discover that they can 3 pursue their ordinary vocations without fear that they may at any moment be called upon to defend their persons against assault."²² From their perspective, the distrust 4 of one's fellow community members that went along with habitual gun-toting was a 5 burden of fear that could only be lifted by prohibiting deadly weapons in the public 6 7 sphere. Middle-class Americans, white southerners included, held the view that 8 carrying deadly weapons was not honorable, and that such behavior should be stopped.²³ 9

10 21. To fully understand these regulations, it is necessary to understand the different kinds of pistols and revolvers available during this time period. First, at 11 the larger end of the spectrum was the "army pistol" or "holster pistol," which was 12 originally fashioned after the "horse pistols" that had been adopted by mounted 13 14 units in Europe and the United States. Such pistols were typically designed to be 15 carried in a saddle mounted holster and could weigh four pounds or more when 16 loaded. Though the firearm became slightly smaller and more conducive to being worn on the person by officers beginning in the 1870s, they remained the largest 17 gun in Colt's pistol lineup and carried a higher caliber; they were issued in large 18

- 19
- 20 21

23 24

22

²² Daily Arkansas Gazette (Little Rock, Arkansas), January 7, 1883, 4.

²³ For an example from Arkansas and Tennessee, see *Daily Arkansas Gazette* (Little Rock, Arkansas), May 13, 1883, 4 (reporting that a Tennessee district judge stated "that only ruffians carried pistols and it gave them an unfair advantage over other citizens,"). See also Mark Anthony Frassetto, "The Myth of Open Carry," *UC Davis Law Review* 55 (June 2022), 2518-2519.

law" regarding weapons and "declared that 'it would make no difference of how high degree a man was, if he was convicted before him of carrying a pistol he would have to go to jail as well as pay a fine, and it simply came down to this: if he was bound to carry a pistol he was bound to go to jail. That only ruffians carried pistols and it gave them an unfair advantage over other citizens." *Daily Arkansas Gazette* (Little Rock, Arkansas), May 13, 1883, 4.

numbers by the United States Army and Navy during the Civil War and postbellum 1 2 eras.²⁴ The Arkansas and Tennessee restrictions carved out an exception for these weapons, but only when carried openly in the hand.

3

4 22. Second, "belt pistols" were midsized models and would have been 5 worn in a hip holster attached to the belt. These midsized pistols became popular among civilians and may have been the most common type of revolver in the 6 7 country around the time of the Civil War. The Colt navy pistol took on that 8 moniker during the antebellum years when that model featured an engraving of a 9 naval battle. In the postbellum decades, "army" or "holster" models became smaller and the differences between them and Colt's "navy" pistols lessened²⁵; 10 during the period in which these statutes were written-about fifteen years after the 11 Civil War-the "army/navy" description most likely reflected this technological 12 13 evolution by referring to the larger, heavier, higher caliber pistols with longer barrels that were then issued by the United States military. The sales bans under 14 15 discussion here generally included "belt" pistols, so it remains unclear whether and 16 to what extent the Colt's Navy pistol (which was technically a "belt" model) would have received exemption on the basis of its name and/or its use by the military 17 18 forces.

19 23. Finally, the third kind of pistol available was the "pocket pistol." 20 These were substantially smaller than the holster and belt models. Pocket pistols 21 ranged from single-shot, muzzle-loading derringers with barrels under two inches to 22 revolvers like Colt's "pocket navy" six-shooter with a three-inch barrel. After the

23

24

25

26

27

²⁴ On size, variability, and manufacture of Colt pistols, see Jim Rasenberger, Revolver: Sam Colt and the Six-Shooter that Changed America (New York: Simon and Schuster, 2021); Martin Rywell, *Colt Guns* (Harriman, TN: Pioneer Press, 1953); R. L. Wilson, The Colt Heritage: The Official History of Colt Firearms from 1836 to the Present (New York: Simon & Schuster, 1979).

²⁵ See note 24, above.

Civil War, military purchases slowed, which led gun manufacturers to pivot toward 1 2 civilian sales. They marketed pocket pistols heavily. For instance, Colt's produced both a "ladies' model" as well as a "house" pistol-though the latter became more 3 4 widely known as a "Fisk" for its use in the infamous murder of the robber baron Jim Fisk in 1872.²⁶ The explosion in production was all the more pronounced by 5 the entry of imitation brands that used lower quality metals with less sophisticated 6 7 workmanship to sell pocket pistols at much lower prices than the competition.²⁷ 8 These cheap revolvers could be had for a few dollars, with used ones selling for even less.28 9

10 24. It is in this context that the public carry regulations and associated
11 sales bans and prohibitory taxes mentioned above must be understood. A
12 confluence of technical advancements and social changes resulted in the
13 widespread adoption of new weapons, causing new societal problems that increased
14 levels of interpersonal violence and ratcheted up public fear. In response, state
15 legislatures enacted regulations targeting the source of that problem. In addition to
16 other dangerous weapons, Tennessee and Arkansas targeted "pocket pistols"—

17

¹⁷ ²⁶ For example, see *The Pistol as a Weapon of Defence in the House and on the Road: How to Choose It and How to Use It* 23 (1875) (referring to pocket
pistols, including "the house pistol brought out some years ago by the Colt Arms
Company, and rendered famous by the fact that it was the pistol used by [Edward]
Stokes in the murder of Fisk").

21

²⁷ See note 24, above.

²⁸ Colt's Army revolvers cost about \$20 at the time of the Civil War, but
subsequent entrants into the market sold small pocket pistols for as little as a couple
of dollars. For example, see digitized Sears and Roebuck catalog (1898), pp. 365367. Regardless of caliber, the pistols from Colt's ran about \$12 to \$13 in the
catalog but retailed elsewhere for something closer to \$18 (see pp. 367).
Meanwhile, the smaller caliber pocket pistols from other brands could be ordered
for as little as \$1.40 (see pp. 365). For the 1898 Sears & Roebuck catalog online,
see
https://archive.org/details/consumersguideno00sear/page/365/mode/1up?q=pistol.

designed to be concealed from public view and increasingly easy to obtain by those
 wishing to cause harm, were a target of these laws. The legislatures of both
 Tennessee and Arkansas prohibited both the public carrying of these weapons, as
 well as their sale to the general public. These regulations remained in force well
 into the twentieth century.

6 25. Previous scholarship addressing these statutes has cast them as racially 7 motivated.²⁹ Those articles did not investigate deeply the primary sources of the 8 time. My research shows that these accounts have misrepresented the Tennessee 9 and Arkansas statutes, which were enacted as a public safety measure rather than an 10 attempt to disarm Black residents. The argument made by other scholars, again based on little more than inference, has been that most white men served in the 11 12 Civil War or had the means to purchase an "army/navy" pistol, and that the 13 army/navy exception was tantamount to a whites-only exception to this policy.³⁰ Civil War soldiers on both sides of the conflict were unlikely to be issued a 14 15 revolver unless they were officers, cavalry, or artillery; a great number of enlisted 16 soldiers who possessed revolvers during the conflict had purchased them on their own, and at times their carrying of the weapons caused sufficient trouble within the 17 18 ranks that officers confiscated them. Others discarded heavy and seemingly unnecessary pistols on long, grueling marches.³¹ Confederate service did not 19 20 automatically correlate to white possession of an exempted pistol.

21

22

23

24 25

³⁰ Tahmassebi, "Gun Control and Racism," 74-75.

³¹ On pistols and other arms issued during the Civil War, see Katelyn Brown,
"Armed to the Teeth," *Military Images* 33, no. 4 (Autumn 2015), 32-36; Joseph G.
Bilby, *Civil War Firearms: Their Historical Background and Tactical*Use (Conshohcken, PA: Combined Books, 1996); Graham Smith, *Civil War*

 ²⁹ For example, Stefan B. Tahmassebi, "Gun Control and Racism," *George Mason University Civil Rights Law Journal* 2, no. 1 (Summer 1991), 74-75; Robert Leider, "Our Non-originalist Right to Bear Arms," *Indiana Law Journal* 89, no. 4, 1619-1620.

1	26. Rather than impute racism to these laws simply because of their		
2	occurrence during Reconstruction, we should embed them within their appropriate		
3	political and cultural context. The fact that Tennessee's legislature amended the		
4	public carry law so swiftly to add the army/navy exception could indicate to the		
5	casual observer that white residents were dissatisfied with the original statute;		
6	however, when the statutes and their constitutional challenges are placed in		
7	chronological order and interpreted in light of the other primary sources of the era		
8	(particularly newspapers and the widespread social contempt for publicly carrying		
9	deadly weapons), it is clear that racism was not behind the army/navy exemption.		
10	Instead, it represented the best effort of Tennessee lawmakers to emulate the kind		
11	of comprehensive public carry prohibition that was in force in Texas ³² while also		
12	respecting the parameters set forth by the state supreme court in Andrews v. State.		
13	The amendatory statute did not simply provide an exemption for army/navy		
14	pistols—it specified that even those pistols could not be carried in public unless		
15			
16	<i>Weapons</i> (New York: Chartwell, 2011); Jack Coggins, <i>Arms and Equipment of the Civil War</i> (New York: Fairfax Press, 1982); <i>Arms and Equipment of the</i>		
17	Union (Alexandria, VA: Time-Life Books, 1999); Ken Bauman, Arming the		
18	Suckers: A Compilation of Illinois Civil War Weapons (Dayton, OH: Morningside House, 1989).		
19	³² Texas featured a comprehensive deadly weapon law that prohibited the		
20	open or concealed carrying of "any pistol, dirk, dagger, slung-shot, sword-cane,		
21	spear, brass-knuckles, bowie knife, or any other kind of knife manufactured or sold for the purposes of offense or defense." There were a few exceptions, such as for		
22	travelers, peace officers, and anyone who "has reasonable grounds for fearing an		
23	unlawful attack on his person, and that such ground of attack shall be immediate and pressing." <i>General Laws of Texas</i> , ch. XXXIV, §1 (1871). The original		
24	statutes in Arkansas and Tennessee indicate legislative intent to enact a		
25	comprehensive law like this one, but the decisions from their state courts in <i>Wilson</i> and <i>Andrews</i> , respectively, prevented them from doing so; in Texas, on the other		
26	hand, cases <i>English</i> and <i>Duke</i> upheld the constitutionality of the deadly weapon law		
27	without requiring an army/navy exception. See <i>English v. State of Texas</i> , 35 Tex. 473 (1872); <i>State of Texas v. Duke</i> 42 Tex. 455 (1874).		
28			

1 openly in the hand. Just like today, it was not common at that time to see a person 2 walking along a public street carrying a gun in hand; such behavior would have 3 been understood as an emergency requiring the intervention of local officers of the 4 law.

5

CONCLUSION

6 27. Based on the experiences in Tennessee and Arkansas, among other 7 examples,³³ there is historical precedent for California's assault weapons ban. 8 These states targeted pocket pistols and other types of weapons that, due to their 9 concealability, were associated with forms of criminal activity that were threatening 10 the public at that time. Like pocket pistols in the latter half of the nineteenth 11 century, semiautomatic firearms, including assault weapons, in the later parts of the 12 twentieth and earlier parts of the twenty-first became widely available for the first 13 time. And they are, like pocket pistols in the mid- to late 1800s, associated with 14 new social problems and criminal use, including the rise of high-casualty mass 15 shooting incidents. California's regulation, being a prohibition on the sale, transfer, and manufacture of such firearms, is quite similar to the sale restrictions in 16 17 Tennessee and Arkansas. And like the Tennessee and Arkansas laws that exempted 18 army and navy pistols that were needed for lawful purposes, California's regulation 19 does not ban all rifles, pistols, shotguns, or other weapons that may otherwise fall 20 within its scope and may be used for self-defense.

21 22

28. As stated above, and as with any historical research project, my work in this area is still ongoing. There is significant research and analysis to be done on 23 the drafting and enforcement of these statutes, as well as the attitudes of residents 24 toward them as time wore on. Very little research that is based upon primary 25 sources, other than the review of case law and historical statutes, has yet been conducted. Still, this brief account of pistol regulations from late-nineteenth 26

- 27
- 28

³³ For example, see note 31, above.

century Tennessee and Arkansas demonstrates an important theme in the history of firearms and weapons regulations in the United States: that states enacted restrictions upon certain types of weapons, like pocket pistols, that were uniquely adaptable to and associated with certain types of crime that threatened public safety at the time, while also ensuring that the right of individuals to arm themselves for self-defense in an emergency or upon their private property was not destroyed. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on January 6, 2023 at Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. **Brennan** Rivas

EXHIBIT A

Brennan Gardner Rivas

Curriculum Vitae · Oct 2022

Employment

Lloyd Lewis Fellow in American History, The Newberry Library, 2021-2022

- Bill & Rita Clements Fellow for the Study of Southwestern America, Southern Methodist University, Clements Center for Southwest Studies, 2020-2021
- Lecturer in American History (full-time), Texas Christian University, Department of History, 2019-2020

Education

- Ph.D., History, Texas Christian University, 2019
 Thesis: "<u>The Deadly Weapon Laws of Texas</u>: Regulating Guns, Knives, & Knuckles in the Lone Star State, 1836-1930"
 Advisor: <u>Gregg Cantrell</u>
- M.A., History, Texas Christian University, 2013 Thesis: "Texas Antitrust Law: Formulation and Enforcement, 1889-1903"
 B.A. with Honors, History, Oklahoma State University, 2010

Publications

Refereed Journal Articles

"<u>An Unequal Right to Bear Arms</u>: State Weapons Laws and White Supremacy in Texas, 1836-1900," *Southwestern Historical Quarterly* 121 (Jan 2018): 284-303.

Law Articles

"Strange Bedfellows: Racism and Gun Rights in American History and Current Scholarship" in Joseph Blocher and Jake Charles, eds., *New Histories of Gun Rights and Regulation: Essays* on the Place of Guns in American Law and Society (New York: Oxford University Press,

forthcoming)

- "Enforcement of Public Carry Restrictions: Texas as a Case Study," U.C. Davis Law Review (May 2022)
- "<u>The Problem with Assumptions: Reassessing the Historical Gun Policies of Arkansas and</u> <u>Tennessee</u>," *Second Thoughts*, Duke Center for Firearms Law (Jan 2022)

Short Pieces

"Charles F. Cooley," in Wanted in America: Posters Collected by the Fort Worth Police

Department, 1898-1903, edited by LeAnna Schooley and Tom Kellam. Fort Worth: TCU Press, 2019.

Review of David R. Berman, *George Hunt: Arizona's Crusading Seven-Term Governor*, in <u>Southwestern Historical Quarterly</u> 114, no. 3 (January 2016): 327-329.

Public History

"In the Past, Americans Confronted Gun Violence by Taking Action," Washington Post: Made by History Blog (Jun 2022) \sim Op-ed showcasing open-mindedness of 19th century Americans about experimenting with new gun control measures

"The Origin of Public Carry Laws in Texas," Texas Gun Sense Blog (Feb 2021)

"Texas Gun Laws," Online Primary Source Collection, hosted by Omeka

- Online collection featuring primary sources from my research; feature exhibit titled "Crafting a Public Carry Law"
- "<u>The Deadly Weapon Laws of Texas</u>," Preserving Our Past: Community History Workshop, Center for Texas Studies at TCU (Nov 2020)
 - ~ Public lecture featuring special insights for genealogical researchers
- "The Deadly Weapon Laws of Texas," Graduate/Undergraduate Public History Seminar, Tarleton State University (Sept 2020)
 - ~ Research presentation focusing on interpretation of county court records

"<u>When Texas Was the National Leader in Gun Control</u>: How the Land of Gunslinger Mythology Regulated Weapons to Reduce Violence," *Washington Post: Made by History Blog* (Sept 2019)

~ Op-ed highlighting long history of weapon regulation in Texas

Fellowships and Awards

Lloyd Lewis Fellowship in American History, 2021-2022

- ~ Awarded by the Newberry Library to scholars using its collection to research topics in American history
- Bill & Rita Clements Fellowship for the Study of Southwestern America, 2020-2021
 - ~ Awarded by the SMU Clements Center for Southwest Studies to two scholars of Texas, the Southwest, or the U.S.-Mexico borderlands who are developing first books

The Benjamin W. Schmidt Memorial Scholarship, 2018-2019

- ~ Awarded by the TCU Department of History to a PhD candidate who shows exceptional professional promise; highest departmental prize for graduate students
- Texas Christian University Department of History, Shinko and Thomas McDonald Research Prize in Texas History, 2019, 2017
 - \sim Awarded by the TCU Department of History to a graduate student with the best research on antebellum Texas history

Works in Progress

The Revolver Must Go: The Rise and Fall of a Gun Control Movement in Texas

Aim: Scholarly monograph exploring the rise of a gun control movement in nineteenth-century Texas and the regulatory strategies which it embraced. Widespread acceptance of strict, ambitious gun control laws in the "Wild West" belies current assumptions about Texas and challenges the reigning interpretation of the Second Amendment as a guarantor of expansive gun rights

Status: Editing manuscript

"The Texas Anti-Trust Movement: Antimonopoly, Populism, and Reform in the Long Progressive Era"

Aim: Scholarly article interpreting Texas antitrust policy an example of innovative reform in the Great Plains and trans-Mississippi West

Status: Research and writing in progress

University Teaching Experience

Instructor of Record Lecturer in American History, Texas Christian University 2019-2020 "American History to 1877: Social Movements & the Politics of Slavery" (HIST 10603) "American History since 1877: The Quest for Equality" (HIST 10613) "History of Texas: A Transnational Look at the American Southwest" (HIST 40743)

Graduate Student Instructor

Teaching Assistant, Texas Christian University American History to 1877 (HIST 10603) American History since 1877 (HIST 10613) 2017-2018

Teaching Interests

American History, Legal History, Southwestern Borderlands, Civil War Era, American West, Gilded Age & Progressive Era, Women's History

Conference Presentations & Invited Talks

"Reassessing Assumptions about Historical Arkansas and Tennessee Handgun Regulations," Race and Guns Roundtable, Duke Center for Firearms Law, Durham, North Carolina, November 2021

"Enforcement of Public Carry Restrictions: Texas as a Case Study," The Second Amendment at the Supreme Court: 700 Years of History and the Modern Effects of Guns in Public, Davis, California, October 2021

"Race & Guns," Newberry Library Colloquium, Chicago, Illinois, October 2021

- "Unlawful Carrying: Enforcing the Pistol Law in Texas, 1870-1920," Texas State Historical Association Annual Meeting, Corpus Christi, Texas, February 2019
- "Regulating Deadly Weapons in Nineteenth-Century Texas," Invited Lecturer, Los Bexareños Hispanic Genealogical and Historical Conference, San Antonio, Texas, September 2018
- "Impregnable Citadels of Capital: American Monopolies in the British Radical Press," Southern Conference on British Studies Annual Meeting, St. Pete Beach, Florida, November 2016

"Dating Violence in Texas: Why the State Family Code Obstructs Accurate Reporting about Sexual Assault," TCU Women & Gender Studies Research Symposium, 2015

Service

Invited Guest, "How to Make the Most of Your Time in Graduate School," Dept. of History Orientation Day, 2020

~ Advise incoming graduate students on strategies for success in the PhD program, emphasizing importance of intellectual development

Panelist, "Everything You Wanted to Know about TCU but Were Too Afraid to Ask," Dept. of History Orientation Day, 2016

 \sim Provide honest and confidential information to prospective graduate students Graduate Student Mentor, 2015

~ Informal departmental program designed to ease the transition for incoming graduate students

Professional Memberships Society for Historians of the Gilded Age and Progressive Era Texas State Historical Association Southern Historical Association American Historical Association

Languages

Spanish (Proficient) Latin (Proficient)