FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/10/2023 05:34 PM INDEX NO. 451625/2020

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1324

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/10/2023

## Exhibit B

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/10/2023 05:34 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1324

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/10/2023

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

In the Matter Of:

People of the State of NY vs

NRA

ERICA HARRIS

October 27, 2022



INDEX NO. 451625/2020 FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/10/2023 05:34 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1324 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/10/2023

1

1	
2	SUPREME COURT STATE OF NEW YORK
3	COUNTY OF NEW YORK
4	X
5	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, BY LETITIA JAMES,
6	ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
7	Plaintiff,
8	-against-
9	
10	THE NATIONAL RIFLE ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA, INC.,
11	WAYNE LAPIERRE, WILSON PHILLIPS, JOHN FRAZER, and
12	JOSHUA POWELL
13	Defendants.
14	X
15	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
16	ERICA HARRIS
17	NEW YORK, NEW YORK
18	October 27, 2022
19	
20	REPORTED BY: KIARA MILLER
21	FILE NO.: 827766
22	
23	
24	
25	

		2
1	SUPREME COURT STATE OF NEW YORK	-
2	COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
3	X	
4	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, BY LETITIA JAMES,	
5	ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,	
6	Plaintiff,	
7	-against-	
8		
9	THE NATIONAL RIFLE ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA, INC.,	
10	WAYNE LAPIERRE, WILSON PHILLIPS, JOHN FRAZER, and	
11	JOSHUA POWELL	
12	Defendants.	
13	X	
14		
15		
16	Deposition of ERICA HARRIS, taken on behalf of	
17	Defendants, at 750 Lexington Avenue, 14th	
18	Floor, New York, New York, commencing at 09:11	
19	AM, Thursday, October 27, 2022, before Kiara	
20	Miller.	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

		3
1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3		
4	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff 28 Liberty Street	
6	New York, New York 10005	
7	BY:WILLIAM WANG, ESQ.  MONICA CONNELL, ESQ.	
8	EMILY STERN, ESQ.	
9	BREWER, ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS	
10	Attorneys for Defendants NATIONAL RIFLE ASSOCIATION	
11	750 Lexington Avenue, 14th Floor New York, New York 10022	
12	BY: SVETLANA EISENBERG, ESQ.	
13	DAVID UMANSKY, ESQ. DAVID VU, ESQ.	
14		
15	CORRELL LAW GROUP	
16	Attorneys for Defendants Wayne LaPierre	
17	250 Park Avenue, 7th Floor New York, New York 10177	
18	BY: KENT CORRELL, ESQ.	
19		
20		
21	WINSTON & STRAWN	
22	Attorneys for Defendants Wilson Phillips	
23	200 Park Avenue New York, New York 10022	
24	BY: SETH FARBER, ESQ.	
25	DAIMIRIS GARCIA, ESQ.	

		4	
1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED		
2	CACH CRENCED & DIEMANG		
3	GAGE SPENCER & FLEMING Attorneys for Defendants John Frazer		
4	410 Park Avenue, Suite 810 New York, New York 10022		
5			
6	BY: WILLIAM FLEMMING, ESQ.		
7			
8	AKIN & GUMP Attorneys for Defendants		
9	Joshua Powell 2001 K Street, N.W.		
10	Washington, D.C. 2006-1037		
11	BY: HAYLEY EVANS BOOKER, ESQ. SAMANTHA BLOCK, ESQ.		
12			
13	ALSO PRESENT:		
14	CHRIS JOHNSON, Videographer		
15	HERIBERTO GARCIA, Lexitas Concierge		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs	
		NRÁ	

			5
09:10:34	1	VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on	
	2	the record. My name is Chris	
	3	Johnson, I'm a videographer retained	
	4	by Lexitas. This is a video	
09:10:42	5	deposition for the Supreme Court of	
	6	the State of New York County of New	
	7	York, Commercial division. Index	
	8	No. 451625/2020. Today's date is	
	9	Thursday, October 27th, 2022 and the	
09:11:00	10	time on the video monitor is	
	11	approximately 9:11 a.m. This	
	12	deposition is being held at Brewer,	
	13	750 Lexington Avenue, 14th floor,	
	14	New York, New York 10022. In the	
09:11:15	15	matter of People of the State of New	
	16	York verses the NRA et al. The	
	17	deponent today is Erica Harris.	
	18	Will all counsel present	
	19	please voice and identify themselves	
09:11:27	20	and the parties they represent.	
	21	MS. EISENBERG: This is	
	22	Svetlana Eisenberg Brewer attorneys	
	23	and counselors here on behalf of the	
	24	National Rifle Association of	
09:11:36	25	America. I'm being joined by two of	

## FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/10/2023 05:34 PM

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED ENYSCEF is 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

			6	
09:11:39	1	my colleagues David Umansky and		
	2	David Vu. Good morning, all.		
	3	MR. WANG: This is William		
	4	Wang on behalf of the office of the		
09:11:49	5	New York State Attorney General, I'm		
	6	joined by my colleague Monica		
	7	Connell.		
	8	VIDEOGRAPHER: To the parties		
	9	joining remotely wish to make an		
09:12:00	10	appearance? Hearing no one wishing		
	11	to make an appearance, let's get		
	12	started. Let's swear in the		
	13	witness. The court reporter today		
	14	is Kiara Miller.		
09:12:15	15	ERICA HARRIS, after having first been duly		
	16	sworn by a Notary Public of the State of New York,		
	17	was examined and testified as follows:		
	18	COURT REPORTER: Please state		
	19	your name and address for the		
09:12:15	20	record.		
	21	THE WITNESS: My name is Erica		
	22	Harris. My address 11200 Southwest		
	23	Eight Street. In Miami, Florida		
	24	33199.		
09:13:04	25	EXAMINATION BY		

NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs NRA

			7
09:13:05	1	MS. EISENBERG:	
	2	BY MR. WANG:	
	3	Q Good morning. I represent the	
	4	National Rifle Association of America and	
09:13:09	5	I'll be deposing you today. Just a couple	
	6	of basic comments about how the deposition	
	7	is going to work.	
	8	I'll be asking you questions and	
	9	looking to you to provide answers. It's	
09:13:23	10	important that we don't speak over each	
	11	other because it's being transcribed and	
	12	when two people speak at the same time, it's	
	13	kind of hard to do that.	
	14	If you would like to take a break	
09:13:36	15	at any point by all means please let me	
	16	know, the only thing I ask is that if a	
	17	question is pending we please wait for your	
	18	answer before we break. Is that okay?	
	19	A Sound good.	
09:13:48	20	Q You understand that you are under	
	21	oath, right?	
	22	A I do.	
	23	Q And you understand the importance	
	24	of testifying truthfully here today,	
09:13:50	25	correct?	

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs
		NRÁ

			8
09:13:50	1	A I do.	
	2	Q Have you been deposed before?	
	3	A I have not.	
	4	Q Have you testified at a trial	
09:13:54	5	before?	
	6	A I have not.	
	7	Q Have you testified at a hearing	
	8	before?	
	9	A I have not.	
09:14:00	10	Q How did you become involved in	
	11	this case?	
	12	A A couple of years ago Jim Sheehan	
	13	reached out to me he was interested in a	
	14	model that might help him predict the	
09:14:12	15	bankruptcy or the insolvency of non-profits	
	16	in the State of New York. I had worked on	
	17	some projects related to that and he was	
	18	looking for my aid. We had a couple of	
	19	conversations and I assumed that's why he	
09:14:27	20	thought of me when the NRA bankruptcy case	
	21	came around.	
	22	Q When you said a couple of years	
	23	ago, when specifically did he reach out?	
	24	A So it was when I was working at	
09:14:39	25	Villanova, so approximately 2017.	

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs	
		NRÁ	

09:14:46	1	O It was sortainly before 2010, is	9
09:14:40	_	Q It was certainly before 2018; is	
	2	that correct?	
	3	MR. WANG: Objection to form.	
	4	Q You can answer.	
09:14:55	5	A I can't recall exactly. I can	
	6	certainly look that up, but it was	
	7	definitely before I worked at FIU, before I	
	8	moved to Miami because I remember I was	
	9	sitting in my office at Villanova when we	
09:15:11	10	had a conversation about the bankruptcy	
	11	model.	
	12	Q When did you leave Villanova?	
	13	A I was on sabbatical 18/19, so it	
	14	had to be well, could have been the	
09:15:23	15	spring of '18 because my sabbatical was fall	
	16	of 18/19, fall of '18 spring of '19.	
	17	Q And when Mr. Sheehan told you he	
	18	did not mention the NRA, right?	
	19	A Oh, gosh, no. We were just	
09:15:43	20	talking generally about predicting	
	21	bankruptcy any nonprofits in the State of	
	22	New York.	
	23	Q Did he advise you in connection	
	24	with what project or for what purpose he was	
09:15:54	25	interested in that issue?	

 ${\tt RECEIVED} \, \underbrace{{\tt PNYSGEF}}_{\hbox{\it call}} {\tt incall} {\tt incall}$ October 27, 2022

NYSCEF DO	RECEIVED ENVSCEF Circa Harris October 27, 2022		
			10
09:15:55	1	A No.	
	2	MR. WANG: Object to be the	
	3	form.	
	4	Q Did you ask him why he was	
09:15:59	5	interested in that topic?	
	6	A No. I did not. I thought it was	
	7	an interesting one.	
	8	Q You had not spoken to him before	
	9	the phone call that you are describing,	
09:16:09	10	correct?	
	11	A That's correct.	
	12	Q Had you spoken to anyone from the	
	13	New York Attorney general's office before	
	14	the phone call with Mr. Sheehan that you	
09:16:20	15	just described?	
	16	A No.	
	17	Q How long approximately was that	
	18	phone call?	
	19	MR. WANG: Objection to form.	
09:16:30	20	A Maybe 20 or 30 minutes.	
	21	Q And how were things left at the	
	22	end of the call?	
	23	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	24	A I'm sorry can you ask it again	
09:16:43	25	because I'm not sure what you're asking.	

RECEIVED ENTS THAT is 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

			11
09:16:44	1	Q During that call did you agree to	
	2	work with Mr. Sheehan on the project that he	
	3	proposed?	
	4	MR. WANG: Object to form.	
09:16:53	5	A There was not really a project	
	6	that he was proposing. We were just	
	7	discussing the model and the potential to	
	8	try to try to model how that might look	
	9	for organizations. I had done some	
09:17:09	10	prediction modeling in the past related to	
	11	the nonprofit organizations and we left it	
	12	sort of open-ended I would say.	
	13	Q And did you, at any point, conduct	
	14	the modeling that he discussed on the call	
09:17:24	15	with you?	
	16	A Oh, gosh, no.	
	17	Q When you say "oh gosh, no" what do	
	18	you mean by that?	
	19	MR. WANG: Object to form.	
09:17:32	20	A I didn't spend anymore time	
	21	thinking or working on it.	
	22	Q Did there come a time when you	
	23	spoke to Mr. Sheehan again?	
	24	A Yes. A couple of years later he	
09:17:42	25	reached out with respect to the NRA	

 ${\tt RECEIVED} \, \underbrace{{\tt PNYSGEF}}_{\hbox{\it call}} {\tt incall} {\tt incall}$ October 27, 2022

_	OC. NO	People of the State	e of NY vs	RECEIVED Erica Harris October 27, 2022
				12
09:17:46	1	bankruptcy	y case.	
	2	Q	Had the NRA filed for Chapter 11	
	3	by then?		
	4	A	I don't know.	
09:17:57	5	Q	When approximately did he reach	
	6	out to you	1?	
	7	А	That would have been the spring of	
	8	'19 I bel:	ieve.	
	9	Q	Spring of 2019 was the next time	
09:18:10	10	you spoke	to Mr. Sheehan; is that correct?	
	11	А	That's correct, yeah.	
	12	Q	And do you recall how long	
	13	approximat	tely that call was?	
	14		MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
09:18:23	15	А	It was not very long. Maybe 20 or	
	16	30 minutes	s. Just very introductory.	
	17	Q	Was anyone else other than	
	18	yourself a	and Mr. Sheehan present on the	
	19	call?		
09:18:40	20	А	No.	
	21	Q	Did you take any notes during that	
	22	call?		
	23	А	I did not.	
	24	Q	Where were you when you spoke to	
09:18:46	25	Mr. Sheeha	an the second time?	

RECEIVED ENTS THAT is 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs NRA

			13
09:18:49	1	A Gosh, I couldn't tell you	
	2	specifically. I had already moved to Florida	
	3	at that time, so I was in Florida.	
	4	Q Do you recall any specific things	
09:19:03	5	that Mr. Sheehan said to you on that call?	
	6	A I really don't. It was just an	
	7	introduction and he asked me if I would be	
	8	interested in working with him on the case.	
	9	Q Other than him asking if you would	
09:19:19	10	be interested, you don't recall any	
	11	specifics, you don't recall him providing	
	12	any specifics, right?	
	13	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	14	A No. I do not.	
09:19:30	15	Q Did he ask you any questions	
	16	during that call? I'm sorry. Other than	
	17	asking if you would be interested working on	
	18	the case, did he ask you any other	
	19	questions?	
09:19:42	20	A I'm not sure I understand what	
	21	kind of questions you mean. He may have	
	22	asked me other questions I can't remember	
	23	the conversation exactly.	
	24	Q So you have no specific	
09:19:53	25	recollection of any specific questions he	

RECEIVED ENCA Harris 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs NRA

			14
09:19:55	1	might have asked you other than whether	
	2	you'd be interested in working on the case;	
	3	is that right?	
	4	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
09:20:04	5	A Yeah.	
	6	Q So please wait for me to complete	
	7	my question, so that Mr. Wang has an	
	8	opportunity to object so we're not all	
	9	speaking all three at the same time. This	
09:20:16	10	way you don't have to object while I'm still	
	11	asking my question.	
	12	So understanding that you don't	
	13	have a specific recollection of any	
	14	questions he might have asked you, other	
09:20:30	15	than whether you'd be interested in working	
	16	on the case, do you have a recollection of	
	17	any other questions he asked you on that	
	18	call?	
	19	MS. CONNELL: Object to the	
09:20:40	20	form.	
	21	A Apologies for speaking over you	
	22	guys before, as you know this is my first	
	23	time being deposed, I'm just taking it the	
	24	best I can.	
09:20:51	25	Q No worries.	

			15
09:20:52	1	A My general sense from the call was	
	2	an introductionreintroducing himself	
	3	since it had been a while since we spoke and	
	4	just indicating that they had the need for	
09:21:04	5	an expert to prepare a report that would be	
	6	related to understanding how the NRA	
	7	compared to other U.S. nonprofit	
	8	organizations. Again, I assume he reached	
	9	out to me because we had this prior	
09:21:21	10	interaction and he knew that my research	
	11	related to U.S. nonprofit organizations.	
	12	Q Do you know how he came to know	
	13	about your research?	
	14	MS. CONNELL: Object to the	
09:21:37	15	form.	
	16	A So yeah, the research project that	
	17	I had been working on had recently been	
	18	published and the journals, you know, tried	
	19	to disseminate new projects to the public	
09:21:55	20	and I assume that he became aware of it from	
	21	the academic journal publication process.	
	22	Q You're aware that the NRA emerged	
	23	from its Chapter 11 case on or about May 11,	
	24	2021, right?	
09:22:13	25	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	

			16
09:22:16	1	A I'm not aware of the specific	
	2	date.	
	3	Q But you're aware that at some	
	4	point the bankruptcy case was dismissed,	
09:22:23	5	right?	
	6	A That's correct, yes.	
	7	MS. CONNELL: Object to the	
	8	form.	
	9	Q Before the bankruptcy case was	
09:22:32	10	dismissed did you prepare a report?	
	11	A I did. I did some preliminary	
	12	analysis and I had put together a PowerPoint	
	13	presentation but it was not in a report	
	14	format.	
09:22:43	15	Q So I'm sorry, did you or did you	
	16	not prepare a report before the bankruptcy	
	17	case was dismissed?	
	18	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	19	A I did not prepare a formal report,	
09:22:58	20	no.	
	21	Q But you prepared some analyses?	
	22	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	23	A Yes, that's correct.	
	24	Q Approximately how long is the	
09:23:06	25	power point presentation?	

NYSCEF	DOC.	People of the State of NY vs	
		INITA	

			17
09:23:07	1	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	2	A It was maybe 15 slides.	
	3	Q Do you still have it?	
	4	A I do.	
09:23:21	5	Q Other than preparing the power	
	6	point presentation that you just referenced,	
	7	what other documents did you prepare in	
	8	connection with your work on that assignment	
	9	during the NRA bankruptcy's bankruptcy case?	
09:23:36	10	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	11	A That was the only thing that I	
	12	prepared.	
	13	Q You didn't prepare any memoranda;	
	14	is that correct?	
09:23:44	15	A That's correct.	
	16	Q You did not prepare any email	
	17	messages to Mr. Sheehan; is that correct?	
	18	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	19	A I'm sure in the course of our	
09:23:54	20	communications we had email correspondence,	
	21	but related only to the PowerPoint	
	22	presentation.	
	23	Q Did you email the PowerPoint	
	24	presentation to him at any point?	
09:24:16	25	MS. WANG: Object to the form.	

NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs NRA

			18
09:24:20	1	A I can't recall exactly when, but	
	2	I'm sure at some point I emailed the	
	3	PowerPoint presentation to him.	
	4	Q Do you know sitting here right now	
09:24:31	5	if you emailed it to him only once or	
	6	multiple times?	
	7	A Oh, gosh, I really don't know. I	
	8	really couldn't say. I don't want to tell	
	9	you it was only once and then it was more	
09:24:56	10	than once, I really don't recall at this	
	11	point.	
	12	Q What was the substance of the	
	13	PowerPoint presentation?	
	14	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
09:25:05	15	A It was describing what a	
	16	significant diversion of assets is. What an	
	17	excess benefit transaction is and it	
	18	compared started some analysis of	
	19	comparing the NRA to other U.S. non-profit	
09:25:21	20	organizations.	
	21	Q What is a significant diversion?	
	22	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	23	A So the IRS has a very specific	
	24	definition. I include that definition in my	
09:25:39	25	report. If I had it in front of me I could	
		1	

			19
09:25:43	1	read you the definition that the IRS	
	2	provides.	
	3	Q Understood, but in terms of your	
	4	knowledge sitting here right now without	
09:25:53	5	your report in front of you, can you tell me	
	6	what a significant diversion is?	
	7	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	8	A It's when an organization has	
	9	diverted assets away from the mission of the	
09:26:08	10	organization.	
	11	Q What is an excess benefit	
	12	transaction?	
	13	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	14	A So again, the IRS has a very	
09:26:24	15	specific definition of excess benefit	
	16	transaction that I have included in my	
	17	report. If I had my report in front of me I	
	18	would give you the specific definition, but	
	19	my interpretation of the definition of an	
09:26:34	20	excess benefit transaction is when an	
	21	individual that has significant influence	
	22	over the organization receives a benefit in	
	23	excess of the services they provided.	
	24	Q You served on a not for profit	
09:26:54	25	before, correct?	

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs
		NRÁ

			20
09:26:55	1	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	2	A I've served on a couple of	
	3	non-profit boards, yes.	
	4	Q As a director?	
09:27:03	5	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	6	A As a board member.	
	7	Q You were also a treasurer of a not	
	8	for profit once, right?	
	9	MR. WANG: Object the form.	
09:27:13	10	A I was the treasurer at my church	
	11	preschool.	
	12	Q That's a not for profit, right?	
	13	A Yes.	
	14	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
09:27:23	15	A It is yeah.	
	16	Q How long were you the treasurer of	
	17	that not for profit?	
	18	A Let's see. When my kids were in	
	19	preschool so from about 2010 until 2018.	
09:27:41	20	That seems a little long. It might have	
	21	started a little bit later than that. I	
	22	apologize, I don't remember the dates	
	23	exactly.	
	24	Q What is a disqualified person?	
09:27:52	25	MR. WANG: Objection to the	

21

09:27:52 1	form.	21
2	A So the IRS has a very specific	
3	definition of a disqualified person. Again,	
4	I include that definition in my report, so	
09:28:01 5	if I had it in front of me I'd give you the	
6	exact definition, but it's someone that has	
7	significant influence over the organization.	
8	Q What is a related party	
9	transaction?	
09:28:16 10	MR. WANG: Objection to the	
11	form.	
12	A I take up the definition of a	
13	related party transaction in a couple of my	
14	research projects. If I had those in front	
09:28:23 15	of me I'd give you the specific definition,	
16	but a related party transaction is when you	
17	engage in business transaction with someone	
18	that you're related to. So it could be a	
19	business relation or a familial relation.	
09:28:39 20	Q You testified earlier that in	
21	conjunction with the NRA's bankruptcy	
22	proceeding you prepared a preliminary	
23	analysis, do you recall that testimony?	
24	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
09:28:50 25	A I do.	

RECEIVED NYSCEF:: 03/10/2023

	NRA	October 27, 2022
1		22
2	though, right?	
3	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
4	A That's correct. Because that's	
5	correct.	
6	Q You don't happen to have that	
7	PowerPoint that you testified about with you	
8	here today, do you?	
9	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
L O	A I do not.	
11	Q Have you provided it to the New	
12	York Attorney's General's office in	
13	connection with your preparation for this	
L4	deposition?	
15	A I have not.	
16	Q When you characterized that	
17	analysis as "preliminary" what did you mean	
18	by that?	
19	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
20	A I guess, I guess I hadn't spent	
21	a lot of time working on it. I had put	
22	together some general definitions and sort	
23	of started to think about how I would	
24	compare the NRA to other U.S. nonprofits in	
25	organizations.	
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 2 3 4	Q It was not the final analysis though, right?  MR. WANG: Object to the form.  A That's correct. Because that's correct.  Q You don't happen to have that PowerPoint that you testified about with you here today, do you?  MR. WANG: Object to the form.  A I do not.  Q Have you provided it to the New York Attorney's General's office in connection with your preparation for this deposition?  A I have not.  Q When you characterized that analysis as "preliminary" what did you mean by that?  MR. WANG: Object to the form.  A I guess, I guess I hadn't spent a lot of time working on it. I had put together some general definitions and sort of started to think about how I would compare the NRA to other U.S. nonprofits in

NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs NRA

23

09:30:29	1	Q So you had prepared a list of	23
	2	general definitions; is that correct?	
	3	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	4	A Yeah, definitions and I sort of	
09:30:43	5	was treating it as I would be teaching a	
	6	class and I would be giving the what it	
	7	is to have a significant diversion of	
	8	assets, excess benefit what that looks like	
	9	on a form 990, why that matters. Sort of	
09:31:06	10	informational.	
-	11	Q And you said you started to think	
=	12	about what the analysis might look like,	
=	13	right?	
-	14	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
09:31:16	15	A Yeah, I started to think about it	
<u>.</u>	16	and I put together some comparisons.	
<u>.</u>	17	Q What did you do to put together	
<u>.</u>	18	some comparisons?	
-	19	A I used the data that I had	
09:31:33 2	20	available to me at that time. That I had	
2	21	used for other research projects to get a	
2	22	feel for how many other organizations had	
2	23	reported excess benefit transactions.	
2	24	Q Other than using the data for the	
09:32:01 2	25	purpose you just described, what else, if	

RECEIVED ENCA Harris 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

24

			24
09:32:04	1	anything, did you do at that time to put	
	2	together some comparisons?	
	3	A I reviewed the NRA's form 990s	
	4	that were available at that time.	
09:32:17	5	Q Other than reviewing the NRA's	
	6	form 990 and doing what you just described	
	7	what else, if anything, did you do to put	
	8	together some comparisons at the time?	
	9	A I can't think of anything else	
09:32:34	10	that I did at that time.	
	11	Q Did you reach any conclusions	
	12	based on that preliminary analysis?	
	13	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	14	A I reached the conclusion that	
09:32:46	15	there were very few organizations that were	
	16	reporting excess benefit transactions in the	
	17	population of U.S. non-profit organizations	
	18	that I was looking at.	
	19	Q Did you reach any other	
09:33:00	20	conclusions at that time?	
	21	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	22	A I did not.	
	23	Q Had you studied the question of	
	24	excess benefit reporting on the form 990	
09:33:17	25	before working with Mr. Sheehan?	

 $^{\rm NYSCEF}$  DOC.  $^{\rm NO}\!People$  of the State of NY vs NRA

09:33:24	1	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	25
	2	A So as I mentioned in my report, I	
	3	have been interested in other types of	
	4	diversions. As you know, some of my research	
09:33:35	5	looks at the significant diversion of	
	6	assets. I had been interested in other	
	7	diversions such as excess benefit	
	8	transactions, but given that there are so	
	9	few organizations that are reporting these	
09:33:47	10	transactions, I had not undertaken any	
	11	studies that would look at that based on the	
	12	fact there were so few organizations that	
	13	looked at that.	
	14	Q So it's fair to say that as you	
09:34:01	15	were working with Mr. Sheehan at the time of	
	16	the NRA's bankruptcy, it's the first time	
	17	you were trying to analyze excess benefit	
	18	reporting on not for profits 990s?	
	19	MR. WANG: Object to the form,	
09:34:09	20	mischaracterizes testimony.	
	21	A No. I wouldn't say that that was	
	22	the first time. I would say that I had	
	23	thought about the idea or was interested in	
	24	understanding the characteristics and types	
09:34:24	25	of organizations that report excess benefit	

09:34:28	1	transactions. But because they are so few	26
	2	organizations that report them, it doesn't	
	3	make for very interesting research project	
	4	and there's just not a lot of empirical data	
09:34:44	5	to work with.	
	6	MR. CORRELL: This is Ken	
	7	corral I would just urge Mr. Wang to	
	8	refrain from making speaking	
	9	objections. Thank you.	
09:34:52	10	BY MS. EISENBERG:	
	11	Q I understand what you just said.	
	12	But my question is whether you had analyzed	
	13	that question before the NRA's Chapter 11	
	14	proceeding?	
09:35:06	15	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	16	A Had I analyzed I'm sorry, can you	
	17	re-ask the question. I want to make sure I	
	18	answer it.	
	19	Q Yes, of course. You said you	
09:35:14	20	thought about it and you were interested in	
	21	the topic. My question is whether you had	
	22	actually performed any analyses as of that	
	23	time?	
	24	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
09:35:26	25	A I think at some point during my	

27

			27
09:35:28	1	research because we had been looking at	
	2	diversions of assets for several years in	
	3	several different projects and excess	
	4	benefit transactions are another type of	
09:35:40	5	diversion, so I think during the course of	
	6	working on those projects I had done some	
	7	analysis very rudimentary just how many	
	8	organizations are out there that have	
	9	reported the excess benefit transactions,	
09:35:57	10	realized there were very few and realized	
	11	that would be a bit of a dead end for a	
	12	research project.	
	13	Q So your testimony is you had done	
	14	some analysis before, correct?	
09:36:10	15	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	16	A So I guess I need to define	
	17	analysis. You know, just pulling up how	
	18	many there are doesn't necessarily define	
	19	analysis to me, but investigating how many	
09:36:21	20	organizations had excess benefit	
	21	transactions, I had definitely looked that	
	22	up before.	
	23	Q Had you compared any specific not	
	24	for profits to others in terms of excess	
09:36:37	25	benefit reporting prior to your work with	

09:36:43	1	Mr. Sheehan?	28
	2	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	3	A I had not.	
	4	Q I'm sorry I couldn't hear your	
09:36:48	5	answer could you please repeat it?	
	6	A I had not.	
	7	Q You were compensated for your work	
	8	for the New York Attorney General's office	
	9	during the NRA chapter 11 proceeding; is	
09:37:06	10	that correct?	
	11	A That's correct.	
	12	Q And how much did you get paid?	
	13	A Around approximately \$9,000.	
	14	Q Did you have an hourly rate that	
09:37:19	15	you charged the New York Attorney General's	
	16	office?	
	17	A I did. It was \$400 an hour.	
	18	Q Where were you employed at the	
	19	time?	
09:37:29	20	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	21	A I was employed at Villanova	
	22	University during my sabbatical year and at	
	23	Florida International University.	
	24	Q What was your salary at the time?	
09:37:47	25	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	

			29
09:37:51	1	A At Villanova, I made approximately	
	2	\$150,000 a year. At FIU, I make	
	3	approximately \$190,000 a year.	
	4	MR. WANG: Note for the	
09:38:04	5	record. The Attorney General's	
	6	office deems this portion of the	
	7	transcript as confidential.	
	8	BY MS. EISENBERG:	
	9	Q When you were working with	
09:38:11	10	Mr. Sheehan in connection with this	
	11	comparison during the Chapter 11 proceeding,	
	12	were you drawing a salary from both	
	13	Villanova and Florida International	
	14	University?	
09:38:23	15	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	16	A No. I was not. The time span	
	17	just span over those two different	
	18	employment periods.	
	19	Q And at the time were you engaged	
09:38:39	20	in any other project where you charged an	
	21	hourly rate?	
	22	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	23	A No. I was not.	
	24	Q You were issued a 1099 for that	
09:38:52	25	work?	

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs
		NRÁ

			30
09:38:53	1	A For the bankruptcy work? I have	
	2	not yet been issued the 1099 because I've	
	3	only recently been paid.	
	4	Q What about during the bankruptcy	
09:39:06	5	proceeding which was in 2021?	
	6	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	7	A Unfortunately I wasn't paid	
	8	until this year.	
	9	Q Were you told why your payment was	
09:39:17	10	delayed?	
	11	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	12	A Yes. There was some	
	13	administrative paperwork that had not been	
	14	taken care of.	
09:39:26	15	Q What paperwork had not been taken	
	16	care of?	
	17	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	18	A Some purchase order approvals and	
	19	paperworks and paperwork in the AG's office.	
09:39:41	20	Q Had you given to them everything	
	21	they needed to make a payment?	
	22	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	23	A I had not because I had not	
	24	completed the paperwork. I was not aware	
09:39:51	25	that the paperwork needed to be completed,	

IYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs	
		NRÁ	

			31
09:39:53	1	but I had not completed it.	
	2	Q So you did not receive any	
	3	payments from the NYAG in 2021; is that	
	4	correct?	
09:40:07	5	A That's correct.	
	6	Q Did you reach any conclusions	
	7	about the NRA during the NRA's Chapter 11	
	8	proceedings?	
	9	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
09:40:23	10	A I'm sorry conclusions about what.	
	11	Q Conclusions about its reporting of	
	12	excess benefit transactions and/or	
	13	significant diversion on it's form 990?	
	14	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
09:40:38	15	A Yes. During that analysis I	
	16	learned that they were very few	
	17	organizations, that were reporting those	
	18	types of transactions and that the NRA was	
	19	an outlier when it came to those two types	
09:41:06	20	of diversions.	
	21	Q So during the NRA Chapter 11	
	22	proceeding, you reached the conclusion that	
	23	the NRA was an outlier with regard to	
	24	reporting excess benefits, correct?	
09:41:21	25	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	

	NIVA	OCIODOI 21, 2022
09:41:21 1	A Correct.	32
2	Q During the NRA's Chapter 11	
3	proceeding you also reached the conclusion	
4	that the NRA was at outlier in terms of its	
09:41:32 5	reporting of significant diversion of	
6	assets; is that correct?	
7	MR. WANG: Same objection.	
8	A Yes. That's also correct.	
9	Q How did the analysis that you	
09:41:40 10	conducted during in the NRA Chapter 11	
11	proceeding compare to the analysis that you	
12	conducted in this action?	
13	MR. WANG: Objection to the	
14	form.	
09:41:48 15	A I reached the same conclusions.	
16	Q Did the methodology differ in any	
17	way?	
18	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
19	A In my current report I expanded	
09:42:00 20	the datas. More data was available so I	
21	could expand the time. And I also looked at	
22	three different comparison groups as opposed	
23	to just the overall group of non-profit	
24	organizations.	
09:42:17 25	Q You expanded the data, how did you	

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs	
		NRÁ	

			33
09:42:20	1	expand it?	
	2	A I added additional years that had	
	3	become available since I had worked on the	
	4	bankruptcy preliminary analysis.	
09:42:28	5	Q What years became available since	
	6	the preliminary analysis during the	
	7	bankruptcy?	
	8	A I can't remember exactly what	
	9	years were included in the preliminary	
09:42:38	10	analysis, but I suspect that '19 and '20	
	11	were additional years that had become	
	12	available since I worked on that original	
	13	analysis.	
	14	Q During the bankruptcy your	
09:42:52	15	analysis did not include comparison of the	
	16	NRA to all not for profits, as well as not	
	17	for profits of certain size; is that	
	18	correct?	
	19	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
09:43:08	20	Mischaracterizes testimony.	
	21	A I'm sorry ask the question again	
	22	please.	
	23	Q During the bankruptchy	
	24	MR. CORRELL: This is Ken	
09:43:15	25	corral. That's the second speaking	

NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs NRA

			34
09:43:18	1	objection Mr. Wang. I would again	
	2	urge you to refrain, so we don't	
	3	have to call judge Sherwood.	
	4	BY MS. EISENBERG:	
09:43:26	5	Q During the bankruptcy you compared	
	6	the NRA to other companies, correct?	
	7	A Correct.	
	8	Q And the other companies to whom	
	9	you compared the NRA were all 501C3 and	
09:43:40	10	501C4 companies that filed electronically	
	11	that filed between 2010 and the date of your	
	12	analysis; is that correct?	
	13	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	14	A That's correct.	
09:43:46	15	Q And you didn't conduct any other	
	16	comparisons, right?	
	17	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	18	A Yes. That's correct.	
	19	Q Other than what you just	
09:43:58	20	described, did the methodology you used	
	21	during the bankruptcy case differ at all	
	22	from the methodology you used in this case?	
	23	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	24	A I don't believe so. I would say	
09:44:19	25	that in my current report I looked at the	

NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs NRA

			35
09:44:28	1	details of the excess benefit transactions	
	2	in the bankruptcy report. It was very high	
	3	level I would say I would characterize it	
	4	as.	
09:44:45	5	Q When you just said that here you	
	6	"looked at details of the excess benefit	
	7	transactions", are you referring to reported	
	8	transactions in the NRA's 990?	
	9	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
09:44:57	10	A I am, yes.	
	11	Q By details are you referring to	
	12	the narrative descriptions in the NRA's form	
	13	990?	
	14	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
09:45:05	15	A I am, yes.	
	16	Q Are you referring to anything	
	17	other than the NRA's description on its 990	
	18	when you say details of excess benefit	
	19	transactions?	
09:45:17	20	A I am not.	
	21	Q Did there come a time when you	
	22	were approached to participate in this case?	
	23	MR. WANG: Objection to the	
	24	form.	
09:45:40	25	A Yes, I was approached to work on	

Lexitas

 ${\tt RECEIVED} \, \underbrace{{\tt PNYSGEF}}_{{\tt Crical Harris}} {\tt 03/10/2023}$ October 27, 2022

· -	oc. nq	People of the State of NY vs	RECEIVED Erica Harris October 27, 2022
			36
09:45:44	1	their case.	
	2	Q When were you approached to work	
	3	on this case?	
	4	A I would say in the spring of this	
09:45:56	5	year.	
	6	Q 2022, correct?	
	7	A Correct.	
	8	Q Who approached you?	
	9	A I can't recall specifically if it	
09:46:10	10	was either Monica or Jim Sheean.	
	11	Q And when the office of the	
	12	Attorney General reached out to you about	
	13	working on this case, was this by phone, in	
	14	person or something else?	
09:46:31	15	A It was by phone.	
	16	Q And you don't recall if	
	17	Ms. Connell or Mr. Sheehan, but you believe	
	18	it was one of them, correct?	
	19	A That's correct.	
09:46:41	20	Q And during that phone call did you	L
	21	agree to work on this case?	
	22	MR. WANG: Objection to form.	
	23	A I did.	
	24	Q Do you remember any specific	
09:46:50	25	things that the person said to you during	

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs NRA	

			37
09:46:53	1	that call?	
	2	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	3	A I do not. I do not.	
	4	Q Do you remember asking any	
09:47:05	5	questions?	
	6	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	7	A I remember indicating that I was	
	8	going to be a full bright scholar working in	
	9	Ireland over the summer and that I wanted to	
09:47:23	10	be clear that my availability early in the	
	11	summer to work on my report would be	
	12	limited, but that later in the summer I	
	13	would have more time; so I wanted to get a	
	14	feel for the timing of when a report would	
09:47:36	15	be due.	
	16	Q And what did they say?	
	17	A They said that the reports would	
	18	likely be due towards the end of the summer	
	19	and that and I remember thinking that	
09:47:54	20	that would the timing would probably work	
	21	out but I wanted to be sure that I was	
	22	that I had enough bandwidth to work on the	
	23	project.	
	24	Q Other than asking them about the	
09:48:06	25	deadline, do you recall asking them any	

RECEIVED ENTS THAT IIs 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

		YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/10/2023 05:34 PM	INDEX NO. 4
NYSCEF DO	DC. NG I	People of the State of NY vs NRA	RECEIVED EVICA Harris October 27, 2022
			38
09:48:09	1	other questions?	
	2	A I do not.	
	3	Q And is it fair to say that there	
	4	came a time when you spoke to Ms. Connel in	
09:48:20	5	connection with this case, right?	
	6	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	7	A Yes.	
	8	Q And this was sometime in spring	
	9	2022; is that correct?	
09:48:30	10	A Yes. That's correct.	
	11	Q Had you spoken to Ms. Connel	
	12	previous to that call?	
	13	A I believe she had been	
	14	incorporated in a communication I had with	
09:48:47	15	Jim related to the bankruptcy case.	
	16	Q What is that belief based on?	
	17	A I think I was introduced to Monica	1
	18	before working on this project.	
	19	Q Were you introduced to any other	
09:49:09	20	assistant Attorney General or person working	1
	21	for the office of Attorney General during	
	22	the NRA's Chapter 11 proceeding?	
	23	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	24	A I was not.	
09:49:20	25	Q So during the NRA's Chapter 11	

	39	
09:49:22 1	proceeding you only had communications with	
2	Mr. Sheehan and Ms. Connell; is that	
3	correct??	
4	A That's correct.	
09:49:32 5	Q And during the bankruptcy, is it	
6	fair to say that you had multiple calls with	
7	either Mr. Sheehan or Ms. Connell?	
8	A I would say that we had few calls.	
9	I can't remember exactly.	
09:49:46 10	Q So more than one, right?	
11	A More than one it's been several	
12	years now.	
13	Q Were any of them video calls?	
14	A Gosh, I'm sure one of them was a	
09:49:59 15	Zoom call I just can't remember exactly. I'm	
16	sorry it's a couple of years.	
17	Q Did you take any notes during that	
18	call?	
19	A I did not.	
09:50:11 20	Q Has there ever been a call with	
21	the New York Attorney General's office in	
22	which you took notes?	
23	A I don't believe so.	
24	Q What is your current salary?	
09:50:37 25	A I just let you know. I make about	

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs	
		NRA	

			40
09:50:40	1	a 190,000, although I was recently promoted,	
	2	received tenure at FIU and so I will receive	
	3	a raise this year as I but I couldn't	
	4	tell you off the top of my head exactly what	
09:51:01	5	that will look like.	
	6	MR. WANG: The Attorney	
	7	General deems this portion of the	
	8	transcript as confidential.	
	9	Q Congratulations.	
09:51:11	10	A Thank you.	
	11	Q Other than being paid by FIU do	
	12	you have any other sources of income?	
	13	A I do not.	
	14	Q When you worked for the New York	
09:51:22	15	Attorney General's office during the NRA	
	16	Chapter 11 proceeding, did you send to the	
	17	office an invoice for your services?	
	18	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	19	A I did.	
09:51:34	20	Q And please describe that invoice?	
	21	A It indicated the number of hours I	
	22	had worked on my report and what my hourly	
	23	pay was going to be or my hourly pay rate	
	24	is, I guess.	
09:51:47	25	Q Did it provide a description of	

NYSCEF DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs
	NRÁ

	41
09:51:49 1	the specific tasks that you handled on the
2	specific days on which you worked on that
3	assignment?
4	A I don't recall at the moment, I'm
09:51:57 5	sorry it was a couple of years ago now.
6	Q You're currently being compensated
7	by the New York Attorney General's office,
8	right?
9	A That's correct.
09:52:10 10	Q They haven't paid you yet, but you
11	understand they will, correct?
12	MR. WANG: Object to the form.
13	A I do, yes.
14	Q And they haven't paid you yet,
09:52:19 15	right?
16	A I have received payment for my
17	work on the bankruptcy case, I have not
18	received payment for working on this part of
19	case, no.
09:52:27 20	Q How many invoices did you send to
21	them for your work on this case?
22	A One.
23	Q For what amount?
24	A I do not recall off the top of my
09:52:39 25	head. I believe it was about \$10,000.

NYSCEF DO	C. NO	People of the State of NY vs NRA	RECEIVED Erica Harris October 27, 2022
09:52:48	1	Q And do you charge for Ms. May's	42
	2	time?	
	3	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	4	A I do not.	
09:52:56	5	Q So she is working on this project	
	6	for free, correct?	
	7	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	8	A I yeah. Yeah, I guess she is	
	9	working on the project for free.	
09:53:12	10	Q Who is Ms. May?	
	11	A She's my research assistant at	
	12	Florida International University.	
	13	Q Approximately how many hours has	
	14	she dedicated to working on this case?	
09:53:23	15	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	16	A Well, very few because the data	
	17	that she pulled, that she got from the	
	18	Amazon web services, is also data that we	

that she pulled, that she got from the
Amazon web services, is also data that we
use for another project. So there was no
incremental time or effort that she needed
to provide the data for this project.

Q So what is this other project that
you just referenced?

MR. WANG: Object to the form.

A It's a project related to auditor

24

09:53:56 25

RECEIVED ENYSCEF :: 03/10/2023

NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs NRA

09:54:01

09:54:09

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

2.1

22

23

24

09:54:24 10

09:54:53 15

09:55:07 20

09:55:19 25

NRA	October 27, 2022
	43
switching to working paper that's listed on	
my CV.	
Q What is auditor switching?	
A It's when a client decides to	
switch their auditors. Yeah, when a client	
decides to switch their auditors.	
Q So what specifically did she have	

to do in conjunction with this case?

MR. WANG: Object to the form.

A Just provide the AWS IRS form 990 electronic file data.

Q You had not gathered that AWS IRS form 990 electronic file data in connection with the auditor switching file, right?

MR. WANG: Object to the form.

A No. We had collected that data for the IRS -- for the auditor switching project. I mean it's the same data I use for all of my research projects. The same data that all non-profit accounting researchers use for their research project. It's the data that we use for all of our

Q So you just took the data that you had gathered for that the other project and

projects.

RECEIVED ENCA Harris 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs NRA

	NRA	October 27, 2022
09:55:22 1	reused it for this?	44
2	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
3	A Well, the data for this project is	
4	just a subset of the data that I would use	
09:55:30 5	for the audit opinion shopping paper	
6	project.	
7	Q When did Ms. May gather the data	
8	that you used for this case?	
9	A I believe that I make mention of	
09:55:42 10	the exact date that we gathered the data in	
11	my report. If I had it in front of me, I	
12	would tell you the exact date, but I believe	
13	it was in late July.	
14	Q Of this year?	
09:55:56 15	A Correct.	
16	Q And that data was gathered for the	
17	auditor or the switching project, correct?	
18	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
19	A So we had already been working on	
09:56:05 20	the auditor switching project and we update	
21	the data periodically when new data becomes	
22	available and I wanted to have the most	
23	updated data available when I put together	

my report.

24

09:56:14 25

She gathered the data under your

Lexitas

 ${\tt RECEIVED} \, \underbrace{{\tt PNYSGEF}}_{{\tt Crical Harris}} {\tt 03/10/2023}$ October 27, 2022

NYSCEF DO	)C. NQ	People of the State of NY vs	CEIVED <b>Erica Harr</b> is October 27, 2022
			45
09:56:16	1	direction, right?	
	2	A That's correct, yeah.	
	3	Q But not under your supervision,	
	4	right?	
09:56:21	5	MR. WANG: Object to the for	m.
	6	A I'm not sure what you mean by	
	7	supervision was I directly with her when	she
	8	downloaded the data, I was not.	
	9	Q And you didn't do anything to Q	С
09:56:34	10	her work did you?	
	11	MR. WANG: Object to the for	m.
	12	A Does QC stand for quality contr	ol?
	13	Q Correct.	
	14	A No. I did not.	
09:56:49	15	MS. EISENBERG: Let's take a	
	16	five minute break.	
	17	VIDEOGRAPHER: It is 9:56 a.	m.
	18	We're going off the record.	
	19	(Whereupon, a recess was t	aken
09:56:58	20	from 9:57 AM to 10:04 AM.)	
	21	VIDEOGRAPHER: Please standb	у.
	22	It is 10:04 a.m. We are back on t	he
	23	record.	
	24	BY MS. EISENBERG:	
	25		

	OC. NO	People of the State of NY vs NRA	RECEIVED Erica Harris October 27, 2022
			46
10:04:40	1	Q I would like to hand you what we	
	2	marked as Exhibit 1.	
	3	MS. EISENBERG: Counsel, I	
	4	have copies for you if you'd like.	
10:05:04	5	Q What is Exhibit 1?	
	6	A Exhibit 1 is my expert report.	
	7	Q That you prepared in connection	
	8	with this case, correct?	
	9	A That's correct.	
10:05:13	10	Q And it reflects the opinions that	
	11	you will express at the trial in this case	
	12	if you are called to testify, correct?	
	13	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	14	A That's correct.	
10:05:43	15	Q I'd like to the turn your	
	16	attention to Appendix A to Exhibit A. If	
	17	you'd like you can place this post-it there	
	18	to the make it easier to navigate. So is it	
	19	fair to say the document that's your report	
10:06:05	20	is about 25 pages long and that what appears	3
	21	after those 25 pages is a slip sheet that	
	22	said appendix A?	
	23	A That's correct.	
	24	Q What appears behind appendix A	
10:06:20	25	slip sheet?	

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs	
		NRÁ	

			47
10:06:21	1	A A research project that I was	
	2	coauthored on.	
	3	Q And you were the most senior	
	4	co-author on that project?	
10:06:34	5	A I was not. Shelly Utman is the	
	6	most senior person on this project.	
	7	Q And between Ms. Patrovrich and	
	8	yourself who is more senior?	
	9	A I think we are about the same but	
10:06:52	10	she might be ahead of me a year or two.	
	11	Q What is the title of the article.	
	12	The affect of the non-profit governments on	
	13	donations evidence from revise form 990.	
	14	Q Did you review every word in this	
10:07:10	15	article before it was published?	
	16	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	17	A Yes. I read this the paper many	
	18	times in the preparing it for submission and	
	19	is ultimately publication.	
10:07:24	20	Q Do you agree with everything that	
	21	this paper says?	
	22	A Object to the form.	
	23	A I do.	
	24	Q Do you stand behind every	
10:07:31	25	assertion that it makes?	

Lexitas

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs	
		NRÁ	

		4	18
10:07:33	1	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	2	A I do.	
	3	Q What is window dressing?	
	4	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
10:07:40	5	A I believe we describe window	
	6	dressing in the paper as	
	7	Q You don't have to the look at the	
	8	Exhibit I'm just asking generally.	
	9	MR. WANG: I object that	
10:07:51	10	Counsel if you're asking questions	
	11	about an article that was published	
	12	by Professor Harris, she has the	
	13	right to look through the article	
	14	that she has been handed to refresh	
10:08:02	15	her memory about this article and	
	16	she has the right to look at it and	
	17	is answer your questions in the	
	18	context of the article that you've	
	19	put before her.	
10:08:12	20	MR. CORRELL: This is	
	21	Mr. Correl for Wayne LaPierre here.	
	22	That's your third speaking	
	23	objection. I'd like to call the	
	24	judge now. Can we take a break	
10:08:20	25	MR. WANG: You can take your	

RECEIVED ENCA Harris 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs NRA

			49
10:08:21	1	time to call the Special Master for	
	2	Counsel asking the witness to review	
	3	an article before being asked	
	4	questions related to that the	
10:08:28	5	article. Let's call the special	
	6	master. On Kent Correll's	
	7	suggestion. Let's call the special	
	8	Master.	
	9	MS. EISENBERG: Let's go off	
10:08:40	10	the record and excuse the witness.	
	11	Please set aside the exhibit	
	12	(Unreportable Cross-talk)	
	13	MS. EISENBERG: Ken, you said	
	14	we can go forward.	
10:08:59	15	MR. CORRELL: Would you please	
	16	mark the three objections that were	
	17	speaking objections. The ones that	
	18	went beyond the Objection to the	
	19	form. So we can read them to the	
10:09:07	20	judge.	
	21	COURT REPORTER: I cannot	
	22	hear.	
	23	MR. WANG: I object to that. I	
	24	object to that. The transcript	
10:09:14	25	speaks for itself. No, I don't	

Lexitas

NYSCEF DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs
	NRÁ

			50
10:09:18	1	object to calling the judge. Call	
	2	the judge.	
	3	MR. CORRELL: I'm having trouble	
	4	hearing you, Mr. Wang.	
10:09:21	5	MR. WANG: I said, "No. I	
	6	don't object to calling the judge."	
	7	Go ahead and call the judge.	
	8	MR. CORRELL: Do you intend to	
	9	refrain from making speaking	
10:09:27	10	objections?	
	11	MR. WANG: Counsel is going to	
	12	make objections when they are	
	13	appropriate how counsel sees fit,	
	14	Mr. Correll.	
10:09:38	15	MR. CORRELL: Ms. Eisenberg,	
	16	how would you like to proceed here?	
	17	Would you like me to get the judge	
	18	on the line to address this or would	
	19	you like to move forward with the	
10:09:49	20	examination. In hopes that the	
	21	counsel will not make any the	
	22	further speaking objections?	
	23	MS. EISENBERG: We can try to	
	24	proceed.	
10:10:00	25	MR. CORRELL: All right.	

Lexitas

			51
10:10:00	1	Let's do that.	
	2	BY MS. EISENBERG:	
	3	Q What does window dressing mean?	
	4	A In the context of our paper we	
10:10:07	5	used the term window dressing and I was	
	6	going to look at paper to get the context of	
	7	what you meant.	
	8	Q Without look looking at the paper	
	9	you don't recall?	
10:10:16	10	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	11	A We're using in a specific way when	
	12	we talk about it in the paper, I assume	
	13	that's what you're referring to. If you can	
	14	tell me what page that was on I'll look at	
10:10:27	15	the context of how we use that term in this	
	16	research project.	
	17	Q I will most definitely do that in	
	18	just a second but the specific question	
	19	is, can you tell me how you use the term in	
10:10:39	20	this article without looking at it?	
	21	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	22	A Yeah. I just want to make sure I	
	23	have a working understanding of the term	
	24	window dressing in the context of this	
10:10:51	25	paper. I want to make sure that we use the	

			52
10:10:54	1	term window dressing in the same context	02
	2	that I would use it in every normal day	
	3	life.	
	4	Q Understood. So the answer is no,	
10:11:05	5	you can't tell how the term window dressing	
	6	was used in the article without looking at	
	7	it?	
	8	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	9	A We use the term window dressing	
10:11:16	10	just to mean that an organization would	
	11	check the box on the form 990 to tell the	
	12	public that they had a government's	
	13	mechanism in place when the efficacy of the	
	14	government's mechanism or what they were	
10:11:32	15	actually doing wasn't maybe as good as it	
	16	could have been or should have been.	
	17	Q Did you use it to mean anything	
	18	else?	
	19	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
10:11:46	20	A So again I would look at the	
	21	context of how we used it in the paper to	
	22	understand and remind and recall how we were	
	23	using it in the paper specifically.	
	24	Q It fair to say that in doing the	
10:12:00	25	work on this paper, you did not assess	

RECEIVED ENTS THAT is 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs NRA

53

10 10 04 1	53
10:12:04 1	whether government's policies reported are
2	actually used in practice?
3	MR. WANG: Object to the form.
4	A That's correct we did not test the
10:12:14 5	efficacy of the government's mechanisms
6	reported by the organizations in this
7	project. We have thousands of organizations
8	that we look at in the project and we look
9	only at if they disclose having those
10:12:35 10	mechanisms in place on their form 990.
11	Q I appreciate the explanation but
12	just to be clear the answer is yes, right?
13	MR. WANG: Object to the form.
14	Q You did not assess whether the
10:12:46 15	government's policies reported were used in
16	the process?
17	MR. WANG: Object to the form.
18	A That's correct we only look at the
19	disclosure of that government's process.
10:12:55 20	Q In fact your article which is
21	appendix A to your report, you specifically
22	tell your reader that you did not assess
23	whether government's policies reported are
24	used in practice, right?
10:13:09 25	MR. WANG: Object to the form.

RECEIVED Erica Harris 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

			54
10:13:10	1	A That's correct, are used the	
	2	practice, if they actually have the	
	3	mechanisms working effectively verses just	
	4	disclosing that they have those mechanisms	
10:13:22	5	that's correct.	
	6	Q So you agree that just because a	
	7	box is checked it doesn't mean that the	
	8	program is working effectively, right?	
	9	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
10:14:22	10	A There's no way for me to know	
	11	empirically if an organization has an	
	12	effective government's mechanism. All I	
	13	know is if they have disclosed on their form	
	14	990 that they have that government's	
10:14:41	15	mechanism. Does that make sense?	
	16	Q When you use the word window	
	17	dressing in this article you didn't mean	
	18	sometimes an organization might not check a	
	19	box even though it has to check it?	
10:14:53	20	MS. WANG: Object to the form.	
	21	A Let me think about that. Could	
	22	you repeat it again.	
	23	Q Right is it possible that certain	
	24	boxes are not checked even though they	
10:15:09	25	should be based on the fact?	

RECEIVED ENCA Harris 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

	_		55
10:15:11	1	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	2	A No. I don't think there's any	
	3	reason an organization would not check the	
	4	box if they actually had that mechanism in	
10:15:20	5	place.	
	6	Q Um-hmm. Okay. So you don't think	
	7	it's possible that an organization that does	
	8	not reduce it's meetings to written form	
	9	would actually check yes on the form?	
10:15:46	10	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	11	A I'm sorry I want to make sure I	
	12	get your question properly so can you ask it	
	13	again, so	
	14	Q Right one of the questions that's	
10:15:53	15	asked is whether the corporation prepares	
	16	board minutes, right?	
	17	A Correct, yes.	
	18	Q And you believe that it's not	
	19	possible for an organization to have checked	
10:16:05	20	yes in response to that question even though	
	21	in reality they don't do it?	
	22	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	23	A There's no way for me to know	
	24	that.	
10:16:13	25	Q So do you agree that it's possible	

RECEIVED ENTS THAT is 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs	
		NRÁ	

			56
10:16:15	1	for a corporation to check yes in response	
	2	to that question even though in fact that's	
	3	not true?	
	4	MR. WANG: Objection to form?	
10:16:22	5	A It is possible, yes.	
	6	Q Would you agree that form 990 data	
	7	has drawbacks?	
	8	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	9	A What do you mean by drawbacks.	
10:16:50 1	10	Q Organizations may misreport	
1	11	information on forms 990, right?	
1	12	MR. WANG: Object to the form?	
1	13	A If an organization misreports	
1	14	information on their form 990, then they're	
10:17:06 1	15	falsifying their form 990. The 990 is	
1	16	prepared under penalties and perjury so it	
1	17	should reflect all of the accurate	
1	18	information of the organization.	
1	19	Q Let me ask the question again?	
10:17:20 2	20	A Okay.	
2	21	Q Organizations may misreport	
2	22	information, right?	
2	23	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
2	24	A Organizations could misreport	
10:17:30 2	25	information, but they would be falsifying	

			57
10:17:34	1	their tax filing if they did that.	
	2	Q But it's possible that	
	3	organizations misreport information in their	
	4	990, right?	
10:17:45	5	MR. WANG: Objection to the	
	6	form. Asked and answered.	
	7	MR. CORRELL: That's your	
	8	fourth speaking objection, one more	
	9	and I'm calling the judge.	
10:17:54	10	MR. WANG: I wasn't	
	11	interrupting and I'm entitled to	
	12	provide the base of my objection.	
	13	MR. CORRELL: Not unless	
	14	you're asked.	
10:18:07	15	A I'm sorry, can you ask the	
	16	question again?	
	17	Q It's possible that organizations	
	18	may misreport information on their forms	
	19	990, right?	
10:18:22	20	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	21	A It is possible that an	
	22	organization could misreport on their form	
	23	990. They would be falsifying their form	
	24	990.	
10:18:35	25	Q I'm not asking you whether that	

			58
10:18:39	1	would mean that they are falsifying their	56
	2	form 990. I'm simply asking, is it possible	
	3	that organizations misreport information on	
	4	their forms 990, yes or no?	
10:18:53	5	MR. WANG: Object to the form	
	6	the form. Asked and answered.	
	7	A Yes. It's possible.	
	8	MR. CORRELL: That's number	
	9	five. Can we take a pause now. I'm	
10:19:01	10	trying to get Judge Ju on the line,	
	11	just to get some guidance on what he	
	12	would use as proper application of	
	13	the speaking objection rule. Do we	
	14	want to do that at the next break? I	
10:19:10	15	don't want to interfere with your	
	16	examinations, Svetlana. Can we just	
	17	schedule that for the next break?	
	18	MS. EISENBERG: That's fine.	
	19	Let's excuse the witnessthat	
10:19:18	20	sounds good thank you very much.	
	21	BY MS. EISENBERG:	
	22	Q Some charities reporting is	
	23	inaccurate on form 990s, right?	
	24	MR. WANG: Objection to form.	
10:19:28	25	A There's no way for me to know	

NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs
NRA

RECEIVED ENGLISHED
October 2

			59
10:19:30	1	that.	
	2	Q But it's possible that some	
	3	charities reporting in their forms 990 is	
	4	inaccurate, right?	
10:19:40	5	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	6	A That's possible.	
	7	Q I'd like to hand you what we'll	
	8	mark as NRA Exhibit two.	
	9	(Whereupon, Expert Rebuttal	
10:19:46	10	Report was marked as Exhibit 2	
	11	for identification as of this	
	12	date.)	
	13	Q What is NRA Exhibit two?	
	14	A It's my rebuttal report.	
10:20:12	15	Q And how does it relate to Exhibit	
	16	one?	
	17	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	18	A In my rebuttal report I include a	
	19	fourth comparison group for comparing the	
10:20:28	20	NRA with respect to the diversions of assets	
	21	and excess benefit transactions.	
	22	Q Did you prepare any reports for	
	23	this case other than Exhibit one and Exhibit	
	24	two?	
10:20:41	25	A I did not.	

Lexitas

			60
10:21:09	1	Q You were asked by the New York	
	2	attorney general's office to provide	
	3	assessments in this case, correct?	
	4	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
10:21:18	5	A What do you mean by assessments.	
	6	Q You were asked by counsel for the	
	7	New York attorney general to provide an	
	8	assessment of how the NRA compares to other	
	9	U.S. nonprofit chartable organizations in	
10:21:34	10	reporting of excess benefit transactions	
	11	with disqualified persons, right?	
	12	A That's correct.	
	13	Q Is it true that you also were	
	14	asked by the New York attorney general's	
10:21:47	15	office, to provide an assessment of how the	
	16	NRA compares to other U.S. nonprofits	
	17	chartable organizations in the reporting of	
	18	significant diversions of assets?	
	19	A That's correct.	
10:22:01	20	Q Let's take a look at page four of	
	21	Exhibit one.	
	22	Q If I can direct your attention to	
	23	section two, 2A and B do you see that?	
	24	A I do.	
10:22:18	25	Q Were you asked to perform any	

			61
10:22:21	1	other assessments in this case other than	
	2	the one let me just ask again.	
	3	Does section 22 on page four accurately	
	4	reflect the scope of your assignment in this case	
10:22:38	5	A It does.	
	6	Q You weren't asked to provide any	
	7	other assessments, right?	
	8	A That's correct.	
	9	Q You were not asked to provide any	
10:22:45	10	other opinions, correct?	
	11	A That's correct.	
	12	Q You were not asked to provide any	
	13	other observations, correct?	
	14	A That's correct.	
10:22:52	15	MR. WANG: Objection to form.	
	16	Q Let's focus on the first	
	17	assessment. Assessment of how the NRA	
	18	compares to other U.S. nonprofits chartable	
	19	organizations in the report of excess	
10:23:07	20	benefit transactions with disqualified	
	21	persons, do you see that?	
	22	A I do.	
	23	Q What make use qualified to perform	
	24	this assessment?	
10:23:17	25	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	

			62
10:23:19	1	A I have been using the data set of	
	2	U.S. non-profit organizations for all of my	
	3	research career and I believe I'm qualified	
	4	to assess if the NRA appears to be an	
10:23:39	5	outlier in that data.	
	6	Q Other than having used the data	
	7	for all of your research career, what you	
	8	makes you qualified to provide the	
	9	assessment listed in 22A on page four of	
10:23:53	10	Exhibit one?	
	11	MR. WANG: Objection to form.	
	12	A So my background in the non-profit	
	13	research, my background as a non-profit	
	14	accountant and my use of the non-profit data	
10:24:11	15	as what is assessed what is being	
	16	assessed here is how the NRA compares to	
	17	other U.S. nonprofit organizations therefore	
	18	using the data set of U.S. nonprofit	
	19	organizations.	
10:24:26	20	Q Anything else?	
	21	A I don't believe so.	
	22	Q You don't have a degree in	
	23	economics, right?	
	24	A I do not.	
10:24:46	25	Q You don't have a degree in	

 ${\tt RECEIVED} \, \underbrace{{\tt PNYSGEF}}_{{\tt Crical Harris}} {\tt 03/10/2023}$ October 27, 2022

	oc. nq	People of the State of NY vs	RECEIVED ENYSCEFris October 27, 2022
			63
10:24:47	1	statistics, right?	
	2	A I do not.	
	3	Q You are not an actuary, correct?	
	4	A That's correct.	
10:24:54	5	Q You're not a demographer, right?	
	6	A I am not.	
	7	Q You don't hold any degrees in	
	8	applied math, right?	
	9	A I do not.	
10:25:05	10	Q Let's look at the second	
	11	assessment, which is listed in 22B on page	
	12	four of Exhibit 1.	
	13	What makes you qualified to	
	14	provide that assessment?	
10:25:12	15	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	16	A So I have a couple of research	
	17	projects that look specifically at	
	18	significant diversion of assets in U.S.	
	19	nonprofit organizations. And, once again,	
10:25:22	20	I've been using the data set of U.S.	
	21	non-profit organizations in my research	
	22	career over the past 11 years.	
	23	Q Anything else?	
	24	A Not that I can think of.	
10:25:46	25	Q Who specifically asked you to	

			64
10:25:49	1	provide these two assessments?	
	2	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	3	A The AG's office.	
	4	Q Who at the AG's office?	
10:25:58	5	MR. WANG: Objection to the	
	6	form.	
	7	A I don't recall exactly if it was	
	8	Jim Sheehan or Monica, but one or both of	
	9	them.	
10:26:20	10	Q You believe that your assessment	
	11	as to excess benefit transactions will	
	12	assist a trier fact in this case?	
	13	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	14	A I'm sorry. Can you repeat the	
10:26:32	15	last part of the question.	
	16	Q Do you know the term trier fact?	
	17	A I do not.	
	18	Q I apologize. Let me rephrase.	
	19	You know that when this case goes	
10:26:44	20	to trial, there will be a jury, right?	
	21	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	22	A I have been told that, yes.	
	23	Q And you understand that the jury	
	24	will be asked to determine facts in the case	
10:26:59	25	that are in dispute, right?	

 ${\tt RECEIVED} \, \underbrace{{\tt PNYSGEF}}_{{\tt Crical Harris}} {\tt 03/10/2023}$ October 27, 2022

NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs NRA

			65
10:27:01	1	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	2	A Will they be asked to determine or	
	3	consider?	
	4	Q Well, I'm asking whether you	
10:27:08	5	understand that they will be asked to	
	6	determine facts?	
	7	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	8	A I hadn't thought about that, but I	
	9	would assume that they wouldn't determine	
10:27:22	10	facts. They would consider facts to help	
	11	them make a decision.	
	12	Q Thank you. And what do you	
	13	understand they will be deciding?	
	14	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
10:27:33	15	A They will be deciding if the NRA	
	16	is run properly.	
	17	Q In addition to deciding if the NRA	
	18	is run properly, will they be deciding	
	19	anything else, as far as you understand?	
10:27:48	20	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	21	A I'm not sure what else they will	
	22	be deciding specifically.	
	23	Q But you believe that they will be	
	24	asked to decide whether the NRA is run	
10:28:03	25	properly, correct?	

Lexitas

NYSCEF DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs
	NRA

			66
10:28:04	1	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	2	A That's correct.	
	3	Q Do you believe that your	
	4	assessment as to how the NRA compares to	
10:28:12	5	other organizations in reporting excess	
	6	benefit transactions is going to help the	
	7	jury decide whether the NRA is run properly?	
	8	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	9	A I do.	
10:28:25	10	Q How do you think your assessment	
	11	will help the jury decide whether the NRA is	
	12	run properly?	
	13	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	14	A I think it will let them know that	
10:28:40	15	the NRA is an outlier when they're compared	
	16	to other U.S. nonprofit organizations. And	
	17	then even more narrowly when they're	
	18	compared to organizations in their same	
	19	size.	
10:28:59	20	Q An outlier in reporting excess	
	21	benefits, right?	
	22	A That's correct.	
	23	Q Do you believe that your	
	24	assessment as to excess benefits will be	
10:29:20	25	helpful to the jury as deciding whether the	

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs	
		NRÁ	

			67
10:29:24	1	NRA is run properly in any other way?	
	2	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	3	A I'm sorry. Can you rephrase. If	
	4	my report will help them decide; is that	
10:29:33	5	what you're asking?	
	6	Q You testified that you believe	
	7	that your assessment as to excess benefits	
	8	will help the jury, right?	
	9	A Yes. I agree.	
10:29:40	10	Q And you believe that it will help	
	11	the jury decide whether the NRA is run	
	12	properly, right?	
	13	A I agree, yes.	
	14	Q And you testified that one way in	
10:29:48	15	which it will be helpful is that it will let	
	16	them know that the NRA is an outlier in	
	17	terms of its reporting, right?	
	18	A In terms of diversion, sure,	
	19	significant diversions as well as excess	
10:30:02	20	benefit transactions, yes.	
	21	Q Outlier in terms of reporting	
	22	excess benefit transactions and diversions,	
	23	right?	
	24	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
10:30:12	25	A Correct.	

			68
10:30:13	1	Q You did not study how the NRA	
	2	compares to other organizations in terms of	
	3	actual excess benefit transactions, right?	
	4	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
10:30:23	5	A Correct. That's outside of the	
	6	scope of my report.	
	7	Q Only reported excess transactions?	
	8	A That's correct.	
	9	Q And you did not study how the NRA	
10:30:33	10	compares to other organizations in terms of	
	11	actual significant diversions of assets,	
	12	right?	
	13	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	14	A Correct. I don't have any way to	
10:30:42	15	know other than what has been disclosed.	
	16	Q You don't have to any way to	
	17	know what?	
	18	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	19	A I don't have any way to know	
10:30:59	20	the only data that I have is related to IRS	
	21	Form 990 disclosures. That's the way I can	
	22	compare the NRA to other nonprofit	
	23	organizations, is through their Form 990	
	24	disclosures.	
10:31:20	25	Q So what you're comparing is what	

NRA NRA		e of NY vs	October 27, 20	
			69	
10:31:22 1	companies	are reporting, right?		
2	А	That's correct.		
3	Q	You're not reporting actual		

conduct at the various companies, right?

- 10:31:30 5
- MR. WANG: Object to the form.
- 6 A That's correct.
  - 7 Q Let's take a look at page 24 of
  - 8 Exhibit 1. Do you have that in front of
  - 9 you?
- 10:32:25 10 A I do.
  - 11 Q And this page lists the
  - 12 | conclusions that you reached in this case,
  - 13 correct?
  - 14 A Correct.
- 10:32:33 15 Q Other than what's in your rebuttal
  - 16 report, Exhibit 2, and on page 24 of Exhibit
  - 17 | 1, did you reach any other conclusions in
  - 18 | the case?
  - 19 A I did not.
- 10:32:47 20 Q Let's take a look at paragraph
  - 21 one. You concluded that less than 2 percent
  - 22 of charitable organizations that filed
  - 23 | electronically reported excess benefit
  - 24 | transactions or significant diversions of
- 10:33:04 25 | assets between 2010 and 2020, correct?

			70
10:33:09	1	A That's correct.	
	2	Q And the NRA is one of them, right?	
	3	A That's correct.	
	4	Q The NRA filed electronically? The	
10:33:19	5	NRA files electronically its Forms 990,	
	6	correct?	
	7	A Gosh. I don't know that I checked	
	8	that. I have the Form 990s I have the	
	9	Form 990s in paper form. I would have to	
10:33:39	10	check that.	
	11	Q But you don't know if the NRA	
	12	files electronically, correct?	
	13	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	14	Please let the witness complete her	
10:33:48	15	answer before asking your next	
	16	question.	
	17	Q You don't know if the NRA files	
	18	electronically, correct?	
	19	A I don't know. I didn't look at	
10:33:57	20	that.	
	21	Q Whether or not the NRA files	
	22	electronically, you believe that the NRA is	
	23	one of the 2 percent of charitable	
	24	organizations that reported excess benefit	
10:34:10	25	transactions on its 990, right?	

			October 21, 2022
10:34:14	1	A I do.	71
	2	Q So the NRA disclosed its excess	
	3	benefits, right?	
	4	A Correct.	
10:34:19	5	Q And it disclosed significant	
	6	diversions of assets, right?	
	7	A Correct.	
	8	Q Did you conclude that more than	
	9	98 percent of those who filed electronically	
10:34:34	10	disclosed no excess benefits in 2010?	
	11	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	12	A Between 2010 and 2020.	
	13	Q So you concluded that more than	
	14	98 percent of those who filed	
10:34:44	15	electronically, disclosed no excess benefits	
	16	between 2010 and 2020, correct?	
	17	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	18	A Correct.	
	19	Q Who is Jeffrey Tannenbaum?	
10:35:13	20	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	21	A I believe he's another expert in	
	22	this case.	
	23	Q Do you know if he provided a	
	24	report?	
10:35:20	25	A I do know he provided a report.	

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs
		NRÁ

			72
10:35:23	1	Q How do you know that?	
	2	A I was supplied the report.	
	3	Q Did you read it?	
	4	A I read parts of the report. It's	
10:35:31	5	very lengthy.	
	6	Q Do you recall that the report by	
	7	Mr. Tennenbaum states that numerous boards	
	8	faced with leaders who have been accused of	
	9	failing to act in the best interest of the	
10:35:51	10	non-profit have terminated officers and	
	11	executives for much less, according to him?	
	12	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	13	A If you can direct me to his	
	14	report, I can confirm that that's what it	
10:36:00	15	says.	
	16	Q But sitting here right now, you	
	17	don't recall whether or not he says those	
	18	words, correct?	
	19	A I don't recall that specifically,	
10:36:09	20	no.	
	21	Q Do you recall if he makes a	
	22	reference to Wounded Warrior Project in his	
	23	report?	
	24	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
10:36:15	25	A I do recall that the Wounded	

RECEIVED ENTSCEFT: 03/10/2023

	ос. иф	People of the State of NY vs	RECEIVED Erica Harris October 27, 2022
			73
10:36:17	1	Warrior Project was referenced in one of the	:
	2	reports because I looked up the Wounded	
	3	Warrior Project.	
	4	Q And he states that in 2016 the	
10:36:31	5	board of the Wounded Warrior Project fired	
	6	its top two executives after accusations of	
	7	lavish spending and financial	
	8	irregularities, right?	
	9	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
10:36:45	10	A Again, if you show me in the	
	11	report where he said that, I could confirm	
	12	that, but I don't recall that specifically.	
	13	Q Do you generally recall him	
	14	referencing the fact that executives at the	
10:36:58	15	Wounded Warrior Project were fired?	
	16	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	17	A In general, I recall him	
	18	referencing the Wounded Warrior Projects. I	
	19	don't recall that the executives were	
10:37:08	20	terminated.	
	21	Q What do you know about the	
	22	termination of executives at the Wounded	
	23	Warrior Project?	
	24	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
40 00 00			

10:37:20 25

That's

I don't know anything.

RECEIVED ENTS THAT is 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

			74
10:37:22	1	outside of the scope of my project.	
	2	Q Was the Wounded Warrior Project a	
	3	company included in your analysis?	
	4	A I do not know. I did not check	
10:38:14	5	all of the EIN specifically to know if they	
	6	were included in the data.	
	7	A So it might have been included, it	
	8	might not have been included, correct?	
	9	A Correct.	
10:38:33	10	Q Mr. Tennenbaum talks about being	
	11	involved in situations in which boards fired	
	12	officers. Have you been involved in	
	13	situations in which boards fired officers at	
	14	not for profits?	
10:38:49	15	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	16	A I have not.	
	17	Q Have you spoken to Mr. Tennenbaum?	
	18	A I have not.	
	19	Q Have you spoken to any other	
10:39:05	20	individuals proffered as purported expert	
	21	witnesses by the NYAG in this case?	
	22	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	23	A I have not.	
	24	Q Let's take a look at section two	
10:39:40	25	of the conclusion portion of your report.	

			75
10:39:44	1	Please review it and let me know when you're	
	2	done.	
	3	A I'm done.	
	4	Q You refer to the NRA as an	
10:40:12	5	outlier, right?	
	6	A Correct.	
	7	Q You conclude that the NRA is an	
	8	outlier in terms of its reporting of excess	
	9	benefit transactions when compared to other	
10:40:21	10	companies, right?	
	11	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	12	A That's correct.	
	13	Q It is not your opinion that the	
	14	NRA is an outlier in terms of the occurrence	
10:40:31	15	of excess benefit transactions at the	
	16	corporation when compared to the other	
	17	corporations, right?	
	18	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	19	A I don't have any way to know of	
10:40:41	20	the actual occurrence I just have the form	
	21	990 data which provides disclosures of	
	22	excess benefit transactions.	
	23	Q Let's take a look at section three	
	24	which starts with the words in addition to,	
10:41:07	25	do you see that?	

 ${\tt RECEIVED} \, \underbrace{{\tt PNYSGEF}}_{\hbox{\it call}} {\tt incall} {\tt incall}$ October 27, 2022

	oc. nq	People of the State of NY vs	RECEIVED ENTS TEFFIS October 27, 2022
			76
10:41:09	1	A I do.	
	2	Q Please review it and let us know	
	3	once you've done that?	
	4	A (reading). I have.	
10:41:25	5	Q Is it fair to say that you	
	6	conclude that only .007 percent of	
	7	electronic filers reported both excess	
	8	benefits and significant diversions of	
	9	assets?	
10:41:37	10	A That's correct.	
	11	Q And the NRA reported that, right?	
	12	A That's correct.	
	13	Q And 99.003 percent of the	
	14	corporations did not, right?	
10:41:46	15	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	16	A Correct.	
	17	Q Fair to say that the conclusion in	n
	18	section three is limited to the reporting of	E
	19	such events and does not encompass the	
10:42:04	20	actual occurrence of such events, right?	
	21	MR. WANG: Objection to the	
	22	form.	
	23	A That's correct.	
	24	Q Let's take a look at section four	
10:42:12	25	of your conclusions. Starts with the words	

NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs NRA

			77
10:42:15	1	"All told", do you see that?	
	2	A I've done so.	
	3	Q So first I have some grammatical	
	4	questions about this paragraph. It starts	
10:42:40	5	with the words, "I believe", do you see	
	6	that?	
	7	A I do.	
	8	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	9	Q Just to make sure I understand you	
10:42:47	10	believe that this analysis has demonstrated	
	11	what you say and you also believe the fact	
	12	that the NRA has reported numerous	
	13	transactions. Let me start over again.	
	14	Is it fair to say that you believe	
10:43:04	15	that your analysis has demonstrated that	
	16	very few U.S. charitable organizations have	
	17	reported private inurement in their	
	18	organizations over the past 11 years?	
	19	A That's correct.	
10:43:22	20	Q In your mind the fact that the NRA	
	21	has reported numerous private inurement	
	22	transactions, indicates that the NRA's	
	23	operations violated IRS requirements	
	24	prohibiting private inurement?	
10:43:41	25	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs
		NRÁ

			78
10:43:42	1	A That's correct.	
	2	Q You believe that fact?	
	3	A I do.	
	4	Q Is it also your opinion that the	
10:43:51	5	NRA can be considered abnormal when compared	
	6	to other 501C3 and four organizations?	
	7	A I do.	
	8	Q And you believe that the NRA is	
	9	abnormal in terms of it's reporting of such	
10:44:11	10	transactions, right?	
	11	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	12	A That's correct.	
	13	Q You don't know whether the NRA is	
	14	abnormal in terms of the actual occurrence	
10:44:21	15	of such transactions, do you?	
	16	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	17	A That's outside of the scope of my	
	18	study. I looked at the disclosures from the	
	19	form 990.	
10:44:29	20	Q You did not look at whether the	
	21	NRA is an outlier in terms of the actual	
	22	occurrences, right?	
	23	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	24	A That's correct.	
10:44:38	25	Q And you have no opinions to offer	

			79
10:44:42	1	in how the NRA compares to other	
	2	organizations in terms of actual occurrence	
	3	of excess benefit transactions, right?	
	4	MR. WANG: Objection to form?	
10:44:53	5	A That would be outside the scope of	
	6	my report.	
	7	Q And you have no opinion to offer	
	8	in this case as to how the NRA compares to	
	9	other organizations in terms of the actual	
10:45:02	10	occurrence of significant diversions of	
	11	assets, right?	
	12	A That would be outside the scope of	
	13	my report as well.	
	14	Q Paragraph four you state, "The	
10:45:23	15	fact the NRA reported the numerous	
	16	transactions indicates that their operations	
	17	violated IRS requirements." Do you see	
	18	that?	
	19	A I do.	
10:45:32	20	Q And then you say that the that	
	21	something can be considered abnormal. What	
	22	is the something? Are you saying the NRA	
	23	can be considered abnormal or are you saying	
	24	that the NRA's operations can be considered	
10:45:50	25	abnormal?	

			80
10:45:50	1	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	2	A I believe that the NRA can be	
	3	considered abnormal when compared to other	
	4	501C3 and C4 organizations with respect to	
10:46:03	5	excess benefit transactions and significant	
	6	diversion of assets.	
	7	Q Actual occurrence or reporting?	
	8	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	9	A Reporting on the form 990.	
10:46:12	10	Q On the reporting, right?	
	11	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	12	A That's correct that's the scope of	
	13	my report.	
	14	Q Not occurrence, right?	
10:46:18	15	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	16	A Correct.	
	17	Q What did you do to prepare for	
	18	today's deposition?	
	19	A I read over my report. I reviewed	
10:46:29	20	the data. I read over my rebuttal report	
	21	and I skimmed many of the other expert	
	22	reports and rebuttals. There were a lot of	
	23	them.	
	24	Q Did you do anything else to	
10:46:53	25	prepare for today's deposition?	

 ${\tt NYSCEF\ DOC.\ NOPeople}\ ^{132}_{\mbox{of the State of NY vs}}$ 

RECEIVED ENTSCEFT: 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

NRA 81 I met with the AG's 10:46:55 Α Oh, yes. 1 2 office yesterday. 3 Was yesterday's meeting with the 4 AG's office you're only meeting with them in 10:47:06 preparation for today's testimony? 5 We had one other Zoom call that 6 7 was mostly about scheduling and logistics and then a couple of other communications 8 about travel plans that kind of thing. 9 10:47:23 10 How long did you meet yesterday with the NYAG's office? 11 I think I arrived in their office 12 around 11:00 a.m. and I left around 13 14 6:00 p.m. 10:47:35 15 0 So you met for about seven hour, 16 correct? Correct. 17 Α And who from the NYAG's office did 18 19 you meet with? I met with Monica and Will. 10:47:43 20 No one else? 2.1 22 At the very end of our meeting Emily came by just to say hello. 23 You're referring to Emily Stern, 24 10:47:56 25 correct?

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs	
		NRÁ	

			82
10:47:58	1	A I am. Is that her last name	
	2	Monica.	
	3	MR. WANG: I'm going to say	
	4	for the record, yes.	
10:48:04	5	Q You did not take notes during the	
	6	yesterday's meeting?	
	7	A I did not.	
	8	Q Did anyone else participate	
	9	telephonically during yesterday's meeting?	
10:48:18	10	A They did not.	
	11	Q Did you call Ms. May at any point?	
	12	A I did not.	
	13	Q When you were preparing your	
	14	report, which is Exhibit 1, did you provide	
10:48:31	15	drafts of your report to Attorney General's	
	16	office?	
	17	A I did.	
	18	Q How many drafts did you provide?	
	19	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
10:48:43	20	A I can't recall precisely. Maybe	
	21	two or three, a couple I would say.	
	22	Q How did you transmit those drafts	
	23	to the New York Attorney General's office,	
	24	and if it differed by occasion please tell	
10:48:57	25	us?	

RECEIVED ENCA Harris 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs	
		NRA	

10:48:57	1	A We used a share point.	83
	2	Q Do you have copies of the draft	
	3	that you shared with them?	
	4	A I'm not sure if I overwrote those	
10:49:09	5	with my final report or if I kept a draft	
	6	copy I'm not positive.	
	7	Q After you shared the first draft	
	8	that you shared with the Attorney General's	
	9	office, did they provide suggestions on your	
10:49:26	10	report?	
	11	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	12	A They provided editorial comments.	
	13	Q But not suggestions, right	
	14	MR. WANG: Object sorry.	
10:49:34	15	My apologies.	
	16	Q They did not provide any	
	17	suggestions, correct?	
	18	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	19	A They did not provide any	
10:49:41	20	suggestion.	
	21	Q Only editorial comments, right?	
	22	A I would say to help me clarify the	
	23	language, yeah, editorial so that everyone	
	24	would understand what I was talking about.	
10:49:52	25	Q Did they help you clarify any	

			84
10:49:55	1	language in the conclusion section on page	
	2	24 of Exhibit 1?	
	3	A No. Only editorial.	
	4	Q Did they provide any editorial	
10:50:07	5	with regard to the conclusion section on	
	6	page 24 of Exhibit 1?	
	7	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	8	A Yes. I'm sure they did.	
	9	Q I couldn't hear you?	
10:50:17 1	-0	A Yes. I'm sure they did. They	
1	.1	provided editorial comments pretty much	
1	_2	throughout.	
1	_3	Q Let's take a look at the Appendix	
1	_4	A, to your report, which is the article you	
10:51:07 1	.5	and two other individuals published, right?	
1	-6	A Correct.	
1	_7	MS. EISENBERG: Let's go off	
1	_8	the record for a second I'm looking	
1	_9	for a document.	
10:51:51 2	20	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is	
2	21	10:51 a.m.	
2	22	(Whereupon, a recess was taken	
2	23	from 10:51 AM to 10:55 AM.)	
2	24	VIDEOGRAPHER: It is	
10:55:48 2	25	10:55 a.m. We are back on the	

			85
10:55:51	1	report.	
	2	BY MS. EISENBERG:	
	3	Q When you prepared this article	
	4	that's Appendix A, to your report in Exhibit	
10:56:02	5	1, did you note any caveats regarding the	
	6	results of your study?	
	7	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	8	A Every study has caveats has	
	9	limitations, limitations of the data,	
10:56:23	10	limitations of the conclusions we can draw,	
	11	are you referring to a specific limitation	
	12	and I could review that.	
	13	Q I'm asking you whether in your	
	14	article you noted that the study had the	
10:56:37	15	limitations that you just listed?	
	16	MS. WANG: Object to the form.	
	17	A I wasn't talking specifically	
	18	about this project. The limitations applied	
	19	to every project. I will review the	
10:56:51	20	limitations that we discussed in this	
	21	project as soon as I find that part of the	
	22	paper.	
	23	Q Maybe I can help . If you look at	
	24	page 607 under conclusions the second	
10:57:03	25	paragraph that starts with the words to the	

RECEIVED ENTS THAT is 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs	
		NRÁ	

			86
10:57:05	1	best of our knowledge?	
2	2	A Perfect, thank you. Okay, I've	
3	3	read over this paragraph.	
4	4	Q Is it fair to say in that	
10:58:26	5	paragraph you and your co-authors note two	
6	6	important caveats regarding your results,	
-	7	right?	
8	8	A Right.	
9	9	Q And what are the caveats?	
10:58:36 10	0	A That we could not completely rule	
13	1	out endogeny in our study.	
12	2	Q What is endogeny?	
13	3	A Endogeny is the chicken or the	
14	4	egg. What came first. Did good governance	
10:58:48 15	5	come first or did more donations come first.	
16	6	Q What is the second caveat that you	
17	7	mention?	
18	8	A That every organization is	
19	9	different and that they should that we	
10:59:00 20	0	don't have definitive evidence that all	
21	1	organizations should adopt all of the	
22	2	government's practices we analyze.	
23	3	Q Is it typical for you note caveats	
24	4	in article?	
10:59:14 25	5	A It is, yes.	

			87
10:59:16	1	Q Have you ever published an article	
	2	in which you did not note caveats?	
	3	A I couldn't recall all of my	
	4	projects right now it's pretty common	
10:59:29	5	practice that include limitation of our	
	6	study.	
	7	Q You agree that every study has	
	8	some limitations, right?	
	9	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
10:59:39	10	A Yes, I agree that every study has	
	11	some limitations.	
	12	Q And your assessment in this case	
	13	had limitations as well, right?	
	14	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
10:59:53	15	A Yes, that's right.	
	16	Q What limitations did your	
	17	assessment in this case have?	
	18	A Oh, I'm sorry. You mean my	
	19	assessment my report or in this paper	
10:59:57	20	that I thought we were talking about.	
	21	Q Your report in this litigation.	
	22	Let me ask again. You agree that every	
	23	study has limitations, right?	
	24	MS. WANG: Object to the form.	
11:00:09	25	A Yes, I agree there every study has	

			88
11:00:11	1	limitations.	
	2	Q You're study in this case, in this	
	3	litigation, has limitations too, right?	
	4	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
11:00:17	5	A Yes. I agree every study has	
	6	limitation.	
	7	Q It has multiple limitations,	
	8	right?	
	9	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
11:00:23	10	A I don't know what you mean by	
	11	multiple limitations, but yes, I agree it	
	12	has limitation.	
	13	Q By multiple I mean more than one.	
	14	Does it have more than one limitation, your	
11:00:35	15	study in this case?	
	16	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	17	A I would have to think about that	
	18	more. I haven't given any thought to I	
	19	haven't given any thought to the limitations	
11:00:48	20	of my report.	
	21	Q You have not thought about what	
	22	limitations the assessment in this case that	
	23	you performed has?	
	24	MR. WANG: Object the form.	
11:01:01	25	A No. I have not.	

			89
11:01:04	1	Q And in fact in your report you do	03
	2	not disclose any such limitation, right?	
	3	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	4	A That's correct.	
11:01:11	5	Q I'd like to give you what we'll	
	6	mark as Exhibit 3.	
	7	(Whereupon, Data Sheet was	
	8	marked as Exhibit 3 for	
	9	identification as of this date.)	
11:01:17	10	Q I'll represent to you for the	
	11	record that this is a screen shot of the	
	12	data that was produced to us by the New York	
	13	Attorney's General's office yesterday. Upon	
	14	us requesting the data set that you reviewed	
11:01:53	15	in connection with your report?	
	16	A Can I ask you a question about	
	17	what you provided?	
	18	Q Yes.	
	19	A Is it the same on both sides?	
11:02:05	20	Q By both sides, what do you mean	
	21	both sides of what?	
	22	A Of the paper. Mine has something	
	23	written on both sides. I assume it is the	
	24	same.	
11:02:14	25	MR. WANG: Mine only has one	

RECEIVED ENTS THAT is 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

			90
11:02:15	1	side.	
	2	Q Yes, it's intended to be a	
	3	one-sided document.	
	4	MR. CORRELL: Svetlana, is	
11:02:26	5	this Exhibit available for those of	
	6	us participating remotely?	
	7	MS. EISENBERG: It will be in	
	8	a little bit, yes. But it looks	
	9	like Excel spreedsheet and it has a	
11:02:36	10	bunch of digits and a few columns.	
	11	If you would like me to move onto	
	12	something else until it becomes	
	13	available I'm happy to if you don't	
	14	object I can just move on.	
11:02:48	15	MR. CORRELL: Whatever you	
	16	like.	
	17	Q (By Ms. Eisenberg) Thank you very much I	
	18	appreciate it.	
	19	You analyzed a data set in	
11:02:54	20	connection with your work on this case,	
	21	correct.	
	22	A That's correct.	
	23	Q What did the data set consist of?	
	24	A It consisted of 501C3 and C4	
11:03:06	25	nonprofit organizations that electronically	

03/10/2023

NYSCEF DO	RECEIVED Elica Harris October 27, 2022		
			91
11:03:08	1	filed their form 990 between 2010 and 2020.	
	2	Q Did you provide that data set to	
	3	the New York Attorney General's office?	
	4	A I did.	
11:03:20	5	Q When did you do so?	
	6	A Yesterday.	
	7	Q What is an EIN?	
	8	A It's an employee identification	
	9	number.	
11:03:33	10	Q And that refers to various	
	11	corporations that file information returns	
	12	with the IRS, right?	
	13	A Nonprofits organizations, yes.	
	14	Q How many data points are there for	
11:03:53	15	each item in your data set?	
	16	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	17	A Can you clarify what you mean by	
	18	item?	
	19	Q Certainly. So if you look at	
11:04:02	20	Exhibit 3, there are columns entitled year,	
	21	EIN	

Α

Q

Α

that?

Yep.

I do.

22

23

24

11:04:12 25

And so on and so forth you see

92

			92
11:04:13	1	Q Are you familiar with the	
	2	designations that is appear in row one?	
	3	A I do, yes.	
	4	Q Please tell us what they mean.	
11:04:21	5	A Sure. The years, the fiscal year	
	6	end, the EIN is the employer identification	
	7	number, the subsection SUBSECCD is an	
	8	abbreviation for the IRS subsection,	
	9	significant diversion of assets is zero one	
11:04:36	10	indicator variable for if the organization	
	11	reported having a significant diversion of	
	12	assets, current excess benefit is a zero one	
	13	indicator variable for if the organization	
	14	reported having a current year excess	
11:04:54	15	benefit transaction, prior year excess	
	16	benefit transactions is the next column also	
	17	a zero one. And total assets represent the	
	18	total assets of the organization for	
	19	determining if they were a comparable larger	
11:05:12	20	organization for the NRA. And then it looks	
	21	you've added in the column H the name of one	
	22	particular organization I did not provide	
	23	the names I just provided the EIN's.	
	24	Q Do you have a list of the	
11:05:39	25	organizations that are included in the data	

 ${\tt RECEIVED} \, \underbrace{{\tt PNYSGEF}}_{\hbox{\it call}} {\tt incall} {\tt incall}$ October 27, 2022

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs	
		NRÁ	

			93
11:05:41	1	set?	
	2	MS. WANG: Object to the form.	
	3	A Do I have list of them?	
	4	Q Correct.	
11:05:47	5	A No. I do not.	
	6	Q Are you familiar with the concept	
	7	of statistical power?	
	8	A I am, yes.	
	9	MR. WANG: Object the form.	
11:05:58	10	Q What is that?	
	11	A Statistical power just means if	
	12	you have a lot of data points there's more	
	13	variation in the data and it allows for more	
	14	significant results.	
11:06:16	15	Q And does the size of the	
	16	population that you are looking at affect	
	17	the statistical power of your study?	
	18	MS. WANG: Object to the form.	
	19	A My study did not provide any	
11:06:29	20	regression analysis that would be impacted	
	21	by statistical power. My analysis, my	
	22	report simply provided frequencies, meaning	
	23	the number ofhe transactions that occurred.	
	24	Q So the concept of power is	
11:06:48	25	irrelevant for purposes of f your study in	

			94
11:06:54	1	this case; is that right?	04
	2	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	3	A So the concept of statistical	
	4	power is irrelevant because that would	
11:07:03	5	relate to regression analysis. In my study,	
	6	I look at the number of organizations that	
	7	are reporting diversions on their form 990.	
	8	In so far as the size of the population	
	9	factors into understanding how having a	
11:07:30	10	relation to or comparing how many	
	11	organizations file these kind of diversions	
	12	verses those that do not the size of the	
	13	population matters, yes.	
	14	Q Let's take a look at table five in	
11:08:56	15	your report of Exhibit 1, it appears on page	
	16	18. (No sound. Audio yes but can't hear any	
	17	talking)	
	18	A Okay, I'm there.	
	19	Q Directing your attention to the	
11:09:07	20	two rows at the bottom. Those refer to the	
	21	NRA, correct?	
	22	A That's correct.	
	23	Q And in the third column it says	
	24	nine plus with a penultimate row and four	
11:09:22	25	plus for the last row in table five. Do you	

03/10/2023

NYSCEF DOC. N	RECEIVED Erica Harris October 27, 2022	
		95
11:09:27 1	see that?	
2	A I do.	
3	Q What does nine plus refer to?	
4	A It refers to the number of excess	
11:09:32 5	benefit transactions that were reported in	
6	each of those years. Well, you asked me	
7	about the nine plus so reported in 2019.	
8	Q Do you enumerate them anywhere in	
9	your report?	
11:09:43 10	A Do I enumerate what the nine plus	
11	refers to?	
12	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
13	A I believe I do. That would be in	
14	table two of my report.	
11:10:04 15	Q In table two of your report is a	
16	table that you prepared, right?	
17	A That's correct.	
18	Q And you prepared it based on your	
19	review of NRA as form 990?	

MR. WANG: Object to the form.

There's a reference to Joshua

You're aware that he no longer

21

22

23

24

Α

Α

11:10:21 20

Powell, do you see that?

I do.

That's correct.

 10141 0001111	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
People of the State of N'NRA	Y vs		

			96
11:10:30	1	works with the NRA, right?	
	2	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	3	A I was not aware.	
	4	Q You were not aware that Mr. Powell	
11:10:34	5	no longer works at the NRA?	
	6	A I was not.	
	7	Q You realize he is one of the	
	8	defendants in this case, right?	
	9	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
11:10:41 1	.0	A I do.	
1	.1	Q There's a reference to Christopher	
1	.2	Cox in table two, do you see that?	
1	.3	A I do.	
1	.4	Q You realize that he no longer work	
11:10:50 1	.5	at the NRA, right?	
1	.6	A I am aware of that.	
1	.7	Q There's a reference to David	
1	.8	Laymen in table two. Do you see that?	
1	.9	A I do.	
11:11:00 2	20	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
2	21	Q You are aware that he no longer	
2	22	work at the NRA, right?	
2	23	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
2	24	A I did not.	
11:11:07 2	25	Q There's a reference to Wilson	

		11101	October 21, 2022
11:11:08	1	Phillips in table two. Do you see that?	97
	2	A I do.	
	3	Q You're aware that he no longer	
	4	works at the NRA, right?	
11:11:16	5	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	6	A I did not.	
	7	Q There's a reference to Oliver	
	8	North, right?	
	9	A There is.	
11:11:22	10	Q You're aware that he is no longer	
	11	a board member of the NRA?	
	12	A I am aware of that.	
	13	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	14	Q There's a reference to travel	
11:11:29	15	expense for Wayne Lapierre, do you see that?	
	16	A I do.	
	17	Q And you acknowledge that excess	
	18	benefit has been corrected, right?	
	19	A That's what is disclosed on the	
11:11:36	20	form 990, yes.	
	21	Q And there is a reference to	
	22	unreasonable compensation for two	
	23	individuals, right?	
	24	A Yes.	
11:11:51	25	Q Wilson Phillips is John Frazier,	

NYSCEF	DOC.	No People of the State of NY vs
		NRÁ

			98
11:11:54	1	right?	
	2	A Correct.	
	3	Q The NRA is not saying in its 990	
	4	that it believes that their compensation was	
11:12:01	5	unreasonable is it?	
	6	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	7	A I'm just summarizing what is	
	8	disclosed in the form 990 here. It's	
	9	outside the scope of my study whether or not	
11:12:12	10	the NRA is indicating that their pay is	
	11	unreasonable or not.	
	12	Q If I could direct your attention	
	13	to the column in table two that's titled	
	14	status reported?	
11:12:24	15	A Yeah.	
	16	Q Next to Wilson Phillips and John	
	17	Frazier, in that column it states alleged by	
	18	NYOAG, do you see that?	
	19	A I do.	
11:12:37	20	Q You made that notation, right?	
	21	A I did.	
	22	Q What does that notation mean?	
	23	A That means it was alleged.	
	24	Q By whom?	
11:12:44	25	A By the New York OAG.	

			99
11:12:47	1	Q So you understand that the NRA	
	2	disclosed it as something that the NYAG	
	3	alleged, right?	
	4	A I do.	
11:12:54	5	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	6	Q But you counted it in the nine	
	7	plus, right?	
	8	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	9	A Correct. Because my report is	
11:13:00	10	indicating what was disclosed on the Form	
	11	990.	
	12	MS. EISENBERG: Let's take a	
	13	five-minute break.	
	14	MS. CONNELL: Before we go off	
11:13:10	15	the record, Counsel, can everyone	
	16	hear me?	
	17	MS. EISENBERG: I can hear	
	18	you.	
	19	MS. CONNELL: I told Svetlana,	
11:13:17	20	I don't think it was on the record,	
	21	Dr. Harris has a drop dead leave	
	22	time of 6:00 p.m. So to the extent	
	23	we can keep breaks short or keep	
	24	lunch reasonable, I would suggest	
11:13:35	25	that we do that. I don't know if	

NYSCEF	DOC.	No People of the State of NY vs
		NRÁ

			100
11:13:36	1	any other counsel currently has a	
	2	plan to question her, but I just	
	3	wanted to give you that heads up.	
	4	MS. EISENBERG: Thank you, no	
11:13:45	5	problem. Five-minute break now.	
	6	Happy to do a 15-minute lunch.	
	7	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is	
	8	11:13 a.m. We're going off the	
	9	record.	
11:13:58	10	(Whereupon, a recess was taken	
	11	from 11:13 a.m. to 11:23 a.m.)	
	12	VIDEOGRAPHER: It is	
	13	11:23 a.m. We are back on the	
	14	record.	
11:23:44	15	Q Do you recall me asking whether or	
	16	not the Wounded Warrior Project was included	
	17	in your study in this case?	
	18	A Correct.	
	19	Q You recall testifying that you did	
11:24:05	20	not know the answer to that question, right?	
	21	A Right.	
	22	Q I've handed you what's been marked	
	23	as Exhibit 4. Do you have that in front of	
	24	you?	
11:24:21	25	A Yes.	

NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs NRA

			101
11:24:21	1	(Whereupon, Wounded Warrior's	
	2	2014 Form 990 was marked as	
	3	Exhibit 4 for identification as	
	4	of this date.)	
11:24:30	5	Q What is Exhibit 4?	
	6	A It appears to be the Wounded	
	7	Warriors 990 from 2014.	
	8	Q And does the Wounded Warrior's 990	
	9	for 2014 reveal its EIN?	
11:24:44	10	A It does.	
	11	Q Take a look at Exhibit 9, if you	
	12	don't mind.	
	13	A I am.	
	14	(Whereupon, Data Sheet was	
11:24:51	15	marked as Exhibit 9 for	
	16	identification as of this date.)	
	17	Q Having looked at Exhibit 9, do you	
	18	have a view as to whether or not your study	
	19	included the Wounded Warrior Project?	
11:25:04	20	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	21	A I can see assuming that what	
	22	you're showing me here is the data that I	
	23	provided, I can see that, yes, the Wounded	
	24	Warrior Project is included.	
11:25:15	25	Q Let's take a look again on Exhibit	

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs NRA	
			-

			102
11:25:17	1	4.	
	2	A Okay. Four, yes.	
	3	Q Page four.	
	4	A Yes.	
11:25:28	5	Q Was one of the questions that the	
	6	organization answered, "Did the organization	
	7	engage in an excess benefit transaction with	
	8	a disqualified person during the year," in	
	9	25A.	
11:25:47	10	A Yes. I see that.	
	11	Q What did they answer?	
	12	MS. WANG: Object to the form.	
	13	Note that the document that	
	14	has been handed to the witness	
11:25:55	15	contains highlighting.	
	16	A It says no.	
	17	Q What about 25B, what is the	
	18	question asked in 25B?	
	19	A It's asking about prior period	
11:26:09	20	excess benefit transactions.	
	21	Q What did Wounded Warrior answer	
	22	there?	
	23	A They answered no.	
	24	Q Let's take a look at Exhibit 5.	
11:26:38	25	Let's take a look at page four.	

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs
		NRÁ

			103
11:26:40	1	A I'm going to put four on the	
	2	ground. Okay.	
	3	Q Did Wounded Warrior disclose any	
	4	excess benefit transactions during 2015 in	
11:26:55	5	its Form 990 before 2015?	
	6	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	7	Note the witness has been provided a	
	8	document that contains highlighting.	
	9	A They did not.	
11:27:12	10	Q What about any excess benefit	
	11	transactions in the prior year, did the	
	12	Wounded Warrior Project disclose any such	
	13	transactions in its Form 990 for 2015?	
	14	(Whereupon, Wounded Warrior's	
11:27:28	15	2015 Form 990 was marked as	
	16	Exhibit 5 for identification as	
	17	of this date.)	
	18	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	19	A They did not.	
11:27:32	20	Q Please take a look at the Form 990	
	21	for 2016, which is marked as Exhibit 6.	
	22	(Whereupon, Wounded Warrior's	
	23	2016 Form 990 was marked as	
	24	Exhibit 6 for identification as	
11:27:37	25	of this date.)	

		YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/10/2023 05:34 PM	INDEA NO. 4
NYSCEF DO	DC. NG	People of the State of NY vs NRA	RECEIVED EYES Harris October 27, 2022
			104
11:27:38		A Okay.	
	2	Q Do you have that in front of you?	
	3	A I do, yes.	
	4	Q If you can take a look at page	
11:27:55	5	four, please.	
	6	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	7	Noting that the witness has been	
	8	provided a document that contains	
	9	highlighting.	
11:28:05	10	Q Fair to say that in its Form 990	
	11	for 2016, Wounded Warrior Project, Inc. did	
	12	not report any excess benefit transactions?	
	13	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	14	A That's correct.	
11:28:17	15	Q Not in the year for which the	
	16	return was filed, right?	
	17	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	18	A Correct.	
	19	Q And not in any of the prior years,	,
11:28:25	20	right?	
	21	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	22	A Correct.	
	23	Q Let's take a look at Exhibit 7.	
	24	What is Exhibit 7?	
11:28:39	25	A It appears to be the Wounded	

			105
11:28:41	1	Warrior Project Form 990 for 2017.	
	2	(Whereupon, Wounded Warrior's	
	3	2017 Form 990 was marked as	
	4	Exhibit 7 for identification as	
11:28:44	5	of this date.)	
	6	Q Turning your attention to page	
	7	four of Exhibit 7. Specifically, the	
	8	organization's answers to questions 25A and	
	9	B. Do you see those?	
11:28:58	10	MR. WANG: Same objection.	
	11	The witness has been handed a	
	12	document that has been highlighted.	
	13	A Yes. I see them.	
	14	Q Fair to say that in its Form 990	
11:29:07	15	for 2017, Wounded Warrior Project did not	
	16	report any excess benefit transactions for	
	17	the current year, right?	
	18	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	19	A That's correct.	
11:29:14	20	Q Fair to say that the Wounded	
	21	Warrior Project did not report any excess	
	22	benefit transactions for any prior years in	
	23	its Form 990 for 2017, right?	
	24	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
11:29:27	25	A That's correct.	

RECEIVED ENTSCEET: 03/10/2023

 ${\tt NYSCEF\ DOC.\ NOPeople}\ ^{132}_{\mbox{of the State of NY vs}}$ NRA October 27, 2022 106 Let's take a look at Exhibit 8. 11:29:27 0 1 What is Exhibit 8? 2 3 Α Exhibit 8 appears to be the 4 Wounded Warrior Project's Form 990 for 2018. 11:29:40 (Whereupon, Wounded Warrior's 5 2018 Form 990 was marked as 6 7 Exhibit 8 for identification as of this date.) 8 And same set of questions 9 Q 11:29:43 10 regarding page four of Exhibit 8, please take a look at the answers to questions 25A 11 12 and B. Same notation and 13 MR. WANG: 14 objection. The witness has been 11:29:55 15 provided a document that contains 16 prior highlighting. Fair to say that -- I'm sorry. 17 you have page four of Exhibit 8 in front of 18

I do. 11:30:06 20 Α

you?

19

- 2.1 Did you have a chance to review
- 22 answers provided by Wounded Warrior Project
- in response to questions 25A and 25B? 23
- I have. 24 Α
- 11:30:17 25 Fair to say that in its Form 990

			107
11:30:20	1	for 2018, Wounded Warrior Project did not	
	2	report any excess benefit transactions?	
	3	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	4	A That's correct.	
11:30:26	5	Q Not for the current year, right?	
	6	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	7	A Correct.	
	8	Q And not for any prior year either,	
	9	right?	
11:30:33	10	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	11	A Correct.	
	12	Q So we'll do them at once as well.	
	13	So these are Tabs 94 through 97, and we'll	
	14	mark them as whatever is the next available,	
11:31:51	15	10, 11, 12 and 13.	
	16	(Whereupon, Faizan-E-Aisna 2019	
	17	Form 990 was marked as Exhibit	
	18	10 for identification as of this	
	19	date.)	
11:33:04	20	Q Do you have Exhibit 10 in front of	
	21	you?	
	22	A Ten, I do.	
	23	Q What does it appear to be?	
	24	A It appears to be the 2019 Form 990	
11:33:17	25	for Faizan-E-Aisha, Inc. doing business as	

			108
11:33:28	1	Masjid do you want me to continue trying	
	2	to	
	3	Q No. That's sufficient. Thank you	
	4	very much.	
11:33:34	5	Fair to say this is a return by a	
	6	501C3 organization?	
	7	A Let me check. Yes. That's	
	8	indicated in the header.	
	9	Q That's for year 2019, right?	
11:33:47	10	A Correct.	
	11	Q And 2019 is within the scope of	
	12	your study, right?	
	13	A Correct.	
	14	Q And turning to page four of	
11:34:00	15	Exhibit 10, what did this organization	
	16	report in reference to a section to	
	17	question 25A?	
	18	MR. WANG: Same objection.	
	19	The exhibit is highlighted.	
11:34:14	20	A 25A has been marked yes.	
	21	Q Okay. And let's take a look at	
	22	Schedule L of Exhibit 10. Let me know when	
	23	you have Schedule L in front of you.	
	24	A I do.	
11:34:46	25	Q What is Schedule L?	

NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs
NRA

RECEIVED ENTS
October 27

	109
11:34:46 1	MR. WANG: Object to the form.
2	A Schedule L details the
3	transactions with interested persons.
4	Q And with regard to Exhibit 10,
11:34:54 5	what did the filer detail with regard to its
6	transactions with an interested person?
7	A That Masjid was the president, and
8	the description of the transaction says
9	collector, and that it has not been
11:35:16 10	corrected.
11	Q Fair to say that this organization
12	referenced on the first page of Exhibit C
13	disclosed that it had an excess benefit
14	transaction, right?
11:35:32 15	MS. WANG: Object to the form.
16	Exhibit C?
17	MS. CONNELL: I'm sorry. I
18	meant to say Exhibit 10. Thank you.
19	Q Fair to say this appears to be a
11:35:50 20	form that discloses on behalf of the filer,
21	that it had engaged in an excess benefit
22	transaction during the year for which this
23	report is made, right?
24	A Correct.
11:36:02 25	Q This organization was not included

		YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/10/2023 05:34 PM	FORTURD MYCGER.
NYSCEF DO	JC. NG	People of the State of NY vs NRA	ECEIVED <b>Erica Harris</b> October 27, 2022
			110
11:36:06	1	in your study, was it?	
	2	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	3	A I don't know. I don't know every	
	4	EIN that was included in the study.	
11:36:16	5	Q Let's take a look at Exhibit 11.	
	6	(Whereupon, Juniper Hills School	ol
	7	2019 Form 990 was marked as	
	8	Exhibit 11 for identification a	as
	9	of this date.)	
11:36:20	10	Q If you can please take a look at	
	11	Exhibit 11. Let me know what it appears to	
	12	be.	
	13	A It appears to be the 2019 Form 990	
	14	for Juniper Hills School for Place Based	
11:36:39	15	Education.	
	16	Q And fair to say that based on the	
	17	form, Juniper Hills School for Place Based	
	18	Education appears to be a 501C3	
	19	organization?	
11:36:51	20	A That's correct. That's what they	
	21	indicated on their form.	
	22	Q Please refer to page four of	
	23	Exhibit 11.	
	24	A Okay.	
11:36:58		Q Did Juniper Hills School for Place	

			111
11:37:02	1	Based Education in its Form 990 for 2019	
	2	report any excess benefit transactions.	
	3	MR. WANG: Same objection.	
	4	The highlighting in the document.	
11:37:11	5	A Yes. They did.	
	6	Q And turning your attention to	
	7	Schedule L. Did Juniper Hills School for	
	8	Place Based Education in its Form 990 for	
	9	2019 provide an explanation of any kind	
11:37:35	10	related to the reported excess benefit	
	11	transaction?	
	12	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	13	A Yes. It indicates that Ann Stirs,	
	14	a staff member and BOA, and the description	
11:37:49	15	of the transaction says, Ann accidentally	
	16	deposted, and then it says corrected and	
	17	they clicked yes.	
	18	Q If I can ask you to please take a	
	19	look at the next page of this exhibit.	
11:38:04	20	Specifically part five of Schedule L.	
	21	Please take a look at the text that appears	
	22	in the middle of the page and let me know	
	23	once you've done that.	
	24	A Okay.	
11:38:29	25	Q What information is provided on	

		INV	October 27, 2022
11:38:30	1	this page?	112
	2	A Details about the excess benefit	
	3	transaction that was reported on Schedule L.	
	4	Q Exhibit 11 is a form for 2019,	
11:38:43	5	right?	
	6	A Correct.	
	7	Q 2019 is within the scope of your	
	8	study, right?	
	9	MR. WANG: Objection.	
11:38:51	10	A Correct.	
	11	Q You studied reports by various	
	12	organizations between 2010 and 2020, right?	
	13	A Correct.	
	14	Q And this is another form where	
11:39:05	15	another 501C3 did report having an excess	
	16	benefit, right?	
	17	A Yes.	
	18	Q Juniper Hills School for Place	
	19	Based Education, however, is not included in	
11:39:17	20	your analysis, is it?	
	21	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	22	A I don't know. I don't know every	
	23	EIN that was included in my analysis.	
	24	Q Let's take a look at Exhibit 12.	
11:39:25	25	(Whereupon, Light Horse Legacy	

	113
11:39:25 1	2018 Form 990 was marked as
2	Exhibit 12 for identification as
3	of this date.)
4	Q Please tell us what it is.
11:39:37 5	A It appears to be 2018 Form 990 for
6	an organization called Light Horse Legacy.
7	Q And does it appear, based on your
8	review of the first page of Exhibit 12, that
9	Light Horse Legacy, Inc. appears to be
11:39:53 10	another 501C3 organization?
11	A That's correct.
12	Q If I could ask you to please take
13	a look at page four, and review the answers
14	to questions 25A and B, and let me know once
11:40:05 15	you've done that.
16	MR. WANG: Noting the same
17	objection to the highlighting
18	document provided to the witness.
19	A I've reviewed it.
11:40:15 20	Q Is it fair to say that this is
21	another 501C3 that disclosed excess benefit
22	transactions, right?
23	A That's correct.
24	MR. WANG: Object to the form.
11:40:25 25	Q It answered yes to question 25A?

			114
11:40:29	1	A Correct.	
	2	Q And it answered yes to question	
	3	25B, right?	
	4	A Correct.	
11:40:34	5	Q So it admitted and disclosed that	
	6	it had engaged in excess benefit transaction	
	7	with a disqualified person during 2018,	
	8	right?	
	9	A Correct.	
11:40:47	10	Q And it also disclosed that it had	
	11	engaged in such a transaction in prior	
	12	years, right?	
	13	A Correct.	
	14	Q Let's take a look at Schedule L,	
11:40:57	15	which appears at the back of Exhibit 12.	
	16	A Okay.	
	17	Q Please review part one of Schedule	
	18	L and let us know once you've done that.	
	19	A I have.	
11:41:16	20	Q What, if anything, does Schedule L	
	21	reveal about the nature of the reported	
	22	excess benefit transaction	
	23	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	24	Q on the 2018 Form 990 by Light	
11:41:27	25	Horse Legacy, Inc.?	

			445
11:41:29	1	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	115
	2	A It's difficult to read, but it	
	3	appears that three disqualified people are	
	4	named, Steve Maloney, DBA, and Art by	
11:41:43	5	Maloney, maybe. Oh, Steve Maloney doing	
	6	business as Art by Maloney. My mistake.	
	7	And it appears the relationship between the	
	8	disqualified person and the organization is	
	9	that he's a project partner, take me home	
11:42:02	10	Huey maybe.	
	11	And then for the description of	
	12	the transaction, it says, "Use nonprofit	
	13	funds to promote his artist status and avoid	
	14	business expenses. Sued nonprofit for	
11:42:17	15	assets."	
	16	Q Thank you very much.	
	17	Is it fair to say that this	
	18	appears to be yet another Form 990 in which	
	19	a non-profit organization reported excess	
11:42:33	20	benefits?	
	21	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	22	A Yes.	
	23	Q And Exhibit 12 is a Form 990 for	
	24	2018, right?	
11:42:38	25	A Correct.	
			ļ

Lexitas

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs	
		NRÁ	

			116
11:42:39	1	Q 2018, like 2019, is within the	
	2	scope of your study in this case, right?	
	3	A The year is within the scope of my	
	4	study, yes.	
11:42:52	5	Q But you did not include Light	
	6	Horse, Legacy Inc.'s Form 990 for 2018 in	
	7	your comparison, did you?	
	8	MS. WANG: Object to the form.	
	9	A Again, I don't know every EIN that	
11:43:02	10	was included.	
	11	Q So you don't know the answer to my	
	12	question, right?	
	13	A I do not.	
	14	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
11:43:09	15	Q Let's take a look at Exhibit 13,	
	16	please.	
	17	A Okay.	
	18	Q What is Exhibit 13?	
	19	A The 2018 Form 990 for an	
11:43:19	20	organization called Providence	
	21	Self-sufficient Ministries.	
	22	(Whereupon, Providence of	
	23	Self-Sufficient Ministries 2018	
	24	Form 990 was marked as Exhibit	
11:43:24	25	13 for identification as of this	

			117
11:43:24	1	date.)	117
	2	Q Fair to say, based on your review	
	3	of the first page of Exhibit 13, that the	
	4	Providence Self-sufficient Ministries, Inc.	
11:43:30	5	reports itself to be a 501C3 organization?	
	6	A Correct.	
	7	Q If you could please take a look at	
	8	page four of Exhibit 13. Please review the	
	9	answers to questions 25A and B and let us	
11:43:48	10	know once you've done that.	
1	11	A Okay.	
1	12	MR. WANG: Same objection to	
1	13	the highlighted document.	
1	14	A Okay.	
11:43:54	15	Q Fair to say in its Form 990 for	
1	16	2018, this 501C3 reported excess benefits	
1	17	for both the current and the prior years,	
1	18	right?	
1	19	A That's correct.	
11:44:08 2	20	Q Please take a look at the back,	
2	21	specifically Schedule L that's part of	
2	22	Exhibit 13. Directing your attention to	
2	23	part one of Schedule L. Please review the	
2	24	information provided by the filer and let us	
11:44:23 2	25	know once you've done that.	

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs
		NRÁ

			118
11:44:25	1	A I have.	
	2	Q What, if anything, does part one	
	3	of Schedule L disclose with regard to the	
	4	excess benefits?	
11:44:36	5	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	6	A It says that the name of	
	7	disqualified person is Amy Lewis. The	
	8	relationship between the disqualified person	
	9	and the organization is the former CFO. The	
11:44:47	10	description of the transaction says,	
	11	"Unauthorized wages and benefits." And it	
	12	indicates it was not corrected.	
	13	Q Fair to say that Providence	
	14	Self-sufficiency Ministries, Inc. is yet	
11:45:06	15	another 501C3 that reported excess	
	16	benefits	
	17	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	18	I'm sorry.	
	19	Q in one of years that falls	
11:45:15	20	within the scope of your study?	
	21	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	22	A Correct.	
	23	Q 2018 is within the scope of your	
	24	study, right?	
11:45:21	25	A The year is, yes.	

NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs NRA

			119
11:45:24	1	Q Yet Providence Self-sufficiency	
	2	Ministries' Form 990 for 2018 is not part of	
	3	your study, right?	
	4	A Again, I don't know every	
11:45:33	5	organization that's part of the study.	
	6	Q So you don't know whether it is	
	7	part of your study, right?	
	8	A That's correct.	
	9	MS. EISENBERG: Well, this is	
11:45:41	10	a good place to break for lunch.	
	11	Let's go off the record.	
	12	VIDEOGRAPHER: It is	
	13	11:45 a.m. We're going off the	
	14	record.	
11:46:01	15	(Whereupon, a recess was taken	
	16	from 11:45 AM to 12:30 PM.)	
	17	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is	
	18	12:30 p.m. we are back on the	
	19	record.	
12:30:40	20	BY MS. EISENBERG:	
	21	Q During lunch did you discuss your	
	22	testimony with counsel for the NYAG?	
	23	A I did not.	
	24	Q Please take a look at Exhibit 2,	
12:30:49	25	it is your rebuttal report, correct?	

Lexitas

			120
12:30:53	1	A Correct.	120
	2	Q In your rebuttal report in the	
	3	second paragraph, "In my expert opinion I	
	4	compare the NRA to the following three	
12:31:01	5	groups." Then it goes forward. Do you see	
	6	that?	
	7	A I do.	
	8	Q Fair to say that in your expert	
	9	opinion report you compare the NRA's	
12:31:12	10	reporting to the reporting of the following	
	11	three groups of excess benefit transactions	
	12	and significant diversions of assets. Not	
	13	the actual occurrence of such events, right?	
	14	A That's correct.	
12:31:28	15	Q In the first paragraph of Exhibit	
	16	2, at the end you state, "I also reserve the	
	17	right to use demonstrative exhibits, charts,	
	18	graphics et cetera." Do you see that	
	19	sentence?	
12:31:35	20	A I do.	
	21	Q Sitting here right now do you have	
	22	any current intention of using a	
	23	demonstrative exhibit in connection with	
	24	your potential testimony in this case?	
12:31:48	25	MR. WANG: Objection to form.	

			121
12:31:49	1	A I don't know if I will need	
	2	something like that at trial I think is your	
	3	question.	
	4	Q So currently you don't know if you	
12:31:57	5	will need anything like that, correct?	
	6	A That's correct.	
	7	Q And currently you don't know if	
	8	you will need any charts, right, other than	
	9	those that are already in your report?	
12:32:03	10	A That's correct.	
	11	Q And the same for graphics,	
	12	animations and other exhibits?	
	13	A That's correct.	
	14	Q You once published an article	
12:32:19	15	called, "Why bad things happen to good	
	16	organizations." Right?	
	17	A That's correct.	
	18	Q You believe that all organizations	
	19	are vulnerable to the threat of fraud,	
12:32:29	20	right?	
	21	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	22	A Restate that in the paper, yes, I	
	23	believe that.	
	24	Q And you believe that fraud losses	
12:32:37	25	affect not only small local charities, but	

RECEIVED ENTS THAT is 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs NRA

	100
12:32:41 1	also large well-known charities with
2	thousands of employees, right?
3	MR. WANG: Object to the form.
4	A That's correct.
12:32:52 5	Q In fact, in your paper you've even
6	given examples, right?
7	MR. WANG: Object to the form.
8	A If you could again this paper was
9	published in 2015 I believe, and you
12:33:05 10	probably know we work on them for many years
11	before so it's been quite a while since I've
12	worked with the data or remember exactly any
13	examples that we made so if you can refer me
14	to where you're looking at I'd be happy to
12:33:21 15	refresh my memory.
16	Q Certainly. Let's mark what we've
17	previously internally marked as tab 5A as
18	the next available exhibit.
19	(Whereupon, "Why bad things
12:33:29 20	happen to good organizations"
21	was marked as Exhibit 14 for
22	identification as of this date.)
23	Q It's going to be Exhibit 14. For
24	the record, it is a multi-page print out of
12:33:54 25	an article, the pagination starts with 149

Lexitas

NYSCEF DOC. I	NPeople of the State of NY vs NRA	October 27, 2022	7/10/2023
		123	

12:33:59 1	and ends 166.	123
2	What is Exhibit 14?	
3	A Exhibit 14 is a copy of the paper,	
4	the research project "Why bad things happen	
12:34:14 5	to good organizations solely between	
6	governments and asset diversion of public	
7	charities", of which I was a co-author.	
8	Q You believed everything you said	
9	in that the article, right?	
12:34:27 10	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
11	A I do.	
12	Q You believed it back in 2015 when	
13	it was published?	
14	A I do.	
12:34:34 15	Q And you believe it to date, right?	
16	A I do.	
17	Q If I could please direct your	
18	attention to the first page of Exhibit 14	
19	under the word, introductions, do you see a	
12:34:45 20	paragraph that starts with, all	
21	organizations are vulnerable?	
22	A I do.	
23	Q Could you please review the last	
24	sentence of that paragraph and let us know	
12:34:55 25	once you've done that?	

NYSCEF DOC.	NCPeople of the State of NY vs NRA

			124
12:35:02	1	A I have.	
	2	Q Having reviewed that sentence has	
	3	that refreshed your recollection as to	
	4	whether or not you in fact provided examples	
12:35:12	5	of situations where fraud effected large	
	6	well-known charities with thousands of	
	7	employees?	
	8	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	9	A I do, yeah.	
12:35:23	10	Q Did you in that article provide	
	11	examples of large well-known charities	
	12	effected by fraud?	
	13	A Yes. In this last sentence we	
	14	include three examples.	
12:35:40	15	Q What are they?	
	16	A The Memorial Sloan Kettering	
	17	Cancer Center, the Global Fund, and New York	
	18	University.	
	19	Q How much was stolen from the	
12:35:51	20	Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center?	
	21	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	22	A We indicated in the paper in that	
	23	sentence, examples include 1.5 million of	
	24	employee theft at Memorial Sloan Kettering	
12:36:02	25	Center.	

 ${\tt RECEIVED} \, \underbrace{{\tt PNYSGEF}}_{\hbox{\it call}} {\tt incall} {\tt incall}$ October 27, 2022

			125
12:36:04	1	Q What was the amount of alleged	
	2	improper payments to grantees at the Global	
	3	Fund?	
	4	A Again we indicate in the paper as	
12:36:13	5	an example 43 million of improper payments.	
	6	Q What was the amount of alleged	
	7	improper financial transactions at New York	
	8	University?	
	9	A Again, in the example we indicate	
12:36:30	10	26 million endowment write-off at New York	
	11	University.	
	12	Q What is the mission of the Global	
	13	Fund?	
	14	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
12:36:41	15	A I do not know.	
	16	Q Let's take a look at page 163 of	
	17	Exhibit 14?	
	18	A Okay.	
	19	Q Directing your attention to the	
12:37:01	20	last paragraph on that page that starts with	
	21	the words, there are two, do you see that	
	22	paragraph?	
	23	A I do.	
	24	Q You included caveats in this	
12:37:13	25	article, right?	

NYSCEF	DOC.	NCPeople of the State of NY vs NRA

			126
12:37:16	1	A Yes. We do.	
	2	Q There's are caveats regarding the	
	3	analysis you published?	
	4	A That's correct.	
12:37:23	5	Q In fact you stated that there are	
	6	two such caveats, right?	
	7	A Yes. That's correct.	
	8	Q You characterize them as	
	9	important, right?	
12:37:34	10	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	11	A That's correct.	
	12	Q Because that's important for the	
	13	audience to know, right?	
	14	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
12:37:42	15	A The reader of this research, yes.	
	16	Q It's important for the reader of	
	17	this research to know the caveats, right?	
	18	A Correct.	
	19	Q It is not the case that all	
12:37:57	20	charities should be required to adopt all	
	21	government's mechanisms, right?	
	22	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	23	A Is that a question?	
	24	Q Yes.	
12:38:12	25	A I'm sorry then can you repeat the	

			127
12:38:14	1	question.	
	2	Q It is not the case that all	
	3	charities should be required to adopt all	
	4	government's mechanisms, right?	
12:38:27	5	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	6	A Let me think about that. Well,	
	7	no, an organization should analyze the cost	
	8	benefits of adopting a particular governance	
	9	mechanism for their organization.	
12:38:41	10	Q Therefore it is not the case that	
	11	all charities should be required to adopt	
	12	charities mechanism, right?	
	13	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	14	A Yeah, I would agree with that.	
12:39:27	15	Q Could you please take a look at	
	16	Exhibit 1?	
	17	A Do you have a particular page in	
	18	mind.	
	19	Q Yes, thank you. 607 of Appendix	
12:39:41	20	A, to your report, please?	
	21	A Is that the paper the effect of	
	22	non-profit governance?	
	23	Q That's right. Directing your	
	24	attention to the second paragraph under	
12:40:17	25	conclusions which starts with the words," to	

RECEIVED ENTS THAT is 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs
		NRÁ

			128
12:40:20	1	the best of our knowledge", do you see that?	
	2	A I do.	
	3	Q Now directing your attention to a	
	4	sentence within that paragraph that starts	
12:40:26	5	with the words, "decisions regarding", do	
	6	you see that?	
	7	A Yes, I do.	
	8	Q Would you be so kind as to please	
	9	read that sentence into the record?	
12:40:42	10	A Sure. "Decisions regarding	
	11	governance require a careful cost benefit	
	12	analysis."	
	13	Q You wrote that, right?	
	14	A My co-authors and I did, yes.	
12:40:54	15	Q You agree with the statement?	
	16	A I do.	
	17	Q You agreed with it when you wrote	
	18	this article?	
	19	A I do.	
12:41:00	20	Q You agreed with it today?	
	21	A I do.	
	22	Q You believe that decisions	
	23	regarding governance require cost benefit	
	24	analysis, right?	
12:41:09	25	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	

NYSCEF DOC.	NPeople of the State of NY vs NRA	

			129
12:41:11	1	A That's correct.	129
	2	Q And you believe that such analysis	
	3	has to be careful, right?	
	4	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
12:41:18	5	A Careful cost benefit analysis, yes	
	6	I agree with that.	
	7	MS. EISENBERG: Just take a	
	8	moment to locate the next Exhibit.	
	9	Q Before we look at the newly marked	
12:43:35	10	before we look at the newly marked	
	11	Exhibit I'd like to direct your attention to	
	12	your report which Exhibit 1, specifically if	
	13	I may page 13.	
	14	A Okay.	
12:44:56	15	Q There is a reference in the	
	16	penultimate row of that table to	
	17	Mr. Deburglas; do you see that?	
	18	A I do.	
	19	Q And fair to say that you included	
12:45:12	20	that row in the nine plus that's reported in	
	21	your table five on page 18 of your report,	
	22	right?	
	23	A Correct.	
	24	Q Please take a look at Exhibit 15.	
12:45:27	25	What is it?	

NYSCEF DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs
	NKA

			130
12:45:31	1	A It is the 2020 form 990 for the	
	2	National Rifle Association.	
	3	Q If I may ask you to please take a	
	4	look at page 88?	
12:45:49	5	A Okay.	
	6	Q There's a reference to	
	7	Mr. Deburglas in the penultimate row of the	
	8	table on pagle 88 of Exhibit 15, do you see	
	9	that?	
12:46:03	10	A I do.	
	11	Q Please read that passage to	
	12	yourself and let us know once you've done	
	13	that?	
	14	A (reading) I have.	
12:46:48	15	Q Thank you. What does the passage	
	16	state regarding Mr. Deburglas and again for	
	17	the record we are in Exhibit 15, page 18 the	
	18	second row.	
	19	A You mean page 88.	
12:47:05	20	Q 88, thank you.	
	21	A It indicates that some of the	
	22	excess benefit transactions after further	
	23	review appeared that Mr. Deburglas had in	
	24	fact received the appropriate approvals for	
12:47:20	25	the travel in the business class and medical	

RECEIVED ENTSCEET: 03/10/2023

 ${\tt NYSCEF\ DOC.\ NOPeople}\ ^{132}_{\mbox{of the State of NY vs}}$ NRA October 27, 2022 131 12:47:23 1 reasons. Yet it's included in the nine plus 2 referenced in table five on page 18 of your 3 4 report, correct? 12:47:33 Α That's correct. Let's take a look at page 13 of 6 7 your report. 8 Α Okay. The last row of the table that is 9 12:47:45 10 numbered schedule L part one line 19. Α 11 Okay. 12 And fair to say that here you're 13 presenting an image of the NRA's form 990 14 where the NRA reported that it was reviewing 12:48:04 15 whether in 2019 and prior years various board members may have used first class or 16 business class travel without authorization, 17

- 19 That's correct.
- 12:48:16 20 And this item again is part of the nine plus that's reported on page 18 in 2.1
  - table five, right? 22

right?

18

- 23 Α That's correct.
- 24 If we can please switch back to Exhibit 15, page 88 and Exhibit 15 is the 12:48:25 25

Lexitas

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs	
		NRÁ	

			132
12:48:34	1	NRA's form 990 for 2020, right?	
	2	A Correct.	
	3	Q Please take a look at the last	
	4	item on page 88. Let us know once you've	
12:48:48	5	done that.	
	6	A I have.	
	7	Q And fair to say the NRA stated	
	8	that it believes based on the review done,	
	9	that such travel was for legitimate business	
12:49:07	10	purposes?	
	11	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	12	A Yes. That's what they've	
	13	reported.	
	14	Q And by such travel, do you	
12:49:15	15	understand the NRA to be referring to the	
	16	item that's listed at the bottom of the	
	17	table that appears on page 13 of your	
	18	report?	
	19	A Yes. I believe so.	
12:49:32	20	Q And the NRA stated in it's form	
	21	990 for 2020 that the NRA believes that	
	22	those previously flagged items "Did not	
	23	constitute excess benefit transactions."	
	24	Right?	
12:49:46	25	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs
		NRÁ

			133
12:49:48	1	A That's correct.	
	2	Q Nonetheless, that item is part of	
	3	the nine plus reference in table five of	
	4	your report on page 18, right?	
12:49:58	5	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	6	A That's correct.	
	7	Q We can set aside the exhibits for	
	8	the moment. So as Mr. Wang pointed out we	
	9	inadvertently had provided you with marked	
12:50:20	10	or highlighted versions of several exhibits.	
	11	We have versions that don't have any	
	12	highlighting and we'll mark those as	
	13	exhibits now.	
	14	MS. CONNELL: Svetlana, we	
12:50:38	15	would stipulate to just swapping	
	16	those out just to save time.	
	17	MS. EISENBERG: In other words	
	18	to the extent your objection was	
	19	based on the highlighting, you're	
12:50:51	20	withdrawing that objection to the	
	21	extent.	
	22	MS. CONNELL: Exactly, right.	
	23	MR. WANG: Yes.	
	24	BY MS. EISENBERG:	
12:50:59	25	Q You previously testified that you	

	NCPeople of the State of NY vs  NRA  RECEIVED ENCESTED IN SECURITY CLERK 03/10/2023 05:34 PM  RECEIVED ENCESTED IN SECURITY CLERK 03/10/20/2023 05:34 PM  RECEIVED ENCESTED IN SECURITY CLERK 03/10/20/2020 05:34 PM  RECEIVED
	134
12:51:00	did not know if your analysis included
2	Wounded Warrior Project, recall that
3	testimony?
4	A I do.
12:51:07	Q Is there a way for you to find
(	out?
	MR. WANG: Object to the form.
8	A Is there a way for me to find out
9	if the Wounded Warrior was included in the
12:51:21 10	data that I used to analyze for my report,
11	sure.
12	Q How would you do that?
13	A I would search for the Wounded
14	Warrior project EIN number in my data set.
12:51:33 1	Q Okay. And let's take a look at
16	Exhibit 8. Going to place in front of you a
1'	laptop with the data set in Excel format
18	opened on it.
19	A Okay. So Exhibit 8 you mean the
12:52:01 20	Wounded Warrior project's form 990 for 2018?
21	Q Exactly, right.
22	A Okay.
23	Q Does Exhibit 8 identify the EIN
24	for Wounded Warrior project?
12:52:13 25	A It does in the upper right-hand

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs NRA	

12:52:16	1	corner.	135
:	2	Q May I ask you to please read that	
	3	into the record?	
	4	A Sure it's 20-23-70934.	
12:52:26	5	Q If I may ask my colleague to place	
	6	in front of you a laptop that has your data	
	7	set open in Excel? Thank you very much.	
	8	MR. WANG: Object to the use	
	9	of a laptop. Is this an exhibit?	
12:52:40 1	0	MS. EISENBERG: It is an	
1	1	exhibit. It's a well, I'm happy	
1:	2	to establish the foundation.	
1	3	Q About how many rows are in your	
1	4	data set?	
12:52:49 1	5	A Can I scroll down on it? I mean	
1	6	Q Well, is it fair to say that there	
1	7	are many, many rows?	
1	8	A I know from my report there's over	
1	9	a million observations in the data set so	
12:53:05 2	0	there's more than a million rows in this	
2	1	data.	
2.	2	Q Fair to say it would take a lot of	
2	3	paper to print that?	
2	4	A Yes. It would take a lot of paper	
12:53:13 2	5	to print that.	

	136
12:53:16 1	Q Again, to the extent you want us
2	to do so we're happy to do it, but if you're
3	going to object, we can.
4	MR. WANG: I think we're going
12:53:29 5	to stand on our objection to
6	foundation but you can proceed with
7	inquiring with the witness.
8	MS. EISENBERG: Okay. So
9	MR. CORRELL: What is it
12:53:41 10	that's displayed on the laptop?
11	MS. EISENBERG: Tab 100.
12	MR. CORRELL: Is that a native
13	version of something you marked as
14	an exhibit?
12:54:01 15	(Whereupon,
16	description was
17	marked as Exhibit #
18	for identification as of this
19	date.)
12:54:02 20	Q (By Ms. Eisenberg) For the record tab 100
21	and Exhibit 17 is an Excel document with two sheets
22	that contains the output of the CSV file that was
23	produced the NRA by the NYAG yesterday. If I can
24	direct your attention to the screen in front of you.
12:54:29 25	MR. WANG: Note that the

 ${\tt RECEIVED} \, \underbrace{{\tt PNYSGEF}}_{\hbox{\it call}} {\tt incall} {\tt incall}$ October 27, 2022

NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs NRA

			137
12:54:30	1	document was produced to the NRA on	107
	2	October 26th as a result of the	
	3	NRA's request on October 25th.	
	4	MR. CORRELL: Will, are you	
12:54:42	5	producing that to all parties?	
	6	MR. WANG: Yes, if you would	
	7	like a copy of that I can send it to	
	8	you.	
	9	MR. CORRELL: Well, I think as	
12:54:50 1	LO	matter of course, we had agreed a	
1	L1	while ago that everything would be	
1	L2	produced to all parties, so, yes I'd	
1	L3	like that and anything else that you	
1	L4	produce in the future please copy us	
12:55:01 1	L5	on.	
1	L6	MR. FARBER: I echo that	
1	L7	request.	
1	L8	MR. WANG: It's an incredibly	
1	L9	large document the NRA provided us	
12:55:13 2	20	with an FTP site to which we can	
2	21	upload it. It is not a document	
2	22	that we can email to you because of	
2	23	the size of the document. If you	
2	24	can provide our office with	
12:55:27 2	25	somewhere we can upload it to you.	

Lexitas

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs
		NRÅ

			138
12:55:30	1	Unfortunately, because of the size	
	2	of the document, I cannot email it	
	3	to you.	
	4	MR. CORRELL: I understand and	
12:55:36	5	that's fine. I just ask in the	
	6	future if there are situations like	
	7	that, just inform us about it.	
	8	MS. DAVIS: Seth, absolutely.	
	9	We apologize. The NRA requested late	
12:55:49	10	in the afternoon the day before. We	
	11	were rushing with professor Harris,	
	12	traveling to try and get it ready	
	13	and produce it. Absolutely. An FTP	
	14	link will be the best thing for it.	
12:56:02	15	MR. CORRELL: No, I	
	16	understand. Thanks.	
	17	MR. LEVINE: I echo that. Me	
	18	too please.	
	19	MS. DAVIS: All parties of	
12:56:12	20	course.	
	21	BY MS. EISENBERG:	
	22	Q Let's get back to where we were.	
	23	In front of you we have a laptop and there's	
	24	a file that's open, correct?	
12:56:21	25	A Correct.	

Lexitas

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs	
		NRÁ	

			139
12:56:21	1	Q Please describe the file?	
	2	A It's an Excel file with one, two,	
	3	three, four, five, six, seven columns that	
	4	appear to be populated and where it's	
12:56:36	5	positioned right now I cannot see the header	
	6	rows.It's positioned at the 236,000	
	7	whatever, row.	
	8	Q Do you feel comfortable navigating	
	9	to the top of the document?	
12:56:50	10	A Sure. Well, I don't see oh,	
	11	yes, I do. Okay. Now I'm at the top.	
	12	Q What does it say at the top?	
	13	A The first column is the year, the	
	14	second column is the EIN, the next column is	
12:57:14	15	the subsection code, the next column is	
	16	significant diversion of assets indicator	
	17	variable. Current excess benefit	
	18	transactions, indicator variable, prior	
	19	excess benefit transaction indicator	
12:57:28	20	variable and then total assets.	
	21	Q And one of the columns EIN,	
	22	correct?	
	23	A Correct.	
	24	Q Does this data look familiar in	
12:57:39	25	terms of its format in which it's presented?	

12:57:43	1	A Yes.	140
	2	Q What does it appear to be?	
	3	<del></del>	
	4	provided to the AG's office.	
	5	Q For the record, the Excel would	
	6	not handle all of the data in one sheet so	
	7	we had to place it in two sheets, and that's	
	8	what you have in front of you, right, there	
	9	are two sheets?	
12:58:05 1	0	A Okay.	
1	1	Q If you click on the second sheet	
1:	2	is the format of the data in the second	
1	3	sheet the same as it is in the first sheet?	
1	4	A Yes. It appears to be the same.	
12:58:16 1	5	Q Okay. And is the EIN for Wounded	
1	6	Warrior project part of the data set?	
1	7	A Would it be okay if I did a	
1	8	control find function.	
1	9	Q Yes. Absolutely.	
12:58:31 2	0	A Okay. So I'm going to do that.	
2	1	It appears that someone has already entered	
2	2	the EIN for the Wounded Warrior Project in	
2	3	the find function, I'm just going to verify	
2	4	it's the right number 202370934. It is.	
12:58:59 2	5	MR. WANG: Just noting an	

			444
12:59:00	1	objection on the record. We don't	141
	2	know if this data has been	
	3	manipulated since we've given it to	
	4	the NRA and also objecting to having	
12:59:11	5	the witness search through the	
	6	document live during the testimony.	
	7	Just noting our objection to that	
	8	and reserving all of our rights with	
	9	respect to this line of questioning.	
12:59:21	10	Q Do you have any reason to believe	
	11	that the data was manipulated?	
	12	A There's no way for me to know if	
	13	the data's been manipulated as you already	
	14	pointed out, more than a million rows of	
12:59:35	15	data so there would be no way for me unless	
	16	I compare it to my source data.	
	17	Q Do you suspect it was manipulated?	
	18	A I don't suspect it was	
	19	manipulated.	
12:59:49	20	Q I'd like to ask you to please take	
	21	a look at Exhibit 12 and just to give you	
	22	heads up, actually 10 through 13, please so	
	23	let's start with 10.	
	24	MR. WANG: You're asking the	
01:00:28	25	witness to look at all four at that	

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs
		NRÁ

			142
01:00:31	1	time the same time?	
	2	MS. EISENBERG: No. I would	
	3	like to start with tab 10 I just	
	4	identify the package at once to	
01:00:39	5	facilitate the examination.	
	6	Q You have Exhibit 10 in front of	
	7	you, right?	
	8	A Correct.	
	9	Q And that is one of the form 990	
01:00:53	10	that we looked at previously, right?	
	11	A Correct.	
	12	Q This is one for the fieson V Inc.	
	13	which is a 501C3 based on the form, right?	
	14	A Correct.	
01:01:04	15	Q Do you recall me asking whether	
	16	this particular organization was part of	
	17	your analysis? Do you recall me asking you	
	18	that?	
	19	A I do, yes.	
01:01:13	20	Q And if I recall correctly, you	
	21	stated that you did not know whether or not	
	22	it was part of your analysis, right?	
	23	A That's correct.	
	24	Q Even though this is a 990 where	
01:01:23	25	the organization, in fact, did report an	

RECEIVED ENCE Harris 03/10/2023
October 27, 2022

NYSCEF DO	OC. NO	People of the State of NY vs NRA	RECEIVED Erica Harris October 27, 2022
			143
01:01:28	1	excess benefit, right?	
	2	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	3	A Correct. I do not know if it's	
	4	included in the data set.	
01:01:36	5	Q Is there a way for you to find	
	6	out?	
	7	A Yes. I can do the search on the	
	8	data that's in the data set.	
	9	Q And by the data set, you're	
01:01:43	10	referring to what's in front of you, right?	
	11	A I'm referring to my data set, but	
	12	assuming that what's in front of me is the	
	13	same, then, yes.	
	14	Q Do you have your data set with you	ı
01:01:56	15	here today?	
	16	A I do not.	
	17	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	18	Q Please proceed with the search.	
	19	A Okay. You'd like me to search for	
01:02:03	20	the EIN number?	
	21	MR. WANG: Just reserving the	

same objection that I stated

22

23

24

earlier.

Yeah.

you'd like me to search for.

Can you just clarify what

RECEIVED ENYSCEF : 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs
		NRÁ

			144
01:02:16	1	Q How would you go about searching	
	2	for any reference to this particular	
	3	organization that is the filer of Exhibit	
	4	10?	
01:02:27	5	A I would search for its EIN number.	
	6	Q Please search for the EIN number	
	7	then.	
	8	A Okay.	
	9	MR. WANG: Same objection.	
01:03:07	10	A It does not come up in the search.	
	11	Q Did you search through both	
	12	sheets?	
	13	A I did not.	
	14	Q Could you please search through	
01:03:13	15	the second sheet.	
	16	MR. WANG: Same objection.	
	17	A I will. It is also unfound.	
	18	Q So is it fair to say that assuming	
	19	the data was not manipulated after it was	
01:03:35	20	provided by the New York Attorney General's	
:	21	office to counsel for the NRA, this	
:	22	particular organization was not included in	
	23	your analysis?	
	24	A That's correct.	
01:03:44	25	MR. WANG: Just preserving our	

RECEIVED ENCE Harris 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs
		NRÁ

			145
01:03:45	1	same objection. The witness is	
	2	being asked to perform an evaluation	
	3	and analysis on the record in	
	4	realtime, and our office objects to	
01:03:57	5	that line of questioning.	
	6	Q Please take a look at Exhibit 11.	
	7	Exhibit 11 is a Form 990, correct?	
	8	A Correct.	
	9	Q For 2019, right?	
01:04:16	10	A Correct.	
	11	Q For Juniper Hills School for Place	
	12	Based Education, right?	
	13	A Correct.	
	14	Q And fair to say that using the EIN	
01:04:29	15	of the organization that's listed on page 1,	
	16	is the easiest way to see if this	
	17	organization appears in the data set that's	
	18	in front of you, right?	
	19	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
01:04:39	20	A That's correct.	
	21	Q And assuming it wasn't manipulated	
	22	after it was produced by the New York	
	23	Attorney General, this should be the data	
	24	set that you used for your analysis, right?	
01:04:51	25	MR. WANG: Same objection.	

RECEIVED ENYSCEF is 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs NRA

			146
01:04:54	1	A That's correct.	
	2	Q Fair to say that if there are any	
	3	discrepancies, you would expect the New York	
	4	Attorney General's office to advise all	
01:05:02	5	parties in this case?	
	6	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	7	A I'm not sure what you're asking.	
	8	Q Well, surely, Ms. Connell and	
	9	Mr. Wang will double check that the data	
01:05:12	10	wasn't manipulated, right, after they get	
	11	back to their office?	
	12	MS. CONNELL: We have no way	
	13	of knowing what you all did with the	
	14	data. We know it already was	
01:05:20	15	divided into two excel spreadsheets.	
	16	And we've been allowing you to	
	17	question Ms. Harris or Professor	
	18	Harris, excuse me, on the record and	
	19	have her do actual work that's not	
01:05:30	20	in her report.	
	21	How long we allow that is a	
	22	question mark. But to the extent	
	23	you're asking us a question that we	
	24	are not going to do that's not	
01:05:40	25	appropriate. If you have questions	

RECEIVED ENYSCEF is 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs	
		NRÁ	

			147
01:05:42	1	for Ms. Harris, Professor Harris,	
	2	please go ahead.	
	3	MS. EISENBERG: Ms. Connell, I	
	4	wasn't asking you a question. I was	
01:05:52	5	speaking to the witness.	
	6	MS. CONNELL: Oh, I'm sorry.	
	7	I thought you said Ms. Connell and	
	8	Mr. Wang will double check that the	
	9	data wasn't manipulated after you	
01:06:06	10	get back to your office. So I	
	11	thought that was directed at us. My	
	12	apologies.	
	13	MS. EISENBERG: No problem.	
	14	Q Now, you don't know whether or not	
01:06:12	15	is there a plan, right? To check that the	
	16	data was not manipulated, fair?	
	17	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	18	A I do not know what their plans	
	19	are.	
01:06:21	20	Q Right. If they do check and they	
:	21	find a discrepancy, would it be your	
:	22	expectation that they would certainly let	
	23	all the parties know that?	
	24	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
01:06:32	25	A Yes. It would be my expectation.	

 ${\tt RECEIVED} \, \underbrace{{\tt PNYSGEF}}_{\hbox{\it call}} {\tt incall} {\tt incall}$ October 27, 2022

NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs NRA

148

01:06:35	1	Q So please tell us if the Juniper	148
	2	Hills School for Place Based Education	
	3	appears in the data set that's in front of	
	4	you?	
01:06:45	5	A How would you like me to do that?	
	6	By searching once again?	
	7	Q Yes, please.	
	8	A It's not on sheet two. I'm now	
	9	going to check sheet one. It's not on	
01:07:24	10	either sheet.	
	11	Q And I have the same question for	
	12	Exhibit 12 and 13.	
	13	MR. WANG: Same objection.	
	14	A So for Exhibits 12 and 13, you	
01:07:38	15	would also like me to search the spreadsheet	
	16	both tabs to determine if the EIN number is	
	17	represented in this data; is that correct?	
	18	Q That is correct. Thank you very	
	19	much.	
01:08:19	20	A The EIN for Light Horse Legacy is	
	21	not included in either sheet of the data	
	22	that's in front of me. The EIN number for	
	23	Providence Self-sufficiency Ministries is	
	24	also not included in either sheet of the	
01:09:19	25	data that's in front of me.	
			J

Lexitas

RECEIVED ENCE Harris 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

NYSCEF DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs NRA

			149
01:09:23	1	Q Thank you very much. I appreciate	
	2	it. We can set that aside and we can remove	
	3	the laptop from the witness's desk.	
	4	MS. CONNELL: Thank you,	
01:09:35	5	Professor Harris.	
	6	Q Transparency is a good thing,	
	7	right?	
	8	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	9	A I agree, transparency is a good	
01:09:46 1	LO	thing.	
1	11	Q It's good for not for profit	
1	12	corporations to be transparent, right?	
1	13	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
1	L4	A I agree, and my research shows	
01:09:55 1	15	that.	
1	16	Q What are some of the ways in which	
1	L7	a cooperation can be transparent?	
1	18	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
1	19	A You asked about a corporation. Do	
01:10:07 2	20	you mean a non-profit?	
2	21	Q Sure.	
2	22	A So can you repeat your question.	
2	23	Q I'd be happy to.	
2	24	What are some of the ways which a	
01:10:18 2	25	not for profit organization can be	

Lexitas

RECEIVED ENYSCEF is 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs NRA

150

01:10:21	1	transparent?	150
	2	A They can provide so I have some	
	3	research that looks at transparency. And	
	4	one of the projects specifically looks at	
01:10:29	5	Guide Star transparency seals. And Guide	
	6	Star is a leading non-profit watch dog or	
	7	information intermediary. So provides	
	8	information to donors and the public about	
	9	nonprofits.	
01:10:39	10	And they provide and review	
	11	information that non-profits provide to the	
	12	public on their website. And they	
	13	categorize the organization's transparency,	
	14	in terms of how much information they	
01:10:55	15	provided to the public. And we find that	
	16	organizations that are more transparent,	
	17	that provide more information on their Guide	
	18	Star profile page, are well received by the	
	19	public, that donors support those	
01:11:11	20	organizations more.	
	21	Q And what are some of the ways in	
	22	which a not for profit corporation can make	
	23	such disclosures?	
	24	A So specific to that study, they	
01:11:24	25	provide disclosures which include things on	
	J		ļ

RECEIVED ENTSCEFT: 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs NRA

151 their Guide Star profile page, such as the 01:11:26 1 mission of the organization, the names of 2. 3 the board members, information about their 4 programs, general information so that a 01:11:37 donor can gather more insight into the 5 organization to figure out whether they want 6 7 to contribute to the organization or not. Setting aside that study, in 8 Q general terms, what are some of the ways in 9 01:11:55 10 which a not for profit organization can be 11 transparent? 12 MR. WANG: Object to the form. So there's some other literature 13 14 that finds that organizations can provide information on their individual websites. 01:12:07 15 16 They could provide -- another study that I'm working on looks at what we call mission 17 metric information, which is performance 18 information that would relate to the 19 01:12:19 20 organization's underlying mission, what they 2.1 are doing, like housing people, providing 22 meals through a soup kitchen, et cetera. So

about what they are doing.

giving the public additional information

23

24

RECEIVED ENYSCEF is 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs	
		NRÁ	

			152
01:12:38	1	transparent?	
	2	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	3	A Yes. That could be a way to be	
	4	transparent.	
01:12:45	5	Q When is it not a way to be	
	6	transparent?	
	7	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	8	A Why would it not be a way to be	
	9	transparent? I'm misunderstanding your	
01:12:54	10	question.	
	11	Q I asked you if disclosure on a	
	12	Form 990 is a way to be transparent?	
	13	A It is. Among lots of different	
	14	ways to be transparent. But, yes, that	
01:13:05	15	would be a way to be transparent.	
	16	Q If I could please ask you to take	
	17	a look at Exhibits 4 through 8.	
	18	A Do you mean 4 and 8 or through?	
	19	Q Thank you very much.	
01:13:48	20	Do you have Exhibit 4 at top of	
	21	the stack?	
	22	A I do.	
	23	Q And that is the 4990 for Wounded	
	24	Warrior Project, Inc. for 2014, correct?	
01:13:58	25	A That's correct.	

RECEIVED ENTS THAT is 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs NRA

			153
01:13:59	1	Q If I could please ask you to turn	
	2	to page six of Exhibit 4.	
	3	A Okay.	
	4	Q If you could please review	
01:14:15	5	question five and let us know once you have	
	6	done that.	
	7	A I have done that.	
	8	MR. LEVINE: Wounded Warrior.	
	9	Q What was the question in item five	
01:14:24	10	that Wounded Warrior answered in Exhibit 4?	
	11	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	12	A Line five reads, "Did the	
	13	organization become aware during the year of	
	14	a significant diversion of the	
01:14:38	15	organization's assets."	
	16	Q What did Wounded Warrior Project	
	17	answer?	
	18	A They answered no.	
	19	Q Please take a look at Exhibit 5.	
01:14:56	20	A Okay.	
	21	Q Fair to say that Exhibit 5 is	
	22	Wounded Warrior Project's Form 990 for 2015,	
	23	right?	
	24	A Yes.	
01:15:05	25	Q And fair to say that on page six	

RECEIVED ENCE Harris 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

IYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs	
		NRÁ	

			154
01:15:08	1	of Exhibit 5, Wounded Warrior again answered	
	2	that it did not become aware during the year	
	3	of a significant diversion of the	
	4	organization's assets?	
01:15:26	5	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	6	A That's correct.	
	7	Q Let's take a look at Exhibit 6.	
	8	A Okay.	
	9	Q Exhibit 6 is Wounded Warrior	
01:15:49	10	Project's Form 990 for 2016; is that right?	
	11	A That's correct.	
	12	Q Turning your attention to page	
	13	six, if I may.	
	14	A Okay.	
01:15:57	15	Q Specifically question five.	
	16	Please review it and let us know once you've	
	17	done that.	
	18	A I've done that.	
	19	Q Based on your review, in its Form	
01:16:09	20	990 for 2016, did Wounded Warrior Project	
	21	report that it became aware during the year	
	22	of a significant diversion of the	
	23	organization's assets?	
	24	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
01:16:24	25	A It did not.	

Lexitas

RECEIVED ENYSCEF is 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs
		NRÁ

			155
01:16:25	1	Q Let's take a look at Exhibit 7.	
	2	Fair to say that's the Form 990 for Wounded	
	3	Warrior Project for 2017?	
	4	A It is.	
01:16:42	5	Q And same question about this year,	
	6	if I can direct your attention to page six,	
	7	question five. Fair to say that in its 990	
	8	for 2017, Wounded Warrior Project answered	
	9	that it did not become aware during the year	
01:17:03	10	of a significant diversion of the	
	11	organization's assets?	
	12	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	13	A That's correct.	
	14	Q And lastly, and thank you very	
01:17:10	15	much for your patience, if we can take a	
	16	look at Exhibit 8. Fair to say that Exhibit	
	17	8 is the same organization's Form 990 for	
	18	2018?	
	19	A That's correct.	
01:17:26	20	Q And if we look at page six of	
	21	Exhibit 8. Do you see question five on that	
	22	page?	
	23	A I do.	
	24	Q And does that question, like all	
01:17:39	25	others we've looked at, say, "Did the	

RECEIVED ENTS THAT is 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs NRA

			156
01:17:42	1	organization become aware during the year of	
	2	a significant diversion of the	
	3	organization's assets"?	
	4	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
01:17:50	5	A Yes. That's what the question	
	6	states.	
	7	Q What did Wounded Warrior Project	
	8	answer?	
	9	A They answered no.	
01:17:57	10	Q Thank you.	
	11	A May I put those aside?	
	12	Q Yes. Thank you very much.	
	13	MS. EISENBERG: I'll reserve	
	14	my time, but I have no further	
01:18:13	15	questions at this time.	
	16	MR. CORRELL: This is Kent	
	17	Correll for Wayne LaPierre. I'd	
	18	like to take a five-minute break and	
	19	then I'm going to have a few	
01:18:25	20	questions.	
	21	MS. CONNELL: Can we get an	
	22	idea of whether any other defendants	
	23	have questions? Again, not holding	
	24	you to it, just wanted an idea for	
01:18:34	25	planning purposes.	

## FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/10/2023 05:34 PM

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED ENYSCEF is 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs NRA

	157
01:18:34 1	MR. FLEMING: This is Will
2	Fleming. Probably not. Maybe, if
3	any, very few.
4	MS. EISENBERG: What about you
01:18:43 5	guys? Tom?
6	MS. CONNELL: I don't know off
7	the top. I doubt it.
8	VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to
9	go off the record.
01:18:53 10	MS. BOOKER: We won't have any
11	questions.
12	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
13	1:19 p.m. We are going off the
14	record.
10:33:26 15	(Whereupon, a recess was taken
16	from 1:19 PM to 1:29 PM.)
17	VIDEOGRAPHER: It is 1:29 p.m.
18	We're back on the record.
19	EXAMINATION BY
01:29:08 20	MR. CORRELL:
21	Q Good afternoon. William Correll.
22	I represent Wayne LaPierre. How are you
23	today?
24	A Excellent. Thank you.
01:29:17 25	Q I just have a few questions for

RECEIVED ENYSCEF is 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs NRA

	158
01:29:20 1	you. My first question, what are you an
2	expert in?
3	MR. WANG: Object to the form.
4	A I'm an expert in nonprofit
01:29:27 5	accounting. All of my research is related
6	to nonprofits accounting, my training, my
7	backgrounds, my professional background has
8	all been in nonprofit accounting. I used
9	the form 990, which is obviously the
01:29:42 10	informational tax form required to be filed
11	by nonprofit organizations to conduct my
12	research, and that's what I've used as a
13	basis for my report.
14	Q Are you an expert in anything
01:29:57 15	else?
16	MS. WANG: Object to the form.
17	A Not that I can think of off the
18	top of my head, no.
19	Q Are you an expert on executive
01:30:06 20	compensation?
21	MR. WANG: Object to the form.
22	A I'm not expert. I've had a couple
23	of research projects that look at nonprofit
24	executive compensation. But I would not say
01:30:17 25	that I'm a compensation expert.

Lexitas

RECEIVED ENYSCEF is 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

150

			159
01:30:20	1	Q Have you ever served on a	
	2	compensation committee for a nonprofit?	
	3	A I have not.	
	4	Q Have you ever been involved in	
01:30:29	5	determining compensation for any nonprofit	
	6	executive?	
	7	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	8	A Let me think about that. When I	
	9	was the chairman of my church's preschool	
01:30:46	10	board, I was involved in setting the	
	11	compensation of our preschool director.	
	12	Q And what was that the process by	
	13	which that compensation was established?	
	14	A We actually, in the process of	
01:31:01	15	evaluating all of our operations, visited	
	16	other preschools, gathered information from	
	17	other organizations in our area and looked	
	18	at her years of service to our organization.	
	19	Q Did you engage a compensation	
01:31:17	20	expert to advise you in that process?	
	21	A We did not.	
	22	Q Did you look to any comparable	
	23	information in formal reports from	
	24	compensation experts?	
01:31:30	25	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	

 ${\tt RECEIVED} \, \underbrace{{\tt PNYSGEF}}_{\hbox{\it call}} {\tt incall} {\tt incall}$ October 27, 2022

NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs NRA 160

			160	
01:31:31	1	A It have been several years since I		
	2	was a part of that organization and		
	3	specifically setting her compensation. I		
	4	couldn't recall a, specifically if we used		
01:31:41	5	any reports to aid us. We gathered as much		
	6	information as we could to make sure that it		
	7	was reasonable.		
	8	Q Did you have a benchmark for		
	9	setting her compensation?		
01:31:56	10	MR. WANG: Object to the form.		
	11	A I guess I would say the benchmark		
	12	was her prior compensation. We were just		
	13	approving her compensation going forward.		
	14	Q Did you look for data on the		
01:32:10	15	market rate for people in similar positions?		
	16	A Yes, we did. That what was part		
	17	of the process. We went to visit other		
	18	schools and collected information about		
	19	well, we didn't really ask their directors		
01:32:30	20	their pay. So yeah, I just don't recall.		
	21	But we but I was involved in determining		
	22	her compensation. And let me actually		
	23	amend, I would say approving her		
	24	compensation.		
01:32:46	25	Q Do you plan to offer this jury an		

Lexitas

RECEIVED ENCE Harris 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

NYSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs	
		NRÁ	

			161
01:32:49	1	opinion as to the reasonableness of	
	2	Mr. LaPierre's compensation?	
	3	A I do not.	
	4	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
01:32:56	5	Q Let me turn to your expert	
	6	reports. You've provided two expert	
	7	reports, correct?	
	8	A That's correct.	
	9	Q And your first report is labeled	
01:33:10	10	expert report of Erica E. Harris dated	
	11	September 16, 2011, correct?	
	12	A Yes. That's correct.	
	13	Q And your second report is labeled	
	14	expert report of Erica E. Harris	
01:33:26	15	October 7, 2022, correct?	
	16	A Correct. I don't have that in	
	17	front of me. One second while I	
	18	MR. WANG: Should be this.	
	19	A Oh, yes. Now I do.	
01:33:38	20	Q You alluded earlier to your second	
	21	report as a rebuttal expert report; do you	
	22	remember that?	
	23	MS. WANG: Object to the form.	
	24	A I do. Yes, I remember that.	
01:33:49	25	Q Why is it your October 7, 2022	

RECEIVED ENYSCEF : 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

1YSCEF	DOC.	NOPeople of the State of NY vs	
		NRÁ	

			162
01:33:53	1	expert report labeled a rebuttal expert	
	2	report?	
	3	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	4	A Perhaps that was my novice legal	
01:34:01	5	language earlier when I referred to this as	
	6	a rebuttal report. I guess I'm not	
	7	rebutting anybody's reports. I was using	
	8	the information that was in one of the other	
	9	reports to add to my report.	
01:34:21	10	Q So you're supplementing your prior	
	11	report, correct?	
	12	MR. WANG: Object to the form.	
	13	A I think that's a better	
	14	characterization, yes.	
01:34:30	15	MR. CORRELL: Thank you. I	
	16	have no further questions. Thank	
	17	you, Dr. Harris.	
	18	MR. FLEMING: This is Will	
	19	Fleming. I have nothing. Thank	
01:34:41	20	you.	
	21	MS. CONNELL: Can we take a	
	22	five-minute break just to see if we	
	23	have anything we want to ask about	
	24	it.	
01:34:50	25	VIDEOGRAPHER: It is	

RECEIVED ENTSCEFT: 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

NYSCEF DOC. NO	People of the State of NY vs NRA	RECEIVED ETICA Harris October 27, 2022
		163
01:34:50 1	1:34 p.m We're going off the	
2	record.	
3	(Whereupon, a recess was taken	
4	from 1:34 PM to 1:39 PM.)	
01:39:04 5	VIDEOGRAPHER: It is 1:39 p.m.	
6	We are back on the record.	
7	MR. WANG: At this time the	
8	attorney general's office does not	
9	have any questions for Professor	
01:39:15 10	Harris. Thank you very much.	
11	VIDEOGRAPHER: It is 1:39 p.m.	
12	We're going off the record.	
13	(Whereupon, this examination was	
14	concluded at 1:39 PM)	
01:39:25 15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

25

## FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/10/2023 05:34 PM INDEX NO. 451625/2020 NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs RECEIVED ENYSCEF: 03/10/2023 NRÁ October 27, 2022 164 1 2 ERICA E. HARRIS 3 01:39:25 4 5 Subscribed and sworn to 6 before me on this \_\_\_\_ day 7 of \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_. 8 9 10 Notary Public 11:43:39 11 11:43:39 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

## FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/10/2023 05:34 PM

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED ENYSCEF is 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs NRA

11 42 20 1				165
11:43:39 1	HITCHICA DDIA HA	INDEX		
2	WITNESS: ERICA HARRIS		D. 65	
3	EXAMINATION BY		PAGE	
4	MS. EISI		6	
5	MR. CORI	RELL	157	
6				
7		EXHIBITS		
8	HARRIS	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	
9	Exhibit 2	Expert Rebuttal Report	59	
10	Exhibit 3	Data Sheet	89	
11	Exhibit 4	Wounded Warrior's 2014	101	
12		Form 990		
13				
14	Exhibit 9	Data Sheet	101	
15				
16	Exhibit 5	Wounded Warrior's 2015	103	
17		Form 990		
18	Exhibit 6	Wounded Warrior's 2016	104	
19		Form 990		
20				
21	Exhibit 7	Wounded Warrior's 2017	105	
22		Form 990		
23	Exhibit 8	Wounded Warrior's 2018	106	
24		Form 990		
25				
	\$	Self-Sufficient		

### FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/10/2023 05:34 PM

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

 ${\tt RECEIVED} \, \underbrace{{\tt PNYSGEF}}_{\hbox{\it call}} {\tt incall} {\tt incall}$ October 27, 2022

NYSCEF DOC. NO	People of the State of NY vs NRA	RK 03/10/2023 05:34 PM	RECEIVED ENVSCEF Erica Harris October 27, 2022
			166
1	Exhibit 10	Faizan-E-Aisna 2019	107
2		Form 990	
3	Exhibit 11	Juniper Hills School	110
4		2019 Form 990	
5			
6	Exhibit 12	Light Horse Legacy 2018	113
7		Form 990	
8	Exhibit 13	Providence of	117
9		Ministries 2018 Form	
10		990	
11			
12	Exhibit 14	"Why bad things happen	122
13		to good organizations"	
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25		7-16 0-66	
		Self-Sufficient	

RECEIVED ENTSCEET: 03/10/2023

 ${\tt NYSCEF\ DOC.\ NOPeople}\ ^{132}_{\mbox{of the State of NY vs}}$ NRÁ October 27, 2022 167 1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 I, KIARA MILLER, 4 a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New York, do hereby certify: 5 6 7 That the witness whose examination is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn or 8 9 affirmed by me, and the foregoing transcript is 10 a true record of the testimony given by such 11 witness. 12 I further certify that I am not related to any 13 14 of the parties to this action by blood or 15

marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Kierce Miller 17 18

19

2.0 KIARA MILLER

22 23

Self-Sufficient

16

21

24

25

RECEIVED ENYSCEF is 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

NYSCEF DOC. NO People of the State of NY vs NRA

		168
1	ERRATA SHEET	100
2	NAME OF CASE: People of New York V. NRA	
3	DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 27, 2022	
4	NAME OF WITNESS: ERICA E. HARRIS	
5	Reason codes:	
6	1. To clarify the record.	
7	2. To conform to the facts.	
8	3. To correct transcription errors.	
9	Page Line Reason	
10	From to	
11		
12	Page Line Reason	
13	From to	
14		
15	Page Line Reason	
16	From to	
17		
18	Page Line Reason	
19	From to	
20		
21	Page Line Reason	
22	From to	
23		
24	Page Line Reason	
25	From toSelf-Sufficient	

# FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/10/2023 05:34 PM NYSCEF DOC. NO people of the State of NY vs NRA

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED ENYSCEF is 03/10/2023 October 27, 2022

1			169
1	_	 _	
2		Reason	
3	From	 to	
4			
5			
6			_
7		ERICA E. HARRIS	
8			
9			
LO			
11			
L2			
13			
L4			
15			
L6			
L7			
18			
L9			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25		0-16 0-66' - '	
		Self-Sufficient	

## NYSCEF DOCURAD: 1324

INDEX NO. 451625/2020 Erica Harris RECEIVED NYSCET 27,2022223

		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	<b>14</b> 122:21,23 123:2,3,18 125:17	<b>2022</b> 5:9 36:6 38:9 161:15,25
<b>\$</b>	<b>149</b> 122:25	<b>202370934</b> 140:24
<b>\$10,000</b> 41:25	<b>14th</b> 5:13	<b>22</b> 61:3
<b>\$150,000</b> 29:2	<b>15</b> 17:2 129:24 130:8,17 131:25	<b>22A</b> 62:9
<b>\$190,000</b> 29:3	<b>15-minute</b> 100:6	<b>22B</b> 63:11
<b>\$400</b> 28:17	<b>16</b> 161:11	<b>236,000</b> 139:6
<b>\$9,000</b> 28:13	<b>163</b> 125:16	<b>24</b> 69:7,16 84:2,6
	<b>166</b> 123:1	<b>25</b> 46:20,21
	<b>17</b> 136:21	<b>25A</b> 102:9 105:8 106:11,23 108:17,20
reintroducing 15:2	<b>18</b> 9:15,16 94:16 129:21 130:17 131:3,21 133:4	113:14,25 117:9 <b>25B</b> 102:17,18 106:23 114:3
0	<b>18/19</b> 9:13,16	<b>25th</b> 137:3
	<b>19</b> 9:16 12:8 33:10 131:10	<b>26</b> 125:10
<b>007</b> 76:6	<b>190,000</b> 40:1	<b>26th</b> 137:2
	<b>1:19</b> 157:13,16	<b>27th</b> 5:9
1	<b>1:29</b> 157:16,17	<b>2A</b> 60:23
<b>1</b> 46:2,5,6 63:12 69:8,17 82:14 84:2,6	<b>1:34</b> 163:1,4	
85:5 94:15 127:16 129:12 145:15	<b>1:39</b> 163:4,5,11,14	3
<b>1.5</b> 124:23		<b>3</b> 89:6,8 91:20
<b>10</b> 107:15,18,20 108:15,22 109:4,18 141:22,23 142:3,6 144:4	2	<b>30</b> 10:20 12:16
<b>100</b> 136:11,20	<b>2</b> 59:10 69:16,21 70:23 119:24 120:16	<b>33199</b> 6:24
<b>10022</b> 5:14	<b>20</b> 10:20 12:15 33:10	
<b>1099</b> 29:24 30:2	<b>20-23-70934</b> 135:4	4
<b>10:04</b> 45:20,22	<b>2010</b> 20:19 34:11 69:25 71:10,12,16	<b>4</b> 100:23 101:3,5 102:1 152:17,18,20
<b>10:51</b> 84:21,23	91:1 112:12	153:2,10
<b>10:55</b> 84:23,25	<b>2011</b> 161:11	<b>43</b> 125:5
<b>11</b> 12:2 15:23 26:13 28:9 29:11 31:7,	<b>2014</b> 101:2,7,9 152:24	<b>451625/2020</b> 5:8
21 32:2,10 38:22,25 40:16 63:22 77:18 107:15 110:5,8,11,23 112:4	<b>2015</b> 103:4,5,13,15 122:9 123:12 153:22	<b>4990</b> 152:23
145:6,7	<b>2016</b> 73:4 103:21,23 104:11 154:10,	5
<b>11200</b> 6:22	20	<b>E</b> 402-24 402-46 452-40 24 454-4
<b>11:00</b> 81:13	<b>2017</b> 8:25 105:1,3,15,23 155:3,8	<b>5</b> 102:24 103:16 153:19,21 154:1
<b>11:13</b> 100:8,11	<b>2018</b> 9:1 20:19 106:4,6 107:1 113:1,5 114:7,24 115:24 116:1,6,19,23	<b>501C3</b> 34:9 78:6 80:4 90:24 108:6 110:18 112:15 113:10,21 117:5,16
<b>11:23</b> 100:11,13	117:16 118:23 119:2 134:20 155:18	118:15 142:13
<b>11:45</b> 119:13,16	<b>2019</b> 12:9 95:7 107:16,24 108:9,11	<b>501C4</b> 34:10
<b>12</b> 107:15 112:24 113:2,8 114:15 115:23 141:21 148:12,14	110:7,13 111:1,9 112:4,7 116:1 131:15 145:9	<b>5A</b> 122:17
<b>12:30</b> 119:16,18	<b>2020</b> 69:25 71:12,16 91:1 112:12 130:1 132:1,21	6
<b>13</b> 107:15 116:15,18,25 117:3,8,22 129:13 131:6 132:17 141:22 148:12, 14	<b>2021</b> 15:24 30:5 31:3	<b>6</b> 103:21,24 154:7,9

COUNTY CLERK 03/10/2023 05:34 PM YORK

NYSCEF DOCNETO.

INDEX NO. 451625/2020 RECEIVED NYSCHOOL 27,12022 23

**607** 85:24 127:19

6:00 81:14 99:22

7

**7** 104:23,24 105:4,7 155:1 161:15,25

**750** 5:13

8

**8** 106:1,2,3,7,10,18 134:16,19,23 152:17,18 155:16,17,21

**88** 130:4,8,19,20 131:25 132:4

9

9 101:11,15,17

94 107:13

97 107:13

98 71:9.14

**99.003** 76:13

990 23:9 24:6,24 31:13 35:8,13,17 43:10,13 47:13 52:11 53:10 54:14 56:6,11,14,15 57:4,19,23,24 58:2,4 59:3 68:21,23 70:5,25 75:21 78:19 80:9 91:1 94:7 95:19 97:20 98:3,8 99:11 101:2,7,8 103:5,13,15,20,23 104:10 105:1,3,14,23 106:4,6,25 107:17,24 110:7,13 111:1,8 113:1,5 114:24 115:18.23 116:6.19.24 117:15 119:2 130:1 131:13 132:1,21 134:20 142:9,24 145:7 151:25 152:12 153:22 154:10,20 155:2,7,17 158:9

990s 24:3 25:18 58:23 70:8,9

9:11 5:11

9:56 45:17

9:57 45:20

Α

**a.m.** 5:11 45:17,22 81:13 84:21,25 100:8,11,13 119:13

abbreviation 92:8

abnormal 78:5,9,14 79:21,23,25 80:3

absolutely 138:8,13 140:19

academic 15:21

accidentally 111:15

accountant 62:14

accounting 43:20 158:5,6,8

accurate 56:17

accurately 61:3

accusations 73:6

accused 72:8

acknowledge 97:17

act 72:9

**action** 32:12

actual 68:3,11 69:3 75:20 76:20 78:14,21 79:2,9 80:7 120:13 146:19

actuary 63:3

add 162:9

added 33:2 92:21

addition 65:17 75:24

additional 33:2.11 151:23

address 6:19.22 50:18

administrative 30:13

admitted 114:5

adopt 86:21 126:20 127:3,11

adopting 127:8

advise 9:23 146:4 159:20

affect 47:12 93:16 121:25

afternoon 138:10 157:21

**AG's** 30:19 64:3,4 81:1,4 140:4

**agree** 11:1 36:21 47:20 54:6 55:25 56:6 67:9,13 87:7,10,22,25 88:5,11 127:14 128:15 129:6 149:9,14

agreed 128:17,20 137:10

ahead 47:10 50:7 147:2

aid 8:18 160:5

alleged 98:17,23 99:3 125:1,6

allowing 146:16

**alluded** 161:20

**Amazon** 42:18

amend 160:23

America 5:25 7:4

amount 41:23 125:1,6

**Amy** 118:7

analyses 16:21 26:22

**analysis** 16:12 18:18 21:23 22:1,17 23:12 24:12 27:7,14,17,19 31:15 32:9,11 33:4,6,10,13,15 34:12 74:3 77:10,15 93:20,21 94:5 112:20,23 126:3 128:12,24 129:2,5 134:1 142:17,22 144:23 145:3,24

**analyze** 25:17 86:22 127:7 134:10

analyzed 26:12,16 90:19

and/or 31:12

animations 121:12

**Ann** 111:13,15

answers 7:9 105:8 106:11.22 113:13

117:9

anybody's 162:7

anymore 11:20

apologies 14:21 83:15 147:12

apologize 20:22 64:18 138:9

appearance 6:10,11

appeared 130:23

**appears** 46:20,24 62:4 94:15 101:6 104:25 106:3 107:24 109:19 110:11, 13,18 111:21 113:5,9 114:15 115:3,7, 18 132:17 140:3,14,21 145:17 148:3

**appendix** 46:16,22,24 53:21 84:13

85:4 127:19

application 58:12

applied 63:8 85:18

approached 35:22,25 36:2,8

approvals 30:18 130:24

approving 160:13,23

**approximately** 5:11 8:25 10:17 12:5,13 16:24 28:13 29:1,3 42:13

area 159:17

**Around--** 28:13

arrived 81:12

Art 115:4,6

**article** 47:11,15 48:11,13,15,18 49:3, 5 51:20 52:6 53:20 54:17 84:14 85:3, 14 86:24 87:1 121:14 122:25 123:9 124:10 125:25 128:18

artist 115:13

assertion 47:25

INDEX NO. 451625/2020 Erica Harris RECEIVED NYSCHOP 27,12022 23

assess 52:25 53:14,22 62:4

assessed 62:15,16

**assessment** 60:8,15 61:17,24 62:9 63:11,14 64:10 66:4,10,24 67:7 87:12,17,19 88:22

assessments 60:3,5 61:1,7 64:1

asset 123:6

**assets** 18:16 19:9 23:8 25:6 27:2 32:6 59:20 60:18 63:18 68:11 69:25 71:6 76:9 79:11 80:6 92:9,12,17,18 115:15 120:12 139:16,20 153:15 154:4,23 155:11 156:3

assignment 17:8 41:3 61:4

assist 64:12

assistant 38:20 42:11

**Association** 5:24 7:4 130:2

assume 15:8,20 51:12 65:9 89:23

assumed 8:19

**assuming** 101:21 143:12 144:18 145:21

**attention** 46:16 60:22 94:19 98:12 105:6 111:6 117:22 123:18 125:19 127:24 128:3 129:11 136:24 154:12 155:6

**attorney** 6:5 10:13 28:8,15 29:5 36:12 38:20,21 39:21 40:6,15 41:7 60:2,7,14 82:15,23 83:8 91:3 144:20 145:23 146:4 163:8

Attorney's 22:12 89:13

attorneys 5:22

audience 126:13

**Audio** 94:16

**audit** 44:5

auditor 42:25 43:3,14,17 44:17,20

**auditors** 43:5,6

authorization 131:17

availability 37:10

Avenue 5:13

avoid 115:13

**aware** 15:20,22 16:1,3 30:24 95:25 96:3,4,16,21 97:3,10,12 153:13

154:2,21 155:9 156:1

**AWS** 43:10,12

В

**back** 45:22 84:25 100:13 114:15 117:20 119:18 123:12 131:24 138:22 146:11 147:10 157:18 163:6

background 62:12,13 158:7

backgrounds 158:7

bad 121:15 122:19 123:4

bandwidth 37:22

bankruptchy 33:23

**bankruptcy** 8:15,20 9:10,21 12:1 16:4,9,16 17:9 21:21 25:16 30:1,4 33:4,7,14 34:5,21 35:2 38:15 39:5 41:17

bankruptcy's 17:9

**base** 57:12

**based** 24:12 25:11 38:16 54:25 95:18 110:14,16,17 111:1,8 112:19 113:7 117:2 132:8 133:19 142:13 145:12 148:2 154:19

basic 7:6

**basis** 158:13

behalf 5:23 6:4 109:20

**belief** 38:16

believed 123:8.12

believes 98:4 132:8,21

**benchmark** 160:8,11

benefit 18:17 19:11,15,20,22 23:8,23 24:16,24 25:7,17,25 27:4,9,20,25 31:12 35:1,6,18 59:21 60:10 61:20 64:11 66:6 67:20,22 68:3 69:23 70:24 75:9,15,22 79:3 80:5 92:12,15,16 95:5 97:18 102:7,20 103:4,10 104:12 105:16,22 107:2 109:13,21 111:2,10 112:2,16 113:21 114:6,22 120:11 128:11,23 129:5 130:22 132:23 139:17,19 143:1

**benefits** 31:24 66:21,24 67:7 71:3, 10,15 76:8 115:20 117:16 118:4,11, 16 127:8

bit 20:21 27:11 90:8

**BOA** 111:14

**board** 20:6 55:16 73:5 97:11 131:16 151:3 159:10

boards 20:3 72:7 74:11,13

**BOOKER** 157:10

**bottom** 94:20 132:16

**box** 52:11 54:7,19 55:4

**boxes** 54:24

**break** 7:14,18 45:16 58:14,17 99:13 100:5 119:10 156:18 162:22

break-- 48:24

**breaks** 99:23

**Brewer** 5:12,22

bright 37:8

**bunch** 90:10

**business** 21:17,19 107:25 115:6,14

130:25 131:17 132:9

C

C4 80:4 90:24

**call** 10:9,14,18,22 11:1,14 12:13,19, 22 13:5,16 14:18 15:1 34:3 36:20 37:1 38:12 39:15,18,20 48:23 49:1,5, 7 50:1,7 81:6 82:11 151:17

called 46:12 113:6 116:20 121:15

calling 50:1,6 57:9

calls 39:6,8,13

Cancer 124:17,20

care 30:14,16

career 62:3,7 63:22

careful 128:11 129:3,5

**case** 8:11,20 12:1 13:8,18 14:2,16 15:23 16:4,9,17 17:9 34:21,22 35:22 36:1,3,13,21 38:5,15 41:17,19,21 42:14 43:8 44:8 46:8,11 59:23 60:3 61:1,4 64:12,19,24 69:12,18 71:22 74:21 79:8 87:12,17 88:2,15,22 90:20 94:1 96:8 100:17 116:2 120:24 126:19 127:2,10 146:5

categorize 150:13

**caveat** 86:16

**caveats** 85:5,8 86:6,9,23 87:2 125:24 126:2,6,17

Center 124:17.20.25

**cetera** 120:18 151:22

**CFO** 118:9

chairman 159:9

**chance** 106:21

**chapter** 12:2 15:23 26:13 28:9 29:11 31:7,21 32:2,10 38:22,25 40:16

characteristics 25:24 characterization 162:14

characterize 35:3 126:8

characterized 22:16

charge 42:1

charged 28:15 29:20

charitable 69:22 70:23 77:16

**charities** 58:22 59:3 121:25 122:1 123:7 124:6,11 126:20 127:3,11,12

**chartable** 60:9,17 61:18

charts 120:17 121:8

**check** 52:11 54:18,19 55:3,9 56:1 70:10 74:4 108:7 146:9 147:8,15,20 148:9

checked 54:7,24 55:19 70:7

chicken 86:13

Chris 5:2

Christopher 96:11

**church** 20:10

**church's** 159:9

clarify 83:22,25 91:17 143:24

class 23:6 130:25 131:16,17

clear 37:10 53:12

**click** 140:11

**clicked** 111:17

**client** 43:4,5

**co-author** 47:4 123:7

co-authors 86:5 128:14

coauthored 47:2

**code** 139:15

**colleague** 6:6 135:5

colleagues 6:1

collected 43:16 160:18

collector 109:9

**column** 92:16,21 94:23 98:13,17 139:13,14,15

columns 90:10 91:20 139:3,21

comfortable 139:8

comments 7:6 83:12,21 84:11

Commercial 5:7

committee 159:2

common 87:4

communication 38:14

communications 17:20 39:1 81:8

companies 34:6,8,10 69:1,4 75:10

company 74:3

comparable 92:19 159:22

**compare** 22:24 32:11 68:22 120:4,9 141:16

**compared** 15:7 18:18 27:23 34:5,9 66:15,18 75:9,16 78:5 80:3

**compares** 60:8,16 61:18 62:16 66:4 68:2,10 79:1,8

comparing 18:19 59:19 68:25 94:10

**comparison** 29:11 32:22 33:15 59:19 116:7

comparisons 23:16,18 24:2,8 34:16

compensated 28:7 41:6

**compensation** 97:22 98:4 158:20, 24,25 159:2,5,11,13,19,24 160:3,9, 12,13,22,24 161:2

complete 14:6 70:14

completed 30:24,25 31:1

completely 86:10

concept 93:6,24 94:3

**conclude** 71:8 75:7 76:6

concluded 69:21 71:13 163:14

**conclusion** 24:14 31:22 32:3 74:25 76:17 84:1.5

**conclusions** 24:11,20 31:6,10,11 32:15 69:12,17 76:25 85:10,24 127:25

conduct 11:13 34:15 69:4 158:11

**conducted** 32:10,12

confidential 29:7 40:8

confirm 72:14 73:11

Congratulations 40:9

**conjunction** 21:21 43:8

connection 9:23 17:8 22:13 29:10

38:5 43:13 46:7 89:15 90:20 120:23

**Connel** 38:4.11

**Connell** 6:7 14:19 15:14 16:7 36:17 39:2,7 99:14,19 109:17 133:14,22 146:8,12 147:3,6,7 149:4 156:21 157:6 162:21

considered 78:5 79:21,23,24 80:3

consist 90:23

consisted 90:24

constitute 132:23

context 48:18 51:4,6,15,24 52:1,21

continue 108:1

contribute 151:7

control 45:12 140:18

conversation 9:10 13:23

conversations 8:19

cooperation 149:17

copies 46:4 83:2

**copy** 83:6 123:3 137:7,14

**corner** 135:1

**corporation** 55:15 56:1 75:16 149:19 150:22

**corporations** 75:17 76:14 91:11 149:12

corral 26:7 33:25

**correct** 7:25 9:2 10:10,11 12:10,11 16:6,23 17:14,15,17 19:25 22:4,5 23:2 27:14 28:10,11 31:4,5,24 32:1,6, 8 33:18 34:6,7,12,14,18 36:6,7,18,19 38:9,10 39:3,4 41:9,11 42:6 44:15,17 45:2,13 46:8,9,12,14,23 53:4,18 54:1, 5 55:17 60:3,12,19 61:8,10,11,13,14 63:3,4 65:25 66:2,22 67:25 68:5,8,14 69:2,6,13,14,25 70:1,3,6,12,18 71:4, 7,16,18 72:18 74:8,9 75:6,12 76:10, 12,16,23 77:19 78:1,12,24 80:12,16 81:16,17,25 83:17 84:16 89:4 90:21, 22 93:4 94:21,22 95:17,21 98:2 99:9 100:18 104:14,18,22 105:19,25 107:4,7,11 108:10,13 109:24 110:20 112:6,10,13 113:11,23 114:1,4,9,13 115:25 117:6,19 118:22 119:8,25 120:1,14 121:5,6,10,13,17 122:4 126:4,7,11,18 129:1,23 131:4,5,19,23 132:2 133:1,6 138:24,25 139:22,23 142:8,11,14,23 143:3 144:24 145:7,8, 10,13,20 146:1 148:17,18 152:24,25 154:6,11 155:13,19 161:7,8,11,12,15, 16 162:11

**corrected** 97:18 109:10 111:16 118:12

correctly 142:20

**Correl** 48:21

**Correll** 26:6 33:24 48:20 49:15 50:3, 8,14,15,25 57:7,13 58:8 90:4,15 136:9,12 137:4,9 138:4,15 156:16,17 157:20.21 162:15

Correll's 49:6

correspondence 17:20

cost 127:7 128:11,23 129:5

**counsel** 5:18 46:3 48:10 49:2 50:11, 13,21 60:6 99:15 100:1 119:22 144:21

counselors 5:23

counted 99:6

County 5:6

**couple** 7:5 8:12,18,22 11:24 20:2 21:13 39:16 41:5 63:16 81:8 82:21 158:22

court 5:5 6:13,18 49:21

Cox 96:12

Cross-talk 49:12

**CSV** 136:22

**current** 32:19 34:25 39:24 92:12,14 105:17 107:5 117:17 120:22 139:17

**CV** 43:2

D

data 23:19,24 26:4 32:20,25 42:16, 18,21 43:11,13,16,18,20,22,24 44:3, 4,7,10,16,21,23,25 45:8 56:6 62:1,5, 6,14,18 63:20 68:20 74:6 75:21 80:20 85:9 89:7,12,14 90:19,23 91:2,14,15 92:25 93:12,13 101:14,22 122:12 134:10,14,17 135:6,14,19,21 139:24 140:3,6,12,16 141:2,11,15,16 143:4, 8,9,11,14 144:19 145:17,23 146:9,14 147:9,16 148:3,17,21,25 160:14

data's 141:13

datas 32:20

**date** 5:8 16:2 34:11 44:10,12 59:12 89:9 101:4,16 103:17,25 105:5 106:8 107:19 110:9 113:3 117:1 122:22 123:15 136:19

dated 161:10

dates 20:22

**David** 6:1,2 96:17

**DAVIS** 138:8,19

day 52:2 138:10

days 41:2

**DBA** 115:4

dead 27:11 99:21

deadline 37:25

**Deburglas** 129:17 130:7,16,23

decide 65:24 66:7,11 67:4,11

**decides** 43:4,6

deciding 65:13,15,17,18,22 66:25

decision 65:11

decisions 128:5,10,22

dedicated 42:14

deems 29:6 40:7

defendants 96:8 156:22

define 27:16,18

**definition** 18:24 19:1,15,18,19 21:3,

4,6,12,15

**definitions** 22:22 23:2,4

definitive 86:20

**degree** 62:22,25

degrees 63:7

delayed 30:10

demographer 63:5

demonstrated 77:10,15

demonstrative 120:17,23

deponent 5:17

deposed 8:2 14:23

deposing 7:5

deposition 5:5,12 7:6 22:14 80:18,

25

deposted 111:16

describe 40:20 48:5 139:1

describing 10:9 18:15

**description** 35:17 40:25 109:8 111:14 115:11 118:10 136:16

descriptions 35:12

designations 92:2

desk 149:3

**detail** 109:5

details 35:1,6,11,18 109:2 112:2

determine 64:24 65:2,6,9 148:16

determining 92:19 159:5 160:21

differ 32:16 34:21

differed 82:24

difficult 115:2

**digits** 90:10

direct 60:22 72:13 98:12 123:17

129:11 136:24 155:6

directed 147:11

directing 94:19 117:22 125:19

127:23 128:3

direction 45:1

directly 45:7

director 20:4 159:11

directors 160:19

disclose 53:9 89:2 103:3,12 118:3

**disclosed** 54:13 68:15 71:2,5,10,15 97:19 98:8 99:2,10 109:13 113:21

114:5,10

discloses 109:20

disclosing 54:4

disclosure 53:19 151:25 152:11

disclosures 68:21,24 75:21 78:18

150:23,25

discrepancies 146:3

discrepancy 147:21

**discuss** 119:21

discussed 11:14 85:20

discussing 11:7

dismissed 16:4,10,17

displayed 136:10

dispute 64:25

**disqualified** 20:24 21:3 60:11 61:20 102:8 114:7 115:3,8 118:7,8

disseminate 15:19

**diversion** 18:16,21 19:6 23:7 25:5 27:5 31:13 32:5 63:18 67:18 80:6 92:9,11 123:6 139:16 153:14 154:3,

22 155:10 156:2

**diversions** 25:4,7 27:2 31:20 59:20 60:18 67:19,22 68:11 69:24 71:6 76:8 79:10 94:7,11 120:12

diverted 19:9 divided 146:15 division 5:7

**document** 46:19 84:19 90:3 102:13 103:8 104:8 105:12 106:15 111:4 113:18 117:13 136:21 137:1,19,21,23 138:2 139:9 141:6

documents 17:7

dog 150:6

donations 47:13 86:15

donor 151:5 donors 150:8,19 double 146:9 147:8

doubt 157:7

downloaded 45:8

draft 83:2,5,7

drafts 82:15,18,22

**draw** 85:10

drawbacks 56:7,9

drawing 29:12

**dressing** 48:3,6 51:3,5,24 52:1,5,9 54:17

**drop** 99:21 **due** 37:15,18

duly 6:15

Ε

earlier 21:20 143:23 161:20 162:5

**early** 37:10 **easier** 46:18

**easiest** 145:16

echo 137:16 138:17 economics 62:23

editorial 83:12,21,23 84:3,4,11

**Education** 110:15,18 111:1,8 112:19 145:12 148:2

**effect** 127:21

effected 124:5,12

effective 54:12

effectively 54:3,8

efficacy 52:13 53:5

effort 42:20

**egg** 86:14

**EIN** 74:5 91:7,21 92:6 101:9 110:4 112:23 116:9 134:14,23 139:14,21 140:15,22 143:20 144:5,6 145:14 148:16,20,22

**EIN's** 92:23

**Eisenberg** 5:21,22 7:1 26:10 29:8 34:4 45:15,24 46:3 49:9,13 50:15,23 51:2 58:18,21 84:17 85:2 90:7,17 99:12,17 100:4 119:9,20 129:7 133:17,24 135:10 136:8,11,20 138:21 142:2 147:3,13 156:13 157:4

**electronic** 43:11,13 76:7

**electronically** 34:10 69:23 70:4,5, 12,18,22 71:9,15 90:25

email 17:16.20.23 137:22 138:2

**emailed** 18:2,5

emerged 15:22

**Emily** 81:23,24

empirical 26:4

empirically 54:11

**employed** 28:18,21

**employee** 91:8 124:24

**employees** 122:2 124:7

employer 92:6

employment 29:18

encompass 76:19

**end** 10:22 27:11 37:18 81:22 92:6 120:16

endogeny 86:11,12,13

endowment 125:10

ends 123:1

engage 21:17 102:7 159:19

engaged 29:19 109:21 114:6,11

**entered** 140:21

entitled 57:11 91:20

enumerate 95:8,10

**Erica** 5:17 6:21 161:10,14

establish 135:12

established 159:13

et al 5:16

evaluating 159:15

evaluation 145:2

events 76:19,20 120:13

evidence 47:13 86:20

exact 21:6 44:10.12

**examination** 6:25 50:20 142:5 157:19 163:13

examinations 58:16

examined 6:17

**examples** 122:6,13 124:4,11,14,23

**excel** 90:9 134:17 135:7 136:21 139:2 140:5 146:15

Excellent 157:24

**excess** 18:17 19:11,15,20,23 23:8,23 24:16,24 25:7,17,25 27:3,9,20,24 31:12,24 35:1,6,18 59:21 60:10 61:19 64:11 66:5,20,24 67:7,19,22 68:3,7 69:23 70:24 71:2,10,15 75:8,15,22 76:7 79:3 80:5 92:12,14,15 95:4 97:17 102:7,20 103:4,10 104:12 105:16,21 107:2 109:13,21 111:2,10 112:2,15 113:21 114:6,22 115:19 117:16 118:4,15 120:11 130:22 132:23 139:17,19 143:1

excuse 49:10 58:19 146:18

executive 158:19,24 159:6

executives 72:11 73:6,14,19,22

exhibit 46:2,5,6,16 48:8 59:8,10,13, 15,23 60:21 62:10 63:12 69:8,16 82:14 84:2,6 85:4 89:6,8 90:5 91:20 94:15 100:23 101:3,5,11,15,17,25 102:24 103:16,21,24 104:23,24 105:4,7 106:1,2,3,7,10,18 107:17,20 108:15,19,22 109:4,12,16,18 110:5,8, 11,23 111:19 112:4,24 113:2,8 114:15 115:23 116:15,18,24 117:3,8, 22 119:24 120:15,23 122:18,21,23 123:2,3,18 125:17 127:16 129:8,11, 12,24 130:8,17 131:25 134:16,19,23 135:9,11 136:14,17,21 141:21 142:6 144:3 145:6,7 148:12 152:20 153:2, 10,19,21 154:1,7,9 155:1,16,21

exhibit-- 49:11

**exhibits** 120:17 121:12 133:7,10,13 148:14 152:17

expand 32:21 33:1

**expanded** 32:19,25

**expect** 146:3

expectation 147:22,25

expense 97:15

expenses 115:14

**expert** 15:5 46:6 59:9 71:21 74:20 80:21 120:3,8 158:2,4,14,19,22,25 159:20 161:5,6,10,14,21 162:1

**experts** 159:24

**explanation** 53:11 111:9

express 46:11

extent 99:22 133:18,21 136:1 146:22

F

faced 72:8

facilitate 142:5

**fact** 25:12 53:20 54:25 56:2 64:12,16 73:14 77:11,20 78:2 79:15 89:1 122:5 124:4 126:5 130:24 142:25

factors 94:9

facts 64:24 65:6,10

failing 72:9

fair 25:14 38:3 39:6 46:19 52:24 76:5, 17 77:14 86:4 104:10 105:14,20 106:17,25 108:5 109:11,19 110:16 113:20 115:17 117:2,15 118:13 120:8 129:19 131:12 132:7 135:16,22 144:18 145:14 146:2 147:16 153:21, 25 155:2,7.16

Faizan-e-aisha 107:25

Faizan-e-aisna 107:16

**fall** 9:15,16

falls 118:19

falsifying 56:15,25 57:23 58:1

familial 21:19

familiar 92:1 93:6 139:24

**FARBER** 137:16

feel 23:22 37:14 139:8

fieson 142:12

figure 151:6

**file** 43:11,13,14 91:11 94:11 136:22 138:24 139:1.2

**filed** 12:2 34:10,11 69:22 70:4 71:9, 14 91:1 104:16 158:10

filer 109:5,20 117:24 144:3

filers 76:7

files 70:5,12,17,21

filing 57:1

final 22:1 83:5

financial 73:7 125:7

**find** 85:21 134:5,8 140:18,23 143:5 147:21 150:15

**finds** 151:14

fine 58:18 138:5

fired 73:5,15 74:11,13

fiscal 92:5

**fit** 50:13

**FIU** 9:7 29:2 40:2,11

**five-minute** 99:13 100:5 156:18 162:22

**flagged** 132:22

Fleming 157:1,2 162:18,19

**floor** 5:13

**Florida** 6:23 13:2,3 28:23 29:13 42:12

focus 61:16

form 9:3 10:3,19,23 11:4,19 12:14 13:13 14:4,20 15:15,25 16:8,18,22 17:1,10,18,25 18:14,22 19:7,13 20:1, 5,9,14 21:1,11,24 22:3,9,19 23:3,9,14 24:3,6,13,21,24 25:1,19 26:15,24 27:15 28:2,20,25 29:15,22 30:6,11, 17,22 31:9,13,14,25 32:14,18 33:19 34:13,17,23 35:9,12,14,24 36:22 37:2,6 38:6,23 40:18 41:12 42:3,7,15, 24 43:9,10,13,15 44:2,18 45:5,11 46:13 47:13,16,22 48:1,4 49:19 51:10,21 52:8,11,19 53:3,10,13,17,25 54:9,13,20 55:1,8,9,10,22 56:4,6,8, 12,14,15,23 57:6,20,22,23 58:2,5,6, 23,24 59:5,17 60:4 61:15,25 62:11 63:15 64:2,6,13,21 65:1,7,14,20 66:1, 8,13 67:2,24 68:4,13,18,21,23 69:5 70:8,9,13 71:11,17,20 72:12,24 73:9, 16,24 74:15,22 75:11,18,20 76:15,22 77:8,25 78:11,16,19,23 79:4 80:1,8,9,

11,15 82:19 83:11,18 84:7 85:7,16 87:9,14,24 88:4,9,16,24 89:3 91:1,16 93:2,9,18 94:2,7 95:12,19,20 96:2,9, 20,23 97:5,13,20 98:6,8 99:5,8,10 101:2,20 102:12 103:5,6,13,15,18,20, 23 104:6,10,13,17,21 105:1,3,14,18, 23,24 106:4,6,25 107:3,6,10,17,24 109:1,15,20 110:2,7,13,17,21 111:1, 8,12 112:4,14,21 113:1,5,24 114:23, 24 115:1,18,21,23 116:6,8,14,19,24 117:15 118:5,17,21 119:2 120:25 121:21 122:3,7 123:10 124:8,21 125:14 126:10,14,22 127:5,13 128:25 129:4 130:1 131:13 132:1,11,20,25 133:5 134:7,20 142:9,13 143:2,17 145:7,19 146:6 147:17,24 149:8,13, 18 151:12 152:2,7,12 153:11,22 154:5,10,19,24 155:2,12,17 156:4 158:3,9,10,16,21 159:7,25 160:10 161:4,23 162:3,12

formal 16:19 159:23

format 16:14 134:17 139:25 140:12

**forms** 56:11 57:18 58:4 59:3 70:5

forward 49:14 50:19 120:5 160:13

foundation 135:12 136:6

fourth 57:8 59:19

**fraud** 121:19,24 124:5,12

Frazier 97:25 98:17

free 42:6,9

frequencies 93:22

**front** 18:25 19:5,17 21:5,14 44:11 69:8 100:23 104:2 106:18 107:20 108:23 134:16 135:6 136:24 138:23 140:8 142:6 143:10,12 145:18 148:3, 22,25 161:17

FTP 137:20 138:13

full 37:8

function 140:18,23

Fund 124:17 125:3,13

funds 115:13

future 137:14 138:6

G

gather 44:7 151:5

**gathered** 43:12,25 44:10,16,25 159:16 160:5

general 6:5 15:1 22:22 23:2 36:12

38:20,21 40:7 60:7 73:17 145:23 151:4.9

**general's** 10:13 22:12 28:8,15 29:5 39:21 40:15 41:7 60:2,14 82:15,23 83:8 89:13 91:3 144:20 146:4 163:8

generally 9:20 48:8 73:13

give 19:18 21:5,15 89:5 100:3 141:21

giving 23:6 151:23

Global 124:17 125:2,12

**good** 6:2 7:3,19 52:15 58:20 86:14 119:10 121:15 122:20 123:5 149:6,9, 11 157:21

**gosh** 9:19 11:16,17 13:1 18:7 39:14 70:7

**governance** 86:14 127:8,22 128:11, 23

**government's** 52:12,14 53:1,5,15, 19,23 54:12,14 86:22 126:21 127:4

governments 47:12 123:6

grammatical 77:3

grantees 125:2

graphics 120:18 121:11

**ground** 103:2

group 32:23 59:19

groups 32:22 120:5,11

**guess** 22:20 27:16 40:24 42:8 160:11 162:6

guidance 58:11

Guide 150:5,17 151:1

guys 14:22 157:5

### Н

hand 46:1 59:7

handed 48:14 100:22 102:14 105:11

**handle** 140:6

handled 41:1

happen 22:6 121:15 122:20 123:4

**happy** 90:13 100:6 122:14 135:11 136:2 149:23

hard 7:13

**Harris** 5:17 6:22 48:12 99:21 138:11 146:17,18 147:1 149:5 161:10,14 162:17 163:10

head 40:4 41:25 158:18

header 108:8 139:5

heads 100:3 141:22

hear 28:4 49:22 84:9 94:16 99:16,17

hearing 6:10 8:7 50:4

**held** 5:12

helpful 66:25 67:15

high 35:2

**highlighted** 105:12 108:19 117:13

133:10

**highlighting** 102:15 103:8 104:9 106:16 111:4 113:17 133:12,19

100.10 111.4 110.17 100.12,13

**Hills** 110:6,14,17,25 111:7 112:18 145:11 148:2

hold 63:7

holding 156:23

home 115:9

hopes 50:20

Horse 112:25 113:6,9 114:25 148:20

Horse, legacy 116:6

hour 28:17 81:15

hourly 28:14 29:21 40:22,23

**hours** 40:21 42:13

housing 151:21

**Huey** 115:10

### ī

idea 25:23 156:22,24

identification 59:11 89:9 91:8 92:6 101:3,16 103:16,24 105:4 106:7 107:18 110:8 113:2 116:25 122:22

136:18

identify 5:19 134:23 142:4

image 131:13

impacted 93:20

importance 7:23

important 7:10 86:6 126:9,12,16

**improper** 125:2,5,7

inaccurate 58:23 59:4

inadvertently 133:9

**Inc.'s** 116:6

**include** 18:24 21:4 33:15 59:18 87:5

116:5 124:14,23 150:25

included 19:16 33:9 74:3,6,7,8 92:25 100:16 101:19,24 109:25 110:4 112:19,23 116:10 125:24 129:19 131:2 134:1,9 143:4 144:22 148:21,

24

**income** 40:12

incorporated 38:14

incredibly 137:18

incremental 42:20

Index 5:7

indicating 15:4 37:7 98:10 99:10

indicator 92:10,13 139:16,18,19

individual 19:21 151:15

individuals 74:20 84:15 97:23

**influence** 19:21 21:7

**inform** 138:7

**information** 56:11,14,18,22,25 57:3, 18 58:3 91:11 111:25 117:24 150:7,8, 11,14,17 151:3,4,15,18,19,23 159:16,

23 160:6,18 162:8

informational 23:10 158:10

inquiring 136:7

**insight** 151:5

insolvency 8:15

intend 50:8

intended 90:2

intention 120:22

interaction 15:10

interest 72:9

 $\textbf{interested} \ \ 8{:}13\ 9{:}25\ 10{:}5\ 13{:}8{,}10{,}17$ 

14:2,15 25:3,6,23 26:20 109:3,6

interesting 10:7 26:3

interfere 58:15

intermediary 150:7

internally 122:17

**International** 28:23 29:13 42:12

interpretation 19:19

interrupting 57:11

**introduced** 38:17,19

introduction 13:7 15:2

introductions 123:19

introductory 12:16

inurement 77:17,21,24

investigating 27:19

invoice 40:17,20

invoices 41:20

involved 8:10 74:11,12 159:4,10

160:21

Ireland 37:9

irregularities 73:8

irrelevant 93:25 94:4

**IRS** 18:23 19:1,14 21:2 43:10,12,17 68:20 77:23 79:17 91:12 92:8

**issue** 9:25

issued 29:24 30:2

**item** 91:15,18 131:20 132:4,16 133:2 153:9

items 132:22

J

**Jeffrey** 71:19

Jim 8:12 36:10 38:15 64:8

John 97:25 98:16

Johnson 5:3

joined 5:25 6:6

joining 6:9

**Joshua** 95:22

journal 15:21

journals 15:18

**Ju** 58:10

**judge** 34:3 48:24 49:20 50:1,2,6,7,17 57:9 58:10

**July** 44:13

**Juniper** 110:6,14,17,25 111:7 112:18 145:11 148:1

**jury** 64:20,23 66:7,11,25 67:8,11 160:25

K

Ken 26:6 33:24 49:13

Kent 49:6 156:16

Kettering 124:16,20,24

**Kiara** 6:14

kids 20:18

kind 7:13 13:21 81:9 94:11 111:9

128:8

kitchen 151:22

knew 15:10

**knowing** 146:13

knowledge 19:4 86:1 128:1

L

labeled 161:9,13 162:1

language 83:23 84:1 162:5

**Lapierre** 48:21 97:15 156:17 157:22

Lapierre's 161:2

**laptop** 134:17 135:6,9 136:10 138:23

149:3

large 122:1 124:5,11 137:19

larger 92:19

**lastly** 155:14

late 44:13 138:9

lavish 73:7

Laymen 96:18

leaders 72:8

leading 150:6

learned 31:16

leave 9:12 99:21

**left** 10:21 11:11 81:13

**Legacy** 112:25 113:6,9 114:25

148:20

legal 162:4

legitimate 132:9

lengthy 72:5

**level** 35:3

**LEVINE** 138:17 153:8

**Lewis** 118:7

Lexington 5:13

Lexitas 5:4

life 52:3

**Light** 112:25 113:6,9 114:24 116:5

148:20

limitation 85:11 87:5 88:6,12,14

89:2

**limitations** 85:9,10,15,18,20 87:8, 11,13,16,23 88:1,3,7,11,19,22

limited 37:12 76:18

link 138:14

list 23:1 92:24 93:3

listed 43:1 62:9 63:11 85:15 132:16

145:15

lists 69:11

literature 151:13

litigation 87:21 88:3

live 141:6

local 121:25

locate 129:8

logistics 81:7

long 10:17 12:12,15 16:24 20:16,20

46:20 81:10 146:21

longer 95:25 96:5,14,21 97:3,10

**looked** 25:13 27:21 32:21 34:25 35:6 73:2 78:18 101:17 142:10 155:25

159:17

losses 121:24

lot 22:21 26:4 80:22 93:12 135:22,24

**lots** 152:13

lunch 99:24 100:6 119:10,21

M

made 29:1 98:20 109:23 122:13

**make** 6:9,11 26:3,17 29:2 30:21 39:25 44:9 46:18 50:12,21 51:22,25 54:15 55:11 61:23 65:11 77:9 150:22

160:6

makes 47:25 62:8 63:13 72:21

making 26:8 50:9

Maloney 115:4,5,6

**manipulated** 141:3,11,13,17,19 144:19 145:21 146:10 147:9,16

mark 49:16 59:8 89:6 107:14 122:16

133:12 146:22

**marked** 46:2 59:10 89:8 100:22 101:2,15 103:15,21,23 105:3 106:6 107:17 108:20 110:7 113:1 116:24 122:17,21 129:9,10 133:9 136:13,17

**market** 160:15

Masjid 108:1 109:7

master 49:1,6,8

math 63:8

matter 5:15 137:10

matters 23:9 94:13

May's 42:1

meals 151:22

**mean--** 135:15

meaning 93:22

means 7:15 93:11 98:23

meant 51:7 109:18

**mechanism** 52:13,14 54:12,15 55:4

127:9,12

**mechanisms** 53:5,10 54:3,4 126:21

127:4

medical 130:25

meet 81:10,19

meeting 81:3,4,22 82:6,9

meetings 55:8

member 20:6 97:11 111:14

members 131:16 151:3

memoranda 17:13

Memorial 124:16,20,24

memory 48:15 122:15

mention 9:18 44:9 86:17

mentioned 25:2

messages 17:17

met 81:1,15,20

methodology 32:16 34:20,22

metric 151:18

Miami 6:23 9:8

middle 111:22

Miller 6:14

million 124:23 125:5,10 135:19,20

141:14

mind 77:20 101:12 127:18

Mine 89:22.25

Ministries 116:21,23 117:4 118:14

148:23

Ministries' 119:2

**minute** 45:16

minutes 10:20 12:16 55:16

mischaracterizes 25:20 33:20

**misreport** 56:10,21,24 57:3,18,22

58:3

misreports 56:13

**mission** 19:9 125:12 151:2,17,20

mistake 115:6

misunderstanding 152:9

model 8:14 9:11 11:7,8

modeling 11:10,14

moment 41:4 129:8 133:8

**Monica** 6:6 36:10 38:17 64:8 81:20

82:2

monitor 5:10

morning 6:27:3

move 50:19 90:11,14

moved 9:8 13:2

multi-page 122:24

multiple 18:6 39:6 88:7,11,13

Ν

named 115:4

names 92:23 151:2

narrative 35:12

narrowly 66:17

**National** 5:24 7:4 130:2

**native** 136:12

nature 114:21

navigate 46:18

navigating 139:8

necessarily 27:18

needed 30:21,25 42:20

**newly** 129:9,10

non-profit 18:19 20:3 24:17 32:23

43:20 47:12 62:2,12,13,14 63:21

72:10 115:19 127:22 149:20 150:6

non-profits 8:15 150:11

Nonetheless 133:2

**nonprofit** 11:11 15:7,11 60:9 62:17, 18 63:19 66:16 68:22 90:25 115:12,

14 158:4,8,11,23 159:2,5

**nonprofits** 9:21 22:24 60:16 61:18 91:13 150:9 158:6

normal 52:2

**North** 97:8

Notary 6:16

notation 98:20,22 106:13

**note** 29:4 85:5 86:5,23 87:2 102:13

103:7 136:25

**noted** 85:14

notes 12:21 39:17,22 82:5

**noting** 104:7 113:16 140:25 141:7

**novice** 162:4

NRA 5:16 8:20 9:18 11:25 12:2 15:6, 22 17:9 18:19 22:24 28:9 31:7,18,21, 23 32:4,10 33:16 34:6,9 40:15 59:8, 13,20 60:8,16 61:17 62:4,16 65:15, 17,24 66:4,7,11,15 67:1,11,16 68:1,9, 22 70:2,4,5,11,17,21,22 71:2 75:4,7, 14 76:11 77:12,20 78:5,8,13,21 79:1, 8,15,22 80:2 92:20 94:21 95:19 96:1, 5,15,22 97:4,11 98:3,10 99:1 120:4 131:14 132:7,15,20,21 136:23 137:1, 19 138:9 141:4 144:21

**NRA's** 21:21 24:3,5 25:16 26:13 31:7 32:2 35:8,12,17 38:22,25 77:22 79:24 120:9 131:13 132:1 137:3

**number** 40:21 58:8 91:9 92:7 93:23 94:6 95:4 134:14 140:24 143:20 144:5,6 148:16,22

numbered 131:10

numerous 72:7 77:12,21 79:15

**NYAG** 31:3 74:21 99:2 119:22 136:23

**NYAG's** 81:11,18

**NYOAG** 98:18

0

OAG 98:25

oath 7:21

NYSCEF DOCNEYO. 1324

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

Erica Harris

RECEIVED NYSCET be 27,12022

**object** 10:2,23 11:4,19 12:14 13:13 14:4,8,10,19 15:14,25 16:7,18,22 17:1,10,18,25 18:14,22 19:7,13 20:1, 5,9,14 21:24 22:3,9,19 23:3,14 24:13, 21 25:1,19 26:15,24 27:15 28:2,20,25 29:15,22 30:6,11,17,22 31:9,14,25 32:18 33:19 34:13,17,23 35:9,14 37:2,6 38:6,23 40:18 41:12 42:3,7,15, 24 43:9.15 44:2.18 45:5.11 46:13 47:16,22 48:1,4,9 49:23,24 50:1,6 51:10,21 52:8,19 53:3,13,17,25 54:9, 20 55:1,10,22 56:8,12,23 57:20 58:5 59:5,17 60:4 61:25 63:15 64:2,13,21 65:1,7,14,20 66:1,8,13 67:2,24 68:4, 13,18 69:5 70:13 71:11,17,20 72:12, 24 73:9,16,24 74:15,22 75:11,18 76:15 77:8,25 78:11,16,23 80:1,8,11, 15 82:19 83:11,14,18 84:7 85:7,16 87:9,14,24 88:4,9,16,24 89:3 90:14 91:16 93:2,9,18 94:2 95:12,20 96:2,9, 20.23 97:5.13 98:6 99:5.8 101:20 102:12 103:6,18 104:6,13,17,21 105:18,24 107:3,6,10 109:1,15 110:2 111:12 112:21 113:24 114:23 115:1. 21 116:8,14 118:5,17,21 121:21 122:3,7 123:10 124:8,21 125:14 126:10,14,22 127:5,13 128:25 129:4 132:11,25 133:5 134:7 135:8 136:3 143:2,17 145:19 146:6 147:17,24 149:8,13,18 151:12 152:2,7 153:11 154:5,24 155:12 156:4 158:3,16,21 159:7,25 160:10 161:4,23 162:3,12

objecting 141:4

**objection** 9:3 10:19 20:25 21:10 32:7,13 34:1 35:23 36:22 48:23 49:18 56:4 57:5,8,12 58:13,24 61:15 62:11 64:5 76:21 79:4 105:10 106:14 108:18 111:3 112:9 113:17 117:12 120:25 133:18,20 136:5 141:1,7 143:22 144:9,16 145:1,25 148:13

**objections** 26:9 49:16,17 50:10,12, 22

objects 145:4

**observations** 61:13 135:19

occasion 82:24

occurred 93:23

**occurrence** 75:14,20 76:20 78:14 79:2,10 80:7,14 120:13

occurrences 78:22

October 5:9 137:2,3 161:15,25

offer 78:25 79:7 160:25

**office** 6:4 9:9 10:13 22:12 28:8,16 29:6 30:19 36:11 38:21 39:21 40:15,

17 41:7 60:2,15 64:3,4 81:2,4,11,12, 18 82:16,23 83:9 89:13 91:3 137:24 140:4 144:21 145:4 146:4,11 147:10 163:8

officers 72:10 74:12,13

ofhe 93:23

Oliver 97:7

one-sided 90:3

open 135:7 138:24

open-ended 11:12

opened 134:18

operations 77:23 79:16,24 159:15

**opinion** 44:5 75:13 78:4 79:7 120:3,9 161:1

opinions 46:10 61:10 78:25

opportunity 14:8

opposed 32:22

order 30:18

**organization** 19:8,10,22 21:7 52:10 54:11,18 55:3,7,19 56:13,18 57:22 86:18 92:10,13,18,20,22 102:6 108:6, 15 109:11,25 110:19 113:6,10 115:8, 19 116:20 117:5 118:9 119:5 127:7,9 142:16,25 144:3,22 145:15,17 149:25 151:2,6,7,10 153:13 156:1 159:18 160:2

**organization's** 105:8 150:13 151:20 153:15 154:4,23 155:11,17 156:3

**organizations** 11:9,11 15:8,11 18:20 22:25 23:22 24:15,17 25:9,12, 25 26:2 27:8,20 31:17 32:24 53:6,7 56:10,21,24 57:3,17 58:3 60:9,17 61:19 62:2,17,19 63:19,21 66:5,16,18 68:2,10,23 69:22 70:24 77:16,18 78:6 79:2,9 80:4 86:21 90:25 91:13 92:25 94:6,11 112:12 121:16,18 122:20 123:5,21 150:16,20 151:14 158:11 159:17

original 33:12

**outlier** 31:19,23 32:4 62:5 66:15,20 67:16,21 75:5,8,14 78:21

output 136:22

overwrote 83:4

Р

**p.m** 163:1

**p.m.** 81:14 99:22 119:18 157:13,17 163:5,11

package 142:4

pages 46:20,21

pagination 122:25

pagle 130:8

paid 28:12 30:3,7 40:11 41:10,14

**paper** 43:1 44:5 47:17,21 48:6 51:4, 6,8,12,25 52:21,23,25 70:9 85:22 87:19 89:22 121:22 122:5,8 123:3 124:22 125:4 127:21 135:23,24

paperwork 30:13,15,19,24,25

paperworks 30:19

**paragraph** 69:20 77:4 79:14 85:25 86:3,5 120:3,15 123:20,24 125:20,22 127:24 128:4

**part** 41:18 64:15 85:21 111:20 114:17 117:21,23 118:2 119:2,5,7 131:10,20 133:2 140:16 142:16,22 160:2,16

participate 35:22 82:8

participating 90:6

**parties** 5:20 6:8 137:5,12 138:19 146:5 147:23

partner 115:9

**parts** 72:4

party 21:8,13,16

passage 130:11,15

past 11:10 63:22 77:18

patience 155:15

Patrovrich 47:7

**pause** 58:9

pay 40:23 98:10 160:20

payment 30:9,21 41:16,18

payments 31:3 125:2,5

penalties 56:16

pending 7:17

penultimate 94:24 129:16 130:7

people 5:15 7:12 115:3 151:21

160:15

percent 69:21 70:23 71:9,14 76:6,13

Perfect 86:2

perform 60:25 61:23 145:2

performance 151:18

performed 26:22 88:23

period 102:19

periodically 44:21

periods 29:18

perjury 56:16

**person** 20:24 21:3 36:14,25 38:20 47:6 102:8 109:6 114:7 115:8 118:7,8

persons 60:11 61:21 109:3

**Phillips** 97:1,25 98:16

**phone** 10:9,14,18 36:13,15,20

**place** 46:17 52:13 53:10 55:5 110:14, 17,25 111:8 112:18 119:10 134:16 135:5 140:7 145:11 148:2

plan 100:2 147:15 160:25

planning 156:25

plans 81:9 147:18

**PM** 119:16 157:16 163:4,14

**point** 7:15 11:13 16:4,25 17:6,24 18:2,11 26:25 82:11 83:1

pointed 133:8 141:14

points 91:14 93:12

policies 53:1,15,23

populated 139:4

population 24:17 93:16 94:8,13

portion 29:6 40:7 74:25

positioned 139:5,6

**positions** 160:15

positive 83:6

**post-it** 46:17

potential 11:7 120:24

Powell 95:23 96:4

**power** 16:25 17:5 93:7,11,17,21,24 94:4

**Powerpoint** 16:12 17:21,23 18:3,13

22:7

practice 53:2,24 54:2 87:5

practices 86:22

precisely 82:20

predict 8:14

predicting 9:20

prediction 11:10

**preliminary** 16:11 21:22 22:17

24:12 33:4,6,9

**preparation** 22:13 81:5

**prepare** 15:5 16:10,16,19 17:7,13,16 59:22 80:17,25

**prepared** 16:21 17:12 21:22 23:1 46:7 56:16 85:3 95:16,18

prepares 55:15

preparing 17:5 47:18 82:13

preschool 20:11,19 159:9,11

preschools 159:16

present 5:18 12:18

presentation 16:13,25 17:6,22,24

18:3,13

presented 139:25

presenting 131:13

preserving 144:25

president 109:7

pretty 84:11 87:4

previous 38:12

previously 122:17 132:22 133:25

142:10

**print** 122:24 135:23,25

**prior** 15:9 27:25 92:15 102:19 103:11 104:19 105:22 106:16 107:8 114:11 117:17 131:15 139:18 160:12 162:10

private 77:17,21,24

problem 100:5 147:13

proceed 50:16,24 136:6 143:18

**proceeding** 21:22 26:14 28:9 29:11 30:5 31:22 32:3,11 38:22 39:1 40:16

proceedings 31:8

process 15:21 53:16,19 159:12,14,

20 160:17

**produce** 137:14 138:13

produced 89:12 136:23 137:1,12

145:22

producing 137:5

professional 158:7

professor 48:12 138:11 146:17

147:1 149:5 163:9

proffered 74:20

profile 150:18 151:1

**profit** 19:24 20:8,12,17 149:11,25

150:22 151:10

**profits** 25:18 27:24 33:16,17 74:14

program 54:8

programs 151:4

prohibiting 77:24

**project** 9:24 11:2,5 15:16 26:3 27:12 29:20 37:23 38:18 42:5,9,19,21,22,25 43:18,21,25 44:3,6,17,20 47:1,4,6 51:16 53:7,8 72:22 73:1,3,5,15,23 74:1,2 85:18,19,21 100:16 101:19,24 103:12 104:11 105:1,15,21 106:22 107:1 115:9 123:4 134:2,14,24 140:16,22 152:24 153:16 154:20 155:3,8 156:7

**project's** 106:4 134:20 153:22 154:10

**projects** 8:17 15:19 21:14 23:21 27:3,6 43:19,23 63:17 73:18 87:4 150:4 158:23

**promote** 115:13

promoted 40:1

**proper** 58:12

**properly** 55:12 65:16,18,25 66:7,12

67:1,12

proposed 11:3

proposing 11:6

**provide** 7:9 40:25 42:21 43:10 57:12 60:2,7,15 61:6,9,12 62:8 63:14 64:1 82:14,18 83:9,16,19 84:4 91:2 92:22 93:19 111:9 124:10 137:24 150:2,10, 11,17,25 151:14,16

**provided** 19:23 22:11 71:23,25 83:12 84:11 89:17 92:23 93:22 101:23 103:7 104:8 106:15,22 111:25 113:18 117:24 124:4 133:9 137:19 140:4 144:20 150:15 161:6

**Providence** 116:20,22 117:4 118:13 119:1 148:23

providing 13:11 151:21

**public** 6:16 15:19 52:12 123:6 150:8, 12,15,19 151:23

publication 15:21 47:19

**published** 15:18 47:15 48:11 84:15 87:1 121:14 122:9 123:13 126:3

**pulled** 42:17

pulling 27:17

purchase 30:18

purported 74:20

purpose 9:24 23:25

purposes 93:25 132:10 156:25

**put** 16:12 22:21 23:16,17 24:1,7 44:23 48:19 103:1 156:11

Q

QC 45:9,12

qualified 61:23 62:3,8 63:13

quality 45:12

**question** 7:17 14:7,11 24:23 26:12, 13,17,21 33:21 51:18 55:12,20 56:2, 19 57:16 64:15 70:16 89:16 100:2,20 102:18 108:17 113:25 114:2 116:12 121:3 126:23 127:1 146:17,22,23 147:4 148:11 149:22 152:10 153:5,9 154:15 155:5,7,21,24 156:5 158:1

questioning 141:9 145:5

**questions** 7:8 13:15,19,21,22,25 14:14,17 37:5 38:1 48:10,17 49:4 55:14 77:4 102:5 105:8 106:9,11,23 113:14 117:9 146:25 156:15,20,23 157:11,25 162:16 163:9

R

raise 40:3

rate 28:14 29:21 40:23 160:15

re-ask 26:17

reach 8:23 12:5 24:11,19 31:6 69:17

**reached** 8:13 11:25 15:8 24:14 31:22 32:3,15 36:12 69:12

**read** 19:1 47:17 49:19 72:3,4 80:19, 20 86:3 115:2 128:9 130:11 135:2

reader 53:22 126:15.16

reading 76:4 130:14

reads 153:12

ready 138:12

reality 55:21

realize 96:7,14

realized 27:10

realtime 145:4

reason 55:3 141:10

reasonable 99:24 160:7

reasonableness 161:1

**reasons** 131:1

**rebuttal** 59:9,14,18 69:15 80:20 119:25 120:2 161:21 162:1,6

rebuttals 80:22

rebutting 162:7

recall 9:5 12:12 13:4,10,11 18:1,10 21:23 36:9,16 37:25 41:4,24 51:9 52:22 64:7 72:6,17,19,21,25 73:12, 13,17,19 82:20 87:3 100:15,19 134:2 142:15,17,20 160:4,20

receive 31:2 40:2

received 40:2 41:16,18 130:24 150:18

receives 19:22

recently 15:17 30:3 40:1

recess 45:19 84:22 100:10 119:15 157:15 163:3

recollection 13:25 14:13,16 124:3

**record** 5:2 6:20 29:5 45:18,23 49:10 82:4 84:18 89:11 99:15,20 100:9,14 119:11,14,19 122:24 128:9 130:17 135:3 136:20 140:5 141:1 145:3 146:18 157:9,14,18 163:2,6,12

reduce 55:8

refer 75:4 94:20 95:3 110:22 122:13

**reference** 72:22 95:22 96:11,17,25 97:7,14,21 108:16 129:15 130:6 133:3 144:2

**referenced** 17:6 42:23 73:1 109:12 131:3

referencing 73:14,18

referred 162:5

**referring** 35:7,11,16 51:13 81:24 85:11 132:15 143:10,11

refers 91:10 95:4.11

reflect 56:17 61:4

reflects 46:10

refrain 26:8 34:2 50:9

refresh 48:14 122:15

refreshed 124:3

regard 31:23 84:5 109:4,5 118:3

regression 93:20 94:5

relate 59:15 94:5 151:19

**related** 8:17 11:10 15:6,11 17:21 21:8,13,16,18 38:15 42:25 49:4 68:20 111:10 158:5

relation 21:19 94:10

relationship 115:7 118:8

**remember** 9:8 13:22 20:22 33:8 36:24 37:4,7,19 39:9,15 122:12 161:22,24

remind 52:22

remotely 6:9 90:6

**remove** 149:2

**repeat** 28:5 54:22 64:14 126:25 149:22

rephrase 64:18 67:3

report 15:5 16:10,13,16,19 18:25
19:5,17 21:4 25:2,25 26:2 32:19
34:25 35:2 37:11,14 40:22 44:11,24
46:6,19 53:21 59:10,14,18 61:19 67:4
68:6 69:16 71:24,25 72:2,4,6,14,23
73:11 74:25 79:6,13 80:13,19,20
82:14,15 83:5,10 84:14 85:1,4 87:19,
21 88:20 89:1,15 93:22 94:15 95:9,
14,15 99:9 104:12 105:16,21 107:2
108:16 109:23 111:2 112:15 119:25
120:2,9 121:9 127:20 129:12,21
131:4,7 132:18 133:4 134:10 135:18
142:25 146:20 154:21 158:13 161:9,
10,13,14,21 162:1,2,6,9,11

**reported** 23:23 27:9 35:7 53:1,6,15, 23 68:7 69:23 70:24 76:7,11 77:12, 17,21 79:15 92:11,14 95:5,7 98:14 111:10 112:3 114:21 115:19 117:16 118:15 129:20 131:14,21 132:13

reporter 6:13,18 49:21

**reporting** 24:16,24 25:9,18 27:25 31:11,17,24 32:5 58:22 59:3 60:10,17 66:5,20 67:17,21 69:1,3 75:8 76:18 78:9 80:7,9,10 94:7 120:10

**reports** 37:17 59:22 73:2 80:22 112:11 117:5 159:23 160:5 161:6,7 162:7.9

represent 5:20 7:3 89:10 92:17 157:22

represented 148:17

request 137:3,17

requested 138:9

requesting 89:14

require 128:11,23

required 126:20 127:3,11 158:10

**requirements** 77:23 79:17

research 15:10,13,16 21:14 23:21 25:4 26:3 27:1,12 42:11 43:19,21 47:1 51:16 62:3,7,13 63:16,21 123:4 126:15,17 149:14 150:3 158:5,12,23

researchers 43:21

reserve 120:16 156:13

reserving 141:8 143:21

respect 11:25 59:20 80:4 141:9

response 55:20 56:1 106:23

**Restate** 121:22

result 137:2

results 85:6 86:6 93:14

retained 5:3

return 104:16 108:5

**returns** 91:11

reused 44:1

reveal 101:9 114:21

review 47:14 49:2 75:1 76:2 85:12,19 95:19 106:21 113:8,13 114:17 117:2, 8,23 123:23 130:23 132:8 150:10 153:4 154:16,19

reviewed 24:3 80:19 89:14 113:19 124:2

reviewing 24:5 131:14

revise 47:13

Rifle 5:24 7:4 130:2

right-hand 134:25

**rights** 141:8

**row** 92:2 94:24,25 129:16,20 130:7, 18 131:9 139:7

rows 94:20 135:13,17,20 141:14

rows.it's 139:6

rudimentary 27:7

rule 58:13 86:10

run 65:16,18,24 66:7,12 67:1,11

rushing 138:11

S

sabbatical 9:13.15 28:22

salary 28:24 29:12 39:24

save 133:16

schedule 58:17 108:22,23,25 109:2 111:7,20 112:3 114:14,17,20 117:21, 23 118:3 131:10

scheduling 81:7

scholar 37:8

**School** 110:6,14,17,25 111:7 112:18 145:11 148:2

schools 160:18

**scope** 61:4 68:6 74:1 78:17 79:5,12 80:12 98:9 108:11 112:7 116:2,3 118:20,23

screen 89:11 136:24

scroll 135:15

seals 150:5

search 134:13 141:5 143:7,18,19,25 144:5,6,10,11,14 148:15

searching 144:1 148:6

section 60:23 61:3 74:24 75:23 76:18,24 84:1,5 108:16

sees 50:13

Self-sufficiency 118:14 119:1 148:23

Self-sufficient 116:21,23 117:4

send 40:16 41:20 137:7

**senior** 47:3,6,8

**sense** 15:1 54:15

**sentence** 120:19 123:24 124:2,13,23 128:4.9

September 161:11

served 19:24 20:2 159:1

**service** 159:18

services 19:23 40:17 42:18

**set** 49:11 62:1,18 63:20 89:14 90:19, 23 91:2,15 93:1 106:9 133:7 134:14, 17 135:7,14,19 140:16 143:4,8,9,11, 14 145:17,24 148:3 149:2

**Seth** 138:8

setting 151:8 159:10 160:3,9

**share** 83:1

shared 83:3.7.8

**Sheean** 36:10

**Sheehan** 8:12 9:17 10:14 11:2,23 12:10.18.25 13:5 17:17 24:25 25:15 28:1 29:10 36:17 39:2,7 64:8

**sheet** 46:21,25 89:7 101:14 140:6,11, 13 144:15 148:8,9,10,21,24

**sheets** 136:21 140:7,9 144:12

Shelly 47:5

Sherwood 34:3

shopping 44:5

**short** 99:23

**shot** 89:11

**show** 73:10

**showing** 101:22

**shows** 149:14

**side** 90:1

**sides** 89:19,20,21,23

significant 18:16,21 19:6,21 21:7 23:7 25:5 31:13 32:5 60:18 63:18 67:19 68:11 69:24 71:5 76:8 79:10 80:5 92:9,11 93:14 120:12 139:16 153:14 154:3,22 155:10 156:2

**similar** 160:15

**simply** 58:2 93:22

site 137:20

sitting 9:9 18:4 19:4 72:16 120:21

situations 74:11,13 124:5 138:6

size 33:17 66:19 93:15 94:8,12 137:23 138:1

**skimmed** 80:21

slides 17:2

**slip** 46:21,25

Sloan 124:16,20,24

**small** 121:25

**so--** 55:13

**solely** 123:5

sort 11:12 22:22 23:4,9

sound 7:19 94:16

#### COUNTY CLERK 03/10/2023 05:34 PM YORK

NYSCEF DOCNETO.

INDEX NO. 451625/2020 RECEIVED NYSCEE 27, 12022 23

sounds 58:20

soup 151:22

source 141:16

sources 40:12

Southwest 6:22

span 29:16,17

speak 7:10,12

speaking 14:9,21 26:8 33:25 48:22 49:17 50:9,22 57:8 58:13 147:5

**speaks** 49:25

**special** 49:1,5,7

**specific** 13:4,24,25 14:13 16:1 18:23 19:15.18 21:2.15 27:23 36:24 41:1.2

51:11,18 85:11 150:24

specifically 8:23 13:2 36:9 43:7 52:23 53:21 63:17,25 65:22 72:19 73:12 74:5 85:17 105:7 111:20 117:21 129:12 150:4 154:15 160:3,4

**specifics** 13:11,12

spend 11:20

spending 73:7

spent 22:20

**spoke** 11:23 12:10,24 15:3 38:4

spoken 10:8,12 38:11 74:17,19

spreadsheet 148:15

spreadsheets 146:15

spreedsheet 90:9

**spring** 9:15,16 12:7,9 36:4 38:8

stack 152:21

staff 111:14

stand 45:12 47:24 136:5

standby 45:21

Star 150:5.6.18 151:1

start 77:13 141:23 142:3

started 6:12 18:18 20:21 22:23

23:11,15

starts 75:24 76:25 77:4 85:25 122:25

123:20 125:20 127:25 128:4

**state** 5:6,15 6:5,16,18 8:16 9:21

79:14 120:16 130:16

**stated** 126:5 132:7,20 142:21 143:22

statement 128:15

states 72:7 73:4 98:17 156:6

**statistical** 93:7,11,17,21 94:3

statistics 63:1

status 98:14 115:13

Stern 81:24

Steve 115:4.5

stipulate 133:15

**Stirs** 111:13

stolen 124:19

Street 6:23

studied 24:23 112:11

studies 25:11

**study** 68:1,9 78:18 85:6,8,14 86:11 87:6,7,10,23,25 88:2,5,15 93:17,19, 25 94:5 98:9 100:17 101:18 108:12 110:1,4 112:8 116:2,4 118:20,24

119:3,5,7 150:24 151:8,16

submission 47:18

SUBSECCD 92:7

**subsection** 92:7,8 139:15

subset 44:4

substance 18:12

Sued 115:14

sufficient 108:3

suggest 99:24

suggestion 49:7 83:20

**suggestions** 83:9,13,17

summarizing 98:7

summer 37:9,11,12,18

supervision 45:3,7

supplementing 162:10

supplied 72:2

**support** 150:19

Supreme 5:5

**surely** 146:8

suspect 33:10 141:17,18

**Svetlana** 5:22 58:16 90:4 99:19

133:14

**swapping** 133:15

**swear** 6:12

switch 43:5,6 131:24

switching 43:1,3,14,17 44:17,20

**sworn** 6:16

Т

tab 122:17 136:11.20 142:3

table 94:14,25 95:14,15,16 96:12,18 97:1 98:13 129:16,21 130:8 131:3,9, 22 132:17 133:3

tabs 107:13 148:16

**taking** 14:23

talk 51:12

talking 9:20 83:24 85:17 87:20 94:17

talks 74:10

Tannenbaum 71:19

tasks 41:1

tax 57:1 158:10

teaching 23:5

telephonically 82:9

**Ten** 107:22

**Tennenbaum** 72:7 74:10,17

tenure 40:2

term 51:5,15,19,23 52:1,5,9 64:16

terminated 72:10 73:20

termination 73:22

**terms** 19:3 27:24 32:4 67:17,18,21 68:2,10 75:8,14 78:9,14,21 79:2,9 139:25 150:14 151:9

test 53:4

testified 6:17 8:4,7 21:20 22:7 67:6, 14 133:25

testify 46:12

testifying 7:24 100:19

**testimony** 21:23 25:20 27:13 33:20 81:5 119:22 120:24 134:3 141:6

text 111:21

theft 124:24

thing 7:16 17:11 81:9 138:14 149:6,

things 10:21 13:4 36:25 121:15 122:19 123:4 150:25

thinking 11:21 37:19

thought 8:20 10:6 25:23 26:20 65:8 87:20 88:18,19,21 147:7,11

thousands 53:7 122:2 124:6

**threat** 121:19

Thursday 5:9

time 5:10 7:12 11:20,22 12:9,25 13:3 14:9,23 22:21 23:20 24:1,4,8,10,20 25:15,16,22 26:23 28:19,24 29:16,19 32:21 35:21 37:13 38:4 42:2,20 49:1 84:20 99:22 100:7 119:17 133:16 142:1 156:14,15 157:12 163:7

times 18:6 47:18 timing 37:14,20

title 47:11

titled 98:13

to-- 68:16

today 5:17 6:13 7:5,24 22:8 128:20 143:15 157:23

today's 5:8 80:18,25 81:5

told 9:17 30:9 64:22 77:1 99:19

Tom 157:5

**top** 40:4 41:24 73:6 139:9,11,12 152:20 157:7 158:18

topic 10:5 26:21

total 92:17,18 139:20

training 158:6

transaction 18:17 19:12,16,20 21:9, 13,16,17 92:15 102:7 109:8,14,22 111:11,15 112:3 114:6,11,22 115:12 118:10 139:19

transactions 23:23 24:16 25:8,10 26:1 27:4,9,21 31:12,18 35:1,7,8,19 59:21 60:10 61:20 64:11 66:6 67:20, 22 68:3,7 69:24 70:25 75:9,15,22 77:13,22 78:10,15 79:3,16 80:5 92:16 93:23 95:5 102:20 103:4,11,13 104:12 105:16,22 107:2 109:3,6 111:2 113:22 120:11 125:7 130:22 132:23 139:18

transcribed 7:11

transcript 29:7 40:8 49:24

transmit 82:22

transparency 149:6,9 150:3,5,13

transparent 149:12,17 150:1,16 151:11 152:1,4,6,9,12,14,15

travel 81:9 97:14 130:25 131:17 132:9,14

traveling 138:12

treasurer 20:7,10,16

treating 23:5

**trial** 8:4 46:11 64:20 121:2

trier 64:12,16

trouble 50:3

true 56:3 60:13

truthfully 7:24

turn 46:15 153:1 161:5

**turning** 105:6 108:14 111:6 154:12

type 27:4

types 25:3,24 31:18,19

typical 86:23

U

**U.S.** 15:7.11 18:19 22:24 24:17 60:9. 16 61:18 62:2,17,18 63:18,20 66:16 77:16

ultimately 47:19

**Um-hmm** 55:6

Umansky 6:1

Unauthorized 118:11

underlying 151:20

understand 7:20,23 13:20 26:11 41:11 52:22 64:23 65:5,13,19 77:9 83:24 99:1 132:15 138:4,16

understanding 14:12 15:6 25:24 51:23 94:9

**Understood** 19:3 52:4

undertaken 25:10

**unfound** 144:17

University 28:22,23 29:14 42:12 124:18 125:8,11

unreasonable 97:22 98:5.11

unreportable 49:12

**update** 44:20

updated 44:23

upload 137:21,25

upper 134:25

urge 26:7 34:2

**Utman** 47:5

variable 92:10,13 139:17,18,20

variation 93:13

verify 140:23

verses 5:16 54:3 94:12

version 136:13

versions 133:10,11

video 5:4,10 39:13

view 101:18

Villanova 8:25 9:9,12 28:21 29:1,13

violated 77:23 79:17

visit 160:17

visited 159:15

voice 5:19

Vu 6:2

vulnerable 121:19 123:21

W

wages 118:11

wait 7:17 14:6

Wang 6:3,4 7:2 9:3 10:2,19,23 11:4, 19 12:14 13:13 14:4,7 15:25 16:18,22 17:1,10,18,25 18:14,22 19:7,13 20:1, 5,9,14,25 21:10,24 22:3,9,19 23:3,14 24:13,21 25:1,19 26:7,15,24 27:15 28:2,20,25 29:4,15,22 30:6,11,17,22 31:9,14,25 32:7,13,18 33:19 34:1,13, 17,23 35:9,14,23 36:22 37:2,6 38:6, 23 40:6,18 41:12 42:3,7,15,24 43:9, 15 44:2,18 45:5,11 46:13 47:16 48:1, 4,9,25 49:23 50:4,5,11 51:10,21 52:8, 19 53:3,13,17,25 54:9,20 55:1,10,22 56:4,8,12,23 57:5,10,20 58:5,24 59:5, 17 60:4 61:15,25 62:11 63:15 64:2,5, 13,21 65:1,7,14,20 66:1,8,13 67:2,24 68:4,13,18 69:5 70:13 71:11,17,20 72:12,24 73:9,16,24 74:15,22 75:11, 18 76:15,21 77:8,25 78:11,16,23 79:4 80:1,8,11,15 82:3,19 83:11,14,18 84:7 85:7,16 87:9,14,24 88:4,9,16,24 89:3,25 91:16 93:2,9,18 94:2 95:12, 20 96:2,9,20,23 97:5,13 98:6 99:5,8 101:20 102:12 103:6,18 104:6,13,17,

21 105:10,18,24 106:13 107:3,6,10 108:18 109:1,15 110:2 111:3,12 112:9,21 113:16,24 114:23 115:1,21 116:8,14 117:12 118:5,17,21 120:25 121:21 122:3,7 123:10 124:8,21 125:14 126:10,14,22 127:5,13 128:25 129:4 132:11,25 133:5,8,23 134:7 135:8 136:4,25 137:6,18 140:25 141:24 143:2,17,21 144:9,16,25 145:19,25 146:6,9 147:8,17,24 148:13 149:8,13,18 151:12 152:2,7 153:11 154:5,24 155:12 156:4 158:3, 16,21 159:7,25 160:10 161:4,18,23 162:3,12 163:7

**wanted** 37:9,13,21 44:22 100:3 156:24

Warrior 72:22 73:1,3,5,15,18,23 74:2 100:16 101:19,24 102:21 103:3,12 104:11 105:1,15,21 106:4,22 107:1 134:2,9,14,20,24 140:16,22 152:24 153:8,10,16,22 154:1,9,20 155:3,8 156:7

**Warrior's** 101:1,8 103:14,22 105:2 106:5

Warriors 101:7

watch 150:6

Wayne 48:21 97:15 156:17 157:22

ways 149:16,24 150:21 151:9 152:14

web 42:18

website 150:12

websites 151:15

well-known 122:1 124:6,11

William 6:3 157:21

**Wilson** 96:25 97:25 98:16

**window** 48:3,5 51:3,5,24 52:1,5,9 54:16

wishing 6:10

withdrawing 133:20

witness's 149:3

witness--that 58:19

witnesses 74:21

word 47:14 54:16 123:19

**words** 72:18 75:24 76:25 77:5 85:25 125:21 127:25 128:5 133:17

**work** 7:7 11:2 17:8 26:5 27:25 28:7 29:25 30:1 35:25 36:2,21 37:11,20,22 41:17,21 45:10 52:25 90:20 96:14,22

122:10 146:19

**worked** 8:16 9:7 33:3,12 40:14,22 41:2 122:12

working 8:24 11:21 13:8,17 14:2,15 15:17 22:21 24:25 25:15 27:6 29:9 36:13 37:8 38:18,20 41:18 42:5,9,14 43:1 44:19 51:23 54:3,8 151:17

works 96:1,5 97:4

**worries** 14:25

Wounded 72:22,25 73:2,5,15,18,22 74:2 100:16 101:1,6,8,19,23 102:21 103:3,12,14,22 104:11,25 105:2,15, 20 106:4,5,22 107:1 134:2,9,13,20,24 140:15,22 152:23 153:8,10,16,22 154:1,9,20 155:2,8 156:7

write-off 125:10

written 55:8 89:23

wrote 128:13,17

Υ

year 28:22 29:2,3 30:8 36:5 40:3 44:14 47:10 91:20 92:5,14,15 102:8 103:11 104:15 105:17 107:5,8 108:9 109:22 116:3 118:25 139:13 153:13 154:2,21 155:5,9 156:1

years 8:12,22 11:24 27:2 33:2,5,9,11 39:12,16 41:5 63:22 77:18 92:5 95:6 104:19 105:22 114:12 117:17 118:19 122:10 131:15 159:18 160:1

**yesterday** 81:2,10 89:13 91:6 136:23

yesterday's 81:3 82:6,9

**York** 5:6,7,14,16 6:5,16 8:16 9:22 10:13 22:12 28:8,15 39:21 40:14 41:7 60:1,7,14 82:23 89:12 91:3 98:25 124:17 125:7,10 144:20 145:22 146:3

Ζ

**Zoom** 39:15 81:6