EXHIBIT 52 part 1 of 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SOUTHERN DIVISION

STEVEN RUPP, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

No. 8:17-CV-00746-JLS-JDE

ROB BONTA, in his official capacity as Attorney General of the State of California,

Defendant.

DEPOSITION VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE OF

COLONEL CRAIG TUCKER

MARCH 16, 2023

Stenographically Reported by: Vicki Resch, RPR, CSR 6645

Job No. 3005

```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
 2
                  CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                         SOUTHERN DIVISION
 4
 5
    STEVEN RUPP, et al.,
                  Plaintiffs,
 6
 7
                                   No. 8:17-cv-00746-JLS-JDE
         VS.
8
    ROB BONTA, in his
    official capacity as
    Attorney General of the State of California,
9
10
                  Defendant.
11
12
13
         DEPOSITION OF COLONEL CRAIG TUCKER, TAKEN VIA
14
    VIDEOCONFERENCE, on behalf of the Plaintiffs, at
    9:10 a.m., Thursday, March 16, 2023, with the deponent
15
16
    being located at Sandia, New Mexico, before
17
    Vicki Resch, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 6645 in
    the State of California.
18
19
20
                                -000-
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL
2	(All Appearances Via Videoconference)
3	
4	For the Plaintiffs:
5	MICHEL & ASSOCIATES BY: SEAN A. BRADY, ESQ.
6	180 East Ocean Boulevard Suite 200
7	Long Beach, California 90802 562.216.4444
8	sbrady@michellawyers.com
9	
10	For the Defendant:
11	ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CALIFORNIA BY: JOHN D. ECHEVERRIA, ESQ.
12	DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL 455 Golden Gate Avenue
13	Suite 11000 San Francisco, California 94102
14	john.echeverria@doj.ca.gov
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1			
1		I N D E X	
2	WITNESS:		
3	CO	LONEL CRAIG TUCKER	
4	EXAMINATION		PAGE
5	Ву	Mr. Brady	6
6	Ву	Mr. Echeverria	202
7			
8			
9			
10		EXHIBITS	
11	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
12	Exhibit 1	Plaintiffs' Notice of Deposition of Defendant's Expert Witness	6
13		Colonel (Ret.) Craig Tucker	
14	Exhibit 2	Supplemental Expert Report and Declaration of Colonel (Ret.)	13
15		Craig Tucker	
16	Exhibit 3	Expert Witness Rebuttal Report of J. Buford Boone III	14
17	Exhibit 4	Supplemental Surrebuttal Expert	14
18		Report and Declaration of Colonel (Ret.) Craig Tucker	
19	<u>Exhibit 5</u>	Certificate of Release or	32
20		Discharge from Active Duty	
21	<u>Exhibit 6</u>	"The NRA has Entered the Province of Cowards"	52
22	Exhibit 7	"Everytown, Moms Demand Action	56
23		Launch Veterans Advisory Council to Support Gun Violence	
24		Prevention"	
25			

i			
1		I N D E X (Continued)	
2			
3		EXHIBITS	
4	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
5	Exhibit 8	The Keefe Report	75
6 7	Exhibit 9	"AR-15 Calibers and Cartridges: What Should You Chamber Your Carbine?"	79
8	Exhibit 10	"About SAAMI"	96
9	Exhibit 11	Rifling Definition	99
10 11	Exhibit 12	TIME article entitled "Here Are 7 Animals Hunters Kill Using an AR-15"	129
12	Exhibit 13	California Outdoors Q&A	133
13	Exhibit 14	Thompson v. Va. Dep't of Game & Inland Fisheries	136
14	Exhibit 15	"An Army Outgunned"	140
15 16	Exhibit 16	"U.S. Bullets May Be Ill-Suited For New Wars	145
17	<u>Exhibit 17</u>	"Here Are All The Problems With	151
18		California's Expert Witness Testimony in Gun Ban Case"	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

```
THURSDAY, MARCH 16, 2023
 1
 2
                             9:10 A.M.
                               * * *
 3
 4
 5
                      COLONEL CRAIG TUCKER,
    having been first duly sworn by the Deposition
 6
 7
    Officer, was examined and testified as follows:
8
 9
                            EXAMINATION
10
    BY MR. BRADY:
11
              Good morning, Colonel Tucker.
         0
12
        Α
              Good morning.
              Can you state your name for the record,
13
        0
14
    please?
        Α
              Craig Allen Francis Tucker.
15
              And you understand that you're here today for
16
         0
17
    a deposition?
              I do.
18
        Α
19
              MR. BRADY: I'm going to show you a document.
20
    Can you see on your screen --
              (Exhibit 1 was marked for identification
21
22
              and is attached hereto.)
              THE WITNESS: Let me change my view for a
23
24
    moment here. I see a Document 1.
    ///
25
```

```
BY MR. BRADY:
1
2
              Yes.
                    And can you tell me what that is?
3
    it says in the --
4
        Α
              It says "001."
5
        0
              Can you see the page that says,
    "United States District Court, Central District of
6
7
    California, Southern Division"?
              Yes, I can see it now.
8
        Α
              Okay. And it says, "Plaintiffs' Notice of
9
        0
10
    Deposition of Defendant's Expert Witness Colonel
11
    (Ret.) Craiq Tucker"?
12
        Α
              Correct.
                     And have you seen this document
13
        0
              Okay.
14
    before?
        Α
15
              Yes.
16
        0
                     And you understand that this is the
17
    reason you're here today, that you've been given a
18
    notice of a deposition in the matter of Rupp --
19
    Steven Rupp versus Rob Bonta?
20
        Α
              Yes.
21
        0
                     And you're doing so in your capacity
22
    as an expert witness on behalf of the State of
23
    California, the attorney general's office?
        Α
24
              Correct.
25
        Q
              Have you ever been deposed before,
```

1	Colonel Tucker?
2	A I was deposed many years ago. I was a second
3	lieutenant.
4	Q So you're not that familiar with the process.
5	Is that fair to say?
6	A Correct.
7	Q Well, if you ever have any questions or want
8	any clarity from either Mr. Echeverria or myself,
9	please feel free to pause and say, "Hey, I need some
10	guidance." We can take breaks whenever you want,
11	obviously, within reason. We have limited time here.
12	So, you know, I'm not a judge. I can't tell you to,
13	you know, sit down and listen. If you've got to do
14	something, you've got to do something. Just
15	understand we are on the clock.
16	So you understand, though, that even though
17	this is an informal setting and I don't have any
18	authority over you, that you are under oath, correct?
19	A I do understand that, yes.
20	Q So under the penalty of perjury, you are
21	testifying, correct?
22	A Correct.
23	Q And I would appreciate because we are most
24	likely going to engage in lots of technical terms, I
25	imagine, I assume, based on the subject matter, that

1 if you could speak slowly and clearly for the court 2 reporter, I will try to endeavor to do that myself. And, Madam Court Reporter, please 3 MR. BRADY: 4 feel free to remind us if we are speaking too quickly or if you don't understand or didn't hear a term. 5 6 This is a quite technical matter, so... 7 BY MR. BRADY: 8 0 So once -- as I was just indicating, there's 9 a court reporter. She will be transcribing everything 10 that we discuss today that's on the record. 11 Do you understand that? 12 Α I do. And that whatever you say here can be used in 13 0 14 the matter that you've been designated as an expert in by the State of California? 15 16 Α I understand that. 17 0 And at the end of this deposition, the 18 court reporter will make a transcript available of 19 everything we discussed, and you will have an 20 opportunity to review that and make any changes to 21 that transcript that you think did not reflect what 22 you said or that you wanted to clarify. But you should understand that any changes you do make will be 23 24 subject to my commenting on, right? 25 Do you understand that?

Α 1 I do, yes. 2 Q So far you're doing great as far as waiting for me to finish my question, so I don't think we're 3 4 going to have a problem there, but I would like to 5 remind you, let me finish my question before you jump 6 in with an answer. You might even want to pause for a 7 second to see if Mr. Echeverria is going to make an objection to my question. If he does indeed make an 8 objection, unless he instructs you not to answer, wait 9 10 for him to finish his objection; and unless he says, "Do not answer that question," then you are to go 11 12 ahead and answer that question. Do you understand? 13 14 Α I do. And, again, you're doing great on verbal 15 0 16 responses so far. Please say "yes" or "no," full 17 words. The court reporter can't really capture a 18 shaking of heads or "mm-hmms" and things of that 19 I'll do my best to try not to do that and to 20 remind you if you end up doing that. 21 Do you understand? 22 Α Yes. 23 Q Thank you. 24 I'm seeking your testimony today on your 25 knowledge. You're here as an expert, right?

-	
1	not asking you to guess at any answers. I want you to
2	only answer when you believe you know the answer.
3	Does that make sense?
4	A Yes.
5	Q If I ask for an estimate or something of that
6	nature, you can make an estimate, but I don't want you
7	guessing.
8	Do you know the difference do you
9	understand the difference between, like, an estimate
10	and guessing?
11	A Yes, I do. An estimate is going to be, in my
12	world, a dock, a tactical term. Guessing is just a
13	guess.
14	Q Got it.
15	A There's usually science behind an estimate.
16	Q You have knowledge. You just can't specify
17	the exactitude of something, right? But based on your
18	knowledge, you can say more or less this, right?
19	A That will depend upon the question.
20	Q Yeah, but I'm just asking generally.
21	You understand the difference between an
22	estimate like that and
23	A Yes.
24	Q saying, "Well, I guess this would be,"
25	right?

1	A I do.
2	Q So if you do not understand any of my
3	questions you didn't hear it or it didn't make
4	sense to you please don't answer a question that
5	you don't that you don't fully understand the
6	nature of. Feel free to ask me to repeat or clarify.
7	Okay?
8	A Okay.
9	Q Like, as I indicated, we can take breaks if
10	you need one. Just let me know, but please know ahead
11	of time that I'll probably be taking a five- to
12	10-minute break periodically every hour, hour and a
13	half or so.
14	MR. BRADY: But anybody who needs one, just
15	let me know, and we can address that.
16	BY MR. BRADY:
17	Q Are you taking any medications or have you
18	done anything not gotten any sleep last night? Is
19	there anything that would prevent you from giving your
20	best truthful testimony today?
21	A No, there's nothing that will prevent me from
22	giving my best truthful testimony today.
23	Q How did you prepare for this deposition?
24	A I prepared a number of ways. I prepared with
25	my lawyers. I prepared with my own research and

```
recall of events.
1
                        I prepared by, in some cases, using
2
    science to confirm my observations and what I've
3
    actually seen in a combat situation as a result of
    automatic rifle fire. And, of course, I wrote two
4
    declarations.
5
              Let me go ahead and -- do you see Exhibit 002
6
        0
7
    on your screen?
                          Hold on.
8
        Α
              I do, yes.
                                     It's not up yet.
9
              Okay.
                     I see it on my screen.
10
        0
              And do you recognize this document?
11
        Α
              I do.
12
        Q
              And can you explain what it is?
13
        Α
              This is a supplemental expert report and
14
    declaration on the subject of this court case.
              And did you prepare this document?
15
        0
16
        Α
              I did.
              MR. BRADY: And this will be marked as
17
    Exhibit 2.
18
              (Exhibit 2 was marked for identification
19
20
              and is attached hereto.)
              MR. BRADY: By the way, Madam Court Reporter,
21
22
    if I did not clearly mark the deposition notice as
23
    Exhibit 1, please indicate that it will be marked as
    Exhibit 1.
24
    ///
25
```

```
BY MR. BRADY:
1
2
              And so you were asked by the California
3
    attorney general's office to prepare this report in
    the titled matter, correct?
4
5
        Α
              Correct.
6
              MR. BRADY:
                          I'm now going to show you another
7
    document that I will mark as Exhibit 3.
              (Exhibit 3 was marked for identification
8
              and is attached hereto.)
9
    BY MR. BRADY:
10
              Do you recognize this document?
11
        0
12
        Α
              I am bringing it up now. Yes, I recognize
    this document.
13
14
        0
                     And can you explain what it is?
              This is Mr. J. Buford Boone III's expert
15
        Α
    witness rebuttal to my original declaration.
16
17
        0
              Okay. And have you reviewed this document?
18
        Α
              Yes, I have.
              Did you review it in preparation for this
19
        0
20
    deposition?
21
        Α
              Yes, I did.
22
              MR. BRADY:
                          I would now like to mark as
23
    Exhibit 4 the document you see on your screen.
              (Exhibit 4 was marked for identification
24
              and is attached hereto.)
25
```

Ī	
1	BY MR. BRADY:
2	Q Do you recognize this document?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And can you tell me what it is, please?
5	A This is my supplemental surrebuttal to
6	Mr. Boone's rebuttal to my original declaration.
7	Q Okay. And you authored this document?
8	A Yes.
9	Q I'd like to go back to <u>Exhibit 2</u> and ask you
10	a little bit about your background. It says in
11	paragraph 2 where you start off your professional
12	qualifications that you are a colonel in the
13	United States Marine Corps, retired.
14	I assume that you did not enter the
15	Marine Corps with the rank of colonel; is that
16	correct?
17	A That is correct.
18	Q I don't see any other ranks provided in your
19	professional qualifications. Could you, beginning
20	with the first rank you had in the Marine Corps, tell
21	us what your first rank was?
22	A My first rank in the United States
23	Marine Corps was as a candidate at
24	Officer Candidate School.
25	Q A candidate at Officer Candidate School?

1	A Correct.
2	Q Is that a rank?
3	A It's considered it is for the purposes of
4	pay and where you fit in the infrastructure. If we
5	were going with the first rank and grade, it would be
6	second lieutenant.
7	Q And what were your day-to-day
8	responsibilities as a second lieutenant?
9	A I commanded a rifle platoon of forty Marines
10	and four Navy corpsmen.
11	Q Okay. And what did that look like? What
12	was was it during war time?
13	A This was a period of 1982 to 1984.
14	Q Okay. And what were you doing on a
15	day-to-day basis as a second lieutenant?
16	A Training my Marines.
17	Q And what did that look like?
18	A It's a variety of education which takes the
19	form of some classroom training and decision-making
20	training. It takes the form of individual and
21	collective tasks where you have to train individual
22	Marines in their specialty and then coalesce those
23	Marines into a collective unit and then train that
24	unit collectively. And you train across a very broad
25	spectrum of operations, from stability operations to
ļ	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Colonel Craig Tucker - March 16, 2023

mid-intensity to high-intensity combat. And that was -- and putting those Marines in shape for combat. That was my day-to-day experience. Some of that training involved extended deployments, and some of it involved just training day to day. Did any of that training involve rifles? 0 Α Training focuses on the rifleman. 0 And so how often are you using a rifle on a day-to-day basis as a second lieutenant? Α I use a rifle weapon system on a day-to-day That rifle weapon system consists of the basis. weapon, a Marine, and all the other accruements that would go into turning that Marine into a weapon. So on a daily basis, yes. What sort of activities were you doing with a 0 rifle in your capacity as a second lieutenant? Α We would do a long-distance training, which was required, annual training event for all Marines. You spend two weeks of training to fire the weapon. Regardless of your experience, you spend two weeks doing what they call dry fire where you practice weapon control, proper techniques for controlling the And then you spend a week at the rifle range weapon. where you're actually firing the weapon from 200 meters out to 500 meters at the prone, kneeling,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Colonel Craig Tucker - March 16, 2023

and standing positions. That is the purpose of that training, is to get Marines familiar with and confident in the capabilities of their weapon system. You take that training out and you use it to engage in and train for large-level force-on-force operations where units, using blanks and in some cases Simunitions, will conduct large-scale training exercises that involve force-on-force exercise where you're actually employing those weapons without live ammunition. At some point, you are discharging live ammunition, though, correct? Α Discharge live ammunition on the rifle range. And then there are rifle ranges where you would discharge live ammunition in a rifle maneuver course where you would maneuver with your weapon and shoot at moving targets that are arrayed and simulated by a rangemaster. And what types of targets are you shooting at -- or are your Marines shooting at? Are these paper targets or --Α The targets on the known distance course are There's a variety of other targetry paper targets. available. The most common target is the pop-up target that has a silhouette -- a gray silhouette of a

1	human that responds to rifle fire. So if you hit it
2	with a weapon, it will lay down.
3	Those advanced over the years to respond to
4	wounding shots also. So if you aimed and wounded it,
5	it would pop down and pop back up. Those have
6	progressed now to where targets actually can move
7	based on complexity capabilities and can shoot back.
8	So the targets now can maneuver against the force.
9	Q Are those targets made out of metal?
10	A Those targets are made out of a metal with
11	a a metal protective base around the bottom of the
12	target where all the electronics might be. The rest
13	of it, I would describe it as a very hard, dense
14	plastic.
15	Q A hard, dense plastic.
16	And what rifles are you shooting at this
17	time?
18	A As second lieutenant, I was firing the M16A1.
19	Q And were your other Marines, the Marines you
20	were training, firing the M16 as well?
21	A They were trained firing the M16A1. I
22	transitioned to the A2 with I think within
23	two years of joining the Marine Corps.
24	Q And what caliber are those rifles?
25	A Those rifles fire 5.56 NATO.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Colonel Craig Tucker - March 16, 2023

0 5.56 NATO. When you shoot those plastic targets, does it show what kind of -- is it obvious that the target has been shot? Like visibly, I know that it can tell you it's been shot by laying down, right, but does it leave a mark on the target? Α Yes. 0 And do you have any idea how long those targets last being shot at by Marines all day? Α The capacity of the targets is surprisingly pretty high. The 5.56 round leaves, at its entry point, a relatively small entry point, so that is visible on these targets. The targets are also responsive to different calibers of weapons. So, for example, you may have a target out there that represents a vehicle, and a 5.56 round will have no impact on it. A 7.62 round would. So the targets vary based on -- they're not responsive -- the targets would not be responsive to a round that would not be capable of taking down that particular target. Q What's a 7.62 round? Α That's the M240 machine gun round. Q And so it's a bigger round than the 5.56? Α Yes.

1	Q Is it used in any rifles that Marines carry?
2	A The round is used in some sniper rifles
3	carried by Marines and Navy special warfare.
4	Q Would those be bolt-action rifles or
5	automatics?
6	A Marine Corps uses bolt-action rifles for
7	their snipers.
8	Now, just to clarify, we're in my second
9	lieutenant days here still?
10	Q Yes, sir. We are getting so that's a
11	perfect transition. Let's get to the next phase of
12	your career in the Marine Corps.
13	What was after second lieutenant?
14	A I became a first lieutenant.
15	Q And what were your day-to-day
16	responsibilities in that capacity?
17	A As a first lieutenant, I was given a senior
18	captain's position as a company commander in a supply
19	battalion because of Marine Corps' efforts to bring a
20	more tactical approach to Marine Corps logistics, so
21	they put infantry officers in command of these units
22	for in my case, it was one year.
23	Q Were you carrying a rifle during your time as
24	a first lieutenant?
25	A In this billet I did not carry a in this

billet I was assigned a 9 millimeter -- in those days 1 2 a .45 caliber pistol. All officers were assigned .45 caliber pistols outside of the infantry units. 3 4 And what year was it, if you recall, that you became a first lieutenant? 5 Α 1984. 6 7 0 And I'm sorry if I need -- can I go back and 8 ask you when you became a first lieutenant, what year 9 that was? 10 Α A second lieutenant, sir? I'm sorry. Second lieutenant. 11 0 That was in 1981. 12 Α So you were a second lieutenant for 13 0 Okay. approximately three years? 14 No, it shouldn't be that much. Well, yeah, 15 Α 16 six months of school where it -- until you graduate 17 you don't start serving towards your -- what they call 18 your time in grade for the next rank. So in that 19 time, the time in grade from second lieutenant to 20 first lieutenant was 18 months to two years. 21 Q Okay. 22 Α So that would be '83, '84-ish. And how long were you a first lieutenant for? 23 Q 24 Α I left my -- I was a first lieutenant -- I'm 25 doing employment math in my head here. I was a first

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Colonel Craig Tucker - March 16, 2023

lieutenant for two and a half years. There was a lag between selection and promotion. So you might be selected, and then a year later you finally get promoted. So approximately 1986, then? 0 Okay. Α No, it would be 1985 because I left supply battalion as a first lieutenant and assumed another company, another captain's billet, and then was promoted to captain in 1985. 0 Okay. That was the next step after first lieutenant? Α Correct. Before we leave first lieutenant and 0 Okav. go to captain, were you doing any training of Marines on rifles as a first lieutenant? Α I spent part of my first lieutenancy as a staff officer at a battalion headquarters. And in that capacity, the training that I had mentioned earlier is the same type of training, but whereas in the infantry, we would be out there every day. headquarters unit, it would be maybe one or two days a week because their job priority is something else. So -- rifle training, just less of it, is 0 that fair to say, as a first lieutenant? Α I was giving rifle training in creative ways

1 that allowed -- the type of training I would give 2 infantrymen would be accomplished in a shorter period of time available to the headquarter staff. 3 A supply battalion is a first lieutenant. 4 5 The only experience I had there with an M16 was on the 6 rifle range as I mentioned earlier, that two-week 7 period where we -- requalifying the rifle. 8 0 Got it. So before we move to your experience as a 9 10 captain, I just wanted to ask you, you repeated the 11 word "billet." Can you just briefly explain what a 12 billet is? A billet is a position. So a company 13 14 commander of a rifle company, the company commander is the billet. So if you say that I was assigned to a 15 16 company commander's billet as a first lieutenant, that 17 means that position is -- not reserved, but that 18 position has a captain's level of responsibility. 19 0 Got it. 20 So, then, would I be using that term correctly by saying, "So your next billet after first 21 lieutenant was captain?" 22 No, my next rank after first lieutenant was 23 Α 24 My next billet was the guard company 25 commander in Adak, Alaska.

0 Understood. Okay. So let me ask this 1 2 question, then. In your rank as a captain, what was 3 vour billet? Is that a correct question? 4 Α Yes. 0 Can you answer that question, please? 5 6 Α I spent two and a half years as a captain at 7 the Marine Barracks Adak where I was the quard company 8 commander responsible for quarding nuclear weapons on that island that were used in the -- in that 9 10 particular region in the world as the Soviets were building up Kamchatka. I also was responsible for all 11 12 the ground search and rescue for the Alaska peninsula in the Aleutian Islands. 13 In that particular billet, I 14 was administratively assigned to the Coast Guard. When I left Adak, I took a Marine Corps team 15 up to the summit of Mount McKinley, or Denali, and 16 17 then from there went to school for six months -- that 18 military police school for six months. 19 Following that I went to Jump School, and 20 following that I spent four years as a rifle company, a weapons company commander, the 3rd Battalion, 21 22 8th Marines, and that portion included the first Gulf War. 23 24 0 Were you deployed in the first Gulf War? 25 Α I was deployed for nine months during the

```
1
    first Gulf War.
                      I was a weapons company commander --
2
    at the time.
                  Right.
              (Simultaneous speakers.)
3
    BY MR. BRADY:
4
5
             And in that capacity, were you -- as a rifle
        0
6
    company commander, were you carrying a rifle?
7
        Α
              Yes.
8
        0
             What percentage of your time as a captain was
    that, that you were carrying a rifle?
9
              I carried a rifle for seven years in that
10
        Α
             The only time I was not assigned a rifle was
11
12
    the six months I was at school and however long --
    three weeks I was at Jump School.
13
14
        0
             Got it.
              Following -- after you were a captain, was
15
16
    there another rank before you reached colonel?
17
        Α
              Yes.
18
        Q
             And what was that?
19
              I was deep selected. In other words, I was
        Α
20
    selected a year before my peers to the rank of major,
    and I was -- that was my billet, was the commanding
21
22
    officer of the security company at Camp David in
23
    Marvland.
                That was a by name -- by-name assignment
24
    based on my performance as a company commander and my
25
    nomination for the Leftwich Trophy.
```

1	Q And were you carrying a rifle during that
2	billet?
3	A In that billet, I was assigned on duty. I
4	was assigned a pistol. I did not carry a rifle in
5	that billet. My Marines carried rifles, and they
6	trained with those weapons.
7	Q Were you doing that training?
8	A Yes. I was responsible for all that
9	training.
10	Q And how long did you serve as a major?
11	A So I started out my first billet as a
12	major was a student at the United States Army Command
13	and General Staff College, and then I was selected to
14	attend their I received a master's degree from the
15	Army college, and then I received a second master's
16	degree from the school of advanced warfare.
17	After that I was assigned as
18	Lieutenant General Zinni's senior planner and first
19	battalion I'm sorry in
20	I First Marine Expeditionary Force.
21	In that capacity, I rewrote the Korean OP
22	plan for the Marine Corps. I'm still a major. After
23	two years in that billet, I'm selected as an
24	operations officer for a Marine Expeditionary Unit.
25	And I deployed eight months for that unit and spent a

1	little bit over a year there and was promoted to
2	lieutenant colonel in that billet.
3	Q You said "promoted" or "demoted"?
4	A Promoted to lieutenant colonel. So it goes
5	major, then lieutenant colonel.
6	Q Understood.
7	And what year were you promoted to lieutenant
8	colonel?
9	A It would have been 1998.
10	Q And what was your billet as lieutenant
11	colonel?
12	A I started off as the operations officer for
13	the 7th Marine Regiment. I spent a year there and
14	then assumed command of 2nd Battalion, 7th Marines,
15	which is an infantry battalion in the
16	7th Marine Regiment. And I spent two years and
17	commanded that battalion, and I deployed them to
18	Okinawa in Japan.
19	Q Were you carrying a rifle during this billet?
20	A I carried a rifle in particular situations
21	depending upon the thread in intelligence. However, I
22	had 1200 Marines who carried weapons and were trained
23	on those weapons in the same capacity I spoke of
24	before. I just have more people training every day
25	than I did when I was a platoon commander.

1	Q And you were personally doing the training?
2	A I'm responsible for their training and I am
3	responsible for establishing that training program and
4	I'm responsible for assessing and evaluating how well
5	my unit does in that program.
6	Q Would you be there personally evaluating them
7	as a major?
8	A I would. I'm a lieutenant colonel now. I'm
9	sorry.
10	Q Oh. Apologies. Correct.
11	A Yes, I would be.
12	Q And I assume after lieutenant colonel, you
13	then reached the rank of colonel?
14	A I had one more billet as lieutenant colonel,
15	and I was the operations officer for the Marine Corps
16	Training and Education Command where I was responsible
17	for
18	Q Sorry?
19	A Which is responsible for all Marine Corps
20	training programs and all Marine Corps education
21	programs. I was the operations officer for that
22	organization. My job was to coordinate all those
23	efforts, integrate them and incorporate them into an
24	institutional-level training education program. I was
25	promoted to colonel in that billet. And then from

1 there I assumed command of 7th Marine Regiment. 2 now I'm a colonel. And what year was that? 3 0 4 Α 2003. 0 So this is during the Iraq War? 5 I assumed command in the summer and -- the 6 Α 7 summer of 2003 and I deployed to Iraq initially for 8 three weeks in January 2004 and then I took my 9,000-person regiment to Iraq in February of 2004. 9 10 0 And how long were you a colonel for? 2003 until when? 11 12 Α 2006. And you left the Marine Corps with the rank 13 0 of colonel? 14 Α Correct. 15 Had you ever been -- had your rank reduced? 16 0 17 Α No. Were you ever relieved of command? 18 Q The billet I assumed after 7th Marines was 19 Α 20 the Tactical Training and Exercise Control Group, and what I was responsible for, training infantry 21 22 battalions and certifying their -- that they are 23 prepared for combat. I was relieved of command in that billet 24 25 based on an incident that had happened 22 months

```
earlier prior to the deployment to Iraq. As a result
1
2
    of that incident, I received administrative
3
    punishment, a letter of reprimand, and retired from
4
    the Marine Corps.
5
             Was that incident the reason for your
        0
6
    retirement from the Marine Corps?
7
        Α
             Yes, I retired from the Marine Corps because
    of that incident.
8
             I don't know if -- well, what was the
9
10
    incident, if you -- you don't have to go into too much
    detail if it's too sensitive. What was it relating
11
12
    to?
13
             MR. ECHEVERRIA: Objection. Outside the
    scope of his testimony and irrelevant.
14
15
             But you may answer.
16
             THE WITNESS: It was described as an office
    affair.
17
18
    BY MR. BRADY:
19
        0
             Understood.
20
              In your report, going to paragraph 4, I
    believe it's on your screen. And this is Exhibit 2.
21
22
             Paragraph 4. Is that on your screen?
             It is. After I retired?
23
        Α
                   Actually, you know what? Let me back
24
             Yes.
25
    up before I go there. I just have one quick question.
```

```
I'm going to mark as Exhibit 5
1
              MR. BRADY:
2
    what I believe is your redacted DD214 form.
              (Exhibit 5 was marked for identification
3
              and is attached hereto.)
4
    BY MR. BRADY:
5
              Is that what's on the screen?
6
        0
7
        Α
              Yes.
              And is this the redacted DD214 form that you
8
        0
9
    provided to Mr. Echeverria?
10
        Α
              I provided the form. My understanding is
    that my lawyers redacted personal and financial
11
12
    information.
13
              So you did not perform these redactions?
        0
14
        Α
              No, I did not perform these redactions.
                     Do you know under -- if you look under
15
        0
              Okay.
16
    18, "Remarks," there's a redaction.
17
        Α
              Yes.
              You did not make that redaction?
18
        0
              MR. ECHEVERRIA: Objection. Irrelevant.
19
20
    Outside the scope of testimony. And vague and
21
    ambiguous as to what making a redaction is.
22
    BY MR. BRADY:
23
              Do you understand the question,
    Colonel Tucker?
24
25
        Α
              I do.
```

```
Can you answer -- did you redact the line
1
        0
2
    under "Remarks" on this form?
        Α
             All the redactions on that form were made by
3
4
    my lawyers.
             You did not --
5
        0
6
              (Simultaneous speakers.)
    BY MR. BRADY:
7
             You did not direct them to make that
8
        0
9
    redaction?
10
        Α
             No.
             Do you know what is under that redaction?
11
        0
12
             MR. ECHEVERRIA:
                               Objection.
                                            Relevance.
13
    Outside the scope of his expert testimony. And we
14
    preserve our objections to producing private
    information of an expert witness that is not relevant
15
    to the expert testimony provided in this matter.
16
17
             And the witness may answer, if able.
18
             THE WITNESS: Yes, I know what's underneath
19
    there.
20
    BY MR. BRADY:
21
              Is what's underneath there would you consider
        0
22
    to be personal information along the lines of a
    Social Security number or an address or something
23
24
    identifying you in a way that you would not want to be
    identified?
25
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Colonel Craig Tucker - March 16, 2023

Α Yes. Q Okay. Going back to Exhibit 2, your report, now you have left the military, right? And you are an assistant deputy administrator for the Office of Secure Transportation; is that correct? That is correct. Α 0 Okay. What did that entail? Α Office of Secure Transportation is an organization within the National Nuclear Security agency that transports nuclear weapons by ground and air, mostly by ground, throughout the United States. It's -- at the time I was there, it was about a 1600-person organization, including 800 federal agents who were tactically trained to defend ground shipments of nuclear weapons and special nuclear materials. 0 So the job of the federal agents associated with the OST is to defend nuclear assets? Α It is to protect air and ground shipments of nuclear weapons, especially nuclear materials. Q Do those agents perform any combat functions? Yes, their training is all combat tactics, Α techniques, and procedures, in addition to how to drive the vehicles. They receive the same training I gave to my infantry battalion. Are they sworn members of the military? Q

1 Α No, they're federal agents. 2 Q Would those agents ever be called upon to 3 perform an offensive combat operation? 4 Yes, our training was based on offensive 5 operations. Our tactics -- our tactics provided a protection at depth, so if there was an issue with one 6 7 of the vehicles, that organization -- agents from outside of the immediate area would respond 8 9 offensively. 10 0 Can you explain that? If there was -- let me see if I'm understanding. If there was an attack of 11 12 some kind on the nuclear assets that the agents were protecting, then they would call in backup to form an 13 14 offensive counterattack against those people. what you're saying? 15 I have to be careful here. I want to be able 16 Α 17 to explain it without giving too much tactics away. Q 18 Of course. The answer is no. Think of that whole 19 Α 20 element traveling in a bubble. So you've got a convoy 21 element that's providing post security. Then you have 22 successive layers of other elements that are in their vehicles and are traveling along with you that are 23 24 capable to respond if there's an incident. So they're 25 all part of the same organization. They just have

1	different roles.
2	Q Got it.
3	And you indicate they are carrying they're
4	armed with M4s?
5	A M4s and .45 caliber pistols.
6	Q Were you armed with an M4 in your role for
7	the OST?
8	A I was not armed with an M4 in my role in OST.
9	I was responsible for training, but I did not carry an
10	M4.
11	Q You were training the agents?
12	A Correct.
13	Q On the M4 system?
14	A On the tactical employment and weapons
15	handling of the M4 in offensive combat.
16	Q You say that you were also the department's
17	Render Safe program. Can you explain briefly what
18	that entailed?
19	A That is a group of scientists who from the
20	national labs who deploy with tier 1 assets in the
21	event of a radiation issue anywhere in the world.
22	When they get there, they render it safe, which is
23	where the name comes from.
24	Q And without disclosing confidential secure
25	tactics or whatever, what does that involve? Does

1	that involve weapons?
2	A No. The tier 1 assets are the same ones that
3	you hear about, the military assets, the Navy
4	development group, and the Delta Force as well as the
5	FBI's Counter Assault Team. Those are the tier 1
6	assets. And if there was an incident, they would
7	deploy and provide the necessary security and legal
8	authority. We would deploy to provide technical
9	assistance to render whatever it was safe.
10	Q And how long were you at the OST for?
11	A Three years.
12	Q You began in 2006; is that right?
13	A Correct.
14	Q So you left 2009?
15	A 2009.
16	Q And why did you leave?
17	A I left because I had a drinking problem in
18	those days.
19	Q Was it your decision to leave that role, or
20	was that decision made for you?
21	A It was a mutual decision.
22	Q Okay. Let's go to so I've gone to
23	paragraph 8 of Exhibit 2, your report first report.
24	And it says you currently serve as a trainer and
25	planner for the City of Albuquerque's Office of

1	Emergency Management; is that correct?
2	A That is correct.
3	Q And what does that entail?
4	A That entails coordinating and I'm sorry.
5	Well, first coordinating plans and then training
6	personnel in those plans and what they call whole
7	community effort. So my training exercise plans
8	incorporate everything from every hospital to
9	community groups, and you try to bring them together
10	into a common understanding of how emergency
11	management works in the city and how they can prepare
12	themselves if they get caught in an emergency.
13	Q Does your work in that capacity involve AR-15
14	rifles?
15	A No.
16	Q Or M4s?
17	A No.
18	Q So the training and the policies you're
19	working on in that capacity wouldn't have anything to
20	do with, say, the Albuquerque Police Department's use
21	of weapon systems?
22	A There's one area where we provide the
23	specific training and because emergency management
24	is primarily coordinating effort.
1	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Colonel Craig Tucker - March 16, 2023

training, because I have experience with it, and that is the initial link-up in an active shooter situation in a school, the initial link-up with the multitudes of law enforcement and the school administration and how you manage that properly, and then what the next steps should be. So in that sense, talking to law enforcement officers about the challenges of, you know, attacking an automatic rifle with a pistol, we've gone into multiple discussions on the value of the automatic rifle versus other tools. 0 And is it your understanding that those officers have automatic rifles? They do not. Α 0 They do not? To my knowledge. I do not know how the Α Albuquerque Police Department allocates those weapons. 0 Is it your understanding that Albuquerque Police Department does have those weapons? Α My understanding is that the Albuquerque Police Department has an automatic rifle in their special weapons and tactics team. Q So it's your understanding that -- are you referring to SWAT officers? I'm referring to the team itself, yes, the Α

1	tactical team.
2	Q We've seen every movie in Hollywood, you
3	know, production, those SWAT teams, right?
4	A Right, SWAT teams. Yeah, exactly.
5	Q So you're saying outside of the SWAT team,
6	it's your understanding that Albuquerque police
7	officers do not have AR-15s or M4s?
8	A I do not know what the allocation is outside
9	of their SWAT teams.
10	Q Would it surprise you if they assigned their
11	non-SWAT officers AR-15s?
12	MR. ECHEVERRIA: Objection. Vague.
13	BY MR. BRADY:
14	Q Do you understand the question,
15	Colonel Tucker?
16	A I do.
17	Q Can you answer, please?
18	A Could you repeat the question, please?
19	Q Yeah, sure. Would it surprise you if the
20	Albuquerque Police Department issued its non-SWAT
21	officers AR-15 rifles?
22	A In my work with Innovative Reasoning when I
23	was developing critical decision-making course for law
24	enforcement officers, which won a training innovation
25	award because it's focused on decision-making, but it

```
1
    became clear to me that there are police agencies out
2
    there that had made the decision to put a rifle, in
    some cases an automatic rifle, into the -- either
3
    secure the vehicle and the trunks of vehicles.
4
5
    those officers who are specifically trained to use the
    weapon, they are not -- they're not arbitrarily
6
7
    assigned.
               So it would not surprise me -- based on
    that information and my personal knowledge that other
8
    police agencies are doing it, it would not surprise me
9
10
    if Albuquerque used those weapons also.
             Just to clarify, when you say "automatic
11
        0
12
    rifle," are you referring to the M4 select-fire rifle,
    or are you including the semiautomatic-only AR-15 in
13
14
    that?
              I will be more specific. In my previous
15
        Α
16
    conversations, I was referring to both. I will be
17
    more specific.
18
        Q
             Thank you. And I'll --
19
              (Simultaneous speakers.)
20
             THE WITNESS:
                           -- in my nomenclature.
21
    BY MR. BRADY:
22
        Q
             Understood.
             So you're saying -- am I correct in
23
24
    understanding that you're saying that the officers
25
    are -- who are especially trained can be issued an
```

1 AR-15 or M4? 2 My understanding is that officers are issued an AR-15 or M4 only after receiving specialized 3 training in the offensive employment of those weapons. 4 5 Is it your -- do you have any opinion on 0 6 whether the practice by law enforcement agencies in 7 this country to issue their officers AR-15s is common? MR. ECHEVERRIA: Objection. Outside the 8 scope of the expert's testimony in this matter. 9 10 THE WITNESS: I would say that it is 11 common -- more common in some regions in the country 12 than in others. In the region I was -- for example, in the southeast, it's fairly common. 13 14 northwest, it is not. BY MR. BRADY: 15 And you base that on your personal experience 16 0 17 with those agencies? 18 Α Interactions with those agencies, yes. 19 And in what capacity were you interacting 0 20 with those agencies? 21 Α I developed -- well, myself and two others 22 had developed a crisis decision-making course for law enforcement officers that was focused on -- primarily 23 24 focused on the decision-making that happens in the 25 microseconds before you make a decision to pull the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Colonel Craig Tucker - March 16, 2023

trigger or not. So we provided a weeklong training course on that decision point. And I did it in a number of police departments, in Arkansas, Colorado, and Washington State. Do you personally have any law enforcement 0 training? Α I received -- I went to the U.S. Army's Military Police School as a captain. However, that training is all tactical. Very limited law enforcement training. Do you have any civilian law enforcement 0 training? I have a degree in criminal justice. Other than that, no. Do you have any formal self-defense firearm training? MR. ECHEVERRIA: Objection. Vaque as to the meaning of "self-defense firearm training." The training that I have is THE WITNESS: focused more on close combat. So that's not necessarily considered self-defense. It's considered post combat. And the weapons used in that milieu is -- melee is anything you get your hands on, really. But I don't -- if the intent is a self-defense class organized in Albuquerque, New Mexico, that focuses on

1 self-defense with a pistol in the home, no, I have not 2 taken that training. BY MR. BRADY: 3 Would you consider yourself an expert on home 4 self-defense? 5 I consider myself an expert on protecting my 6 Α 7 property. Well, that's good to hear, but do you 8 consider yourself an expert on training people about 9 10 personal self-defense? Yes, based on the training that I've provided 11 Α 12 or structured, for those circumstances of close battle that would mimic or actually be the same as the 13 self-defense, for example, a Marine goes into a room, 14 no one follows him and he's in there by himself and 15 16 three folks come at him. Those are a little bit 17 different tactics than if you have -- get everybody in 18 a circle and everybody shoots outward. So, yes, I 19 would call myself an expert at protecting your 20 property. 21 0 Have you ever provided training to anyone on 22 home self-defense? I have not. 23 Α 24 Have you ever provided any training to anyone 25 on personal self-defense outside of a combat

A My daughters a little bit. That's it. Q You say you're an expert on defending you property; is that correct? A At protecting my property. Q Can you explain without revealing any sec you have for bad guys who come a-knocking I can appreciate that but can you explain what,	
property; is that correct? A At protecting my property. Can you explain without revealing any sec you have for bad guys who come a-knocking I can	
A At protecting my property. Q Can you explain without revealing any sec you have for bad guys who come a-knocking I can	r
Q Can you explain without revealing any sec you have for bad guys who come a-knocking I can	
you have for bad guys who come a-knocking I can	
	rets
8 appreciate that but can you explain what	
o appreciace chae but can you exprain what,	
essentially, your tactics or strategies are for	
defending your property?	
A I'm going to say, first of all, I don't	
really worry about it all that much, but I am prud	ent.
So my property is protected by two gates, both whi	ch
were here when I moved into the property. The	a
giant Schnauzer, two large 200-pound mountain goat	s,
and that's so you've got to get through two gat	es,
four fences, past a giant Schnauzer, and past the	
goats. If you get into the house, my self-defense	is
a bear spray and a commando knife.	
Q No firearms?	
A I do not use firearms for self-defense.	
Q Do you own any firearms?	
23 A I do.	
Q For what purpose?	
A I have a Winchester 30-06, bolt action th	at I

1 use for hunting, but I don't hunt anymore, so it sits 2 I have a Marlin lever-action 30-30 that I use when I'm mountaineering or backpacking with my goats 3 to protect them from lion. I have a .410- and a 4 5 12-gauge shotgun, eight of which is used. And I have a model 70 .45 caliber pistol that was given to me in 6 7 recognition of my leadership in Fallujah. 8 0 You don't own any AR-15s? 9 Α I do not. 10 0 Do you have any certifications on small arms? I have awards of how well I shoot small arms. 11 Α 12 I don't have certifications in that sense of certified 13 on a particular weapon system. 14 Have you ever conducted any presentations on small arms on behalf of the military? 15 In terms of employment of the 16 Α Well, yes. 17 weapon system, it was fairly constant training in the 18 infantry. 19 Let me clarify. 0 20 Α Sure. Obviously, you presented your Marines, right, 21 0 22 this is how you use this rifle. I'm asking more off the -- off the range, being asked to provide 23 presentations on whether a certain weapon system 24 should be utilized or not. 25

Α No, I have not. 1 2 Q What about -- have you done any such 3 presentations for ammunition selection? 4 Α No, I have not. 5 Do you have any training in ballistics? 0 6 Α My training in ballistics is focused on how 7 the weapon functions, how it expels that round, and how that round travels and what happens when that 8 round hits another human being. That's my interest in 9 10 that. So have you had any training on measuring 11 0 12 ballistics and conducting ballistic tests of 13 ammunition? 14 Α We had a ballistics test we used in Fallujah that was based on how many cats could get into the 15 16 exit wound of somebody that had been shot with a 5.56, 17 the heat from the inside out. So if it was a two-cat 18 wound or a one-cat wound, but that's the only time 19 I've ever used a measurement for ballistics. 20 Q Can you explain that scenario? You're in combat. There's a --21 Α Sure. 22 somebody -- actually, in this case, a group of people that are dead and what is now no-man's zone because 23 24 you've got offensive and defensive lines set up. 25 you're sitting there preparing for the defense and

1 watch cats walk over and start eating their way into a 2 human being from the exit hole of somebody you shot earlier that day. The dogs just grab pieces and 3 4 leave, but the cats stay. And so we used to measure 5 the exit wound by how many cats could get their heads inside the exit wound. 6 7 0 And were there any controls for the cat 8 study? Like, did you confirm that it was a single wound or wound from a single bullet or two bullets or 9 10 five bullets? Was there any way to confirm that? 11 Α I can only think of one instance where I 12 could confirm it was one shot. And do you recall how many cats it took in 13 0 that instance? 14 That was a two-cat exit wound. Α 15 16 0 So a single 5.56 round caused an exit wound 17 large enough for two cat heads? 18 Α Correct. But, if I could, I've seen -- very seldom was one round fired with an AR-15. 19 20 usually fired in a sequence with many other rounds. So the voracity of the combat action, we spent a lot 21 22 of time going back later and figuring out what 23 happened so we could apply that further in the next 24 go-round. And what does that look like? Are you doing, 25 Q

like, forensics? 1 2 In this case, there are people that are trained to look at a combat situation, and based on 3 various pieces of intelligence and information that 4 5 could be garnered from that situation, to include generally the memories of the folks that are still 6 7 happy to be there, they are trained to discern a 8 pattern of attack. And the purpose of that is to make sure that if those patterns of attack, we start to see 9 10 changes or they start to adapt, that we recognize those immediately. So anytime there was a contact, if 11 12 safe, we would analyze the -- analyze location and 13 make it. Got it. 14 0 I'm going to jump to the end of your report. 15 16 I believe it's on -- so there's an exhibit attached to 17 your report, correct? And that's your CV? 18 Α Yes. 19 On the last page of your CV, page 6 of 6 --20 I'm trying to get to it. All right. Page 6 of 6, is 21 this a correct --22 Α I don't see my CV. Is it up? 23 Q I have it up on my screen. Exhibit 2. 24 Α Okay. Hold on. I lost myself here. 25 Q Do you see it on your screen? It says

1 "Papers"? 2 Which one was it? I see... So on your screen, there should be a page 3 0 4 that says "Papers" and "Education." It's the sixth 5 page of your CV, the last page of your report. 6 Α Yes. Okay. 7 0 Do you see that? 8 Α I'm catching up here. "Papers" and "Education," yes. 9 10 0 Are you aware that the Federal Rules of Civil 11 Procedure require you to disclose all publications 12 you've made in the last ten years? 13 Α I did not. 14 0 So would this list -- do you know if -- have you provided all publications that you've 15 16 made in the last ten years on this list? 17 Α This list was specifically designed for 18 papers that were written on behalf of the military. Ι 19 did not include everything I had written. 20 was a Daily Beast article I cowrote with some folks that is not on here. And I can't think of anything 21 22 I've written a number of articles and papers 23 when I was deployed, but those were for internal 24 consumption. 25 Q Yeah, the rule only requires publications, so

```
1
    anything you did on behalf of the military internal
2
    wouldn't apply. But you're saying there are
3
    publications that you have made that are not included
4
    in your report?
5
             MR. ECHEVERRIA: Objection. Mischaracterizes
6
    the testimony.
    BY MR. BRADY:
7
              You indicated a Daily Beast article?
8
        0
                    I coauthored a Daily Beast article.
9
        Α
10
        0
             Other than that, are there any other articles
    that you can think of that you published in the last
11
    ten years that are not included on this list?
12
              I'm thinking, because there's articles out
13
        Α
14
    there on machine learning, the use of AI in
    decision-making. But to my knowledge, none of those
15
    have been published.
16
17
        0
             Got it.
18
             What was the Daily Beast article that you
19
    coauthored about?
20
        Α
              It was in response to an NRA video by a young
    lady whose name I can't recall. It was a direct
21
22
    response to that.
              (Simultaneous speakers.)
23
    BY MR. BRADY:
24
             Was it Dana Loesch, L-O-E-S-C-H?
25
        Q
```

	_
A I believe so, yes.	
Q Was it responding to just her video or	
anything else?	
A It was it was in response to that video.	
Q Does the name Dom Raso, D-O-M, R-A-S-O, sound	
familiar?	
A No.	
Q What about Grant Stinchfield?	
A The last name triggered a burst of	
recognition, but I can't place him.	
Q Do you know what the Latin phrase "qui bono"	
means, Q-U-I, B-O-N-O?	
A I do not.	
MR. BRADY: I'd like to mark as Exhibit 6	
can you see on your screen a document titled "The NRA	
Has Entered the Province of Cowards"?	
A Yes, that's the Daily Beast article.	
(Exhibit 6 was marked for identification	
and is attached hereto.)	
BY MR. BRADY:	
Q Okay. So this is the article you were	
referring to that you coauthored?	
A Correct.	
Q So the "Craig Tucker" right here on the first	
page is referring to you, Colonel?	
	Q Was it responding to just her video or anything else? A It was it was in response to that video. Q Does the name Dom Raso, D-O-M, R-A-S-O, sound familiar? A No. Q What about Grant Stinchfield? A The last name triggered a burst of recognition, but I can't place him. Q Do you know what the Latin phrase "qui bono" means, Q-U-I, B-O-N-O? A I do not. MR. BRADY: I'd like to mark as Exhibit 6 can you see on your screen a document titled "The NRA Has Entered the Province of Cowards"? A Yes, that's the Daily Beast article. (Exhibit 6 was marked for identification and is attached hereto.) BY MR. BRADY: Q Okay. So this is the article you were referring to that you coauthored? A Correct. Q So the "Craig Tucker" right here on the first

1	A Yes.
2	Q And you coauthored this article with looks
3	like Kyleanne Hunter and Joe do you know how to say
4	his last name?
5	A Joe Plenzler.
6	Q Do you know those two individuals?
7	A I know Joe Plenzler.
8	Q Do you know Kyleanne Hunter?
9	A I do not. Let me back up a second. I have
10	corresponded with Kyleanne in the process of writing
11	this article. I've never met her.
12	Q How did you all get put together to write
13	this article?
14	A Joe contacted me after the video came out. I
15	had much of the same response that he had to it. And
16	he asked if I'd be willing to coauthor an article with
17	him, and I said I would.
18	Q Okay. When you say "coauthor," did you write
19	the content of this article, or did somebody else
20	write it and you offered your name in the byline?
21	A It was initially cowritten. We divided kind
22	of the outline up into thirds, and then it was written
23	and rewritten over time by all three of us. The final
24	edit was done by Joe because that's what he does for a
25	living, so

:
:
•
n

1	those individuals in this piece?
2	A I observed the Dana Loesch video, so I had
3	knowledge of hers, yes.
4	Q But as far as Dom Raso's video or
5	Greg Stinchfield's, you did not?
6	A I do not recall seeing those two videos.
7	MR. BRADY: Let's take a quick break.
8	Off the record, please.
9	(Recess.)
10	BY MR. BRADY:
11	Q Prior to the break, Colonel Tucker, we were
12	talking about Exhibit 6 , which is an article you
13	coauthored that is titled "The NRA Has Entered the
14	Province of Cowards," correct?
15	A Correct.
16	Q So is it fair to say that you are not a
17	supporter of the NRA?
18	A I am not a member of the NRA.
19	Q Have you ever been?
20	A I have never been a member of the NRA. I
21	support the NRA's weapons instruction. I think that
22	level of professionalism, common sense rules that they
23	apply to that instruction is the best part of that
24	organization, and I take advantage of it. There are
25	other parts that I disagree with.

1	Q Are you a member of any gun control groups?
2	A I am not.
3	Q Are you affiliated with any gun control
4	groups in any way?
5	A In response to the Loesch video as well as
6	the Daily Beast article, I was asked to go out and
7	provide video comments for video that would be given
8	in response to Dana's video. That, I think, got
9	wrapped up in a Veterans Advisory Council for
10	Everytown, but that never got off the ground from my
11	perspective because I had no contact with them after
12	that, and the majority of my time was spent overseas
13	after that initial video was made. So I've had no
14	contact with Everytown since I made that video.
15	MR. BRADY: I'd like to mark as <u>Exhibit 7</u> the
16	document that should be on your screen. It's a press
17	release from Everytown for gun safety.
18	(<u>Exhibit 7</u> was marked for identification
19	and is attached hereto.)
20	BY MR. BRADY:
21	Q Do you see that, Colonel Tucker?
22	A It's coming up. We have a pretty nasty storm
23	rolling in here. Because I'm in the mountains, my
24	Internet sometimes gets spooky.
25	Q Understood. Thank. Believe it or not, we've

1 been having stormy days here in Southern California 2 for once. We're getting your weather now. 3 Α 4 O Yeah, these storms don't respect the desert, 5 that's for sure. They just go right over. I can see it in the small -- on the left-hand 6 Α side there. 7 It's titled "Everytown, Moms Demand Action 8 Launch Veterans Advisory Council to Support Gun 9 Violence Prevention." 10 Α 11 Right. 12 0 Is this the advisory council that you were just referring to that you were a part of? 13 MR. ECHEVERRIA: Objection. Mischaracterizes 14 his testimony. 15 BY MR. BRADY: 16 17 0 I'm sorry. Is this the advisory council that 18 you referenced earlier? This is the Veterans Advisory Council that I 19 Α 20 referenced earlier. 21 0 Okay. And down at the last page, second name 22 from the last is "Colonel Craig Tucker, United States 23 Marine Corps, retired." 24 That is you, correct? 25 Α That is me.

1	Q And did you consent to having your name
2	signed on to this document?
3	A I was not aware that my name was attached to
4	this particular document. I was aware my name was
5	attached to a letter, but I was not aware my name was
6	attached to this particular record.
7	Q And what letter are you referring to?
8	A This one in front of me, right? Oh, this is
9	the Everytown press release?
10	Q Yes.
11	A Okay.
12	Q Was there a letter that was affiliated with
13	this release?
14	A No. This was a there was a petition at
15	one point that was signed by members of the veteran
16	both signed by veterans. I think that
17	(Simultaneous speakers.)
18	THE WITNESS: I did sign that petition. My
19	understanding is the names in that petition got
20	transferred to Veterans Advisory Council, and I was
21	not aware of that.
22	BY MR. BRADY:
23	Q Okay. So you signed the petition. And what
24	did the petition involve?
25	A It read a lot like the Daily Beast article.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Colonel Craig Tucker - March 16, 2023

0 Did that petition mention this Okay. veterans advisory committee -- or council? Α I don't recall. So you don't recall whether you gave consent O to have your name associated with this council? To my knowledge, I did not give consent to Α have my name attached to this press release. But did you know that you were -- I quess, what was your understanding of your involvement with this advisory council? So the initial plan was to make a video that Α would be in response to Dana's video, Ms. Loesch's And while that was being done, the idea came about -- about a Veterans Advisory Council, and at that point it was going to be an advisory council in the sense of we're going to advise -- here's a petition, and it's signed by the members of the Veterans Advisory Council, and that was it. It was just a group of people that signed that petition, was all it consisted of. I departed after that and spent a great deal of time overseas in Qatar and Kuwait, so I was not involved -- had not been involved with Everytown since I left that area. Q Okay. My understanding is they probably took the Α

1 names that were on that petition and transferred them 2 over to this press release. And I did not have 3 knowledge of that. 4 Q In signing that petition, was it your 5 understanding that you were going to be advising 6 Everytown? 7 Α No. It was my understanding that we were 8 coming together as an advisory council to put this petition together. But that was the substance of the 9 10 council. That was it, created for, and then it got 11 morphed into something more. 12 Q Are you familiar with Everytown's policies? 13 Α I am not. 14 0 So you're not a member? I am not a member of Everytown, no. 15 Α 16 We're going to go back to Exhibit 2, which is 0 17 your report. I would like to direct you to -- do you 18 have a paper copy of your report in front of you, or 19 do you need me --I do not. 20 Α 21 Then I'm just going to put the page 0 Okav. 22 I'm looking at. Unfortunately, scrolling through this 23 thing is slow. There we go. All right. Found a 24 faster way. All right. 25 Α I'm on 2 now?

```
0
             This is Exhibit 2, which is on your screen,
1
2
    and we're looking at paragraph 13 of your report.
3
             Do you see that on your screen?
4
        Α
             Document 2's still coming up. I'm back into
5
    my CV here.
             There must be a delay, because I scrolled up
6
        0
    from there.
7
                 What about now?
8
        Α
             No, it's just the top of the CV.
             MR. BRADY: John, do you see it?
9
10
             MR. ECHEVERRIA: I am able to see, but I
11
    might have a better Internet connection.
12
             MR. BRADY: I just wanted to diagnose, like,
    whose end, so it's probably on the Colonel's end.
13
14
             THE WITNESS:
                            It is.
                                    I've qot -- yeah.
15
             MR. ECHEVERRIA: One option would be to
    screen-share what you are seeing, Sean, in AgileLaw.
16
17
             MR. BRADY: Okay. I think I can just read
18
    this piece and, you know, you can follow along, John,
19
    and see if I'm misquoting or I'll ask the Colonel if
20
    he agrees with that statement. That's essentially
21
    what I'm going to be doing.
22
             MR. ECHEVERRIA: Okay.
    BY MR. BRADY:
23
24
             So paragraph 13, the second sentence says,
25
    "The AR-15, like the M4, is an offensive combat weapon
```

```
1
    system."
2
             Do you recall writing that?
        Α
              I do.
3
             As you sit here today, do you agree with that
4
        O
5
    statement?
6
        Α
              I agree that the M4 and the AR-15 are
7
    substantially the same weapon.
8
             Okay.
                     This sentence in your report says,
    "The AR-15, like the M4, is an offensive combat weapon
9
10
    system."
              If we were to remove "like the M4" and just
11
12
    say, "The AR-15 is an offensive combat weapon system,"
13
    would you agree with that statement?
14
        Α
              Yes.
             You say, "The only difference is the AR-15
15
16
    cannot fire on full-auto" -- and you describe
17
    "full-auto" -- "or burst (several shots fired in
18
    succession with a single pull of the trigger) -- a
    picayune, P-I-C-A-Y-U-N-E, "difference that cannot
19
20
    serve to support a noncombat role for the AR-15."
21
             Did you write that in your report?
22
        Α
              I did.
                      I'm trying to recall why I decided to
23
    use "picayune," but --
24
             MR. BRADY: By the way, on a side note, I
25
    love the word "picayune."
```

THE WITNESS: Okay. All right. Yes, I do
recall writing that.
BY MR. BRADY:
Q Okay. And as you sit here today, do you
agree with that statement that you made in your
report?
A I do. Automatic reverse fire is irrelevant
to the nature and purpose of that weapon system. The
weapon system doesn't change materially, physically,
or in its ability to engage in rifle combat with the
loss of an automatic or burst capability.
Q When you're talking about the AR-15, you are
talking about a semiautomatic-only rifle, correct?
A Correct.
Q It does not have what would be what you
define as select fire, full-auto, or burst
capabilities, correct?
A It's select fire, yes.
Q Have you ever used a semiautomatic-only AR-15
in combat?
A I've used a military version of the AR-15 in
combat.
Q Is that a semiautomatic-only version?
A It is not a semiautomatic-only version, but
it was never used in automatic.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Colonel Craig Tucker - March 16, 2023

0 But to be clear, have you ever been assigned or issued a rifle in your career in the United States Marine Corps that did not have automatic capabilities other than a bolt-action rifle? Α And pistols. I'm talking only about rifles at this point. 0 Α Okay. I'm ratcheting through the A's -- you know, M16's and the A's up to the M4. They all had the capability to fire auto. Burst went back and forth, but auto was always a capability. 0 During your career in the Marine Corps, to your knowledge, other than bolt-action rifles -- we're talking about non-sniper rifles, if you will, right, infantry rifle arms -- did the United States Marine Corps ever issue to its personnel semiautomatic-only AR-15s? Α No, but there was some very strict tactical guidance given that you were not to use full automatic in Iraq or Afghanistan. Q And why were those instructions provided? Because in both those environments, you're Α doing civility operations. So, you know, your enemy, they hide in the sanctuary of the normalcy. So almost every single attack, there were civilians involved. And, in fact, that was a tactic to get civilians

1 involved, unknowing to them, so... 2 So it wasn't a traditional military battlefield setting? 3 Is that what you're saying? 4 Α Correct. 0 And that warranted --5 Well, but it had traditional battlefield 6 Α 7 setting, so the concept you might have of the Ukrainian fighting right now, I had two months of that 8 same stuff in Fallujah. I had, you know, numerous 9 smaller battles in the midst of all that, so the 10 capability has to extend, you know, across a whole 11 12 range of combat. But the bottom line is an M4 on automatic, an M16 on automatic can be difficult to 13 In a civilian-heavy environment, it is not a 14 15 proper use of the weapon. 16 In a -- the other issue is the amount of 17 ammunition. The Marine Corps -- nobody was 18 anticipating the level of combat we were seeing in We almost ran out of ammo. So there was 19 20 restrictions put on auto because of that. 21 But the primary restriction was it's not a 22 capability that we need on this weapon system. don't use it. It's not -- in this environment, it's 23 24 not a good employment of the system. 25 Q Are you aware of any other branch of the

United States military that employs semiautomatic-only 1 2 AR-15s? The United States Army is in the process of 3 Α 4 purchasing a semiautomatic-only automatic rifle. 5 0 Do you know what rifle that is? I don't have it memorized, but I -- it's a --6 Α it's got a bunch of vowels and letters in it. 7 8 0 Okay. I'm trying to think of the manufacturer right 9 10 now, but it's -- I'm sorry. It's escaping my brain. 11 Do you know the reasoning for doing that? 0 12 Α There are a couple reasons. One is, is that the reasons I mentioned earlier. Aimed fire at a 13 14 rapid rate, whether that be max sustained or sustained fire, is much more effective than automatic fire. 15 The ammunition issue in automatic fire is a very real 16 17 issue when you can go through 30 rounds in two seconds 18 on auto. Actually, before you realize you pulled the 19 trigger, the rounds are done before the corporal has 20 even yelled at you, so... 21 To your knowledge, is the U.S. Army 0 Okav. 22 making --May I reclaim on one? Sir, I'm sorry. 23 Α The 24 other piece of that is, is that they have taken that 25 same weapon system and they have given it an automatic

1 capability. So the intent was in the smallest 2 tactical units, whether it be a fire team in the Army or a fire team in the Marine Corps, you have somebody 3 4 with an automatic weapon capability, true automatic 5 weapon machine qun capability. And that individual 6 has -- you know, gets special training in that weapon 7 system and how to employ it. 8 0 Okay. So it's designed as a task force, right? 9 10 You've got two riflemen, somebody with a grenade 11 launcher, and somebody that can fire a machine qun and 12 one person in charge. So let me see if I understand. 13 Is the strategy or the thought process, essentially, have 14 most soldiers carry a semiautomatic rifle because 15 that's usually what they're going to use? 16 17 Α Correct. 18 0 But in case stuff happens, they have a couple 19 designated automatic machine guns to back them up? 20 Α No, one. And in the fire team, right. Got it. 21 0 22 Α And that has been an evolution over 20 years. And it started with we don't need a machine qun 23 24 because we have automatic on our M16s, but that had to 25 go away pretty quick when they started moving machine

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Colonel Craig Tucker - March 16, 2023

gunners into that fire team. But as far as you know at this moment in time, no branch of the U.S. military is using semiautomatics only? Α Outside of special operations command, it is my understanding that nobody is using semiautomatics only. So at this point in time, all U.S. military personnel who are carrying rifles, those rifles have select-fire capabilities, correct? I'd like to back that up away from the "all" Α to say that all soldiers and Marines, to my understanding, that are armed with an assault rifle are armed with an assault rifle that has an automatic capability. 0 Thank you for clarifying that. If I do say something like that, like a global statement -because I even know that that's incorrect, saying all rifles, right, because there's going to be bolt actions, right, that don't fill that. So I appreciate your clarification. Please continue to do that if I make that -- I don't want to put words in your mouth or get wrong testimony, so thank you for the clear and concise answer there. Are you aware of any military in the world

```
1
    currently that uses -- whose military personnel use
2
    rifles -- assault rifles, as you've described them,
    that are semiautomatic only?
3
             I've seen a lot of assault rifles.
4
        Α
5
    Turkey right now.
             Oh, wow.
6
        0
7
        Α
             I think, in my recollection over 20 years,
    the Israelis had one. I don't think that their Uzi
8
    had a full automatic on it. To my knowledge, every
9
10
    other organization that I work with pretty much
    mirrored the safe fire or safe semi and auto of the
11
12
    M16 or the M4.
13
             Okay.
                     So, by the way, is the Israeli Uzi
        0
    utilized as a pistol or a rifle by the Israeli
14
    military?
15
16
        Α
             In my day --
17
             MR. ECHEVERRIA: Objection. Outside the
18
    scope of this expert's testimony.
19
             THE WITNESS:
                            In my day, they were used
20
    primarily because they were mostly concerned about
21
    tunnels and urban fights, and it was used as an
22
    offensive weapon in that specific environment.
    BY MR. BRADY:
23
24
        0
             Okav.
                     So with the exception of the --
25
    perhaps the exception of the Israeli Uzi, is it your
```

1 testimony that you are unaware of any other military 2 that utilizes a semiautomatic-only rifle? Assault rifles of those militaries that I can 3 recall, all of them had an automatic capability in 4 5 their weapon system, in their rifle. 0 6 Got it. 7 I'd like to direct -- by the way, do you have 8 paragraph 13 up on your screen by now of Exhibit 2? It's still showing my CV. It says that this 9 is Exhibit 2. 10 Yeah, your CV is part of Exhibit 2. 11 0 12 just at the bottom. I'm kind of in the middle on 13 page 5 of your report, not your CV. I'm going up. 14 Α Okay. Oh, is it allowing you to operate? 15 0 16 Α I'm here. So which paragraph are you on? 17 0 Sure. I'm on paragraph 13, which is on 18 page 5 of your report. 19 Α Okay. 20 Q And if you go to line -- sorry. Okay. 21 Line 14 to 15, at the end of line 14 on that page, it says, "Semi-auto function is used almost exclusively 22 in combat." 23 24 And I am not trying to point out that, you 25 know, the grammar here is problematic. That's not my

point, but the reality is that this is an ambiguously 1 2 written sentence grammatically by putting in "combat" And I just want to clarify what you're 3 at the end. 4 saying here, because this could be read in two ways, 5 and that's just why I want the clarification. It says, "Semi-auto function is used almost 6 7 exclusively in combat." So what I think you're saying -- and you can 8 confirm -- is that in combat, semiautomatic function 9 10 is almost exclusively used. Is that what you meant? Α That is correct. 11 12 0 Or did you mean that semiautomatic is only used in combat? It's never used outside of combat? 13 14 You didn't mean that, right? I did not. I meant that in combat, semi-auto 15 Α function is used almost exclusively, or however that 16 17 sentence would end. 18 Q Got it. 19 Α Your interpretation is correct. 20 Q Okay. Thank you. 21 Going down to line 18 on that same 22 page, you write that "The AR-15 and M4 are both designed to fire a .223 round that tumbles upon 23 24 hitting flesh." 25 Is that accurate?

1	A That is accurate, yes.	
2	Q Do you agree with that statement as you sit	
3	here today?	
4	A I do. With the comment that I use 5.56 and	
5	.223 interchangeably.	
6	Q Okay.	
7	So when you say ".223," you could have meant	
8	the 5.56? And you're talking about the 5.56 NATO?	
9	A Correct.	
10	Q Okay. What is your definition of "round" in	
11	this statement?	
12	A It is the projectile.	
13	Q The projectile. Okay.	
14	A Correct.	
15	Q So you used .223 and 5.56 interchangeably; is	
16	that correct or?	
17	A Yes. That's fairly common in the world that	
18	I operate in to use those fairly interchangeably.	
19	Q Does the M4 use .223 rounds? Let me back up.	
20	Is there a difference, to your knowledge,	
21	between a .223 and a 5.56 NATO cartridge?	
22	A I have not observed a difference between the	
23	two.	
24	Q Okay. So you are unaware of any differences	
25	between the .223 and the 5.56 NATO?	

1	
1	A What I do understand is that I can fire
2	either one of those projectiles out of both those
3	weapons.
4	Q Out of both what weapons?
5	A Out of both the AR-15 and an M4.
6	Q When you say an AR-15, are AR-15s when
7	you're talking about an AR-15, are you talking about
8	an AR-15 chamber in a specific caliber?
9	A When I say AR-15 in this context, my
10	expertise is looking at that weapon compared to the M4
11	and the M16, so
12	Q To your knowledge, can an AR-15 be chambered
13	in for a cartridge other than the .223 or 5.56?
14	A I have no knowledge of that type of
15	capability if the intent is to sell a rifle that
16	shoots those two.
17	MR. BRADY: Can we take a quick five-minute
18	break? I'm sorry. I thought I had my next exhibit
19	queued up, but apparently it's not, so I need a few
20	minutes.
21	MR. ECHEVERRIA: We can go off the record.
22	(Recess.)
23	MR. BRADY: I would like we're back on the
24	record after a break at my request.
25	///

```
BY MR. BRADY:
1
2
             We were talking about the difference -- or
    the similarities of the .223 cartridge and the 5.56
3
4
    NATO cartridge.
             Do you recall that, Colonel Tucker?
5
6
        Α
             I do.
                    But the exhibit just disappeared.
    went kind of dark on me. All I can see is a square in
7
    the upper-left corner. It says, "Exhibit 002."
8
9
             MR. ECHEVERRIA:
                               It's happening to me as
10
    well.
11
             MR. BRADY:
                          Okay.
12
             MR. ECHEVERRIA: I'm able to see the full
    document in the column in the middle where you can see
13
14
    the document pages lined up, but the main window on
    the right, there's only a snippet on the top left.
15
16
             THE WITNESS: It just came back up for me.
17
             MR. BRADY: Are you seeing Exhibit 2 or 8,
18
    John?
19
             MR. ECHEVERRIA: Exhibit 2. I'll try
20
    clicking on it again because Exhibit 3 opened up.
                                                         Ι
    can now see Exhibit 2 again. Sorry about that.
21
22
             MR. BRADY: Well, now I'm concerned because I
    just put Exhibit 8 up, and you all can't see that?
23
24
             MR. ECHEVERRIA: I can see Exhibit 8.
25
             MR. BRADY:
                          Okay.
                                 Great. And Craig?
```

```
THE WITNESS:
                             Yes.
                                   Exhibit 8?
                                                I'm bringing
 1
 2
    it up now. Yes, "The Keefe Report."
              (Exhibit 8 was marked for identification
 3
              and is attached hereto.)
 4
 5
    BY MR. BRADY:
 6
         0
              Correct. All right. So we're all on the
 7
    same page literally.
                            Good.
 8
              So I believe your testimony was -- and
    correct me if I'm wrong -- that you were unaware of
 9
10
    any differences between the .223 and the 5.56; is that
11
    correct?
12
        Α
              Mm - hmm.
                       Yes.
              So Exhibit 8 is an article titled ".223
13
         0
14
    Remington vs. 5.56 x 45 millimeter NATO: Is It Safe?"
              Are those the two cartridges you're referring
15
16
    to?
17
        Α
              I don't know what the "x 45" means. I refer
    to NATO 5.56.
18
19
              5.56 NATO?
         0
20
        Α
              Right.
21
              So in this article, the author talks about
         0
22
    getting the question, "Can I shoot
    5.56 \times .45 \text{ millimeter NATO in my } .223 \text{ and vice versa?}
23
    Are these the same cartridge?"
24
                                I'm going to object.
25
              MR. ECHEVERRIA:
```

not -- I'm not sure that Colonel Tucker has had an 1 2 opportunity to review this document in its entirety. 3 BY MR. BRADY: 4 Q Okay. The entire document is a paragraph. 5 Do you want to go ahead and read it, Colonel Tucker? Α 6 Sure. Okay. 7 0 Do you have any basis to dispute the position in this article that there is a difference between the 8 9 .223 and the 5.56 NATO? 10 Α Do I have any -- you'll have to kind of rephrase that question, please, for me. 11 12 Q Sure. You just read this article that explains that 13 there is a difference between the .223 Remington and 14 the 5.56 NATO, correct? 15 16 Α Correct. 17 Do you have any reason to dispute the 0 18 author's explanation of those differences? 19 I have -- I do not dispute the author's claim 20 that you can fire 5.56 NATO and .223 through an 21 AR-style rifle. 22 0 Do you have any reason to dispute his warning 23 about shooting a 5.56 NATO in a gun that's chambered 24 for .223 only? 25 Α He mentions .223 Remington, and that's a

particular weapon style. That's a particular weapon 1 2 manufacturer. So .223 Remington ammunition apparently does not work properly with an AR-style rifle. 3 4 probably should not purchase that type of ammunition 5 if you have a .223 chambered weapon. 6 0 Is it your understanding that .223 Remington 7 is referring to .223 ammo made by the company 8 Remington? That is my understanding based on this 9 Α 10 article and previous articles I've seen. So is the .223 that you're referring to in 11 0 12 your report not .223 Remington? I refer to .223 as a generic round fired by 13 Α 14 the AR-15. Having read this article, do you have any 15 0 16 basis to dispute anything this author is saying? 17 MR. ECHEVERRIA: Objection. Vaque. 18 THE WITNESS: I'm going over it again here. No, I do not dispute his inclusions that you 19 20 can fire both types of ammunition through both rounds and that you need to be very careful when you're using 21 22 the .223 Remington because it may not cycle properly. 23 I agree with that. 24 BY MR. BRADY: What about the sentence, "You should not 25 Q

1	shoot 5.56 by .45 millimeter NATO out of a rifle that
2	is chambered in .223 Remington"?
3	Do you have any reason to dispute that
4	particular
5	A I have no knowledge of the chambered .223
6	Remington.
7	Q Got it.
8	I believe I asked you before the break if
9	you're referring whether you know if AR-15 rifles
10	can be chambered in any other caliber or can fire any
11	other cartridge besides a .223 or 5.56, and I believe
12	your answer was "No," correct?
13	A It was no, but then I caveated it with if
14	they're chambered for those rounds. For example, I
15	would consider an AK-47, which fires a 7.62 round, an
16	automatic rifle.
17	Q Okay. But we are talking about AR-15 and
18	M4s, right?
19	A To my knowledge, they cannot be chambered,
20	but I'm not an expert on that by any means.
21	Q You're not an expert on what, on AR-15s
22	chambered in other cartridges?
23	A I am not familiar with any ARs that have been
24	chambered in any cartridge besides 5.56 and .223.
25	MR. BRADY: I'd like to mark as Exhibit 9

```
By the way, Madam Court Reporter, just to be
 1
 2
    clear, I was marking that last article as Exhibit 8.
 3
    I don't know if that got through.
              So I'm marking this article as <a href="Exhibit 9">Exhibit 9</a>.
 4
              (Exhibit 9 was marked for identification
 5
              and is attached hereto.)
 6
    BY MR. BRADY:
 7
              Do you see, Colonel Tucker, on your screen a
 8
         0
    document that shows various -- an image of various
 9
    cartridges, and it's titled "AR-15 Calibers And
10
11
    Cartridges: What Should You Chamber Your Carbine?"
12
        Α
              Uh-huh.
13
         0
              Do you see that?
14
        Α
              I do.
                     This will be Exhibit 9. It says on
15
         0
              Okay.
16
    this page, "What are the AR-15 cartridge choices?"
17
    And it lists one, two, three, four, five, six, seven,
    eight, nine, ten -- 12 different cartridges.
18
19
              Do you see that?
20
        Α
              I do.
              Is it your understanding that -- this
21
         0
22
    article, that it is saying that AR-15s can be
    chambered in those other cartridges?
23
24
              MR. ECHEVERRIA: I object to the extent that
25
    the witness has not had an opportunity to review the
```

article and may not have ever seen this article. 1 2 BY MR. BRADY: When the article says "What are the AR-15 3 0 4 cartridge choices?" is it your understanding that it's 5 saying that AR-15s are available in --6 Α My understanding is that it says those types 7 of ammunition can't be used in an AR-15. 8 Okay. And do you have any reason to dispute that that is the case, that an AR-15 can shoot 9 10 cartridges other than a .223 or 5.56? 11 Α I'm not going to comment on that one way or 12 the other until I have a chance to measure or to 13 determine what measurements are being used of these other cartridges. For example, a .450 Bushmaster is 14 not almost the same round as a 5.56. The 6.5 Grendel 15 16 is a round they're using in the new Army rifle, and 17 it's very close to a 5.56, just a little bit bigger. 18 So these are rounds, and I'm not going to 19 make a -- I'm not going to give a professional opinion 20 on cartridges in an AR-15 or whether or not these are chambered for something besides a 5.56- or a 21 22 .223-capable weapon. 23 Q So when the line says right beneath the list 24 of cartridges -- it says, "You want to buy an AR-15 25 but can't decide for which cartridge it should be

chambered." 1 2 Do you see that line? Α I do. 3 What is your understanding of that line? 4 O 5 MR. ECHEVERRIA: I object that this is an 6 article that the Colonel has not had an opportunity to 7 review, had no involvement in preparing, and may not have ever seen this article before. 8 9 BY MR. BRADY: 10 0 Can you answer the question, Colonel? 11 Α Could you please repeat it? 12 Q Sure. Sure. So the line says, "You want to buy an AR-15 13 14 but can't decide for which cartridge it should be chambered." 15 16 Do you understand that line to mean that an AR-15 can be chambered in these various cartridges? 17 18 Α Again, I have no clue who this person is. And having come across other articles that made claims 19 20 without sources, I am skeptical, but I will say that based on what you have asked me there, my read of that 21 22 article is that those rounds can be fired through an And if they are fired through an AR-15, they 23 AR-15. 24 apparently require a special chambering. 25 nothing to do with the barrel, by the way.

1	Q So the same barrel can be used for
2	A The chamber is where the round sits before
3	it's fired. So what they're talking about here is how
4	much how that particular cycle of function for the
5	weapon needs to how that needs to be engineered to
6	fit a particular round. That's the only piece it
7	does. How do you chamber the round? You take the
8	round from sitting in the chamber doing nothing, and
9	then you load it into the rifle. Once you load it
10	into the rifle, it's the same round.
11	Q Okay.
12	A It's the same thing.
13	Q Okay. So just to clarify, it's your
14	testimony that you, in your personal experience, are
15	unaware of any AR-15-platform rifles that are
16	chambered in anything other than .223 or 5.56; is that
17	correct?
18	A That is correct.
19	Q Okay. Based on
20	A Until now.
21	Q I'm sorry?
22	A I said, "Until now."
23	Q Okay. Setting aside okay. So until now.
24	Based on this article and I'm not asking you to
25	admit that it's accurate or just to be clear,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Colonel Craig Tucker - March 16, 2023

because you said you don't know. But based on your personal experience and knowledge and expertise, do you have any basis to dispute this article saying that an AR-15 can be chambered in various cartridges? Α I have no reason to agree with or dispute this article. 0 Okay. So when you made the statement that "The AR-15 and M4 were both designed to fire a .223 round that tumbles upon hitting flesh, " closed quote, that it was your understanding that those firearms were indeed designed to only fire a .223 or 5.56; is that correct? No, that's not correct. Α Those were the two rounds that I was aware of, and those are the two rounds that I referenced. And it's a general 5.56/.223 round or cartridge. 0 Can you explain what you mean by "general .223/5.56 round"? Well, you can get 5.56 rounds that can do different things for you. They can do tracer rounds. You can get a green tip that can shoot through steel. You've got -- well, those are probably the three most likely ones you're going to use in combat. That's my experience with rounds. And when you're saying "rounds," you're Q

```
1
    talking about the projectile, the bullet, correct?
2
              I'm talking about the projectile, yes.
                     So you're talking about the
3
        0
4
    differences in projectiles. But I'm talking about
5
    differences in the cartridge, right?
6
             You wrote that the AR-15 and M4 are designed
7
    to fire a .223 round, correct?
        Α
8
             Correct.
9
        0
             And --
10
        Α
             Using .223 and 5.56 interchangeably.
11
        0
             Yeah, correct. And we've already established
12
    that.
           So we don't need to rehash that. When I say
    .223 or 5.56, I assume that you were using those
13
14
    interchangeably unless I say otherwise. Is that fair?
        Α
             That is clear.
15
              I said, "Is that fair?"
16
        0
17
        Α
             Oh, yes. Yes, that's fair, too.
18
        Q
              I'm not your commanding officer.
19
             You're in a position of authority, though,
        Α
20
    so, you know...
21
        0
             Oh, hardly. Just a lawyer.
22
                     So all I'm trying to get at is your
    testimony -- correct me if I'm wrong -- was that to
23
24
    your knowledge, AR-15s could only be chambered in .223
25
    or 5.56, correct?
```

1	
1	A Correct. To my knowledge
2	MR. ECHEVERRIA: Objection. Mischaracterizes
3	the witness's testimony.
4	BY MR. BRADY:
5	Q Go ahead, Colonel.
6	A To my knowledge, I was not aware that an
7	AR-15 could be chambered in a cartridge other than
8	.223.
9	Q Okay. So when you made the statement that
10	the AR-15 and M4 are both designed to fire a .223, you
11	were taking the position or it was your understanding
12	that an AR-15 was designed to only fire those rounds,
13	the .223 or 5.56, right?
14	In other words, you were operating under the
15	assumption that that was the round that's the only
16	round that it fires, right? Or the only cartridge.
17	Sorry.
18	A The cartridge with its attendant differences
19	based on what it's for, correct, so
20	Q Yes, the projectile can change, right?
21	A Right.
22	Q We're talking about the cartridge.
23	The .223 and 5.56 is a cartridge, right?
24	A Right.
25	Q So we're talking about that is the only
l	

1	cartridge that you contemplated in your report coming
2	out of an AR-15, correct?
3	A That is correct. It does not change the
4	physics of the weapon once it's fired, but
5	Q But different cartridges do different things,
6	correct, as far as let me rephrase that.
7	A difference in cartridge can mean can
8	have a significant difference in what the projectile
9	that that cartridge shoots does to a target, correct?
10	MR. ECHEVERRIA: Objection. Vague.
11	BY MR. BRADY:
12	Q For example, a .22 Long Rifle cartridge is
13	going to do minimal damage to a target versus a
14	.50 BMG, correct?
15	A Correct.
16	Q Okay. That's all I'm getting at. So the
17	difference in cartridge can make a significant
18	difference in the impact on a target, correct?
19	A What I'm aware of is the impact of a .223 and
20	a 5.56 cartridge on a human body. That's when
21	you're talking about terminal velocity, that is what I
22	have seen.
23	I'm a little bit uncomfortable with this line
24	of questioning because I'm being asked to pull
25	information off of an article that I have not had a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Colonel Craig Tucker - March 16, 2023

chance to study or read and don't know if the individual is accurate or not, and then make a general statement about those cartridges' capabilities in an What I understand is a .223 and the 5.56 NATO. Understood. Just to be clear, I don't think 0 I've asked any questions about the article other than if you had any basis to disclaim. I'm simply asking the general, high-level question of a difference in cartridge, regardless of what firearm it's shot out of, if it's a single -- you know, it's a bolt-action single-shot rifle, the difference in cartridge can be -- like, can be significant in what the impact is on the target, correct? Right. Cartridges are designed for a Α specific mission or mission type, and that's true for hunting or -- we're in the military. So, yes, you are correct. Different rounds can have different impacts or different effects. Q Okay. Now, you say that the AR-15 is designed to, quote, "fire a .223 round that tumbles upon hitting flesh"; is that correct? Α Correct. And as you sit here today, do you still agree with that statement?

1	
1	A I do.
2	Q Okay. Are you saying that all .223 well,
3	let me back up.
4	"Round" means projectile, correct, in your
5	vocabulary?
6	A Yes.
7	Q It's the bullet, right?
8	A Correct.
9	Q Okay. And I believe you testified that a
10	.223 or 5.56 cartridge can use different bullets,
11	different rounds; is that correct?
12	A Yes. You can chamber different rounds for
13	different purposes or use different rounds for
14	different purposes, specific purposes, yes.
15	Q So are you saying that all rounds, all
16	.223/5.56 rounds fired from an AR-15 are designed to
17	tumble?
18	A That is my understanding. That is what I was
19	taught.
20	Q Can you explain what your understanding of
21	tumble is?
22	A My understanding of tumble is the terminal
23	velocity of the 5.56 or .223 round when the round is
24	designed to yaw from the barrel to the target. And
25	it's designed to yaw so that when it hits the target,

```
1
    it hits the target along the long axis of the
2
    projectile.
             And the tumbling occurs once cavitation
3
4
    occurs.
             It's designed to occur as soon as it hits
5
    flesh, but sometimes it occurs when it hits the bone.
    Sometimes it occurs when it hits a -- ligaments or
6
7
    tendons, but the bullet starts in a tumble. Doesn't
8
    always complete it, but it starts the tumble through
9
    the body.
10
        Q
             Okay.
11
        Α
              End over end.
12
        Q
             Okay.
                     Let me see if I follow.
13
              So when you say the "round tumbles upon
14
    hitting flesh, "what is "upon" -- does "upon" mean
    that the bullet's impact with flesh causes the
15
16
    tumbling or that the bullet is already tumbling in the
17
    air before hitting flesh?
18
        Α
             Bullet's impact with flesh is what creates
19
    the tumbling motion.
                           It's the yaw that allows that
20
    weapon to tumble when it hits flesh.
21
             Bear with me for one moment.
        0
22
              I want to go back to your report, Exhibit 2,
    paragraph 13 still, I believe.
23
24
        Α
             Hold on.
                        I'm up at 7.
25
        Q
              Sure.
```

1	
1	A Okay. I can see it.
2	Q Okay. Line 21, halfway through, it says
3	no, you say, "Both the AR-15 and M4 contain barrel
4	rifling to make the round tumble upon impact and cause
5	more severe injury"; is that correct?
6	A That is correct.
7	Q And do you agree with that statement as you
8	sit here today?
9	A I agree with that statement as my
10	understanding of it and how it was explained to me,
11	the words I used, yes.
12	Q Okay. Do you mean the same thing for "upon"
13	here as in the previous sentence that upon impact, the
14	round starts to tumble?
15	A Correct.
16	Q So the round is not tumbling when it leaves
17	the weapon. It tumbles upon hitting flesh?
18	A The round will usually go into a yaw when it
19	leaves the barrel. That's what allows it to when
20	it strikes flesh, the physics puts it into the tumble.
21	Q So are yaw and tumble two different things?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Can you explain what "yaw" is? And that's
24	Y-A-W, right?
25	A Correct.

0 Can you explain what "yaw" is, please, 1 2 Colonel? Α Mr. Boone actually explains it pretty 3 4 well, but as the round comes out of the barrel and 5 it's going to start -- yaw is a nautical term, and it means that the front of your vessel, in this case, the 6 7 round, is essentially yawing up and down. It's -it's not tumbling, but its nose is rising up and down 8 as it travels, I quess, would be the best way I can 9 10 explain that. 11 0 And how do you know that that's what a bullet 12 does? How did you make that determination? That's what I was taught over 26 years in the 13 Α 14 Marine Corps and four different versions of the M16 plus the M4, how that weapon --15 I'm sorry. Go ahead. 16 0 17 Α How that weapon uses its mechanics to 18 increase lethality is a critical, important training piece of knowledge piece. So we spent some time on 19 20 it. 21 0 Did the Marine Corps training that you 22 reference show slow-motion rounds coming out of a barrel, or how did they explain to you what a round 23 24 does coming out of the barrel of an M16? 25 Α You'll see a -- it's an industrial, you know,

1 education, so it's a standard class that everybody 2 goes through, and then as you move up in responsibility, you gain a little bit more knowledge 3 4 about how the weapon works, but everybody that is 5 going to use -- which means every Marine is a 6 rifleman -- they all get a class on the lethality of 7 that weapon, what makes it lethal, because they have 8 to have confidence that that weapon works. they look at that little round, some of them don't 9 10 have that. So we spent a lot of time talking about 11 how the weapon works, what its purpose is, how it 12 accomplishes that purpose, and how best to employ the 13 weapon so that you can kill efficiently. 14 You say -- and it's your understanding -- in 15 your report, on line 21 of that same page we were just looking at, page 5 of your report, Exhibit 2, it says, 16 17 "Both the AR-15 and M4 contain barrel rifling to make the round tumble upon impact and cause more severe 18 19 injury." 20 Is that a correct statement from your report? 21 Α That is correct. 22 Q And do you agree with that statement as you 23 sit here today? 24 I agree with that statement as it was taught 25 to me and as I've taught it.

0 And how did you make that -- how did 1 Okav. 2 you form that opinion? On what basis? Α On the basis of the technical manuals of the 3 4 M4, the M16A1 through A4. 5 0 So are those Marine Corps manuals? 6 Α A combination of Army and Marine. 7 0 Okay. 8 Α We use the same weapon. Army is a bigger 9 They do a lot of the training programs for 10 the kinds of systems that we have that are similar. 11 0 And those reports explain that the barrel 12 rifling in an AR-15 or M4 cause the round to tumble 13 upon impact? 14 That's how you explain it to the young That's how it's explained by the folks that 15 rifleman. 16 took the complexity of that, whatever is inside that 17 barrel. It drove it down to a concept that was easy 18 for people to understand and it was true to the 19 What the barrel does is what causes the 20 round to yaw. So that's how it was explained; that's It's accurate in terms of weapons 21 how we taught it. 22 function. 23 0 Can you explain what rifling is? 24 Α In my understanding, it's the grooves inside 25 of the barrel.

1	Q Have you ever seen a definition of rifling in
2	the report you just referenced or anywhere else
3	A I recall seeing a more technical definition
4	someplace. It might have been in Mr. Boone's report.
5	I don't recall.
6	Q Other than Mr. Boone's report, do you recall
7	ever seeing a definition for rifling in your training?
8	I'm sorry. Did you provide an answer,
9	Colonel? I didn't hear you.
10	A No, I didn't. I'm thinking. Because the
11	term at the entry level was barrel rifling. That's
12	how we explained it.
13	Q Okay. The reports that you reference about
14	explaining rifling and yaw, did you produce those as
15	any among the sources that you relied on in making
16	your report?
17	A No. I relied on my knowledge. I did go back
18	and double-check the field manuals as I do anytime I
19	have a conversation along these topics just to refresh
20	my mind. But, no, this comes out of my head.
21	Q Did you produce those field manuals, by
22	chance?
23	A When I was the when I was the
24	MR. ECHEVERRIA: Point of clarification for
25	the record, if I may, Colonel. We produced a list of

```
materials consulted by Colonel Tucker in preparing his
1
2
    reports.
              No records themselves other than the DD214
    were produced to plaintiffs, just for clarification.
3
                          Correct. And so let me clarify
4
             MR. BRADY:
5
    my question.
    BY MR. BRADY:
6
7
        0
             Were the field manuals that you just
    referenced included on that list of sources you
8
9
    consulted in making your report?
10
        Α
              Yes.
                     So you explained what rifling is.
11
        0
12
    you explain the physics of how rifling makes a bullet
    tumble?
13
14
             MR. ECHEVERRIA: Objection. Outside the
    scope of the witness's testimony and expertise.
15
    BY MR. BRADY:
16
17
        0
             Do you know how rifling makes a bullet
    tumble?
18
              I am not knowledgeable of the physics of the
19
        Α
20
    rifling that makes the round tumble.
21
             Are you familiar with an entity called the
        0
22
    Sporting Arms and Ammunition Manufacturers' Institute
    also known as SAAMI, S-A-A-M-I?
23
24
        Α
              I am not.
                          I'd like to mark as Exhibit 10 --
25
             MR. BRADY:
```

```
(Exhibit 10 was marked for identification
1
2
              and is attached hereto.)
    BY MR. BRADY:
3
4
        O
             Do you have a page on your screen? At the
5
    top it says, "SAAMI"?
6
        Α
              It's coming up.
                               It's trying to come up.
7
              I've got it.
8
        0
                     So I'm going to scroll down to the
    bottom to show that this is a publicly available Web
9
10
    link that is provided -- that URL is provided at the
11
    lower left-hand corner of the last page -- actually,
12
    both pages. And this page is titled "About SAAMI."
13
              Can you read that to yourself? You don't
14
    have to read it out loud. Just the part that says
    "About SAAMI."
15
16
        Α
              I'm reading it now.
17
             Okay.
18
        Q
             Do you have any reason to dispute that SAAMI
19
    does what it claims to do in this description?
20
        Α
              I have no knowledge --
21
             MR. ECHEVERRIA: Objection to the extent that
22
    the witness is unaware of what SAAMI is and is being
    asked about this record.
23
24
                    (Reporter clarification.)
25
              THE WITNESS:
                            Correct. I stated I have no
```

```
1
    knowledge of SAAMI.
2
    BY MR. BRADY:
             And do you see how under "SAAMI Strategic
3
        0
4
    Goals" it says, "Create and maintain technical
5
    standards for terminology, performance,
    interchangeability, and safety regarding firearms,
6
7
    ammunition, and their components"?
8
             I do see that, yes.
             Do you have any reason to dispute that that's
9
        0
    what SAAMI does?
10
             MR. ECHEVERRIA: Same objection.
11
                                                 This
12
    witness has stated he's unaware of SAAMI, and there's
13
    no indication he's seen this record before this
    deposition.
14
15
             Other than that, you can answer, Colonel.
                            I'm sorry, Sean. Can you
16
             THE WITNESS:
17
    repeat the question?
    BY MR. BRADY:
18
19
             Yeah.
                     Do you have any reason to dispute that
        0
20
    one of SAAMI's purposes is defining technical terms
21
    and providing definitions for firearms and ammunition
22
    and components related to such?
23
             MR. ECHEVERRIA:
                               Same objection.
24
             THE WITNESS:
                            I have to dispute because
25
    absent solid research on who SAAMI is, what their
```

1 objectives are, what their mission is, and who's 2 funding them, my experience has told me that technical 3 data can be rearranged to suit the argument in place 4 and that some of these organizations are not remiss to 5 throw out data that is either questionable in terms of 6 how it was gathered or is actually inaccurate. 7 will acknowledge that given this -- given you bringing it up, that those strategy goals are noble. 8 BY MR. BRADY: 9 10 0 So just to be -- I'm not asking you to vouch for SAAMI, and, just to be clear, you're making a 11 12 general comment about you won't -- that you would be 13 skeptical about any organization you don't have 14 personal knowledge about, correct? Α Correct. 15 I'm just asking, do you have -- other than 16 0 17 that general skepticism, which I think is healthy for 18 everybody to have about any organization they don't know about, I'm specifically asking if you have any 19 20 specific reason to -- any specific basis to -- or 21 specific reason to dispute that SAAMI does what it 22 claims to do? I do not have any specific reason to dispute 23 Α 24 SAAMI's strategic goals and mission. 25 Q Got it. Okay.

```
I'd like to mark as Exhibit 11 --
              MR. BRADY:
1
2
    it says at the top of the document, "Glossary, SAAMI,"
                 And the URL for this is in the bottom
3
    S-A-A-M-I.
    left-hand corner of the document for verification
4
5
    sake.
              (Exhibit 11 was marked for identification
6
              and is attached hereto.)
7
    BY MR. BRADY:
8
9
              This is the SAAMI --
        0
10
        Α
              Wait.
                     I don't have it up yet, Sean.
11
        0
              Okay.
12
        Α
              It's working.
                             Sorry.
                                      I was on 10. I'm on
    11 now.
13
              Got it.
14
        0
              So do you see it says "Rifling" on the top
15
    left?
16
17
        Α
              I do.
                     And this is the SAAMI definition for
18
        Q
              Okay.
19
    rifling, and it says, "Any type of spiral internal
20
    bore feature of the barrel wall that imparts spin on
21
    the projectile for the purpose of stabilizing it in
22
    flight."
23
        Α
              Okay.
              Do you have any reason to dispute the
24
25
    accuracy of that definition?
```

Α I have no reason to dispute that accuracy, 1 2 no. So can you -- can you explain how a 3 0 4 feature that has the purpose of stabilizing a 5 projectile in flight can make the projectile tumble? 6 MR. ECHEVERRIA: Objection. Mischaracterizes 7 the witness's testimony. BY MR. BRADY: 8 Do you understand the question, Colonel? 9 0 10 Α Yes, I understand the question. Because the stabilizing in flight does not 11 12 mean you have a straight-shooting round that goes from point A to point B at however fast it spins. It means 13 that the round, as it's designed, is stabilized in 14 15 flight. 16 In this case, it means that the rifle in that 17 barrel is designed to spin out a projectile that goes 18 into a yaw so that when it hits flesh, it tumbles. So the stabilization taking place with this rifle 19 20 barreling is stabilizing the round and knocking it out of that spinning so that it yaws. Stabilization does 21 22 not mean straight arrow flight. What does stabilization mean? 23 Q 24 Α In this term, it means that the round, given its characteristics, will fire in accordance with the 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Colonel Craig Tucker - March 16, 2023

physics it was designed to fire with. There's a -there is a reasonable assurance when you fire a round out of that barrel with that rifle aim, that that round is going to come out of that barrel and go into a yaw, and when it hits flesh it will tumble. Q Going back to <u>Exhibit 2</u>, your report. We're still on paragraph 13. Α Okay. Line 20, it says, "The round is designed to 0 kill, not wound." Is that an accurate statement? Α That is not -- that is a very poor constructed statement. That is not what I was trying to say in that, and I can clarify it if you like. Please. 0 Α My point was -- is that the round is designed that if -- you don't have to have a direct body hit to cause death or severe injury like you do with most other rounds. You can hit in the leg or you can hit in the shoulder. I got hit in the shoulder with an AK-47 round, and it just knocked me on the ground. But if that had happened with a 5.56 and it started tumbling, it's a much more dramatic level of injury. 0 So a 5.56 round, it has a more severe impact on a human than does a round coming out of an AK-47?

1	A Yes, it does. AK-47 round doesn't tumble.
2	It flies straight until it stops.
3	Q And what do you base that on?
4	A Again, I did a lot of training and learned a
5	lot of knowledge about the threat weapons that were
6	being used against us in Iraq. I've been fired at by
7	AK-47s. I was hit twice by AK-47s. I had a very
8	vested interest in how that weapon works and very
9	vested interest that the rounds don't tumble.
10	Q And you learned that in training?
11	A I learned that in training, and I learned
12	that personally when it bounced into my shoulder.
13	Q Can you tell whether a round is tumbling when
14	it's being fired?
15	A I could tell when a tumbling round hits an
16	individual.
17	Q And how I'm sorry. What?
18	A Versus a 7.62 round, for example.
19	Q How can you tell that a round tumbles when it
20	hits a person?
21	A You can usually tell after the round is gone
22	because most of that if they hit them in the gut,
23	most of that is visible. The other way that you can
24	tell, in other circumstances, is by the exit wound.
25	Q How so? What would indicate tumbling from an

1	exit wound?
2	A It indicates a certain level of cavitation,
3	and it has that pressure the round isn't by itself.
4	It's pushing incredible amounts of air pressure and
5	gas pressure in front of it that blows things open.
6	And it picks that up as it's going through your body.
7	So that exit wound can be pretty dramatic if you're
8	hit with a 5.56 round.
9	Q So for clarity's sake, your sentence, "The
10	round is designed to kill, not wound," was not
11	A It's designed to allow you to hit in a
12	what we would call off target, but it's designed to
13	allow you to hit the extremities or the upper or lower
14	body and have a round that's going to cause more
15	damage once it's inside of you than a 7.62 round.
16	Q Okay. So you're not aware of any round that
17	is designed just to wound, right?
18	A No, that was that was improperly spoken,
19	and I took my lashes for it, deservedly.
20	Q I just wanted to clarify that there are no
21	rounds out there designed to wound, right?
22	A No. No.
23	Q So back to paragraph 7 of Exhibit 2, your
24	report. I have it up on the screen here.
25	You have paragraph 7 in front of you,

1	Colonel?
2	A I do, yes.
3	Q The first line says, "I have fired a Colt
4	AR-15 5.56 rifle and the Smith & Wesson 5.56 AR
5	rifle," right?
6	A Correct.
7	Q You previously testified that you do not own
8	any AR-15s, correct?
9	A I do not, no.
10	Q Whose rifles were you shooting that you're
11	referring to?
12	A These rifles were part of the rifle selection
13	process when I was at the Office of Secure
14	Transportation. We made a
15	At the Office of Secure Transportation.
16	Q And why were you firing those weapons?
17	A We were testing different weapons or
18	different rifles, see if we wanted to replace the
19	current M4 we had. So it was part of a normal cycle
20	of replacing our combat rifles.
21	Q And what was
22	A We stayed with the M4.
23	Q Do you recall why you stayed with the M4?
24	A There's a lot of reasons. Most have to do
25	with so you're in the federal government. You have

```
1
    to -- you have to send out requests for guotes for all
2
            And when they come back, in this case it's
3
    usually found that the weapon that is -- that
4
    everybody already has works just fine. So we will
    upgrade the weapon system. We may get some new
5
    accessories for it, but just kept the M4.
6
7
        Q
             Okay.
                     So staying in paragraph 7 but going
    down a line, at the end of line 18, it starts, "In
8
    addition to my automatic rifle experience, I have
9
    extensive experience with the AK-47."
10
11
             Is an AK-47 an automatic rifle?
12
        Α
             It is.
                     I quess I'm just a little confused.
13
        0
14
    It says "in addition to my automatic rifle
    experience."
15
16
             Are you saying that's part of your automatic
17
    rifle experience, the AK-47?
             I'm sorry. In my head I'm transitioning from
18
        Α
    friendly enemy systems -- I'm sorry -- friendly
19
20
    systems to enemy systems in that paragraph.
21
             I don't mean to be a grammar teacher.
        0
                                                      I'm
22
    just trying to clarify.
              I understand it's not clarified properly, but
23
        Α
24
    your interpretation is correct.
             Okay.
                    You said you've been on the receiving
25
        Q
```

```
1
    end of hundreds of 7.62 rounds, right?
2
        Α
              Correct.
              And I believe you testified earlier that the
3
        0
    7.62 round is what the AK-47 uses?
4
5
        Α
              Correct.
6
        0
              Do you know what 7.62 stands for?
7
              And it's 7.62, right?
8
        Α
              It is 7.62.
              Do you know what that stands for?
9
        0
10
        Α
              7.62 millimeter. 5.56 millimeter.
                                                   It's the
    size of the round.
11
12
        0
              It's the size of the round. Is that
    millimeters?
13
14
        Α
              It's millimeters, yes.
              And what is it measuring?
15
        0
16
        Α
              It measures the projectile.
17
        Q
              Do you know what part -- is it like the
18
    weight of the projectile? Or, no, it's millimeter,
19
    right, so it's --
20
        Α
              Yeah, it's the length. I do not know, Sean.
21
                     So is there more to the description of
        0
              Okay.
22
    a 7.62 cartridge to tell you that it's AK-47
    ammunition, or is saying 7.62 sufficient to indicate
23
    that it is AK-47 ammunition?
24
              The 7.62 linked to AK-47 is sufficient.
25
        Α
```

1	my knowledge, it only fires 7.62 ammunition. It has
2	red tip and green tip also, but it's mostly an
3	anti-personnel round.
4	Q So there are no other types of
5	7.62 ammunition that could be used in a firearm that
6	is not an AK-47?
7	A There is 7.62 ammunition that is used in
8	machine guns that is specifically designed to do
9	things like start fires or create smoke, but that's a
10	different weapon system.
11	Q Is that I'm sorry? I didn't mean to cut
12	you off.
13	A I said that's a different weapon system to
14	what I'm referring to here.
15	Q Is it the same 7.62 cartridge that you're
16	referring to?
17	A It is generally the same 7.62 cartridge. I
18	mean, the AK-47 uses a cartridge manufactured outside
19	of the United States, but it's they might call it a
20	30-06 cartridge, but it's a 7.62.
21	Q So does the AK-47 fire a 30-06 cartridge?
22	A If you wanted to try to visualize the
23	cartridge that comes out of an AK-47, a 30-06
24	cartridge would give you a good idea.
25	Q Could you safely discharge a 30-06 cartridge

out of an AK-47? 1 2 Α I do not know. So in describing your experience with AK-47s, 3 0 4 you talk about the Battle of Hit. Is it H-I-T, or is it --5 6 Α Heat. Like -- like you would say, "He 7 pulled heat on me" or something. That's pronounced "heat." 8 0 Got it. 9 10 I looked up the details of that battle just to see what was going on, and it appears -- correct me 11 12 if I'm wrong -- that U.S. forces and Iraqi allied forces were attempting to take back the city of Hit 13 14 from insurgents; is that correct? Α That was a later battle. This particular 15 battle was an attempt to get us to pull my forces 16 17 through Fallujah, and so the attempt there was to 18 create a situation in the city of Hit because my regimen was being moved 120 miles to participate in 19 20 the Battle of Fallujah. 21 They did not -- bad guys did not want that to 22 happen, so they created this battle in Hit with the 23 intent of pulling us into the city and getting us 24 locked into an intense urban battle just before we 25 were supposed to go to Fallujah. We didn't do that.

1 We just surrounded the city and very carefully picked 2 off the bad guys one by one or with aircraft or an 3 LAV-25 millimeter chain gun. 4 O Okay. The later battle in Hit was a much larger 5 Α affair than this one is. 6 7 0 Got it. 8 So your Marines were not entering the city? 9 Α In this fight, no. We just stayed out there 10 until they ran out of ammunition. Good call. 11 0 12 Α We also fired the AK-47 and spent some time on a rifle range with it. In case you had to pick one 13 14 up in the middle of a battle, you knew how to use it. How often did that happen where U.S. Marines 15 0 16 were picking up AK-47s? I can recall one instance when it happened 17 Α when a Marine had his rifle shot out of his hand. 18 19 he grabbed that AK-47 from an Iragi soldier that was 20 fighting alongside of us, so... 21 Got it. 0 22 So staying in -- excuse me -- paragraph 7, 23 you say, "I have expensive experience with a Colt 1911 .45 caliber semiautomatic." Is that correct? 24 25 Α Correct.

1	Q And the Colt 1911 is a pistol, correct?	And
2	A Correct.	Corr
3	Q And you say ".45 caliber." What does that	And
4	mean?	
5	A That's the size of the round.	That
6	Q Is that is .45 go ahead.	Is t
7	A So, yeah, .45 on there pretty much refers to	So,
8	inches, where the 9 millimeter refers to millimeters.	s, where
9	So I recognize the rounds, but	recogniz
10	Q You don't know what the cartridge is called?	You
11	A Well, it's called a .45 caliber, yeah45,	Well
12	they're 9 millimeters, an 8 or 9 millimeter cartridge.	re 9 mil
13	Everybody uses it.	ody use
14	Q So when you say .45, there is only one type	So v
15	of ammunition that can be .45?	nunitior
16	A There's other types	Ther
17	Q I'm sorry. Let me rephrase that.	I'm
18	If you're talking about .45 caliber, there's	Ιf y
19	only one type of cartridge that would be understood	ne type
20	when you say that?	ou say
21	A That is correct.	That
22	Q And the same for 9 millimeter? If you say	And
23	9 millimeter, there's only one cartridge that people	imeter,
24	would understand you to be talking about?	underst
25	A Correct. If they understood the two weapon	Corr

```
They're often referred to by their caliber.
1
    systems.
 2
    So "Where's my .45?" or "Get my 9 millimeter."
              Got it. I'm probably going to be --
 3
         0
 4
              MR. BRADY:
                           Let's go off the record.
 5
              (Recess.)
 6
              MR. BRADY: Back on the record after a lunch
    break.
 7
    BY MR. BRADY:
 8
 9
              I wanted to follow up with another line from
         0
10
    your first supplemental report.
11
         Α
              I dropped out of Agile. I'm getting back in
12
    now.
13
                     Can you see Exhibit 2?
         0
              Okay.
14
         Α
              Paragraph 2?
              It's <a href="Exhibit 2">Exhibit 2</a>, paragraph 13.
15
         0
16
         Α
              Okay.
17
         Q
              Are you seeing that on your screen?
18
        Α
              I am seeing -- I'm on 1. 2 is coming up.
19
    I'm on 2, going down to paragraph 13. Okay.
20
    it.
21
         0
                     Line 19 in paragraph 13 says, "A
              Okay.
22
    single round is capable of severing the upper body
    from the lower body, or decapitation."
23
24
              Did you write that statement?
              I did write that statement.
25
        Α
```

1	Q Do you agree is that your opinion as you
2	sit here today, that that's an accurate statement?
3	A It is my opinion that's an accurate
4	statement.
5	Q On let me start off by asking, the round
6	that you're referring to is the .223 or 5.56 round; is
7	that correct?
8	A It is a 5.56-type round, yes, fired out of a
9	similar-type weapon.
10	Q And just to clarify, we talked about rounds
11	are the bullets as you're using it, right, the
12	projectile?
13	A Correct.
14	Q And a round can be different there can be
15	different types of rounds in a cartridge; is that
16	correct?
17	A Yes, that would be correct.
18	Q So a 5.56 NATO cartridge could use different
19	types of rounds, correct?
20	A Yes. 5.56 an M4 chamber for 5.56 NATO
21	would be capable of firing a different 5.56 round, but
22	I could not tell you specifics.
23	Q So you're not referring to any particular
24	type of round when you make the statement that "a
25	single round is capable of severing the upper body

1	from the lower body"?
2	A I'm referring to a 5.56 round out of an
3	AK-74.
4	Q A 5.56 round out of an AK-74?
5	A Correct.
6	Q So that's a 5.56 NATO round?
7	A It's the Russian version of a 5.56 round.
8	The AK-74 is the Soviet, then Russian, effort to
9	create a mirror of the M4 and M16.
10	Q Is that similar to the .223?
11	A It's a similar caliber to the 5.56. It's
12	where I've seen it compared. I have not seen it
13	compared to a .223.
14	Q So the line preceding your report, going back
15	to line 18, says, "The AR-15 and M4 are both designed
16	to fire a .223 round," correct?
17	A Correct, in that I use the .223 round and
18	5.56 round interchangeably.
19	Q Correct. We've established that.
20	And then the next line says, "A single round
21	is capable of severing the upper body from the lower
22	body, or decapitation."
23	So it appears that you're referring to a .223
24	round in that sentence. Are you saying that you are
25	not referring to a .223 round?

1	A I'm referring to a 5.56 round in that
2	sentence. That's what it's designated. It's a
3	5.56 round.
4	Q The 5.56 NATO?
5	A It is not a 5.56 NATO to my knowledge, no.
6	Q Is the round you're referring to a round that
7	is coming out of an AR-15 or an M4?
8	A It can be fired from an AR-15 or an M4.
9	Q But the round you're referring to is
10	different than the 5.56 NATO that we talked about as
11	being very similar to the .223?
12	A The round I'm referring to is very similar to
13	the 5.56 NATO round, and it has the same
14	characteristics of yaw and tumble as the 5.56 NATO
15	round when it's fired from the M4, M16.
16	Q Have you ever witnessed or let me go back.
17	So when you're talking about a single round
18	that is capable of severing the upper body from the
19	lower body, or decapitation, are you referring to
20	rounds that are coming out of an AR-15?
21	A Are we talking about an AR-15 in terms of
22	its as an ArmaLite or as a general terminology for
23	an AR-15 as an automatic rifle regardless if it's
24	Colt, Remington, or somebody else is making it?
25	Q Sure. I guess let me back up before we go

```
1
    into particulars and let me ask, on what do you base
2
    your claim that a single round is capable of severing
    the upper body from the lower body, or decapitation?
3
4
             What is your knowledge base for making that
    claim?
5
             Visually seeing a child with his -- actually,
6
        Α
7
    her head decapitated except for a small strip of flesh
8
    by her backbone.
        0
9
             Okay.
                     Is --
10
              (Simultaneous speakers.)
    BY MR. BRADY:
11
12
        Q
              I'm sorry.
              I'm sorry.
                          Go ahead.
13
        Α
              Is that what you were basing your statement
14
        0
    on when you said that a single round is capable of
15
16
    severing the upper body from the lower body, or
17
    decapitation, that instance?
                                            I have,
18
        Α
             That is the extreme I used.
19
    unfortunately, hundreds of examples of the damage done
20
    by a 5.56 round when it's fired from either an M4,
    M16, or an AK-74. I show extremes here, and I show
21
22
    extremes that apply to children have come in the line
    of fire, ambushes initiated by terrorists.
23
                                                  It's a
24
    common tactic, and it's done intentionally.
25
             So my personal experience with the
```

decapitation was a young girl in her car seat in the 1 2 back of a van. Did you witness her being shot? 3 4 Α We rolled up as the ambush was taking place. We conducted our normal battle drill, which is move in 5 position, protect civilians, and neutralize the 6 7 threat, which we do very quickly. 8 And at that point, we sent corpsmen over to the civilians. And the -- as I mentioned earlier, 9 10 this particular ambush took place in a location that we had not seen an ambush in almost a year. So there 11 12 was a great deal of concern that there was a new tactic that was coming into play because we had used 13 this freeway for civilian convoys, and they had been 14 safe for over a year. 15 16 So now we're seeing attacks on civilian 17 convoys. So we spent a lot of time dissecting that 18 scene, as I referred to earlier, with the expertise, 19 in this case, my qunner brings to the equation in 20 terms of what you can learn from the geometry and the debris on the battlefield as to what actually took 21 22 place. 23 Q What's a qunner? 24 Gunner is a rank in the Marine Corps, and his 25 responsibility is to be the expert on tactics and

1	weapons.
2	Q Okay. And so the gunner, who is an expert on
3	weapons did your gunner make a determination as to
4	what happened to that young girl?
5	A The assessment was that it was an AK-74 round
6	that had been swept across the vehicle. And based on
7	where the entrance round was, by the time we were
8	doing the assessment, the girl was gone. But based on
9	where the entrance round was and where it disappeared
10	into her car seat, the assessment was it was an AK-74
11	round and not an AK-47 round.
12	Q And who's using AK-74 firearms?
13	MR. ECHEVERRIA: Objection.
14	BY MR. BRADY:
15	Q Does the United States Marine Corps use
16	AK-74s?
17	A No, the United States Marine Corps does not
18	use AK-74. It was used by different nationalities who
19	contributed fighting groups to the terrorist side of
20	the equation.
21	(Simultaneous speakers.)
22	THE WITNESS: different countries.
23	BY MR. BRADY:
24	Q So it was enemy fire?
25	

1 0 So the round -- the one instance that you 2 witnessed a young child decapitated was with a round that did not come from an AR-15 M4 5.56, correct? 3 What I witnessed was a round that came from 4 an AK-74 that is designed -- it's a Russian design to 5 mirror the M16. It has the same bullet behavior 6 7 and -- on travel and impact as the M4 or the M16. 8 0 Do you recall your qunner's name in that 9 instance? 10 Α I do. Would you be willing to share it? 11 0 12 MR. ECHEVERRIA: I'm going to object to the extent the question calls for private information. 13 14 BY MR. BRADY: Yeah, if it's confidential, you don't -- I 15 0 16 mean, you know, if you're worried about his safety by 17 answering that, you don't have to, but I think it 18 was -- what -- what are we at now, 20 years ago? But 19 I'll leave that up to you, Colonel. 20 Α Are you asking me if I'm going to give up his 21 name? 22 0 If you're willing to offer it. And I said if you don't feel it's appropriate, you don't have to, 23 but if you don't see a concern for his safety or 24 25 anything --

1	A It's not appropriate.
2	Q Okay. Do you know how many different types
3	of projectiles there are for a .223/5.56?
4	A No, I don't.
5	Q You do know there's more than one, though,
6	right?
7	A Yes, I know there's more than one. And I
8	know Mr. Boone articulated a number of other ones,
9	too.
10	Q Do you have any reason to dispute, based on
11	your knowledge, the rounds that Mr. Boone identified
12	as being rounds for a 5.56 NATO?
13	A I have no knowledge of any of the rounds that
14	he put up there.
15	Q Okay.
16	A In my 26 years of experience with those
17	rifles, I have no experience
18	Q I'm sorry. Go ahead.
19	A I have no experience with those rounds other
20	than to know that they all have the same
21	characteristic when they depart the barrel.
22	Q Can you explain what you mean they have the
23	same characteristic when they depart the barrel? What
24	do you mean by that?
25	A Barrel rifling cause a yaw. Yaw stabilizes