Case 8:17-cv-00746-JLS-JDE Document 150-29 Filed 05/26/23 Page 1 of 3 Page ID #:9941

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	STEVEN RUPP, et al.,	Case No.: 8:17-cv-00746-JLS-JDE	
12	Plaintiffs,	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT	
13	VS.	Hearing Date:	July 28, 2023
14	ROB BONTA, in his official capacity as Attorney General of the State of	Hearing Time: Courtroom:	8A
15 16	Attorney General of the State of California,	Judge:	Josephine L. Staton
10	Defendant.		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		1 JUDGMENT	

Plaintiffs Steven Rupp, Steven Dember, Cheryl Johnson, Michael Jones,
 Christopher Seifert, Alfonso Valencia, Troy Willis, Dennis Martin, and the
 California Rifle & Pistol Association, Incorporated's Motion for Summary Judgment
 as to the remaining claim of their Third Amended Complaint for Declaratory and
 Injunctive Relief against Defendant, California Attorney General Xavier Becerra,
 came on regularly for consideration before the Court on July 28, 2023 at 10:30 a.m.
 Appearances by counsel are noted in the record.

8 After considering the moving, opposition, and reply briefs, all the evidence
9 submitted by both parties, and the arguments presented by the parties' respective
10 counsel, this Court issued an order (ECF NO. ___), holding that:

There are no genuine issues of material fact that would affect Plaintiffs' claim
under the Second Amendment (U.S. Const. amends. II and XIV) set forth in the
Third Amended Complaint and, therefore, Plaintiffs are entitled to summary
judgment as a matter of law, under Fed.R.Civ. P.56(a);

15 IN ACCORDANCE WITH THAT ORDER, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

16

Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment is GRANTED and that:

17 Defendant is hereby enjoined from enforcing California Penal Code §§ 18 30510(a), 30515(a)(1)(A-C), 30515(a)(1)(E-F), 30515(a)(3), 30520, 30600, 30605, 19 30925, and 30945, as well as California Code of Regulations, title 11, section 5499, 20to the extent any of those provisions prohibits the otherwise lawful manufacturing, 21 acquisition, possession, or transfer of any semi-automatic, centerfire rifle with a 22 detachable magazine having a "pistol grip," "flash suppressor," "thumbhole stock," 23 "telescoping" stock, or folding stock; any semiautomatic rifle with a fixed magazine 24 that has a capacity of over 10 rounds; or any semi-automatic, centerfire rifle that is 25 over 26 inches in overall length. The aforementioned sections of the California Penal 26 Code and regulations are unconstitutional violations of Plaintiffs' right to bear arms 27 under the Second Amendment to the United States Constitution. Plaintiff is the 28 prevailing party and shall be entitled to recover its reasonable costs of suit and any

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

1 reasonable attorneys' fees provided for by statute, according to proof.

2 Further, Defendant's motion for summary judgment filed in this action is3 DENIED.

Further, Judgment as to Plaintiffs' other claims for Due Process and Takings,
previously dismissed by the Court on May 9, 2018 (ECF No. 49), is hereby entered
in favor of Defendant consistent with this Court's ruling on that motion. All relief
sought by Plaintiffs under those two claims is denied.

8	
9	
10	
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.
12	
13	Dated:
14	Honorable Judge Josephine L. Staton United States District Judge
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT