

1 George M. Lee (SBN 172982)
gml@seilerepstein.com
2 **SEILER EPSTEIN LLP**
3 4 Embarcadero Center, 14th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
4 Phone: (415) 979-0500
Fax: (415) 979-0511
5

6 Raymond M. DiGuiseppe (SBN 228457)
law.rmd@gmail.com
7 **THE DIGUISEPPE LAW FIRM, P.C.**
8 4320 Southport-Supply Road, Suite 300
Southport, North Carolina 28461
9 Phone: (910) 713-8804
Fax: (910) 672-7705
10

11 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

14 WILLIAM WIESE, et al.,
15 Plaintiffs,
16 vs.
17 ROB BONTA, in his official capacity as
18 Attorney General of California, et al.,
19 Defendants.
20

Case No. 2:17-cv-00903-WBS-KJN

**DECLARATION OF ALAN NORMANDY IN
SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION AND
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

[FRCP 56]

Date: None Set
Judge: Hon. William B. Shubb

21 DECLARATION OF ALAN NORMANDY

22 I, Alan Normandy, declare as follows:

23 1. I am an adult resident of Prescott, Arizona, and a named plaintiff in this matter. I
24 have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this declaration, and if called as a witness, could
25 competently testify thereto. This declaration is executed in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for
26 Summary Judgment.
27
28

SEILER EPSTEIN LLP
Attorneys at Law

1 2. I am not prohibited from possessing or acquiring firearms under State or federal
2 law.

3 3. I am the current Vice President of organizational plaintiff Firearms Policy
4 Coalition, as well as a member and supporter of organizational plaintiffs California Gun Rights
5 Foundation (formerly named “The Calguns Foundation”), FPC Action Foundation (formerly
6 named “Firearms Policy Foundation”), and Second Amendment Foundation.

7 4. Prior to the year 2000, and while in California, I lawfully acquired standard
8 firearm magazines capable of holding over ten rounds (cartridges) of ammunition that the State
9 bans as “large-capacity” magazines.

10 5. As an honorably retired police officer who served 28 years with the South San
11 Francisco Police Department, I regularly used such magazines while on the force and as a SWAT
12 and firearms tactical instructor with expertise in the use of firearms holding more than 10 rounds.

13 6. Since retiring, I have continued to participate in and conduct training for other
14 law-abiding citizens and law enforcement officers in the lawful use of such magazines.

15 7. I have family in California and maintain business connections there, which draw
16 me back to California from Arizona on occasion for visits and firearms training sessions.

17 8. But for California’s ban on “large-capacity” magazines, I would bring with me,
18 possess, and use such magazines while in California both for my own lawful personal self-
19 defense and for the instruction of my students in the lawful use of such magazines. I would also
20 acquire more such magazines to use for these purposes while in California.

21 9. Unless and until the enforcement of the State’s “large-capacity” magazine ban
22 laws is declared unconstitutional and enjoined, these laws will continue to adversely affect my
23 right to keep and bear arms for self-defense and other lawful purposes in California.

24 10. As noted, I am also the Vice President of plaintiff Firearms Policy Coalition
25 (“FPC”). FPC is a non-profit membership organization incorporated under the laws of Delaware
26 with its principal place of business in Sacramento, California, with members residing both within
27 and outside of this state, that serves its members and the public through direct and grassroots
28

SEILER EPSTEIN LLP
Attorneys at Law

1 advocacy, legal efforts, and education. The purposes of FPC include defending the United States
2 Constitution and the People’s rights, privileges and immunities deeply rooted in the Nation’s
3 history and tradition, especially the fundamental right to keep and bear arms.

4 11. The relief that the plaintiffs seek in this lawsuit is germane and directly related to
5 FPC’s purposes, and FPC is thus suing on behalf of its members, including the individual
6 Plaintiffs in this case, who are members of FPC.

7 12. Most FPC members are ordinary, peaceable individuals who do not qualify for
8 any exception to the State’s prohibition on the possession of “large-capacity” magazines.

9 13. The State’s enforcement of its ban on so-called “large-capacity” firearm
10 magazines adversely affects FPC members in the exercise of their right to keep and bear arms for
11 self-defense and other lawful purposes in the State, including those who are existing gunowners
12 and already have lawfully purchased and possessed “large-capacity” firearm magazines,
13 gunowners who do not have such magazines, and gunowner visitors to California who have such
14 magazines but cannot bring them into the State.

15 14. FPC’s members and similarly situated gunowners who reside in or visit California
16 overwhelmingly desire and intend to acquire, keep, and bear “large-capacity” firearm magazines
17 for self-defense and other lawful purposes in California, and would do so but for the State’s laws
18 challenged in this case and its enforcement of them.

19 15. Removing from the State, or selling magazines already in individuals’ possession
20 outside of the State, would adversely affect FPC’s members’ right to keep and bear arms for self-
21 defense and other lawful purposes as well as their property rights.

22 16. Unless the enforcement of the State’s “large-capacity” magazine ban laws is
23 declared unconstitutional and enjoined, FPC’s members will suffer a physical deprivation of
24 property that is both independently valuable and a necessary part of a functional firearm.

25 ///
26
27
28

SEILER EPSTEIN LLP
Attorneys at Law

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on
March 28, 2023.



Alan Normandy

SEILER EPSTEIN LLP
Attorneys at Law