No. 23-55805

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

VIRGINIA DUNCAN, ET AL., Plaintiffs and Appellees,

V.

ROB BONTA, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Defendant and Appellant.

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of California

No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB The Honorable Roger T. Benitez, Judge

APPELLANT'S EXCERPTS OF RECORD VOLUME 2 of 17

ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
MICHAEL J. MONGAN
Solicitor General
Helen H. Hong
Principal Deputy Solicitor General
THOMAS S. PATTERSON
Senior Assistant Attorney General

MICA L. MOORE Deputy Solicitor General R. MATTHEW WISE Supervising Deputy Attorney General ROBERT L. MEYERHOFF KEVIN J. KELLY JOHN D. ECHEVERRIA Deputy Attorneys General

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 (213) 269-6138 Mica.Moore@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Defendant and Appellant

November 21, 2023

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 2 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18221 Page 1 of 187

1	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California		
2	MARK BECKINGTON Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	KEVIN J. KELLY Deputy Attorney General		
4	JOĤN D. ECHEVERRIA		
5	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 268843 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000		
6	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 510-3479		
7	Fax: (415) 703-1234		
8	E-mail: John.Echeverria@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Defendant Rob Bonta, In his official capacity as Attorney		
9	IN THE UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT	
10	FOR THE SOUTHERN DI	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11			
12 13	VIRGINIA DUNCAN et al.,	Case No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB	
	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF JOHN D.	
14	v.	ECHEVERRIA IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S BRIEF IN DESERVICE FOR THE COUNTYS	
15 16	ROB BONTA, in his official capacity as Attorney General of the State of	RESPONSE TO THE COURT'S ORDER ENTERED ON DECEMBER 15, 2022	
17 18	California, Defendant.	Dept: 5A Judge: Hon. Roger T. Benitez Action Filed: May 17, 2017	
19			
20	I, John D. Echeverria, declare as follows:		
21	1. I am a Deputy Attorney Gene	eral with the California Department of	
22	Justice and serve as counsel to Defendant	Rob Bonta, in his official capacity as	
23	Attorney General of the State of Californi	a ("Defendant"), in the above-captioned	
24	matter. Except as otherwise stated, I have	e personal knowledge of the facts set forth	
25	in this declaration, and if called upon as a	witness I could testify competently as to	
26	those facts.		
27	2. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1	is a true and correct copy of excerpts	
28	from the transcript of the Deposition of St	rephen C. Helsley [Vol. I], dated January	
	Declaration of John D. Echeverria in Support of	of Defendant's Brief in Response to the Court's	

Order Entered on December 15, 2022 (3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB)

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 3 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18222 Page 2 of 187

- 19, 2023, from the matter, *Oregon Firearms Fed'n v. Brown*, U.S. District Court
 for the District of Oregon, Case Nos. 2:22-cv-01815-IM, 3:22-cv-01859-IM, 3:22 cv-01862-IM, 3:22-cv-01869-IM.
- 4

5

6

7

8

3. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 2** is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the transcript of the Deposition of Stephen C. Helsley – Vol. II, dated January 30, 2023, from the matter, *Oregon Firearms Fed'n v. Brown*, U.S. District Court for the District of Oregon, Case Nos. 2:22-cv-01815-IM, 3:22-cv-01859-IM, 3:22-cv-01862-IM, 3:22-cv-01869-IM.

9 4. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3 is a true and correct copy of the
10 Supplemental Expert Report and Declaration of Colonel (Ret.) Craig Tucker, dated
11 January 6, 2023, filed in the matter, *Rupp v. Bonta*, U.S. District Court for the
12 Central District of California, Case No. 8:17-cv-00746-JLS-JDE.

5. Attached hereto as Exhibit 4 is a true and correct copy of the
 Declaration of Kevin Sweeney, dated February 5, 2023, filed in the matter, *Oregon Firearms Fed 'n v. Brown*, U.S. District Court for the District of Oregon, Case Nos.
 2:22-cv-01815-IM, 3:22-cv-01859-IM, 3:22-cv-01862-IM, 3:22-cv-01869-IM.

Attached hereto as Exhibit 5 is a true and correct copy of excerpts
 from the transcript of the Deposition of Ashley Hlebinsky, dated January 20, 2023,
 from the matter, *Oregon Firearms Fed'n v. Brown*, U.S. District Court for the
 District of Oregon, Case Nos. 2:22-cv-01815-IM, 3:22-cv-01859-IM, 3:22-cv 01862-IM, 3:22-cv-01869-IM.

7. Attached hereto as Exhibit 6 is a true and correct copy of excerpts
from the transcript of the Deposition of Clayton Cramer, dated January 19, 2023,
from the matter, *Oregon Firearms Fed'n v. Brown*, U.S. District Court for the
District of Oregon, Case Nos. 2:22-cv-01815-IM, 3:22-cv-01859-IM, 3:22-cv01862-IM, 3:22-cv-01869-IM.

27 28

2

	Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 4 of 280
Case 3	2:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18223 Page 3 of 187
1	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of
2	America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on February 10, 2023, at
3	San Francisco, California.
4	s/ John D. Echeverria
5	John D. Echeverria
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	3

Declaration of John D. Echeverria in Support of Defendant's Brief in Response to the Court's Order Entered on December 15, 2022 (3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB) Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 5 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18224 Page 4 of 187

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Description	Page No.
1	Excerpts from the transcript of the Deposition of Stephen C. Helsley [Vol. I], dated January 19, 2023, from the matter, <i>Oregon Firearms Fed'n v. Brown</i> , U.S. District Court for the District of Oregon, Case Nos. 2:22-cv-01815-IM, 3:22- cv-01859-IM, 3:22-cv-01862-IM, 3:22-cv-01869-IM	1-32
2	Excerpts from the transcript of the Deposition of Stephen C. Helsley – Vol. II, dated January 30, 2023, from the matter, <i>Oregon Firearms Fed'n v. Brown</i> , U.S. District Court for the District of Oregon, Case Nos. 2:22-cv-01815-IM, 3:22- cv-01859-IM, 3:22-cv-01862-IM, 3:22-cv-01869-IM	33-55
3	Supplemental Expert Report and Declaration of Colonel (Ret.) Craig Tucker, dated January 6, 2023, filed in the matter, <i>Rupp v. Bonta</i> , U.S. District Court for the Central District of California, Case No. 8:17-cv-00746-JLS-JDE	56-72
4	Declaration of Kevin Sweeney, dated February 5, 2023, filed in the matter, <i>Oregon Firearms Fed'n v. Brown</i> , U.S. District Court for the District of Oregon, Case Nos. 2:22- cv-01815-IM, 3:22-cv-01859-IM, 3:22-cv-01862-IM, 3:22- cv-01869-IM	73-106
5	Excerpts from the transcript of the Deposition of Ashley Hlebinsky, dated January 20, 2023, from the matter, <i>Oregon</i> <i>Firearms Fed'n v. Brown</i> , U.S. District Court for the District of Oregon, Case Nos. 2:22-cv-01815-IM, 3:22-cv- 01859-IM, 3:22-cv-01862-IM, 3:22-cv-01869-IM	107-138
6	Excerpts from the transcript of the Deposition of Clayton Cramer, dated January 19, 2023, from the matter, <i>Oregon</i> <i>Firearms Fed'n v. Brown</i> , U.S. District Court for the District of Oregon, Case Nos. 2:22-cv-01815-IM, 3:22-cv- 01859-IM, 3:22-cv-01862-IM, 3:22-cv-01869-IM	139-183

Deposition of Stephen C. Helsley

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

January 19, 2023



206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989 1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101

www.buellrealtime.com email: info@buellrealtime.com



Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 7 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18227 Page 7 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Stephen C. Helsley

	Page 1
IN THE UNITED STATES I FOR THE DISTRICT	
FOR THE DISTRICT	OF OREGON
OREGON FIREARMS FEDERATION, INC., et al.,))
Plaintiffs,	
v.) Case Nos.) 2:22-cv-01815-IM
KATE BROWN, et al.,) 3:22-cv-01859-IM) 3:22-cv-01862-IM) 3:22-CV-01869-IM
Defendants.)
)
(Continued)))
* VIDEOCONFER	ENCE *
VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION UPON	ORAL EXAMINATION
OF EXPERT	
STEPHEN C. HE	LSLEY
Witness locate	d in:
El Dorado Hills,	California
* All participants appeared	via videoconference *
DATE TAKEN: January 19, 2023	
REPORTED BY: Tia B. Reidt, Wash Oreg	ington RPR, CSR #2798 on #22-0001

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 8 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18228 Page 8 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Stephen C. Helsley

		Page 2
1	(Continued))
2	MARK FITZ, et al.,)
3	Plaintiffs, v.)
4	ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM, et al.,)
5	Defendants.)
6	KATERINA B. EYRE, et al.,)
7 8	Plaintiffs, v.)
9	ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM, et al.,)
10	Defendants.))
11	DANIEL AZZOPARDI, et al.,)
12	Plaintiffs, v.)
13 14	ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM, et al.,))
14	Defendants.)
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20 21		
21		
23		
24		
25		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 9 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18229 Page 9 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Stephen C. Helsley

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES
2	For Oregon Firearms Federation and the Witness:
3	LEONARD WILLIAMSON VAN NESS WILLIAMSON
4 5	960 Liberty Street SE, Suite 100 Salem, OR 97302
6	(503) 365-8800 L.williamson@vwllp.com
7	For the State of Oregon Defendants:
8	HARRY WILSON
9	MARKOWITZ HERBOLD 1455 SW Broadway, Suite 1900
10	Portland, OR 97201 (503) 972-5076
11 12	HarryWilson@markowitzherbold.com
13	For the Proposed Intervenor-Defendant Oregon Alliance for Gun Safety:
14	ZACHARY J. PEKELIS
15	W. SCOTT FERRON PACIFICA LAW GROUP
16	1191 Second Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98101
17	(206) 245-1700 Zach.Pekelis@PacificaLawGroup.com
18	Videographer:
19	CATHY ZAK
20	BUELL REALTIME REPORTING 1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840
21	Seattle, WA 98101 (206) 287-9066
22	Info@buellrealtime.com
23 24	
24 25	

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 10 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18230 Page 10 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Stephen C. Helsley

	Oregon Firearms Federatio	n, Inc., et al. v. Brown, e	et al.	Stephen C. Helsley
				Page 4
1		EXA	MINATION INDEX	
2	EXAMINATION	BY:	PAGE	
3	Mr. Wilson		6	
4	Mr. Pekelis		85	
5	Mr. William	son	103	
6				
7		E	XHIBIT INDEX	
8	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION		PAGE
9	EXHIBIT 27	Declaration	of Stephen Helsley.	12
10	EXHIBIT 28		of Massad Ayoob in Plaintiffs' Motion	67
11		For Prelimi	nary Injunction;	
12		Exhibits A-	С.	
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 11 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18231 Page 11 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Stephen C. Helsley

Page 5 El Dorado Hills, California; Thursday, January 19, 2023 1 1:49 p.m. 2 -000-3 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good afternoon. 5 This is the deposition of Stephen Helsley in 6 the matter of Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc. et al. 7 v. Brown et al., Case Numbers 2:22-cv-01815-IM, 8 3:22-cv-01859-IM, 3:22-cv-01862-IM, and 9 3:22-CV-01869-IM in the United States District Court 10 for the District of Oregon and was noticed by Markowitz 11 Herbold. 12 The time now is approximately 1:50 p.m. on 13 this 19th day of January 2023, and we are convening via 14 Buell virtual depositions. 15 My name is Cathy Zak from Buell Realtime 16 Reporting, LLC, located at 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 1840, 17 in Seattle, Washington 98101. 18 Will counsel please identify themselves for 19 the record. 20 MR. WILSON: Harry Wilson, special 21 assistant Attorney General for the state of Oregon for 22 defendants. 23 MR. WILLIAMSON: Leonard Williamson for 24 plaintiffs OFF here in Oregon. 25

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 12 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18232 Page 12 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Stephen C. Helsley

Page 6 MR. PEKELIS: Zach Pekelis for intervenor 1 defendant Oregon Alliance for Gun Safety, and I'm in 2 Seattle, Washington. 3 THE WITNESS: And Steve Helsley, witness. 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. 5 The court reporter may now swear in the 6 witness. 7 THE COURT REPORTER: Can I please get a 8 stipulation from counsel to swear in the witness, as 9 I'm a Washington State court reporter and notary, and 10 the witness is in California? 11 MR. WILSON: So stipulated. 12 MR. WILLIAMSON: So stipulated. 13 MR. PEKELIS: Same. 14 15 STEPHEN C. HELSLEY, 16 Having been first duly sworn by the 17 Certified Court Reporter, was deposed as follows: 18 19 EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. WILSON: 21 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Helsley. My name is Harry 22 Wilson. As you just heard, I am an attorney for the 23 state of Oregon. 24 Could we begin today by having you state your 25

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 13 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18233 Page 13 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Page 7 full name for the record? 1 A. Yes. It's Steven, S-T-E-P-H-E-N; Craig, 2 C-R-A-I-G; Helsley, H-E-L-S-L-E-Y. 3 Q. Mr. Helsley, do you understand that the oath 4 that you just took is the same oath that you would take 5 if we were in a courtroom? 6 Α. I do. 7 Do you understand that this deposition is Ο. 8 being transcribed by a court reporter? 9 I do. 10 Α. And do you also understand that this Ο. 11 deposition is being recorded by audio and video? 12 Α. I do. 13 Do you understand that we may be able to Ο. 14 playback that video or read from the transcript at a 15 hearing or a trial on this matter? 16 Α. I do. 17 Ο. Okay. 18 This afternoon I'm going to ask you a series 19 of questions in this deposition. And unless you tell 20 me that you don't understand my question, I will assume 21 that you've understood it. 22 Does that make sense? 23 It does. Α. 24 Mr. Helsley, is there anything that would Q. 25

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 14 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18234 Page 14 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Stephen C. Helsley

	Page 8
1	prevent you from thinking clearly today?
2	A. No.
3	Q. Is there anything that would prevent you from
4	testifying truthfully today?
5	A. No.
6	Q. As we go through the questions, please feel
7	free to if there comes a point, you know, in the
8	next few hours that you would like to take a break,
9	just let me know, and I would be happy to go off the
10	record and do that. Just so you know, if there's a
11	question pending, I will ask that you answer that
12	question before we take the break.
13	Make sense?
14	A. I understand.
15	Q. Okay.
16	Mr. Helsley, is it correct that presently you
17	are a retired peace officer from the California
18	Department of Justice?
19	A. That is correct.
20	Q. And about how many years did you serve as a
21	peace officer for the California Department of Justice?
22	A. 26 years.
23	Q. Did you serve exclusively within the state of
24	California?
25	A. I did.

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 15 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18235 Page 15 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Page 65 The time is 3:42 p.m. 1 BY MR. WILSON: 2 Q. Welcome back, Mr. Helsley. 3 So I'm still on your report. At this time, I 4 would like to take a look -- go to page 13. 5 A. Got it. 6 Q. And in the middle of that page, there's a 7 number 2, and then it says in italics "Limiting the 8 law-abiding citizen to a magazine of ten rounds limits 9 their ability 10 to protect themselves from violent criminals in certain 11 situations. Such limits on magazine capacity are 12 likely to impair the ability of citizens to engage in 13 lawful self-defense in those crime incidents 14 necessitating that the victim fire many rounds to stop 15 the aggressive actions of offenders, while having 16 negligible impact on the ability of criminals to carry 17 out violent crimes." 18 Mr. Helsley, did you write that sentence --19 those two sentences yourself? 20 A. As best I can recall, I did. 21 Q. And then there follows on, after that 2, 22 page 14 through to page 15, a number of paragraphs 23 discussing the use of firearms in self-defense 24 situations. 25

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 16 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18236 Page 16 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

```
Stephen C. Helsley
```

Page 66 Did you write those paragraphs yourself? 1 Let me see the -- the paragraphs on page 14? Α. 2 Yes. 3 Q. Well, if I didn't write something, I would Α. 4 have put quotes on it and attributed it, so I don't --5 I don't remember this specifically. But again, if --6 if it's not mine, I would have quoted it. 7 MR. WILSON: I'd like to introduce an 8 exhibit now. And I'll put it in the chat. I'm also 9 going to email it around. 10 Leonard, I don't have the witness's email 11 address, and I'm just going to send it to you. And so 12 after I hit send here, maybe we can go off the record 13 for a minute while we work on getting that exhibit on 14 screen? 15 So I'm hitting send now. 16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Would you like to go 17 off the record now? 18 MR. WILSON: And, yes, let's go off the 19 Thank you. 20 record. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. 21 The time is 3:45 p.m. 22 (Pause in the proceedings.) 23 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 24 record. 25

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 17 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18237 Page 17 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Page 67 The time is 3:52 p.m. 1 BY MR. WILSON: 2 Q. Okay. 3 Mr. Helsley, we are marking what is 4 Exhibit 28, and I'll let the court reporter do that. 5 (Exhibit 28 marked for identification.) 6 THE COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 28 has been 7 marked. 8 BY MR. WILSON: 9 Q. And then Mr. Helsley, Exhibit 28 should be 10 what you are looking at in front of you on your phone. 11 And if you would just tell me, does the first 12 page appear to be a court document captioned 13 "Declaration of Massad Ayoob in Support of Plaintiff's 14 Motion for Preliminary Injunction Exhibits A through 15 C"? 16 Α. No. 17 What do you see? Ο. 18 The document that I just opened up is, I Α. 19 think, the same one that I got before. It starts off 20 with Stephen J. Joncus, OSB Number 013072. 21 Q. Okay. 22 I think -- I think you're probably looking at 23 your expert report. 24 Yes, I am. But that's the one I just -- that 25 Α.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 18 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18238 Page 18 of 187

Stephen C. Helsley Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al. Page 68 I just -- oh, wait one minute. No. That's -- oh, 1 shame on me. I didn't scroll down far enough. 2 Okay. Now I've got it. 3 Okay. Q. 4 So what you're looking at says "Declaration of 5 Massad Ayoob"? 6 A. Correct. 7 Q. Okay. 8 So that has been marked as Exhibit 28. 9 And then Exhibit 27 is your expert report. 10 And I'm going to put that on the Zoom screen, and I 11 just want you to confirm that that is, in fact, what 12 you see when I put it on the screen. So give me just a 13 second. 14 Okay. Do you see on the screen 15 "Declaration" --16 Α. I do. 17 -- "of Stephen Helsley"? Ο. 18 Okay. 19 Wait. 20 Α. Yeah. 21 Oops. Yes. 22 Q. Great. Okay. 23 So Mr. Helsley, we were just discussing 24 Section 2 of your report on page 13, and I'm scrolling 25

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 19 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18239 Page 19 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

	Page 69
1	down to that. And you'll see on the screen I'm just
2	putting some highlighting.
3	A. Mm-hm.
4	Q. Do you see that?
5	A. Yes, I do.
6	Q. Okay.
7	Is that the section from your report that we
8	just read?
9	A. Yes, it is.
10	Q. Okay.
11	Now Mr. Helsley, could you, on your phone, on
12	Exhibit 28, scroll to the second page of the
13	declaration of Massad Ayoob and look at the bottom of
14	that page, paragraph 5 at the very bottom, and tell me
15	when you get there.
16	A. On what what page this is?
17	Q. On page 2, paragraph 5.
18	A. Page 2. Page 2, paragraph got it.
19	Q. So paragraph 5 of Exhibit 28 of Mr. Ayoob's
20	declaration states "Limiting the law-abiding citizen to
21	a magazine of ten rounds or less will clearly limit
22	their ability to protect themselves from violent
23	criminals in certain situations. Such limits on
24	magazine capacity are likely to impair the ability of
25	citizens to engage in lawful self-defense in those

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 20 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18240 Page 20 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Г

	Page 70
1	crime incidents, necessitating that
2	the victim fire many rounds in order to stop the
3	aggressive actions of offenders."
4	Mr. Helsley, would you agree that that
5	language in paragraph 5 of Mr. Ayoob's declaration is
6	nearly identical to the language in Section 2 of your
7	declaration?
8	A. Let me see here. "Limiting" (witness
9	<pre>mumbling/reading.)</pre>
10	Yes. It is for about the first half of it,
11	yes.
12	Q. Mr. Helsley, do you know Mr. Ayoob?
13	A. I do not.
14	Q. Have you ever had a conversation with him?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Mr. Helsley, did you copy your language in
17	your expert report from Mr. Ayoob's report here in
18	front of you?
19	A. I don't think so. I certainly am not inclined
20	to do that sort of a thing. I don't recall doing it.
21	I don't think I did it.
22	Q. Mr. Helsley, if you look back to your
23	report and I'm going to scroll down here you'll
24	see that I'm going to highlight this paragraph that
25	begins "Likewise."

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 21 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18241 Page 21 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Stephen C. Helsley

	Page 71
1	A. Mm-hm.
2	Q. That is a paragraph in your expert report;
3	correct?
4	A. Let me see.
5	Yeah.
6	Q. And then if you could, on Mr. Ayoob's report,
7	please scroll to page 8, paragraph 18.
8	A. Page 8, paragraph 18.
9	Got it.
10	Q. Okay.
11	So the paragraph in Exhibit 27, which is your
12	report, states "Likewise, the average homeowner who
13	keeps a defensive firearm is unlikely to have time to
14	gather spare ammunition or magazines."
15	In Mr. Ayoob's report, paragraph 18 states
16	"The homeowner who keeps a defensive firearm and is
17	awakened in the night by an intruder is most unlikely
18	to have time to gather spare ammunition."
19	And then both paragraphs continue on until the
20	end of the paragraph.
21	Would you agree that the language in these two
22	paragraphs is almost but not entirely identical?
23	A. They're similar.
24	Q. For example, in the paragraph in your report
25	you wrote "Ideally, one hand would be occupied with the

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 22 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18242 Page 22 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Г

Stephen C. Helsley

	Page 72
1	handgun and the other with a telephone to call police."
2	And in Mr. Ayoob's report, it states "Ideally,
3	one hand would be occupied with the handgun itself, and
4	the other, with a telephone to call the police."
5	Do you agree that those are nearly identical?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. So I guess my same question. Did you copy
8	your report from Mr. Ayoob's report?
9	A. Well, I don't I don't know that I ever saw
10	his report. Again, I'm not sure of the time sequence
11	as to when I prepared this, but I don't I don't know
12	him, and I don't I don't recall seeing a report from
13	him, but they're clearly similar.
14	Q. Mr. Helsley, if you'd go to page 15 of
15	Mr. Ayoob's report. And just to help you find it,
16	page 15 is Mr. Ayoob's signature page.
17	A. Okay. I'm getting there.
18	Yeah.
19	Q. And do you see that it's dated May 19th, 2017?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Your report, in contrast, on page 17, it's
22	dated December 20th, 2022; is that correct?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Is it fair to say that you created your report
25	after Mr. Ayoob signed and filed this report?

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 23 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18243 Page 23 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

	Page 73
1	A. It seems like it, yes.
2	Q. If you look at page 14 of your report, at the
3	top of the page, and I've scrolled to it here just so
4	you can see, beginning "The off-duty officer and the
5	private law-abiding citizen are thus unlikely to have
6	much, if any, spare ammunition on their person or
7	elsewhere readily accessible."
8	If you could also scroll to page 11 of
9	Mr. Ayoob's report, paragraph 27, please.
10	A. Page 11. Got it.
11	Q. You'll see that paragraph 27 of Mr. Ayoob's
12	report also begins "The off-duty officer and the
13	law-abiding citizen alike are not likely to have that
14	volume of spare ammunition on their person or elsewhere
15	readily
16	accessible."
17	Would you agree that paragraph 27 of
18	Mr. Ayoob's report and the paragraph of your report
19	that begins "The off-duty officer" are nearly
20	identical?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. I'm now looking at the paragraph beginning
23	"Criminals bent on causing harm" in your report.
24	That's paragraph 27. And I'm on page 8, paragraph 20
25	of Mr. Ayoob's report.

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 24 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18244 Page 24 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Stephen C. Helsley

Page 74

	Page 74
1	Would you please compare the first three
2	sentences of the paragraph in your report, and I'll
3	highlight them for you, to the sentences in paragraphs
4	20 and 21 in Mr. Ayoob's report?
5	Would you agree they are nearly identical?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. The paragraph beginning "The virtuous citizen"
8	in your report appears to be nearly identical to the
9	paragraph beginning or the paragraph numbered Number
10	24 in Mr. Ayoob's report, which also begins with the
11	words "The virtuous citizen."
12	And it's my same question: Are those
13	paragraphs nearly identical?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. The paragraph beginning "Supporters of the
16	magazine capacity limitation" in your report appears to
17	be identical to the paragraph numbered paragraph 30 in
18	Mr. Ayoob's report.
19	Would you agree that those paragraphs are
20	nearly identical?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. And then there's a paragraph that starts
23	"Finally, it's worth noting," in Exhibit 27, that's
24	your report. And I ask that you compare that to
25	paragraph 11 of Mr. Ayoob's report and tell me whether

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 25 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18245 Page 25 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

```
Stephen C. Helsley
```

Page 75 you believe that those paragraphs are nearly identical. 1 You said 11 in his? Α. 2 Mm-hm. Yes. Q. 3 Paragraph 11. Which paragraph is -- am I Α. 4 comparing it with on the screen? 5 The paragraph beginning --Ο. 6 Α. "Finally"? 7 -- "Finally, it is worth noting." Q. 8 This 11 on my computer is "It is difficult to Α. 9 10 say exactly." So am I supposed to be on page 4? 11 Ο. Yes. Page 4 of Exhibit 28, Mr. Ayoob's 12 report, paragraph 11, beginning "It is difficult to say 13 exactly." 14 Α. I just don't see it on my cell phone here. 15 My 11 says "It is difficult to say exactly how 16 many private citizens." 17 Oh, there -- okay. There -- there it is. 18 "Finally..." (witness mumbling/reading.) 19 Yes. 20 Q. Mr. Helsley, we've been discussing the 21 paragraphs in your report under Section 2, which began 22 with the italicized words "Limiting the law-abiding 23 citizen" and which began on page 13 and have run all 24 the way through page 15 of your report. 25

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 26 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18246 Page 26 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Stephen C. Helsley

	Page 76
1	When did you write these paragraphs?
2	A. That's a that's a very good question. I
3	don't know.
4	This was a document, I believe, that I'd
5	written in the main part for a California case, and
6	then I was requested to become involved in the Oregon
7	case. And I just I I don't remember when it
8	was when I wrote it, primarily because the last
9	three or so years have been a blur because I've been
10	hospitalized and all sorts of surgeries and things. I
11	just believe that I wrote this principally some years
12	ago, but I don't know when exactly I wrote it.
13	Q. You mentioned that the in the last several
14	years that you've undergone some hospitalizations. And
15	let me just say I'm sorry to hear that and I hope that
16	your health is improved and you feel like you're in
17	good shape.
18	My question is, is it is it possible that
19	during that period, you copied the words of Mr. Ayoob
20	at some point, and they have now been submitted as part
21	of your report, but they are not, in fact, your
22	original opinion and work?
23	A. Well, I I would have written it before I
24	had the medical problems like in the area of, you know,
25	2017. But I'm just saying I can't some of this

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 27 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18247 Page 27 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Stephen C. Helsley

Page 77 stuff is a blur. 1 I know that these things represent my 2 opinions. I've just never copied the works of other 3 folks. It's certainly -- there's certainly a strong 4 But I don't think when I wrote it because 5 comparison. I don't remember the sequence of events, because the --6 the attorney that I worked with in California, I've 7 done a lot of work there. And the attorney here asked 8 permission of them to use some of the work that I had 9 done, and I updated it, I thought. But beyond that, I 10 just can't say. 11 MR. WILLIAMSON: Counsel? 12 MR. WILSON: Go ahead. 13 MR. WILLIAMSON: Yeah. Can we go off the 14 record for a moment? 15 MR. WILSON: Sure. 16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. 17 The time is 4:12 p.m. 18 (Pause in the proceedings.) 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 20 record. 21 The time is 4:15 p.m. 22 MR. WILSON: Mr. Williamson, would you 23 like to make a statement on the record? 24 MR. WILLIAMSON: Yes. 25

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 28 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18248 Page 28 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Stephen C. Helsley

Page 78 So as I've been listening to the direct 1 examination of Mr. Helsley, it occurred to me in 2 looking at the Exhibit 1, Helsley Exhibit 1, as counsel 3 scrolled through it, it looked unfamiliar to me in 4 certain sections of it that I specifically discussed 5 with Mr. Helsley on the phone and then updated and 6 changed and sent the approved exhibit to my support 7 staff to attest his declaration and final filing with 8 the court. 9 And I'm looking back at my email from December 10 29th to my legal assistant with the updated exhibit 11 attached to it. I've compared it to the one that's 12 filed with the court and attached to his declaration, 13 and it's the wrong one. It simply looks like a copy of 14 the one that was filed in the California case in 2017. 15 MR. WILSON: Okay. 16 BY MR. WILSON: 17 Q. With that statement made by Mr. Waters, 18 Mr. Helsley, I'm going to follow up with a couple 19 questions. Okay? 20 Α. Very good. 21 First of all, your lawyer has just made a Q. 22 statement on the record that he believes that the wrong 23 exhibit may have been filed in this case. 24 The document that we have on the screen, which 25

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 29 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18249 Page 29 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Page 79 is Exhibit 27, and I'm scrolling to the top here, is 1 titled "Expert Witness Report of Steven Helsley, Oregon 2 Firearms Federation, Inc., et al., v. Brown et al." 3 Earlier we talked about whether this was a 4 report you believe that you created. 5 Do you still believe that this is a report 6 that you created and you wrote? 7 A. Correct. 8 And then at the -- I'm scrolling down to the Ο. 9 end of it. You'll see this is on page 17 of 10 Exhibit 27. It's dated December 20th, 2022. There's a 11 signature. 12 And as we discussed earlier, that is your 13 signature; correct? 14 A. Correct. 15 Do you have a recollection of executing this Ο. 16 report on December 20th, 2020? 17 I have a recollection of the January 2nd Α. 18 because something was emailed to me on the 30th or 19 29th, and I couldn't get to it. And then we had to 20 change the date because it had rolled over to '23. I 21 remember that. 22 The reason that I don't remember specifically 23 is because I've had a number of these California, 24 Washington DC, Oregon, where I've been sending things 25

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 30 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18250 Page 30 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Stephen C. Helsley

	Page 80
1	back and forth, and I don't remember this specifically.
2	Q. Before you append your signature to a document
3	to be filed in court, do you review that document
4	carefully?
5	A. Yes. And I had reviewed this one. I wasn't
6	clear as to what the relevance was to this case because
7	I had written the first part of this, and
8	Mr. Williamson and I had discussed that.
9	And then it seemed to me that all of a sudden,
10	the second half appeared. And I just assumed that, you
11	know, everybody knew what they were doing and that was
12	supposed to be part of the package.
13	Q. So is it your testimony that you did not
14	review the second half of the report to confirm that it
15	was your own work?
16	A. No, no. It I didn't know whether the
17	second half of the report was something that was going
18	to be that was relevant to this case.
19	Q. So is it your testimony that the second half
20	of the report and I think when we say "second half
21	of the report," what we mean is Exhibit Helsley-1
22	that you are referring to the portion that begins on
23	page 9; correct?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Does it remain your testimony that the second

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 31 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18251 Page 31 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al. Stephen C. Helsley Page 81 half of the report is entirely your own work? 1 Best I can recall, yes. Α. 2 And do you have -- can you account for why it 3 Q. is that many of the paragraphs in this report appear to 4 be identical or nearly identical to paragraphs in 5 Mr. Ayoob's report? 6 Α. I cannot. 7 Given the similarity between the paragraphs in Ο. 8 Mr. Ayoob's declaration and in your report, can you say 9 confidently that the court can fairly rely on your 10 expert work in -- what you've submitted here as your 11 own product? 12 When you say what I've submitted here, you're Α. 13 now referring to Part 1 and Part 2? 14 Why don't I withdraw that question and try to 15 Ο. ask it in a better way. 16 Mr. Helsley, can you say with confidence that 17 the portion of your expert report beginning on page 9 18 and continuing on through the end is your work with 19 enough confidence to ask the court to rely on it? 20 A. Well, if the -- if the issue is the content, I 21 clearly agree on the content. 22 If your question is solely about did I author 23 it, content aside, well, you know, as best I can 24 recall, I did. But if I wrote this, which I think I 25

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 32 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18252 Page 32 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Stephen C. Helsley

Page 82 did, it was years ago, I think. I think this was -- I 1 think this was, for the most part, written probably in 2 2017. 3 So you can't remember exactly when it was you Q. 4 created this second portion of your report? 5 I can remember -- no. Well, the second Α. 6 portion being Part 1, as I see it, yeah, I remember 7 that specifically because Mr. Williamson and I 8 discussed that because I was on a very short timeline 9 to produce that because I got pulled into this, I want 10 to say, mid-December, and it's -- I think this may have 11 been -- the second half now that we're comparing with 12 what Massad did, this may have been something to do 13 with the Duncan case. And if it is, then I've already 14 been deposed extensively on that report. 15 Ο. I just want to kind of make sure I fully 16 understand what we've talked about over the last few 17 minutes. 18 Is it your testimony that you are not entirely 19 confident that you are the original author of portions 20 of your report beginning on page 9, which is on the 21 screen in front of you, and continuing to the end? 22 A. Well, as to confidence, I can't say because I 23 just don't remember. Again, I think this was written 24 some time ago. It's probably why I don't remember it. 25

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 33 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18253 Page 33 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Stephen C. Helsley

	Page 83
1	But it's just not my style to copy things
2	without attributing them. And I just I don't know.
3	I don't think so.
4	Q. So you don't know you don't know for sure,
5	but you don't think so?
6	A. Correct.
7	I don't know for sure because I simply don't
8	remember. It's too long ago.
9	Q. Mr. Helsley, when was the last time you went
10	to The SHOT Show?
11	A. Went where?
12	Q. The SHOT Show.
13	A. Probably, oh, 15 years ago.
14	Q. Gotcha.
15	And when was the last time you saw Andrei
16	Ugarov in person?
17	A. I saw him I saw him in 2011 or '12 in
18	person. And then I saw him, I think, in 2015.
19	Q. Did you see him when you were in Russia in
20	2020?
21	A. Yes. I stayed with him at his house.
22	Q. So you saw him in 2020. Is that
23	A. Oh, no. No, no. I saw him I stayed with
24	him, I believe it was, in 2009 or '10 at his home in
25	Moscow.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 34 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18254 Page 34 of 187

Stephen C. Helsley Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al. Page 84 Where did you stay in Russia in 2020? Ο. 1 I wasn't there that year. I was there in '17 Α. 2 and, I believe, in '10. 3 Okay. I'm sorry. I must have written Ο. 4 something down wrong. 5 I understand you took an anniversary trip one 6 year? 7 Yes, in '17. Α. 8 Q. In '17. Okay. 9 Where did you stay in 2017? 10 We were on a cruise, and so we -- we stayed on Α. 11 the ship, and then we, you know, got on a bus and 12 toured around. 13 MR. WILSON: Okay. I have no further 14 questions at this time. We -- the state -- the 15 defendants will want to keep this deposition open 16 pending any changes that are made to the declaration. 17 Of course, we reserve all rights to challenge, 18 depending on what gets filed and what gets done. 19 Thanks. 20 THE COURT REPORTER: Any questions from 21 other counsel? 22 MR. PEKELIS: Yeah. I have some 23 questions. 24 And Mr. Helsley, my name is --25

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 35 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18255 Page 35 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al. Stephen C. Helsley Page 85 Can we take the declaration down? 1 MR. WILSON: Yeah. Just a second, and I 2 will do that. 3 4 5 EXAMINATION BY MR. PEKELIS: 6 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Helsley. My name is Zach 7 Pekelis, and I'm the attorney for intervenor defendant 8 Oregon Alliance For Gun Safety in this case. 9 And given the uncertainties about your report 10 and what the correct version is, I'm not going to ask 11 you about that at all, and we're just going to wait 12 until we have whatever the intended correct final 13 version of it is. And like defendants, we'll reserve 14 the right to reopen or keep open the deposition. 15 All the ground rules and principles that were 16 discussed by defendant's counsel earlier today, 17 Mr. Wilson, still apply. 18 Does that make sense? 19 Yes. 20 Α. What did you do to prepare for today's Ο. 21 deposition? 22 Nothing in particular. Α. 23 Q. So I take it you did not read the declaration 24 that you submitted in this case before today's 25

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 36 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18256 Page 36 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Stephen C. Helsley

	Page 106
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON
4	COUNTY OF PIERCE
5	
6	I, Tia Reidt, a Certified Court Reporter in and
7	for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the
8	foregoing transcript of the deposition of STEPHEN C.
9	HELSLEY, having been duly sworn, on January 19, 2023, is
10	true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and
11	ability.
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
13	and seal this 26th day of January, 2023.
14	
15	Real And
16	- Uld D. Kelall
17	/S/ Tia B. Reidt Tia B. Reidt, RPR, CSR Oregon #22-0001
18	NOTARY PUBLIC, State of Washington.
19	My commission expires 5/15/2026.
20	5, 25, 2020.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Deposition of Stephen Helsley - Vol. II

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

January 30, 2023



206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989 1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101 <u>www.buellrealtime.com</u> email: <u>info@buellrealtime.com</u>



Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 38 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18259 Page 39 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Stephen Helsley - Vol. II

	Page 107
UNITED STATES DIS	STRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF	OREGON
PORTLAND DIV	/ISION
OREGON FIREARMS FEDERATION, INC., et al.,)
Plaintiffs, vs.)) Case Nos.) 2:22-cv-01815-IM) 3:22-cv-01859-IM) 3:22-cv-01862-IM
KATE BROWN, et al.,) 3:22-cv-01869-IM) 3:22-cv-01869-IM
Defendants.)
MARK FITZ, et al., Plaintiffs,) VIDEO-RECORDED) VIDEOCONFERENCE) DEPOSITION OF
vs.) STEPHEN HELSLEY,) VOLUME II
ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM, et al.,)
Defendants.))) *CAPTION
KATERINA B. EYRE, et al.,) CONTINUES*
Plaintiffs,)
vs.)
ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM, et al.,)
Defendants.)
DATE TAKEN: JANUARY 30, 2023	3
REPORTED BY: LORRIE R. CHINN, Washington Certified Court Rep Oregon Certified Court Reporte	RPR, porter No. 1902

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 39 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18260 Page 40 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al. Stephen Helsley - Vol. II Page 108 DANIEL AZZOPARDI, et al.,) 1) Plaintiffs, 2)) 3) vs.) ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM, et) 4 al.,)) 5 Defendants.) 6 7 8 9 VIDEO-RECORDED VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF 10 STEPHEN HELSLEY 11 VOLUME II 12 13 10:06 a.m. 14 EL DORADO HILLS, CALIFORNIA 15 (All participants appeared via videoconference.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 40 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18261 Page 41 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Stephen Helsley - Vol. II

	Page 109
1	REMOTE APPEARANCES
2	
3	FOR THE OFF PLAINTIFFS (via videoconference):
4	LEONARD W. WILLIAMSON Van Ness, Williamson, LLP
5	960 Liberty Street, Suite 100 Salem, Oregon 97302
6	503.365.8800 l.williamson@vwllp.com
7	
8	FOR THE DEFENDANTS (via videoconference):
9	HARRY B. WILSON Markowitz Herbold, PC
10	1455 SW Broadway, Suite 1900 Portland, Oregon 97201-3412
11	503.295.3085 harrywilson@markowitzherbold.com
12	
13	FOR THE PROPOSED INTERVENOR-DEFENDANT OREGON ALLIANCE
14	FOR GUN SAFETY:
15	ZACHARY J. PEKELIS Pacifica Law Group, LLP
16	1191 Second Avenue, Suite 2000
17	Seattle, Washington 98101-3404 206.245.1700
18	zach.pekelis@pacificalawgroup.com
19	
20	ALSO PRESENT (via videoconference):
21	MELODY SORENSEN, VIDEOGRAPHER
21 22	
22	
24	
25	

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 41 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18262 Page 42 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Stephen Helsley - Vol. II

)
			Page 110
1		EO-RECORDED VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSIT OF STEPHEN HELSLEY, VOLUME II	ION
2 3		EXAMINATION INDEX	
4	EXAMINATION B	Y:	PAGE
5	Mr. Pekelis		112
6	Mr. Wilson		159
7	Mr. Williamso	n	163
8	Mr. Pekelis		166
9			
10		EXHIBIT INDEX	
11	EXHIBITS FOR	IDENTIFICATION	PAGE
12	Exhibit 51	Corrected Declaration of Stephen Helsley	115
13 14 15	Exhibit 52	Declaration of Stephen Helsley in Support of Plaintiffs' Supplemental Brief; Exhibit 10 - Duncan vs. Becerra	125
16	Exhibit 53	Top 10 Most Audacious Shootouts in	144
17		US History	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 42 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18263 Page 43 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Stephen Helsley - Vol. II

	Page 111
1	EL DORADO HILLS, CALIFORNIA; JANUARY 30, 2023
2	10:06 a.m.
3	000
4	
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the
6	record. This is Volume 2 of the virtual video-recorded
7	deposition of Stephen Helsley in the matter of Oregon
8	Firearms Federation, Inc., et al., versus Brown, et
9	al., in the United States District Court, District of
10	Oregon, Portland Division. The case numbers are
11	2:22-cv-01815-IM, 3:22-cv-01859-IM, 3:22-cv-01862-IM,
12	and 3:22-cv-01869-IM.
13	The time is now approximately 10:06 a.m. on
14	January 30th, 2023. My name is Melody Sorensen from
15	Buell Realtime Reporting. Will counsel please identify
16	themselves for the record.
17	MR. PEKELIS: Zachary Pekelis
18	MR. WILLIAMSON: Leonard Williamson
19	representing OFF Plaintiffs.
20	MR. WILSON: Harry Wilson, special
21	assistant attorney general, for Defendants.
22	MR. PEKELIS: Zachary Pekelis for
23	Intervenor-Defendant, Oregon Alliance For Gun Safety.
24	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter
25	today is Lorrie Chinn, who will now swear in the

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 43 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18264 Page 44 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Stephen Helsley - Vol. II

	Page 112
1	witness.
2	
3	STEPHEN HELSLEY, witness herein, having been first
4	duly sworn under oath, was
5	examined and testified as follows:
6	
7	EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. PEKELIS:
9	Q. Mr. Helsley, good morning again.
10	A. Good morning.
11	Q. Nice to see you. We met last week at your
12	deposition on January 19th, 2023. Do you remember
13	that?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And this is a continuation or a reopening of
16	your deposition in the same case. Do you understand
17	that?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. So the same guidelines and rules that
20	Mr. Wilson went over at your deposition on January 19th
21	still apply. Does that make sense to you?
22	A. I understand.
23	Q. And I'll just go over a couple of those that I
24	think are the most important. Especially given that
25	this is taking place over Zoom, it's important to make

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 44 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18265 Page 45 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Г

Stephen Helsley - Vol. II

	Page 113
1	sure that I've finished asking my questions before you
2	begin your answer. So leave a little bit of a pause
3	perhaps. Does that sound good?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And then you understand the oath that you took
6	today?
7	A. Say again.
8	Q. Do you understand the oath that you took
9	today?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And is there anything that might prevent you
12	from understanding my questions and answering them
13	truthfully?
14	A. No.
15	Q. Okay. What did you do to prepare for today's
16	deposition?
17	A. Well, I read a variety of documents that were
18	emailed to me. I had to go through and find the errors
19	in the transcript from the first hearing. That's what
20	I spent a great deal of time doing.
21	Q. Anything else that you did in preparation?
22	A. No.
23	Q. And what were the documents that were emailed
24	to you that you mentioned, besides the transcript of
25	the January 19th deposition?

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 45 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18266 Page 46 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

ſ

Stephen Helsley - Vol. II

	Page 114
1	A. I think I'd defer to Mr. Williamson on that
2	because his office shipped me a whole variety of
3	things, and I don't know that I can recall them all.
4	Q. Can you recall any of them?
5	A. Yeah. It was essentially the same thing that
6	I've seen before: My resume, my statements in this
7	case, the documents I wrote for it, and there was
8	material in there regarding the Duncan case in
9	California.
10	Q. Would that be your declaration that you
11	submitted in the Duncan case?
12	A. I'm sorry. You have to speak up. I can't
13	Q. Would that be your declaration that you
14	submitted in the Duncan case?
15	A. Yeah. I don't know whether the declaration
16	was there. I know that I was deposed, and I saw the
17	transcript for being deposed in that case.
18	Q. I see. How about your declaration in that
19	case, did you review that?
20	A. There was there was too much material for
21	me to read. I got it at about 8 o'clock this morning,
22	and so I don't know what all is there because I
23	couldn't get through it all.
24	Q. Understood. Anything else that you did to
25	prepare for today's deposition?

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 46 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18267 Page 47 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Г

Stephen Helsley - Vol. II

	Page 156
1	and people who are particularly good at it can do a
2	magazine change in probably less than a second.
3	Q. What would you say that the average range is
4	in terms of time to change a magazine?
5	A. Well, it depends on how you carry it, you
6	know, is it in your pocket or is it in a magazine pouch
7	on your belt? Are you wearing a coat over the top of
8	the magazine?
9	Q. How about just from the time that the magazine
10	is in your hand, the new magazine is in your hand?
11	A. Okay. It depends a little bit on how the
12	magazine release works. Some of the older pistols had
13	a like the Walther P38, for instance, had a thing
14	that you had to push to clear the way for the magazine
15	to go into the frame.
16	Q. How about for a modern handgun?
17	A. Modern if the magazine has been the
18	empty magazine has been released from the firearm and
19	you have a magazine in your hand and you're slamming it
20	home, again, if you're well trained, in the second
21	range.
22	Q. And how about if your training is merely
23	average?
24	A. Well, then it can be more substantial because
25	you're not familiar with how it should be done. You

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 47 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18268 Page 48 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Stephen Helsley - Vol. II

Page 157 may have to look to make sure the magazine fits into 1 2 the magazine well in the firearm. It could be three seconds, four seconds to do it. 3 Okay. Would you say that the stress of an Ο. 4 actual firearm confrontation could make that changing a 5 magazine take longer? 6 Yes. Α. 7 When an armed attacker pauses to reload, would Ο. 8 9 you agree that it can provide an opportunity for victims to flee or attempt to disarm him? 10 In theory, yes. Again, the magazine change Α. 11 can happen so quickly, depending on the shooter's 12 skill, that it's almost invisible. So, yeah, I mean, 13 it's possible that citizens could attack a shooter who 14 is doing a magazine change. I suppose it's happened, 15 but it's pretty unlikely. 16 Understood. The last thing I wanted to ask 17 Q. you about, in your January 19th deposition, Mr. Wilson 18 showed you the declaration from Massad Ayoob. Do you 19 recall that? 20 Α. Yes. 21 And we saw that several portions of your 22 Ο. expert report were identical to Mr. Ayoob's declaration 23 in Duncan. Do you recall that? 24 I do. 25 Α.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 48 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18269 Page 49 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

ſ

Stephen Helsley - Vol. II

Page 158
Q. And I noticed that the material in your
corrected declaration, Exhibit 51, is the same. It
hasn't been changed and it's still identical in certain
respects to Ayoob's declaration. Do you have any
further light to shed on why there were those
similarities and overlap between your declaration and
Mr. Ayoob's?
A. I certainly wish I had some because it's been
a source of pretty substantial anxiety for me since
during the last week. But, no, I don't. I said before
I just don't remember. I don't recall. I don't know
how it could have gotten there. I don't know that
yeah. I'm puzzled. I just don't know.
Q. Is it possible that maybe some of the
attorneys in the Duncan case who were assisting you
with the preparation of your declaration may have
inserted some of the language from Ayoob's declaration
without telling you?
A. I don't think so.
Q. Okay. I don't have any other questions.
Thank you for your time, Mr. Helsley.
A. You bet.
'

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 49 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18270 Page 50 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Stephen Helsley - Vol. II

	Page 159
1	EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. WILSON:
3	Q. Good morning, Mr. Helsley. This is Harry
4	Wilson. We spoke a week or so ago as well. And I am
5	an attorney and the special assistant attorney general
6	representing the Defendants in this matter.
7	Do you remember our conversation last
8	January 19th?
9	A. I do.
10	Q. I have just a few brief questions.
11	Mr. Pekelis just asked you about the conversation you
12	and I had with respect to the portions of your original
13	declaration in this matter that appeared to be
14	identical to the declaration of a Mr. Massad Ayoob from
15	2017.
16	Since that time you've submitted a corrected
17	declaration, and that corrected declaration has been
18	listed as Exhibit 51, correct?
19	A. Correct.
20	Q. As Mr. Pekelis just pointed out, the corrected
21	declaration does not appear to change any of the
22	material you and I discussed that seemed to be
23	identical to the declaration of Mr. Ayoob, correct?
24	A. Correct.
25	Q. And since the time you and I last talked on

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 50 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18271 Page 51 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Stephen Helsley - Vol. II

Page 160 January 19th, 2023, have you had any conversations with 1 2 Mr. Ayoob about why your expert report is similar to his expert declaration? 3 Α. No. I don't know him. I've never spoken to 4 him. 5 One thing I just wanted to quickly check is 0. 6 that Mr. Pekelis put on the screen Exhibit 52. 7 And if the videographer and the court reporter could put that 8 9 back up on the screen for just one moment. Great. Thank you. And please scroll to what 10 is listed as page 20 -- oh, I'm terribly sorry. I said 11 the wrong number. I'm looking for Exhibit 52, not 50 12 -- is this 52? Yes, it is. I'm sorry. So please keep 13 scrolling down. 14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: (Scrolling). 15 Q. And I would like to scroll to the signature 16 page of this document. So, Mr. Helsley, you signed 17 this Exhibit 52, this expert report, on October 6th, 18 2017, correct? 19 20 Α. Correct. Ο. And do you remember the date that the 21 declaration of Massad Ayoob was signed? 22 Oh, I have no idea. 23 Α. And we discussed it during the deposition we 24 Ο. 25 had last January 19th. And to refresh your

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 51 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18272 Page 52 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Stephen Helsley - Vol. II

Page 161 recollection, I'll represent to you that we discussed 1 that his declaration was signed on May 19th of 2017. 2 Does that sound familiar to you? 3 Well, not really, but I'll take your word for Α. 4 it. 5 Why don't I just send it to you. I'm going to Ο. 6 send it to Leonard and to -- Lorrie, I'll send this to 7 you as well. 8 9 THE REPORTER: Thank you. MR. PEKELIS: Harry, can I just 10 interject? It is already marked as an exhibit. Ι 11 don't know if you plan to use 28, which is already 12 marked. 13 MR. WILSON: Yes. This is Exhibit 28. 14 And if everyone already has it, that's the one I'm 15 going to refer to. 16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: So do you want me to 17 stop sharing this one? 18 MR. WILSON: Yes, please. And, Melody, 19 I don't have your email address, but I'm looking to 20 21 place on the screen Exhibit 28. Do you need that? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes. It's 22 happymel45@hotmail.com. 23 BY MR. WILSON: So, Mr. Helsley, those Ο. 24 documents are circulating now to the videographer and 25

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 52 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18273 Page 53 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Stephen Helsley - Vol. II

Page 162 the court reporter, so give me just a moment. 1 MR. PEKELIS: I sent one out as well, 2 and mine actually has the exhibit sticker on it. 3 Т don't know if you want to use that. 4 MR. WILSON: Great. 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I did get that. Ι 6 7 got that one, so... MR. WILSON: Why don't we use that one. 8 9 And if you could scroll to page 15 of Exhibit 28. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Just a second. 10 Sorry. 11 MR. WILSON: That's okay. 12 (Scrolling). THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Just a 13 minute. 14 BY MR. WILSON: Ο. Okay. Great. So, 15 Mr. Helsley, does this refresh your recollection that 16 Mr. Ayoob's declaration was signed on May 19th of 2017? 17 Yes. Α. 18 Ο. And so is it correct to say that your 19 declaration in the Duncan matter -- your expert report 20 21 in the Duncan matter, which was signed on October 6th of 2017, was signed after Mr. Ayoob submitted his 22 declaration on May 19th of 2017? 23 Α. Yes. 24 I don't have any further questions. 25 Q. Okay.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 53 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18274 Page 54 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

```
Stephen Helsley - Vol. II
```

Page 163 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you have any 1 2 further questions, Mr. Pekelis? MR. PEKELIS: No, I don't. 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Mr. Williamson, any 4 questions? 5 MR. WILLIAMSON: Yes, I do have some 6 7 follow-up questions. Thank you. 8 9 EXAMINATION BY MR. WILLIAMSON: 10 If we could bring up Exhibit 53, please. Ο. 11 Mr. Helsley, you indicated you didn't know who 12 FlameHorse was; is that correct? 13 A. Correct. 14 Could the videographer hover above the word Ο. 15 FlameHorse? And could you click on the word 16 FlameHorse? And could you scroll about halfway down 17 there? Pause right there, please. Do you see where it 18 says who is behind the Listverse? On the left-hand 19 side of the left column do you see where it says who is 20 21 behind the Listverse, Mr. Helsley? Yeah, Jamie Frater. 22 Α. Do you know that person? 23 Q. Α. I do not. 24 Is that the person you attributed the material 25 Q.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 54 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18275 Page 55 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

I

	Page 164
1	to originally?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Okay. And counsel asked you if you had ever
4	treated a gunshot wound. Do you remember that
5	question?
6	A. Correct.
7	Q. When you were shot, did you treat yourself?
8	A. No.
9	Q. You didn't administer any first aid to
10	yourself?
11	A. I'm sorry. You're a little bit garbled.
12	Q. Sure. The question is, did you attempt to
13	administer any first aid to yourself?
14	A. I still can't get what you're saying.
15	Q. The question is, when you were shot, did you
16	attempt to administer any first aid to yourself?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Okay. Do you recall last week on the 19th the
19	confusion around the originally dated report expert
20	report dated December 20 and the report that you
21	approved as dated December 29? Do you remember that
22	confusion?
23	A. Do I recall the confusion?
24	Q. Yes.
25	A. Yes, I do.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 55 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18276 Page 56 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

ſ

Stephen Helsley - Vol. II

	Page 165
1	Q. Do you recall contributing or making or
2	directing the changes to the report that ended up being
3	the final one dated December 29th?
4	A. Yes. In particular it was the additional
5	articles I had written.
6	Q. In addition to the articles, you mean
7	additional insertions of Measure 114; is that correct?
8	A. Yeah.
9	Q. Between December 29 when that was dated and
10	when the declaration was resubmitted last week with the
11	correct report, did you direct any additional changes
12	to occur to your expert report?
13	A. There was a lot of back and forth, but I can't
14	recall directing any changes. If they were, they were
15	so minor that I don't you know, I don't recall them.
16	Q. Okay. If I'm following the exhibits here
17	correctly, Exhibit 51 is the corrected declaration.
18	Could the videographer pull that up and then go down to
19	page 23, please? Not page 23 of the yeah, page 23
20	at the top there. Right there. Scroll down to about
21	the middle of the page. There you go.
22	Mr. Helsley, do you see the title of that
23	document there?
24	A. I do.
25	Q. And I'm going to read it aloud here:

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 56 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18277 Page 57 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Stephen Helsley - Vol. II

Page 166 Deposition of Stephen Helsley, Monday, December 18th, 1 2017. Do you recall that deposition? 2 Yes, I do. Α. 3 And that deposition would have occurred after Ο. 4 Mr. Massad Ayoob's declaration and the one that you 5 submitted October 6th of 2017; is that correct? 6 Correct. Α. 7 Okay. I have no other questions. Q. Thank you. 8 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Are there any other questions? 10 MR. WILSON: No redirect. 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Mr. Pekelis? Do you 12 have anything further, Mr. Pekelis? You're muted. 13 MR. PEKELIS: I guess I have one -- just 14 one -- a couple more questions. 15 16 EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. PEKELIS: 18 So, Mr. Helsley, just to go back to the 19 Q. question of the similarities -- the identical aspects 20 21 of your declaration and Mr. Ayoob's declaration, would you agree that based on the identical language 22 contained therein, it's clear that either you copied 23 Mr. Ayoob's declaration or he copied yours? 24 I think that's a reasonable conclusion. 25 Α.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 57 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18278 Page 58 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Stephen Helsley - Vol. II

	Page 167				
1	Q. Okay. I don't have anything else. Thank you,				
2	sir.				
3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Anyone else?				
4	MR. WILLIAMSON: Nothing here.				
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off the				
6	record at 11:45, and this concludes this deposition for				
7	today.				
8	(Deposition adjourned at 11:45 a.m.)				
9	(Reading and signing was not requested				
10	pursuant to FRCP Rule 30(e).)				
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 58 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18279 Page 59 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Stephen Helsley - Vol. II

	Page 168
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, LORRIE R. CHINN, the undersigned Certified Court
4	Reporter, pursuant to RCW 5.28.010 authorized to administer oaths and affirmations in and for the State of Washington, do
5	hereby certify:
6	That the sworn testimony and/or remote proceedings, a transcript of which is attached, was given before me at the
7	time and place stated therein; that any and/or all witness(es) were duly sworn remotely to testify to the truth; that the
8	sworn testimony and/or remote proceedings were by me stenographically recorded and transcribed under my
9	supervision, to the best of my ability; that the foregoing transcript contains a full, true, and accurate record of all
10	the sworn testimony and/or remote proceedings given and occurring at the time and place stated in the transcript; that a review of which was requested; that I am in no way related
11	to any party to the matter, nor to any counsel, nor do I have any financial interest in the event of the cause.
12	Reading and signing was not requested pursuant to
13	FRCP Rule 30(e).
14	WITNESS MY HAND AND DIGITAL SIGNATURE this 3rd day of February, 2023.
15	
16	Jonie R. Chinn.
17	Nome A. Churr.
18	LORRIE R. CHINN, RPR, CCR Washington State Certified Court Reporter No. 1902
19	Oregon State Certified Court Reporter No. 97-0337 lorrie@buellrealtime.com
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 59 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18281 Page 61 of 187

1	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California				
2	Attorney General of California P. PATTY LI				
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ANNA FERRARI				
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 261579				
5	JOHN D. ECHEVERRIA Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 268843				
6	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000				
7	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 510-3479				
8	Fax: (415) 703-1234 E-mail: John.Echeverria@doj.ca.gov				
9	Attorneys for Defendant Rob Bonta, in his official capacity ¹				
10	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
11	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
12	WESTERN DIVISION				
13					
14]			
15	STEVEN RUPP; STEVEN DEMBER; CHERYL JOHNSON;	8:17-cv-00746-JLS-JDE			
16	MICHAEL JONES; CHRISTOPHER SEIFERT;		ENTAL EXPERT ND DECLARATION		
17	ALFONSO VALENCIA; TROY WILLIS; and CALIFORNIA RIFLE		EL (RET.) CRAIG		
18	& PISTOL ASSOCIATION, INCORPORATED,				
19		Courtroom:	8A		
20	V.	Judge:	The Honorable Josephine L. Staton		
21					
22	ROB BONTA, in his official capacity as Attorney General of the State of	Action Filed:	April 24, 2017		
23	California; and DOES 1-10,				
24	Defendants.				
25		-			
26 27	¹ Rob Bonta has succeeded former	Attorney Gene	ral Xavier Becerra as the		
27	Attorney General of the State of California. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 25(d), Attorney General Bonta, in his official capacity, is substituted as				
28	the defendant in this case.				

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 60 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18282 Page 62 of 187

1 2

3

4

11

SUPPLEMENTAL EXPERT REPORT AND DECLARATION OF COLONEL (RET.) CRAIG TUCKER

I, Colonel (Ret.) Craig Tucker, declare under penalty of perjury that the following is true and correct:

I have been asked by the Office of the Attorney General of the
 California Department of Justice to prepare an expert report and declaration on the
 purpose, use, and features of certain semiautomatic firearms. This supplemental
 expert report and declaration ("Report") is based on my own personal knowledge
 and experience, and, if I am called as a witness, I could and would testify
 competently to the truth of the matters discussed in this Report.

PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS

2. I am a Colonel, US Marine Corps, (Retired). I served as an infantry 12 officer in the Marine Corps for 25 years. I have commanded infantry units from 13 platoon to regiment. I commanded Regimental Combat Team -7 (RCT-7) in Iraq 14 from February 2004 to April 2005. During my time in Iraq, I commanded 22 15 different US Marine, US Army, and Iraqi Army battalions and exercised tactical 16 control over Naval Special Warfare and US Special Forces, and supported National 17 Tier 1 assets. I commanded the Regiment in both Fallujah battles and numerous 18 smaller battles. I was the target of 9 assassination attempts and was wounded in 19 Husaybah Iraq in July 2004. Upon my return from Iraq, I was assigned to the US 20 Marine Corps National Training Center and was responsible for training and 21 certifying units for combat in Iraq and Afghanistan. 22

3. I have received two Legion of Merit awards for exceptional
 meritorious conduct in the performance of outstanding combat services, the
 Military Order of the Purple Heart, the Navy Commendation Medal for Heroic
 Action, the Combat Action Ribbon, and seven Sea Service Deployment Ribbons,
 among other awards.

28

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 61 of 280 Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18283 Page 63 of

4. After I retired from military service in 2006, I served as an Assistant
 Deputy Administrator for the Office of Secure Transportation (OST), National
 Nuclear Security Agency. OST is a paramilitary organization consisting of federal
 agents armed with M4s.² I was also the Department's Render Safe program in
 Albuquerque NM.

6 5. In 2012, I joined Innovative Reasoning LLC, which provides
7 professional support services to the U.S. Department of Defense and other
8 government clients. While at Innovative Reasoning, I developed training programs
9 and planning capabilities for the Marine Corps, and I developed and taught a
10 training course on tactical decision-making for law enforcement officers.

6. Through my military service, I gained extensive knowledge and
familiarity with the full range of US combat weapon systems. The automatic rifle
is the foundational combat weapon system. Ground and aviation weapon systems
are specifically designed to support the automatic rifle. My primary purpose in the
latter stages of my career was coordinating, and teaching others to coordinate, air
and ground weapon systems to support the rifleman and his automatic rifle.

17 7. I have fired the Colt AR-15 5.56 rifle and the Smith and Wesson 5.56 18 AR rifle. Both are advertised as the civilian version of the M16 combat rifle. In 19 addition to my automatic rifle experience, I have extensive experience with the AK-47, having been on the receiving end of hundreds of 7.62 rounds; an experience 20 21 best typified during the Battle of Hit when a single individual with one rifle and 22 apparently inexhaustible supply of 7.62 ammo and magazines kept nine Marines 23 pinned down for 15 minutes until a LAV-25 20mm chain gun solved the problem. I 24 have extensive experience with the Colt 1911 .45 caliber semi-automatic and the 25 Berretta .9m semi-automatic pistol and used both weapons in Iraq.

- 26 27
- ² The M4 is a gas-operated, magazine-fed carbine. It is the shortened version of the M16 assault rifle.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 62 of 280 Case 3;17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18284 Page 64 of

- 8. I currently serve as a trainer and planner for the City of Albuquerque's 1 2 Office of Emergency Management.
- 3 9. I hold a B.S. in Criminal Justice from the University of Dayton, a Master of Military Art and Science from U.S. Army Command and General Staff 4 College and the U.S. Army School of Advanced Military Studies, and a Master's 5 6 degree in National Security and Strategic Studies from the College of Naval 7 Warfare, where I graduated with the highest distinction.
- 8

9

10

10. A copy of my curriculum vitae is attached as **Exhibit** A to this Report. 11. I have been retained by the California Department of Justice to serve as an expert witness in this case. I am being compensated at a rate of \$200 per

- 11
- 12
- 13

hour.

OPINIONS

12. I have reviewed the statutory definitions of an "assault weapon," as 14 defined under California's Assault Weapons Control Act (AWCA) in California Penal Code section 30515(a).³ Under Penal Code section 30515(a), a 15 16 semiautomatic centerfire rifle that does not have a fixed magazine qualifies as an 17 assault weapon if it has any of the following features: (1) a pistol grip that 18 protrudes conspicuously beneath the action of the weapon; (2) a thumbhole stock; 19 (3) a folding or telescoping stock; (4) a grenade or flare launcher; (5) a flash 20 suppressor; or (6) a forward pistol grip.⁴ A semiautomatic centerfire rifle also 21 qualifies as an assault weapon if it is equipped with a fixed magazine with the 22 capacity to hold more than 10 rounds or has an overall length of less than 30 inches.⁵ I have also reviewed the list of rifles that qualify as "assault weapons" 23

- 24
- 25
- 26

27

- ³ See Cal. Penal Code § 30515, https://bit.ly/3CtxfEj.
- ⁴ Cal. Penal Code § 30515(a)(1)(A)-(F).
- ⁵ Cal. Penal Code § 30515(a)(2)-(3). 28

ER 221

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 63 of 280 Case 3;17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18285 Page 65 of 187

1 under California Penal Code § 30510(a), which have many of the same features and accessories listed in § 30515(a).

2

3 13. I am familiar with the features, accessories, and capabilities of rifles 4 regulated by Penal Code § 30515(a). The AR-15, like the M4, is an offensive 5 combat weapon system. The only difference is the AR-15 cannot fire on full-auto 6 (continual shots fired in succession so long as the trigger is pulled) or burst (several 7 shots fired in succession with a single pull of the trigger)—a picayune difference 8 that cannot serve to support a non-combat role for the AR-15. In my experience, 9 soldiers are trained to set select-fire weapons to semi-auto mode, so that a single 10 round is fired with each pull of the trigger. An M4 or M16 on full-automatic is an 11 area fire weapon: the auto rate of fire makes the weapon too difficult to control on a 12 point target. Rifle fire on full automatic is not aimed fire, uses an excessive amount 13 of ammunition and will damage the weapon if used too often. In fact, in my 14 14 months of combat, I did not once see an M4 or M16 fired on full auto. Semi-auto 15 function is used almost exclusively in combat. When operated in semi-auto mode, 16 the AR-15 and M4 share the same rates of fire, the same maximum effective range, 17 the same maximum range, use the same magazines designed for combat and the 18 same ammunition. The AR-15 and M4 are both designed to fire a .223 round that 19 tumbles upon hitting flesh and rips thru the human body. A single round is capable 20 of severing the upper body from the lower body, or decapitation. The round is 21 designed to kill, not wound, and both the AR-15 and M4 contain barrel rifling to 22 make the round tumble upon impact and cause more severe injury. The 23 combination of automatic rifle and .223 round is a very efficient killing system. 24 The same can be said of the AR-15.

25 Automatic rifles, like the M-16 and its more modern carbine variant 14. 26 M4, are functionally similar to semiautomatic rifles regulated under California's 27 AWCA and often are equipped with the very same features, like pistol grips and 28 adjustable stocks. It is my opinion, based on my military service, that these

> Ex. 3 Echeverria Decl. Page 61

5

ER 222

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 64 of 280 Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18286 Page 66 of 187

features, individually and in combination, make semiautomatic rifles more lethal
 and most useful in combat settings, as described in more detail below.

--

3 15. Detachable magazines: In order for a rifle to qualify as an assault 4 weapon under California Penal Code § 30515(a), the rifle must have the capability 5 of accepting a detachable ammunition magazine (by not having a fixed magazine). Detachable magazines improve the killing efficiency of automatic rifles, allowing 6 7 the combat rifleman to efficiently carry a combat load of 120 rounds in four 30-8 round magazines, to rapidly change magazines in combat, and to increase killing 9 efficiency by significantly reducing reload time. Changing magazines during 10 intense combat is the most important individual skill taught to Marines. During 11 intense combat, the detachable magazine provides a rifleman the capability to fire 12 120 rounds on semi-automatic in three minutes at a high-sustained rate of 45 rounds 13 per minute. In a civilian self-defense context, by contrast, an individual would not 14 have a need for such a high rate of fire.

Pistol grip protruding beneath the action of a rifle: I am a 15th Award 15 16. 16 Expert on the M16 and M4. I carried an M4 every day for 14 months during my 17 time in command of RCT-7 in Iraq. I used an M4 in combat, and I killed with it. The pistol grip beneath the action of an automatic rifle serves only two purposes. 18 19 First, the pistol grip allows the rifleman to pull the rifle into her shoulder with each 20 shot, an action which increases stock weld, reduces semi-automatic/automatic 21 recoil, and reduces barrel rise. Stock weld or cheek weld refers to the firmness of 22 the contact between the rifle stock, the shooter's cheek, and the shooter's shoulder. 23 A firm stock weld is required for effective semi-automatic and automatic rapid fire. 24 Absent any pistol grip, a semi-automatic rifle would be difficult to operate when 25 fired rapidly, as the rifle barrel would seesaw up and down with each shot fired in 26 succession. Second, the pistol grip functions as a hand rest to reduce hand/finger 27 fatigue during long combat engagements. Both actions increase the killing 28

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 65 of 280 Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18287 Page 67 of

efficiency of automatic rifles and are necessities in sustained combat operations of
 weeks or months when firing a rifle rapidly.

3 17. Forward pistol grip: The forward pistol grip provides leverage to
4 tighten a stock weld on short barrel automatic weapons and reduces recoil and
5 barrel rise on short barrel automatic rifles. Forward pistol grips were added to the
6 M4 to increase M4 killing efficiency.

18. <u>Folding stock</u>: A folding stock causes weapon instability. For that
reason, folding stock automatic rifles are designed for military personnel, whose
primary weapon is vehicle or air-mounted (tank, Bradly, Apache), who may be
required to escape from a mangled vehicle, or who may need to abandon a
destroyed weapon system and need a substitute weapon for offensive combat.
Outside of the military context, folding stocks that are not properly locked in place
can cause significant safety risks to the shooter due to recoil.

14 19. Grenade or flare launcher: A Marine Corps fireteam consists of a 15 fireteam leader, a rifleman, an assault gunner, and a grenadier. The grenadier is 16 armed with a grenade launcher. The grenadier uses the grenade launcher to 17 suppress or kill human beings so the rest of the fireteam can maneuver into position 18 to kill those humans with automatic rifle fire. The launcher is a separate weapon 19 system attached to as few rifles as possible dependent on the combat mission. In 20 my experience, grenade launchers attached to rifles are cumbersome, difficult to 21 aim, difficult to carry, and are not as effective as a standalone grenade launcher. They have no legitimate use in self-defense. 22

23 20. <u>Flash suppressor/flash hider</u>: The purpose of the flash suppressor is to
24 reduce combat signature by cooling and dispersing burning gases. This makes it
25 more difficult for the enemy to pinpoint a rifleman's location, especially in low
26 light conditions. The flash suppressor facilitates night combat operations by
27 reducing muzzle flash and mitigating muzzle flash impact on night vision goggles.
28

ER_224

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 66 of 280 Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18288 Page 68 of 187

This accessory serves specific combat-oriented purposes and is not needed for self defense.

3 21. Fixed magazine with the capacity to accept more than 10 rounds: Automatic rifles are offensive combat weapons systems designed to kill efficiently 4 5 and effectively. Any increase to magazine capacity increases the killing efficiency 6 of the automatic rifle. A 30-round fixed magazine can fire more rounds in a given 7 amount of time than three 10-round detachable magazines, which would need to be 8 reloaded to fire the same number of rounds, slowing down the rate of fire. 9 Similarly, a 100-round drum magazine can fire more rounds in a given period of 10 time than ten 10-round detachable magazines. As noted above in connection with detachable magazines, an individual using a rifle in self-defense would not need 11 12 such a high, continuous rate of fire.

13 22. The AR-15 is an offensive combat weapon no different in function or 14 purpose than an M4. In my opinion, both weapons are designed to kill as many 15 people as possible, as efficiently as possible, and serve no legitimate sporting or 16 self-defense purpose. Self-defense and military combat are different. The weapons 17 and accessories needed in one may not be needed or appropriate in the other. For 18 instance, when I was serving in the military, I carried my M4 for offensive combat 19 and a handgun for self-defense. Defensive combat is generally up close and very 20 personal. At that range, it is very difficult to use a rifle as a defensive weapon, 21 except as a blunt force instrument. My 9mm pistol was the self-defense weapon of 22 choice, and we were trained to expend only 1-2 rounds per adversary in pistol 23 combat. The features identified in California Penal Code § 30515(a) enhance the 24 lethality of both semiautomatic and automatic rifles and are most appropriate for 25 combat applications when used in conjunction with those types of weapons 26 systems.

- 27
- 28

ER 225

	Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 67 of 280
Case 3	17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18289 Page 69 of 187
1	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
2	Executed on January 6, 2023 at Sandia Park, New Mexico
3	
4	
5	
6	Col. (Ret.) Craig Tucker
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
17	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	9

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 68 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18290 Page 70 of 187

EXHIBIT A

Ex. 3_Echeverria Decl. Page 66

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 69 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18291 Page 71 of

187

Craig A. Tucker Colonel, US Marine Corps, (Ret) 65 Harms Rd Sandia Park NM 87047 <u>catucker@protonmail.com</u> 505-504-4289

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE OFFICE OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT (07/2021-PRESENT)

- <u>Training and Education Coordinator/Acting Senior OEM Planner</u>
 - Coordinate with County and State agencies to develop training and exercise programs that prepare the City of Albuquerque to mitigate, respond to, and recover from disasters.
 - Develop response plans for wildfire, flood, earthquake, and weapons release and test the plans in tabletop exercises and drills.
 - In coordination with Albuquerque Public Schools developed and executed a school drill assessment/evaluation program.
 - Created, developed, and initiated training for APS, APD, and AFR on a doctrinal, best-practices-based approach to "Command and Control, Active Shooter, in a School, School in Session"
 - Develop a training and exercise program to meet FEMA National Qualification Standards.
 - o Serve as the Operations Chief for EOC activations and training.
 - Responsible for Plans updates and revisions, including a rewrite of the CABQ Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan.
 - Write and manage OEM Grants, including SHSGP, EMPG and Hazard Mitigation Grants.

RAVENSWOOD SOLUTIONS INC. (10/2019 - 06/2021)

- Program Manager, US Marine Corps Operations
 - Provide subject matter expertise and develop capture plans to provide live, virtual, and constructive capabilities in support of the Commandant's Planning Guidance.
 - Project Manager for Ravenswood Solutions live-instrumented training and AAR support to MAGTF Warfighting Exercise-20 (MWX 20), the largest instrumented exercise in USMC history.
 - Co-authored White Paper on the application of machine-learning and Artificial intelligence to support unit readiness reporting.
 - Provided subject matter expertise to support ML/AI Wargaming prototype development.

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18292 Page 72 of

187

Craig A. Tucker Colonel, US Marine Corps, (Ret) 65 Harms Rd Sandia Park NM 87047 <u>catucker@protonmail.com</u> 505-504-4289

- <u>Project Director, Middle East Operations</u>
 - Lead planner and primary proposal author of a of a multi-corporation proposal to develop an 800-structure urban live fire and maneuver range in a Gulf Coast Coalition country.
 - Lead planner and primary proposal author of a multi-corporation proposal to develop a comprehensive training program for an emergent Marine Corps in a Gulf Coast Country.
- Program Manager, National Security Operations
 - Provide subject matter expertise, develop, and supervise training services in support of Department of Energy nuclear security and non-proliferation operations.
- Independent Contractor (01/2022 06/2022)
 - o Acted as the Ravenswood Solutions Inc. US Marine Corps subject matter expert.
 - Acted as the Ravenswood Solutions Inc., training and leadership subject matter expert.

INNOVATIVE REASONING, LLC (08/2012 - 09/2019)

- Director, Studies and Analysis
 - Provided analyses, recommendations and participated as the senior tactical SME in support of the following Marine Corps Combat Development Command requirements.
 - Development of the U.S. Marine Corps post-war on terror Training Strategy.
 - Development of an adaptive planning capability employing multi-agent modeling, experiential learning theory, and machine learning.
 - Improving Small Unit Leader Decision-making through training in Recognition Primed Decision-making and experiential learning theory.
 - Chaired US Marine Corps 3d Annual Maneuver Warfare Conference (2018).
- Director, Federal Programs
 - Provided direction, supervision, and oversight to 5 program managers assigned to DOD and Department of Energy contracts in the United States and overseas.

Page **2** of **6**

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18293 Page 73 of

187

Craig A. Tucker Colonel, US Marine Corps, (Ret) 65 Harms Rd Sandia Park NM 87047 <u>catucker@protonmail.com</u> 505-504-4289

- <u>Program Director, Law Enforcement Tactical Decision-making</u>
 - Created, certified, and taught tactical decision -making courses focused on making decision in high risk, low occurrence, fast moving circumstances with risk of death, serious injury.
 - Developed and taught 400+ series of National Incident Management Courses to support local law enforcement requirements.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (09/2006 - 07/2012)

- o <u>Render Safe, Program Manager (SES)</u>
 - Responsible for the Department of Energy (DOE) operational elements conducting nuclear counterterrorism and nuclear accident response in support of Tier 1 elements.
 - Responsible for organizing, resourcing, developing, and executing crisis response render-safe operations in support of Presidential and National Security policy.
- o Assistant Deputy Administrator (SES), Office of Secure Transportation (OST)
 - Responsible for the safe and secure transportation of nuclear weapons, materials, and components in the continental United States.
 - Acted as the Senior Energy Official and National Nuclear Security Administration Incident Commander for incidents involving OST assets and during DHS-directed NIMS National Training Programs
 - Provided leadership, vision, and direction to a 1000+ mixed paramilitary and civilian workforce.
 - Developed and implemented innovative security practices focused on intelligence-driven operations, leadership, and performance-based approach to training. Resulting security Doctrine provided a blueprint for significant changes to DOE physical security doctrine.
 - Provided astute and responsible management of a \$270 million budget.

UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS (06/1981-08/2006)

- <u>Director of Training, Tactical Training Exercise Control Group (TTECG) (07/2005-08/2006)</u>
 - Selected by the Commandant to rebuild and lead the Marine Corps

Page **3** of **6**

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18294 Page 74 of

187

Craig A. Tucker Colonel, US Marine Corps, (Ret) 65 Harms Rd Sandia Park NM 87047 <u>catucker@protonmail.com</u> 505-504-4289

Service-level pre-deployment training program.

- Responsible for the successful integration of emergent and innovative urban operations with conventional combined arms operations. Trained organizations from the US and numerous allied countries.
- Managed a training budget of \$30 million. Developed and implemented new approaches to training to maximize effective use of increased training budget. Increased the number of Marines/units trained per year and successfully integrated complex, multi-discipline training requirements into a coherent, effective training program
- o <u>Commanding Officer, Regimental Combat Team 7 (RCT-7) (06/2003 07/2005)</u>
 - Commanded U.S. Marine Corps Regimental Combat Team 7 during Operation Iraqi Freedom II. Tour included 14 months of continuous combat command in Al Anbar Province.
 - Commanded RCT-7 during major urban combat operations to include battles of Fallujah I, Al Fajr (Fallujah II), Husaybah, Ramadi, and Hit.
 - Developed and implemented successful strategic plans for reconstruction of western Iraq; managed over \$200 million in construction and procurement contracts. Responsibilities included establishing border security, counter-terrorism operations, infrastructure development, and security forces training.
 - Acted as Superintendent for an elementary school system consisting of 12 elementary schools throughout Al AnBar province. Constructed the schools, hired teachers, hired administrators, and provided safety and security for students, teachers, and staff.
 - Responsible for the Force Protection and security of US bases and approximately 20,000 military and contractor personnel.
- o Director of Operations, Training and Education Command (06/2002-05/2003)
 - Responsible for the Marine Corps' training programs, with an 80,000+ personnel annual throughput.
 - Developed and successfully initiated programming and procurement for the Marine Corps' 10-year range modernization and instrumentation plan. Established and chaired Range Instrumentation Working Group.
 - U.S. Marine Corps Service-level representative to the OSD working group responsible for developing training transformation strategies.

Page 4 of 6

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 73 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18295 Page 75 of

187

Craig A. Tucker Colonel, US Marine Corps, (Ret) 65 Harms Rd Sandia Park NM 87047 <u>catucker@protonmail.com</u> 505-504-4289

- Successfully led USMC effort to meet the congressionally mandated requirement to replace Vieques Island with a CONUS based amphibious live-fire training capability within the year.
- o <u>Commander, 2nd Battalion,7th Marine Regiment,</u>
- o Director of Operations, 7th Marine Regiment.
- o Director of Operations, 13th Marine Expeditionary Unit (13th MEU).
 - Responsible for leadership and performance of a task-organized team with 1000+ members.
 - Served as primary planner in Naval and Joint crisis action planning and execution, to include the development of training plans, equipment procurement, and exercise development for the organization's worldwide contingency operations.
- <u>Operations Planner, I Marine Expeditionary Force (I MEF).</u> Primary planner and architect for a multi-national effort to rewrite the operations plan for defense of the Republic of Korea.
- o Commander, Presidential Security Force, Camp David, MD
 - Commanding Officer of Marine Corps Detachment responsible for the security of the Presidential Retreat at Camp David.
 - Successfully balanced a 33% reduction in force structure with implementation of an innovative physical security plan that integrated personnel reductions, new technologies, and manpower, while increasing the security posture.
- o <u>Commanding Officer:</u>
 - Weapons Company, Marine Infantry Battalion. (1988-1989)
 - Infantry Company, Marine Infantry Battalion. (1986-1988)
 - Guard Company, Nuclear Weapons Security, Adak, AK. (1984-1986)
 - Headquarters Company, Supply Battalion. (1983-1984)

AWARDS

(2) Legions of Merit with Combat Valor device, Purple Heart, Navy Commendation Medal for Heroic Action, Combat Action Ribbon, (7) Sea Service Deployment Ribbons, numerous other awards, and

Page **5** of **6**

Ex. 3_Echeverria Decl. Page 71

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18296 Page 76 of

187

Craig A. Tucker Colonel, US Marine Corps, (Ret) 65 Harms Rd Sandia Park NM 87047 <u>catucker@protonmail.com</u> 505-504-4289 decorations.

PAPERS

- "On Demand Readiness for Army Commanders Through AI and Machine Learning" (2020) (White Paper for Army Applied Laboratory and the Office of Naval Research. (co-authored with SOMETE Technology and Lockheed Martin)
- "Band of Brothers: The 2D Marine Division and the Tiger Brigade in the Persian Gulf War" An Analysis of the Impact of Organizational Culture on Tactical Joint Warfare (School of Advanced Military Studies, US Army Command and General Staff College)
- "False Prophets: The Myth of Maneuver Warfare and the Inadequacies of FMFM 'Warfighting'" (School of Advanced Military Studies, US Army Command and General Staff College,
- "Towards an Intellectual Component to Joint Doctrine: The Philosophy and Practice of Experiential Intelligence" (Naval War College)

EDUCATION

- B.S. Criminal Justice, University of Dayton
- MMAS, U.S. Army Command and General Staff College
- MMAS, US Army School of Advanced Military Studies
- MA, National Security and Strategic Studies, College of Naval Warfare (Highest Distinction)

Page 6 of 6

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 75 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18298 Page 78 of 187 Case 2:22-cv-01815-IM Document 124 Filed 02/06/23 Page 1 of 27

Harry B. Wilson, OSB #077214 Harry Wilson@MarkowitzHerbold.com Hannah K. Hoffman, OSB #183641 HannahHoffman@MarkowitzHerbold.com MARKOWITZ HERBOLD PC 1455 SW Broadway, Suite 1900 Portland, OR 97201-3412 (503) 295-3085

Special Assistant Attorneys General for Defendants

Ellen F. Rosenblum, OSB #753239 Attorney General Brian Simmonds Marshall, OSB #196129 Senior Assistant Attorney General Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 100 SW Market Street Portland, OR 97201 (971) 673-1880

Attorneys for Defendants

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF OREGON

PENDLETON DIVISION

OREGON FIREARMS FEDERATION, INC., et al., Plaintiffs,	Case No. 2:22-cv-01815-IM (lead case) 3:22-cv-01859-IM (trailing case) 3:22-cv-01862-IM (trailing case) 3:22-cv-01869-IM (trailing case)
v.	DECLARATION OF KEVIN SWEENEY
TINA KOTEK, et al.,	
Defendants,	
and	
OREGON ALLIANCE FOR GUN SAFETY,	
Intervenor-Defendant.	

Page 1 - DECLARATION OF KEVIN M. SWEENEY

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 76 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18299 Page 79 of 187 Case 2:22-cv-01815-IM Document 124 Filed 02/06/23 Page 2 of 27

MARK FITZ, et al., Plaintiffs, v. ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM, et al., Defendants. KATERINA B. EYRE, et al., Plaintiffs, v. ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM, et al., Defendants, and OREGON ALLIANCE FOR GUN SAFETY, Intervenor-Defendant. DANIEL AZZOPARDI, et al., Plaintiffs, v. ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM, et al., Defendants.

DECLARATION OF KEVIN M. SWEENEY

I, Kevin M. Sweeney, declare the following:

1. I am over the age of eighteen (18) years, competent to testify to the matters contained in this declaration, and testify based on my personal knowledge and information.

2. I am a Professor of History *emeritus* at Amherst College. From 1989 to 2016, I taught history and American Studies at Amherst. I regularly offered courses on colonial American history, the era of the American Revolution, and early American material culture, which focused on studying the production and use of home furnishings and other artifacts in common use

Page 2 - DECLARATION OF KEVIN M. SWEENEY

Ex. 4_Echeverria Decl. Page 75

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 77 of 280 Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18300 Page 80 of 187 Case 2:22-cv-01815-IM Document 124 Filed 02/06/23 Page 3 of 27

dating from the 1600s, 1700s, and early 1800s. During these years, in my own research on material culture, I made use of colonial-era probate inventories to study such topics as home furnishings in an effort to discover what types of possession were commonly found in households, to measure changes in standards of living, and to gain insights into domestic architecture.¹ I also examined critically and wrote about the strengths and weaknesses of these sources, their usefulness and pitfalls.² For decades, historians who are aware of these records' usefulness and their limitations have used estate inventories to study agricultural changes in England, wealth and social structures in England and its colonies, the institution of slavery in colonial American and the lives of slaves, and household possessions in America, England, and France.³

3. My current research on seventeenth and eighteenth-century firearms and militias utilizes similar types of methodologies, documentary sources, and period artifacts. This project, which has been going on for over a decade, was initially inspired by my skepticism of the controversial claims and pretended use of evidence from probate inventories in Michael A. Bellesiles, *Arming America: The Origins of a National Gun Culture* (New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 2000). As part of my on-going project, I have given papers at the annual meetings of the American Historical Association and the Organization of American Historians, at conferences on firearms and society at Stanford and Wesleyan Universities, and elsewhere, and published two essays "Firearms Militias, and the Second Amendment" (2013) and "Firearms Ownership and

Page 3 - DECLARATION OF KEVIN M. SWEENEY

¹ Kevin M. Sweeney, "Furniture and the Domestic Environment in Wethersfield, Connecticut, 1640-1800 in *Material Life in America, 1600-1860*, Robert B. St. George, editor (Boston: Northeastern University Press, 1988), 261-261-290.

² Kevin M. Sweeney, "Using Tax Lists to Detect Biases in Probate Inventories," *Early American Probate Inventories: Dublin Seminar for New England Folklife Annual Proceedings* 1987, Peter Benes, editor (Boston: Boston University Press, 1989), 32-40.

³ Some notable examples which also contain informed observations on the use of probate inventories, their biases, and how to deal with the biases see: James Horn, *Adapting to a New World: English Society in the Seventeenth-Century Chesapeake* (Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 1994); Gloria L. Main, *Tobacco Colony: Life in Early Maryland, 1650-1720* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1982), esp. 49, 282-286171-174; Philip D. Morgan, *Slave Counterpoint: Black Culture in the Eighteenth-Century Chesapeake & Lowcountry* (Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 1998); Carole Shammas, *The Pre-Industrial Consumer in England and America* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1990). esp. 19-20; Lorna Weatherill, *Consumer Behaviour & Material Culture in Britain 1660-1760*, 2nd. ed. (London: Routledge, 1996), esp. 201-207.

Militias in Seventeenth- and Eighteenth-Century England and America" (2019). A third essay is forthcoming on "Revolutionary State Militias in the Backcountry and Along the Frontiers," and I am currently working on a fourth essay as well as working on a book-length manuscript. My curriculum vitae, detailing my education, experience, and publications, is attached to this declaration as **Exhibit A**.

4. I have been retained by the State of Oregon Defendants to provide an expert opinion on repeating firearms in eighteenth-century America. I make this declaration on the basis of my training, professional expertise, and research. For my work in this case, I am being compensated at a rate of \$50 per hour.

5. During the 1700s, most gun owners in the British American colonies and in the newly independent republic of the United States possessed and used single shot, muzzle-loading, flintlock firearms. As Harold Peterson stated in his classic 1956 book -- *Arms and Armor in Colonial America, 1526-1783:* "The period began in 1689 with the muzzle-loading smooth-bore musket and pistol as the most popular weapons. In 1783, almost a hundred years later, the period ended with the same weapons [i.e. muzzle-loading smooth-bore muskets and pistols] still supreme, and without even any notable improvements in their design or construction."⁴ Peterson continued: "Breech-loaders and repeaters had appeared frequently on the scene but had made little impression upon it."⁵

6. Evidence compiled during a decade of research using eighteenth-century probate inventories, militia muster lists, newspapers, and other documentary sources confirms the validity of Peterson's basic conclusions while offering three minor modifications. First, these weapons described by Peterson [i.e., the muzzle-loading smooth-bore musket and pistol] were still "supreme" in 1800 and probably as late as 1810. Second, most muzzle-loading, flintlock long arms that were privately owned and used during this period were not muskets, but lighter firearms that were usually cheaper and had narrower bores than did muskets. Finally, it is more accurate to

⁵ *Ibid.*, 221.

Page 4 - DECLARATION OF KEVIN M. SWEENEY

⁴ Harold L. Peterson, *Arms and Armor in Colonial America 1526-1783* (Harrisburg, Penn.: Stackpole Publishing 1956), 221.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 79 of 280 Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18302 Page 82 of 187 Case 2:22-cv-01815-IM Document 124 Filed 02/06/23 Page 5 of 27

say that repeaters had *occasionally* appeared on the scene and not "frequently" as Peterson believed. Here, he was probably misled by the preference that private collectors and institutional collections had (and still have) for obtaining rare examples of unusual or innovative firearms.

I. Firearms Owned By Eighteenth-Century Americans

7. Today, we tend to refer to any muzzle-loading eighteenth-century gun as a musket, and this is what Peterson did in the statement quoted above. However, Peterson knew better, as did Ben Franklin. In the mid-1740s, Franklin informed the readers of his Philadelphia newspaper that a "Musket" was "the Name of a particular Kind of Gun."⁶ An eighteenth-century musket was a sturdy, muzzle-loading military firearm that fired a single lead ball weighing about an ounce, had a sling for ease of carrying on long marches, and had a lug near the muzzle for attaching a bayonet. It weighed about 10 to 11 pounds and was .69 caliber in its bore if French or .75 caliber if English, with an average barrel length of 44 inches.⁷ On a battlefield, a musket was more than just a firearm: because of its weight and sturdy construction and because of its bayonet, a musket also functioned as a club and a spear. These capabilities were integral to its role as an eighteenth-century military arm. The combination of these features and capabilities made a musket "a Universal Weapon."⁸

8. Eighteenth-century muskets did have two serious drawbacks which they shared with all flintlock, muzzle-loading smoothbores. First, their accuracy and range were limited. The round ball fired by these weapons was not very aerodynamic, and this produced a great deal of drag that reduced its velocity. A musket's smooth-bore barrel also lacked rifling, which were spiral grooves cut inside the barrel. When a ball traveled down a barrel with rifling, the grooves imparted a spin to the ball that stabilized and flattened its trajectory, increasing its distance and accuracy. (The effect of rifling on a rifle ball's flight can be compared to throwing a spiral pass

Page 5 - DECLARATION OF KEVIN M. SWEENEY

⁶ "Form of Association" in *The Papers of Benjamin Franklin*, ed., Leonard W. Labaree, et al., 40 volumes to date (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1959-), Vol. 3, 208.

⁷ Author's estimate of barrel averages calculated from data found in George C. Neumann, *Battle Weapons of the American Revolution*, (Texarkana, Texas: Scurlock, 1998), 121-141.

⁸ Stuart Reid, *The Flintlock Musket: Brown Bess and Charleville 1715-1865*(Oxford: Osprey, 2016), 61, 55-60.

in football which also flattens trajectory and improves accuracy.) While a smooth-bore musket may have been just as accurate as an eighteenth-century muzzle-loading rifle at distances of up to 50 yards, most authorities agree that a musket was not very accurate at ranges beyond 100 yards.⁹ Today, pistols and most long arms other than shotguns have rifled barrels.

9. Loading and reloading eighteenth-century muskets was a complicated and relatively slow process by today's standards. To load a musket, a shooter held it in front of him parallel to the ground, pulled back the gun's cock to its half cock position to prevent a premature discharge, and then took from a cartridge box an individual paper cartridge that contained a premeasured load of gunpowder and a ball. Next one opened the priming pan, bit the cartridge and poured a small amount of powder into the priming pan which was then closed shut. Following this, the shooter placed the musket upright on the ground and poured the remainder of the cartridge's gun powder down the barrel, and then crammed the paper cartridge with its ball into the barrel. (The cartridge's paper wrapper served as wadding, holding the ball in place.) A ramrod was used to push the cartridge paper and ball down the barrel, after which the ramrod was recovered and secured in its resting place under the barrel. The musket was then raised, placed on full cock, aimed, and the trigger pulled. Pulling the trigger released the cock, which held a flint that moved forward, striking a steel frizzen, creating sparks that ignited the powder in the priming pan which in turn ignited the charge of powder placed in the barrel, creating an explosion that—finally—discharged the musket ball. As a rule, a musket could realistically be loaded and fired two or three times a minute in combat by well-equipped and trained soldiers.¹⁰

10. The process of loading and reloading a musket took even longer if instead of using a prepared paper cartridge, one used gunpowder from a powder horn to prime the pan and

⁹ Reid, *Flintlock Musket*, 34. For a claim that a rifle had an advantage over a musket at distances greater than 50 yards see John F. Winkler, *Point Pleasant*, *1774: Prelude to the American Revolution* (Oxford: Osprey, 2014), 29. For a claim that a rifle and a musket were equally accurate at 100 yards see Alexander Rose, *American Rifle, A Biography* (New York: Delta Trade Paperbacks, 2009), 20.

¹⁰ Jeremy Black, *European Warfare, 1660-1815* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1994), 40; Hew Strachen, *European Armies and the Conduct of War* (London: George Allen & Unwin, 1983), 17.

then poured into the horn's measuring cap the amount of powder needed to charge the barrel. With this procedure one also had to remove an individual musket ball from a shot pouch and place it in the barrel after pouring down the measured charge of powder. The ball was then rammed home. Using this method of loading not only took longer, but also lacked the wadding provided by a paper cartridge which helped hold the ball in place. According to the results of one modern test, wadding also increased a smoothbore's muzzle velocity by about 30%.¹¹ Most hunters, backwoods men with muzzle-loading rifles, and many colonial militiamen lacked cartridge boxes and paper cartridges and instead used powder horns and shot bags.

11. Even with these drawbacks, colonial governments and later state governments armed troops with these muskets during the French and Indian War (1754-1763) and the Revolutionary War (1775-1783). There really weren't serious alternatives. As a result, the British Ordnance Office loaned colonial governments 22,000 muskets to arm provincial troops raised for active service in the field during the French and Indian War, and at least 100,000 European muskets—most of them French—were imported during the American War for Independence.¹² During the French and Indian War, the British also sent muskets to arm Georgia and North Carolina militiamen who lacked arms, and state governments sometimes provided arms for mobilized militiamen during the Revolutionary War.¹³

12. As a rule, American colonists preferred lighter firearms that were better suited than muskets for pest control, birding, or hunting. Especially popular in New England were locally made or imported smoothbore and fusils that weighed only 6 to 7 pounds and had narrower bores of .60 to .65 caliber, with average barrel lengths of 50 inches.¹⁴ The narrower

Page 7 - DECLARATION OF KEVIN M. SWEENEY

¹¹ Glenn Foard, *Battlefield Archaeology of the English Civil War* British Series 570 (Oxford: British Archaeological Reports, 2012), 105.

¹² De Witt Bailey, *Small Arms of the British Forces in America 1664-1815* (Woonsocket, R.I.: Mowbray, 2009), 120-123; George D. Moller, *American Military Shoulder Arms*, 2 volumes (Albuquerque, N.M., 2011), Vol. 1, Appendix 5, 484-485.

¹³ Kevin M. Sweeney, "Firearms, Militias, and the Second Amendment" in Saul Cornell and Nathan Kozuskanich, eds. *The Second Amendment on Trial: Critical Essays on District of Columbia v. Heller* (Amherst: University of Massachusetts Press, 2013), 335, 348, 351-352.

¹⁴ Author's estimate of barrel averages calculated from data found in Neumann, *Battle Weapons of the American Revolution*, 150-166.

bores used smaller and lighter projectiles, required less powder for each shot, and thus reduced the weight of the lead ammunition one carried.¹⁵ Some New England fowlers could outrange muskets and some were modified to carry a bayonet.¹⁶ However, because of their lighter weights and sleeker construction, they were not necessarily as sturdy or as "soldier-proof" as a musket nor as effective as a club.

13. Many residents living in the colonies stretching from New York to Virginia owned "trade guns." These were inexpensive, muzzle-loading, single shot, smooth-bore firearms designed and produced for trade with Native Americans. Some of these guns weighed as little as 5.5 pounds, had bores of .57 to .62 caliber, and barrels only 36 to 40 inches long.¹⁷ Because of these features, they were much easier to handle than a musket and employed about half the weight of lead and powder than compared to a musket for each shot. However, these light, often cheaply constructed firearms did not function well as clubs and were not designed to carry a bayonet.

14. In the backcountry of Pennsylvania and the colonies further south there was a distinct minority of men who owned more expensive locally made long rifles. As a rule, these firearms weighed from 7 to 8 pounds, had .58 to .62 caliber bores—though some were even smaller—and barrels averaging 42 inches in length, and fired projectiles weighing much less than musket balls.¹⁸ Because of the barrel's rifling, these guns were more accurate than smoothbore muskets and outranged them. However, they took more time to reload because riflemen had to use powder horns and bullet pouches instead of paper cartridges, and reloading became harder as

Page 8 - DECLARATION OF KEVIN M. SWEENEY

¹⁵ Steven C. Eames, *Rustic Warriors: Warfare and the Provincial Soldier on the New England Frontier, 1689-1748* (New York: New York University Press, 2011), 121-122; Neumann, *Battle Weapons of the American Revolution*, 206-210.

¹⁶ Douglas D. Scott, et al., "Colonial Era Firearm Bullet Performance: Live Fire Experimental Study for Archaeological Interpretation" (April 2017), 26, 36; Tom Grinslade, *Flintlock Fowlers: The First Guns Made in America* (Texarkana, Texas: Scurlock Publishing 2005), 59,72, 73, 75.

¹⁷ M. L. Brown, *Firearms in Colonial America: The Impact on History and Technology* 1497-1792 (Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press, 1980), 283; Neumann, *Battle Weapons of the American Revolution*, 203-205.

¹⁸ Author's estimate of barrel averages calculated from barrels lengths of individual muskets given in Neumann, *Battle Weapons of the American Revolution*, 215-225.

gunpowder residue built up in the grooves of the barrel's rifling.¹⁹ Additionally, these long rifles were not designed to take a bayonet, and they could break if used as a club.

15. Muzzle-loading pistols were not as popular as long arms which—as experts have pointed out—"could economically be used dually for protection and hunting."²⁰ Pistols were therefore found in only a minority of eighteenth-century probate inventories (Table 1). It took about 15 seconds to reload a pistol, and as a result, they were often made in pairs "so that the owner might have two shots at his command."²¹ Instead of taking time to reload a pistol on a battlefield, cavalry troopers used discharged pistols as clubs or threw them at enemy cavalrymen.²² As it was, period pistols were discharged in close proximity to their targets because their low muzzle velocity of 330-440 f/s limited the range and impact of their projectiles. By comparison, muzzle velocities produced by reproductions of eighteenth-century muskets (780 f/s to 870 f/s), fowlers (1160 f/s to 1444 f/s) and rifles (1195 f/s to 1320 f/s) are much higher.²³

16. Civilian officials and military officers generally had a low opinion of trade guns, fowlers and even the period's American-made long rifles. During the French and Indian War, firearms in use in New Hampshire were said to be "in general of the meanest Sort" while those in Connecticut "which belong to private persons [were] mostly poor and undersized and unfit for an expedition."²⁴ In 1756, most of New York's militia were armed with guns "chiefly for the Indian

Page 9 - DECLARATION OF KEVIN M. SWEENEY

¹⁹ John W. Wright, "The rifle in the American Revolution," *American Historical Review* Vol. 29, No. 2 (January 1924), 293-299.

²⁰ Jeff Kinard, Pistols: *An Illustrated History of their Impact* (Santa Barbara, CA: ABC-CLIO, 2004), 45.

²¹ Harold L. Peterson, *Treasury of the Gun* (New York: Golden Press, 1962), 189.

²² For use of muzzle-loading pistols as clubs and missiles on battlefields see C. H. Firth, *Cromwell's Army* 2nd ed. (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1911), 142; David Blackmore, *Arms* & *Armour of the English Civil Wars* (London: Royal Armouries, 1990), 49.

²³ Scott, et al., "Colonial Era Firearm Bullet Performance," 26, 36; Douglas D. Scott, et al. "Firearm Bullet Performance: Phase II, Live Fire Experimental Study for Archaeological Interpretation," 31. Both reports are available online.

²⁴ "Blair Report on the State of the Colonies" in Louis K. Koontz, *The Virginia Frontier*, *1754-1763* (Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins Press, 1925), 170, hereafter cited as the "Blair Report"; Governor Thomas Fitch to Sir Thomas Robinson, August 1, 1755 in *Collections of the Connecticut Historical Society*, Vol. 1, 265-266.

Trade," and not muskets.²⁵ Later, George Washington referred to such smooth-bore long arms as "trash or light arms."²⁶ Over the course of the Revolutionary War, he and his officers even phased out the use of rifles in the Continental Army, rearming soldiers with muskets fitted with bayonets.²⁷ Governor Thomas Jefferson characterized most of the privately owned smoothbore guns carried by his state's militiamen as "such firelocks [i.e. flintlocks] as they had provided to destroy noxious animals which infest their farms."²⁸

17. Data drawn from group of probate inventories of males who died during the second half of the eighteenth-century confirm these period observations concerning the preferences of American gun owners (Table 1). These sources can be particularly useful and quite reliable for assessing the preferences of period gunowners for different types of firearms. Even cursory descriptions of firearms as "a gun" can be revealing when combined with the price that individuals taking the inventory assigned. Most guns in the inventory were long arms valued at £1 (i.e. 20 shillings), which was the usual cost of a single shot muzzle loading firearm. Such weapons would have been affordable given the fact that a daily wage during the period for unskilled day labor usually varied between 1 and a half and 2 shillings. While there was an obvious preference for long arms, muskets and rifles constituted a minority of such weapons.

18. The more expensive guns found in these 3,249 eighteenth-century probate inventories were also likely to be some type of muzzle loading, single-shot long arms. As a rule, rifles were valued at £2 to £3, which was twice or three times the cost of common muzzle-loading smoothbore long arms. Expensive smoothbore weapons were likely to be imported fowlers or guns ornamented with silver mountings. Occasionally, one sees double barreled guns which, along with a pair of pistols, was the period's more realistic provision for being able to

Page 10 - DECLARATION OF KEVIN M. SWEENEY

²⁵ "Blair Report," 171.

²⁶ General George Washington to Gentlemen, Feb. 7, 1777 in Nathaniel Bouton, ed., Documents and Records Relating to the State of New Hampshire during the Period of the Revolution from 1776 to 1783 (Concord, N.H.: Edward A. Jenks, State Printer, 1874), Vol. 8, 485.

²⁷ Wright, "Rifle in the American Revolution," 297-298.

²⁸ Thomas Jefferson, *Notes on the State of Virginia*, edited by William Peden (New York: W. W. Norton, 1982), 88.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 85 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18308 Page 88 of 187 Case 2:22-cv-01815-IM Document 124 Filed 02/06/23 Page 11 of 27

readily discharge more than one shot. Only one gun found in this database of 3,249 probate inventories may have been a repeater: an "air gun" owned by Philippe Guillaume Chion [Philip Williamson?], Charleston merchant, who died in 1797.²⁹ However, as is noted below in paragraph 40, not all air guns available in America were repeaters.

Region	Number of Sampled Male Inventories	Percentage of Inventories with Firearms	Percentage of Inventories with Muskets	Percentage of Inventories with Rifles	Percentage of Inventories with Pistols
New England 1740-1798	1057	46.1%	0.8%	0.0%	2.8%
New York and New Jersey 1740-1798	569	35.0%	1.9%	0.5%	5.8%
Pennsylvania 1740-1797	532	32.0%	0.2%	2.3%	5.1%
Maryland and Virginia 1740- 1797	632	58.4%	1.3%	5.1%	9.0%
South Carolina 1740-1797	459	62.9%	3.7%	4.1%	23.3%
Totals	3249	46.6%*	1.4%*	2.0%*	7.8%*

Table 1: Firearms in Probate Inventories of Male Decedents Filed between 1740-1800

<u>Note:</u> *The percentages at the bottoms of the columns are not averages of the percentages in the columns, but percentages of the total of 3249 inventories found in each category: 1514 inventories with firearms, 45 inventories with muskets, 66 inventories with rifles and 254 inventories with pistols. <u>Sources:</u> The sources for the probate inventories used in this table are listed in Kevin M. Sweeney, "Firearms Ownership and Militias in Seventeenth- and Eighteenth-Century England and America" in Jennifer Tucker, Barton C. Hacker, and Margaret Vining, eds., *A Right to Bear Arms? The Contested History in Contemporary Debates on the Second Amendment* (Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Press, 2019), 70-71.

19. Partial militia returns from the state of Virginia dating from 1781 to 1784 provide

additional evidence that American consumers preferred smoothbore firearms that were not muskets. Even though state law required "every militia-man to provide himself with arms [i.e. muskets] usual in regular service [i.e. the Continental Army] . . . this injunction was always in

Page 11 - DECLARATION OF KEVIN M. SWEENEY

²⁹ Inventory of Philippe Guillaume Choin, 1797, South Carolina Inventories and Appraisement Books, Vol. C, 1793-1800, 212-213. at Fold 3 by Ancestry <u>https://www.fold3.com/publication/700/south-carolina-estate-inventories-and-bills-of-sale-1732-1872</u>. <Accessed online 1/23/2023 at 6:00 P.M.>

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 86 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18309 Page 89 of 187 Case 2:22-cv-01815-IM Document 124 Filed 02/06/23 Page 12 of 27

differently complied with.³⁰ Most did not own muskets, even in wartime. Only about 16.7% of the privately owned long arms were muskets, while another 20.3% were rifles owned by residents of the state's western counties.³¹ By contrast, 63.0% of the privately owned long arms were smoothbores that were not muskets.³²

Year	Number of Counties	Number of public muskets	Number of private muskets	Number of private long arms*	Number of private rifles	Number of private pistols	Total Number of Guns
1781	27	1502	1333	4225	1293	204	8557
1782	10	565	242	2113	767	60	3747
1784	15	541	441	1260	392	68	2702
ALL	52	2608	2016	7598	2452	332	15006

Table 2: Partial Virginia Militia Returns Indicating Types of Arms in Use, 1781-1784

<u>Note</u>: *Number of "private long arms" are privately owned long arms that were not muskets and not rifles. <u>Sources</u>: Militia Returns 1777-1784, microfilm, Accession 36929; State Government Records Collection; "General Return of Arms, Accoutrements, and Military Stores, 19th May, 1784," Accession 36912, House of Delegates, Executive Communications, Library of Virginia, Richmond

20. A large portion of the firearms used in eighteenth-century America would have been imported from England. At the time, most English firearms were fabricated by large-scale putting-out systems that obtained barrels from one set of suppliers, got gunlocks from other sources, and assembled the parts at yet another site where the firearms also would have been stocked by craftsmen who were woodworkers. By the mid-eighteenth-century, gun manufacturing in Birmingham, England involved "at least thirty different 'sub-trades' or manual

Page 12 - DECLARATION OF KEVIN M. SWEENEY

³⁰ Jefferson, Notes on the State of Virginia, 88.

³¹ Calculated from data in Table 2.

³² *Ibid.*.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 87 of 280 Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18310 Page 90 of 187 Case 2:22-cv-01815-IM Document 124 Filed 02/06/23 Page 13 of 27

manufacturing processes."³³ In particular, this is how firearms were made for the British army and for the export trade to Africa and England's colonies.³⁴

21. Other than American long rifles and some New England fowlers, most eighteenthcentury firearms used by colonists were not likely to have been custom made or "one-off" products. During the years from 1756 to 1763, at least 36,592 firearms were imported into the thirteen American colonies from England for civilian customers.³⁵ Another 18,900 trade guns were imported to sell to Native American customers.³⁶ Advertisements indicate that urban gunsmiths in the colonies sold imported firearms and made use of imported gunlocks and barrels. Most of the pistols sold in the colonies were not produced in the colonies.³⁷ A rare surviving account book of an inland gunsmith, John Partridge Bull of Deerfield, indicates that he made only three new guns over a period of 20 years from 1768 to 1788, while performing 452 repairs on existing firearms.³⁸ When it came to his gunsmithing business, this skilled craftsman may have had more in common with a twentieth-century TV repairman than he did with Samuel Colt or Eli Whitney.

II. References to Repeating Arms in Eighteenth-Century Media

22. So, how common were repeating weapons in eighteenth-century America? The short answer is not very common; they were in fact extraordinarily rare. Information drawn from eighteenth-century advertisements and news reports found in *America's Historical Newspapers*—a searchable database of 5,000 newspapers, with 450 dating from before 1800—tells much the same story.³⁹ This newspaper database was searched by entering the terms "gun,"

Page 13 - DECLARATION OF KEVIN M. SWEENEY

³³ David Williams, *The Birmingham Gun Trade* (Stroud, Gloucestershire, Eng.: The History Press, 2009), 21.

³⁴ Williams, *Birmingham Gun Trade*, 21-24; De Witt Bailey, *Small Arms of the British Forces in America 1664-1815* (Woonsocket, R.I: Andrew Mowbrey, 2009), 93-102.

³⁵ Bailey, Small Arms, 237.

³⁶ De Witt Bailey, "The Wilson Gunmakers to Empire, 1730-1832" American Society of Arms Collectors *Bulletin* No. 85, 19.

³⁷ Jeff Kinard, *Pistols: An Illustrated History of Their Impact* (Santa Barbara: ABC-CLIO, 2003), 46.

³⁸ Susan McGowan, "Agreeable to his Genuis: John Partridge Bull (1731-1813), Deerfield, Massachusetts" (M.A. thesis, Trinity College, 1988), 5, 39-40, 74-75.

³⁹ America's Historical Newspapers (Chester, VT: Readex, 2004).

"musket," "fowler," "rifle," "pistol," "shot" and "militia," The search turned up 9 references to what appear to be repeating guns. To the information discovered by searching period newspapers can be added one more well-known instance of an unpublicized demonstration of a repeating firearm that took place in Philadelphia in April of 1777. This makes a total of 10 references to eighteenth-century repeaters in the period from 1720 to 1800.

23. What do these period references to repeating guns tell us about their features and how they were employed, how they were regarded, and why they remained relatively uncommon in eighteenth-century America? The earliest known reference in an American newspaper to a repeating firearm is reported in the *Boston News-Letter* of September 12, 1723: "Delegates from several Nations of Indians were Entertained with the sight of a Gun which has but one Barrel and one Lock," but fired "Eleven Bullets successively in about Two Minutes" after being loaded only once. This firearm was made by John Pimm, a Boston gunsmith, who was active in the 1720s, but had died by 1730. This gun was not being offered for sale; no examples of a repeating long-arm by Pimm survive; it was a novelty. There is, however, a six-shot revolver with a flint ignition system made by John Pimm in the collection of the Cody Firearms Museum at the Buffalo Bill Center of the West.⁴⁰

Page 14 - DECLARATION OF KEVIN M. SWEENEY

⁴⁰ John Pimm's 1715 revolver with a hand rotated cylinder and flint priming system bears an apparent resemblance to a modern Smith & Wesson .38 caliber revolver. Brown, *Firearms in Colonial America*, 255-256. Cut into the rotating cylinder were six chambers into which a small amount of gunpowder and a ball could be placed. The shooter rotated by hand the cylinder to align one of the chambers with both the barrel and firearm's hammer which held a flint. The shooter then slid open the priming vent on the cylinder for the chamber aligned with the hammer and the barrel. He then pulled back the hammer by hand. Finally, pulling the trigger caused the hammer to strike the metal frizzen with the flint, creating a flash which entered the open vent on the cylinder and set off the powder in the chamber and discharged the ball. To fire again, the shooter again rotated by hand the cylinder to align a loaded chamber with the barrel and hammer and repeated the process outlined above. Primm's pistol could deliver six shots after being loaded once, but it was not a rapid-fire weapon, and it took time to reload the individual chambers with powder and ball.

Similar pistols and long arms with revolving cylinders moved by hand first appeared in Germany between 1490-1530. Brown, *Firearms in Colonial America*, 50. However, they remained rare in the American colonies, expensive, and suffered from mechanical problems because of the inability of gunsmiths to fit together the moving parts with enough precision to prevent loose powder from jamming the cylinder or producing an accidental discharge of the six chambers simultaneously. Brown, *Firearms in Colonial, America*, 50-51; Graeme Rimer, et al., *Smithsonian Firearms: An Illustrated History*, (New York: D. K. Publishing 2014), 56. The revolver patented by Samuel Colt in 1836 and produced in his factory in Paterson, New Jersey

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 89 of 280 Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18312 Page 92 of 187 Case 2:22-cv-01815-IM Document 124 Filed 02/06/23 Page 15 of 27

24. The next reference in an American newspaper to a repeating firearm is contained in an advertisement in the March 2, 1730 issue of Boston's New-England Weekly Journal. It was for a firearm employing an uncertain type of mechanism that made it possible to fire a succession of twenty projectiles "at once Loading." This advertisement also makes clear the novelty of such a repeating firearm. Samuel Miller, a Boston gunsmith, was charging Boston residents 9 pence each just to see the gun and 2 shillings—the equivalent of a day's wage of unskilled labor—to see it fired. Basically, this gun was being used in an eighteenth-century version of a sideshow. There is no indication that Miller was producing or selling such firearms.

25. However, in the Boston Gazette for April 12, 1756, gunsmith John Cookson advertised for sale a gun capable of firing 9 bullets in rapid succession. It was "A handy Gun of 9 and a half Weight; having a Place convenient to hold 9 Bullets, and Powder for 9 Charges and 9 Primings; the said Gun will fire 9 Times distinctly, as quick, or slow as you please, which one turn with Handle or the Said Gun, it doth charge the Gun with Powder and Bullet, and doth prime and shut the Pan, and cock the Gun." The advertisement provides a spot-on description of three repeating firearms found in the collections of the Milwaukee Public Museum, Royal Armouries Museum in Leeds, and the Victoria and Albert Museum in London that were all produced sometime around 1690 by John Cookson, an English gunsmith.⁴¹ These were expensive and heavy firearms that weighed about 9 and a half pounds unloaded and over 10 pounds when loaded with 9 balls and powder charges.

26. Cookson's English repeater employed what was known as the Lorenzoni breechloading system.⁴² This system placed at the breech-end of the barrel a complex and delicate

ER 248

employed percussion caps in its priming system and remains the first practical revolver to enter production. The cylinder rotated when the gun was cocked and fired when the trigger was pulled. However, even sales of this mechanically successful firearm were insufficient to prevent the bankruptcy in 1843 of Colt's first gun manufactory. See Peterson, *Treasury of the Gun*, 211.
 ⁴¹ Brown, *Firearms in Colonial America*, 144-146; David S. Weaver and Brian Goodwin,
 "John Cookson, gunmaker," *Arms & Armour*, Vol. 19 (June 2022), 43-63.

⁴² Sometime around 1660 Michele Lorenzoni, a Florentine gunmaker, produced a repeating flintlock firearm that employed a lever system to feed into the breech powder and shot. His firearm drew upon earlier versions of this system developed by Giacomo Berselli, another Italian gunsmith, who had built upon earlier innovations by gunsmiths, Peter and Mathias Kaltoff. Brown, Firearms in Colonial America, 105-107, 144-145; Peterson, Treasury of the Gun, 229-231.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 90 of 280 Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18313 Page 93 of 187 Case 2:22-cv-01815-IM Document 124 Filed 02/06/23 Page 16 of 27

gunlock operated by a handle or lever attached to the left side of the lock. Separate tubes in the stock of the firearm were filled with priming powder, gunpowder for each charge, and 9 to 11 balls. The shooter pointed the gun barrel towards the ground and pushed the handle or lever down and forward, which rotated a mechanism located inside the gun lock that simultaneously brought forward one ball, enough gunpowder to discharge it, and enough primer to set off the charge in the barrel when the trigger was pulled. To recharge and again fire the gun, the shooter again pointed the barrel towards the ground, pushed on the lever and then pulled the trigger. If the parts of the gun lock did not fit tightly or if the shooter failed to lock it in the proper position when firing, flame might leak back and explode the black powder stored in the butt. Catastrophic failures happened because the period's methods of fabrication were not reliably capable of producing the fitting precision parts needed to prevent such malfunctions caused by errant sparks.

27. Sometime before 1701, John Cookson moved to Boston.⁴³ Despite Cookson's exceptional skill as a gunsmith, he apparently stopped making repeating firearms during his 60 years in Boston. There are no surviving eighteenth-century, American-made Cookson repeaters.⁴⁴ This is actually not surprising given the fact that American-made guns were typically "utilitarian in nature, certainly nothing like the fine magazine breech-loading repeaters normally associated with the name John Cookson."⁴⁵ The authors of a recent essay speculate that the 1756 newspaper advertisement "could have involved one of the repeaters which he had brought from England when he emigrated and which, at his age of 82 at the time, he had decided to sell."⁴⁶ The four known firearms that John Cookson did make in America are different types of single-shot firearms: one is a breech-loader, the others are muzzle-loading.⁴⁷

Page 16 - DECLARATION OF KEVIN M. SWEENEY

Today this type of repeating firearm is generally identified by English and American collectors and curators as employing the Lorenzoni system.

⁴³ Weaver and Godwin, "John Cookson, gunmaker," 51-56, 59-61

⁴⁴ *Ibid.*, 56, 60. Weaver and Godwin make clear that the firearm referred to as a "Volitional Cookson Repeating Flintlock" in the collection of the National Firearms Museum in Washington, D.C. was made in the late 1600s by John Shaw, a London gunsmith.

⁴⁵ *Ibid.*, 55.

⁴⁶ *Ibid.*, 60.

⁴⁷ *Ibid.*, 56-57.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 91 of 280 Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18314 Page 94 of 187 Case 2:22-cv-01815-IM Document 124 Filed 02/06/23 Page 17 of 27

28. The next appearance of an identifiable repeating firearm dates to April of 1777 and comes from the records and correspondence of the Continental Congress. Joseph Belton wrote to the Continental Congress claiming that he had a method "wherein a common small arm, may be maid [sic.] to discharge eight balls one after another, in eight, five or three seconds of time."⁴⁸ He also claimed that such a gun could be made to discharge "sixteen or twenty, in sixteen, ten or five seconds."⁴⁹ Its stated range was a mere 20 to 30 yards. On July 10, 1777, Belton demonstrated a firearm that successively discharged 16 bullets. He also claimed that this weapon could "do execution [at] 200 yards" which would have been a dramatic—and somewhat inexplicable—increase in the weapon's supposed range of 20 to 30 yards.⁵⁰ In any event, Belton and Congress failed to agree on a financial arrangement. Belton requested the princely sum of £13,000—£1000 from each of the 13 states—to compensate him for inventing this system, though he subsequently reduced his demand to only £500 from each of the states.⁵¹ There is no documentary or physical evidence indicating that Belton produced any of these firearms in 1777.

29. The specific design of the firearm that Belton demonstrated in 1777 remains unclear. There is a brass-barreled, flintlock fusil in the collection of the Smithsonian Institution that has been proposed as the actual gun or a prototype for the gun that Joseph Belton demonstrated in 1777.⁵² It is engraved "IOS. BELTON INVENTOR ET ARTIFEX – PHILAL-

⁴⁸ Quoted in Brown, *Firearms in Colonial American*, 317. This letter and others are reproduced in their entirety at Joseph Belton to the Continental Congress, April 1, 1777 at "Correspondence between John [sic.] Belton and the Continental Congress" at <u>https://en.wikkisource/</u> Correspondence_between_John_Belton [sic.] and the_Continental Congress.

⁴⁹ Ibid.

⁵⁰ Letter with Enclosure, Joseph Belton to the Continental Congress, July 10, 1777, at "Correspondence between John [sic.] Belton and the Continental Congress" at <u>https://en.wikkisource/</u> Correspondence_between_John_Belton [sic.]_and_ the_ Continental _Congress.

⁵¹ Joseph Belton to the Continental Congress, May 7, 1777 and Joseph Belton to John Hancock, May 8, 1777 at <u>https://en.wikkisource/</u> Correspondence_between_John_Belton [sic.]_and_the_Continental_Congress.

⁵² Robert Held, "The Guns of Joseph Belton Part I" *American Rifleman* (March 1987), 36-39, 68-69; *Oregon Firearms Federation v. Brown*, U.S. Dist. Ct. Civ. No. 2:22-cv-01815-IM (lead case), Declaration of Ashley Hlebinsky (ECF 72) at 18, n 24.

MDCCLVIII [i.e. 1758]". An additional engraving on the gun refers to "CAPT JOSEPH BELTON OF Philad."⁵³ However, the Joseph Belton who arrived in Philadelphia in 1775 and who came into contact with Benjamin Franklin and subsequently other members of the Continental Congress and the Continental Army was a 1769 graduate of the College of Rhode Island, which is today Brown University.⁵⁴ In 1758, this Joseph Belton was not in Philadelphia; he was not a captain; and he was not then a gunsmith. Despite claims to the contrary, it is unlikely that this particular gun was demonstrated in Philadelphia in July of 1777.⁵⁵

30. However as Harold Peterson suggested many years ago, it is quite likely that the firearm demonstrated in 1777 employed some version of what is known as a superimposition system.⁵⁶ In the simplest version of a superimposed or superposed system of loading a firearm, a series of alternating powder charges and balls are loaded directly into a gun's barrel. There is no detachable or integral magazine, just a standard barrel that is loaded from the muzzle in an alternating sequence of gunpowder and balls. All of these charges were—ideally—set off in order from front to back by igniting the powder charge located behind the ball closest to the muzzle of the gun's barrel. There is no magazine involved, and the ensuing discharge of balls is uncontrolled after it is initiated.

31. The superposed system for discharging a succession of balls had been tried as early as 1580 by a German gunsmith working in London.⁵⁷ Today, early flintlock pistols that used a simple superposed loading system are sometimes referred to as "Roman candle pistols" because they employed "the same principle as the firework" which involves setting off "a chain

Page 18 - DECLARATION OF KEVIN M. SWEENEY

⁵³ Smithsonian National Firearms Collection, :https://americanhistory.si.edu/collections/search/object,nmah_440031 Accessed 2/2/2013.

⁵⁴ Benjamin Franklin to Silas Deane, August 27, 1775 in *Papers of Benjamin Franklin*, Vol. 22, 183-185, especially footnote, 2.

⁵⁵ Quite distinct from the questions raised by what is known of Joseph Belton's biography is the claim in Adam Weinstein "I am Tired of Being Tired" December 21, 2018 that his grandfather, Kenneth Weinstein, a gunsmith, fabricated this particular firearm. adamweinstein.substack.com/p/i-am-tired-of-being-tired <Accessed 2/2/2023 at 12:00PM>.

⁵⁶ Peterson, Arms and Armor in Colonial America, 218.

⁵⁷ Peterson, *Treasury of the Gun*, 195.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 93 of 280 Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18316 Page 96 of 187 Case 2:22-cv-01815-IM Document 124 Filed 02/06/23 Page 19 of 27

reaction of multiple discharges."⁵⁸ Other writers also liken flintlock long arms that employed a simple superposed system of multiple charges to "Roman candles".⁵⁹

32. Later in London, Joseph Belton was involved in producing a sophisticated and controllable version of a firearm employing a superposed system. In 1784, Belton went to England where he failed to interest the English Ordnance Department in some version of his superposed system. By 1786, he had entered into a partnership with London gunsmith William Jover (active 1750-1810). Together they produced for Britain's East India Company a smoothbore repeating firearm with a sliding gunlock, that moved down the barrel to ignite a succession of powder charges that propelled a series of musket balls contained in a replaceable metal magazine holding 7 projectiles. There are two authentic examples of this particular firearm in the collection of the Royal Armouries, National Firearms Center in Leeds, England.

33. Belton's 1786 firearm allowed the shooter to control the weapon's discharge and aim each shot, which was not possible with the simpler superposed system. As the 1786 firearm's moving gunlock lined up with the next powder charge and ball, the shooter primed a pan, pulled back the cock on the sliding gunlock, and then pulled a trigger firing off a single projectile. Because of the need to cock and prime each time before pulling the trigger and firing the gun, this was not a rapid-fire repeating arm. This firearm was also something of a challenge to handle. It weighs 10 pounds unloaded and would have weighed close to 11 pounds when loaded. Jonathan Ferguson, the Keeper of Firearms and Artillery at the Leeds Firearms Center observes in an on-line video that managing the weapon is "a bit of a three-handed job."⁶⁰

34. A much cruder version of a firearm employing a superposed system was produced in America in the early 1790s. A July 20, 1793 newspaper report in *Philadelphia's Gazette of the United States* from Elizabeth Town, Pennsylvania describes a firearm created by "the ingenious and

Page 19 - DECLARATION OF KEVIN M. SWEENEY

⁵⁸ Jeff Kinard, *Pistols: An Illustrated History of their Impact (Santa Barbara*, CA: ABC-CLIO, 2004), 37.

 ⁵⁹ Brown, *Firearms in Colonial America*, 100; Peterson, *Treasury of the Gun*, 197.
 ⁶⁰ Jonathan Ferguson, "Flintlock Repeating – 1786" youtube.com/watch?v=-wOmUM40G2U. <Accessed online 11/6/2022 at 4:00 P.M>

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 94 of 280 Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18317 Page 97 of 187 Case 2:22-cv-01815-IM Document 124 Filed 02/06/23 Page 20 of 27

philosophic Mr. Chambers of Mercersburg in Pennsylvania." This was Joseph Gaston Chambers (1756-1829). According to the news report, this pistol "discharged six balls in succession, with only one loading and once drawing the trigger, exclusive of the reserve shot, which went off with the drawing of another trigger." Later in the year, Chambers attempted to interest the United States War Department in buying long arms employing his version of the superposed system.

35. A drawing that was probably done later reveals that Chambers's superposed system for a musket employed two gunlocks: one near the front of the barrel and the other in the usual location at the barrel's breech. First a powder charge was poured down the barrel followed by a traditional spherical ball which was pushed down to the breech. This was the reserve shot. Next a succession of 8 special, cylindrically shaped bullets with conical tails and 8 powder charges were pushed down the barrel. Pulling a cord triggered the lock near the front of the barrel and ignited the first powder charge closest to the muzzle, which fired the first cylindrical projectile. A hole in the next projectile carried the charge through it and down its conical tail, which ignited the charge, which propelled the second cylindrical charge, and so on. Finally, the spherical ball resting at the barrel's breech was discharged by pulling the second trigger near the breech.⁶¹ Chamber's system did not employ a detachable magazine, and once initiated, the gun's discharge could not be controlled. A drawing of this firearm is attached as **Exhibit B**.

36. Chambers's initial efforts to win government interest in 1793 and a patent for his invention were unsuccessful. A demonstration in May of 1793 failed to impress the War Department. Later in 1813, Chambers did secure a patent and supplied the U.S. Navy with 200 repeating muskets and 100 repeating pistols and also sold weapons to the state of Pennsylvania.⁶² The Navy's use of these weapons attracted the attention of the British and Dutch governments. However, in the end, Chambers's system with its unusual projectiles failed to obtain sustained interest from any government. His guns did work, but they could also produce devastating malfunctions. As historian Andrew Fagal has pointed out, cramming the gun's barrel with

Page 20 - DECLARATION OF KEVIN M. SWEENEY

⁶¹ For the best description of the system and an illustration of how the gun was loaded see Fagal, "The Promise of American Repeating Weapons, 1791-1821" pages 2-3 of 6.
⁶² Peterson, *Treasury of the Gun*, 197.

projectiles and gunpowder produced what was potentially a pipe bomb.⁶³ All superposed weapons were difficult to load correctly, and if the bullets did not fit tightly, flame could leak around them and set off all the charges at once.⁶⁴ In the 1820s, the "complexity and inherent dangers" of superposed systems that filled gun barrels with multiple charges of explosive gun powder "led to their wholesale abandonment."⁶⁵

37. A safer alternative to the systems employed by Cookson and Chambers was an air gun that did not use black powder as a propellant. There are two advertisements—one for a demonstration and one for an auction—that contained references to an air gun able to fire 20 times with a single charging. The February 10, 1792, issue of New York City's *Daily Advertiser* announced "To the Curious" daily exhibitions of an air gun. This gun was supposedly made by a young man who was a native of Rhode Island, though in an advertisement almost two years later, it was claimed that the gun was made in New York City by "An American Artist." This gun discharged twenty times without needing to renew the propellant provided by compressed air. Each pull of the trigger provided enough air to send a ball through an inch-thick board at a distance of sixty yards. For 6 pence, a resident of the city could see Gardiner Baker demonstrate the air gun twice a day—Tuesday and Friday afternoons excepted—at his museum located at no. 13 Maiden Lane. There is no indication that Gardiner Baker, "the young man in Rhode Island" or the "American Artist" in New York was marketing air guns. Instead, once again a repeater was being featured as a novelty in a show put on for paying customers.

38. The air gun demonstrated by Baker appears to have resembled or possibly might have been an actual example of a European air rifle designed by Bartholomeo Girardoni in 1779. A Girardoni air gun had a magazine with a capacity of 22 balls, each of which was propelled by discharges of compressed air from a replaceable cannister carried in the gun's stock. The gun

⁶³ Fagal, "The Promise of American Repeating Weapons, 1791-1821," page 4 of 6.

⁶⁴ Peterson, *Treasury of the Gun*, 198.

⁶⁵ Andrew J. B. Fagal, "The Promise of American Repeating Weapons, 1791-1821" page 2 of 6. <Accessed online 10/25/2022 at 4:55 P.M> Fagal is currently an assistant editor of the Papers of Thomas Jefferson at Princeton University.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 96 of 280 Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18319 Page 99 of 187 Case 2:22-cv-01815-IM Document 124 Filed 02/06/23 Page 22 of 27

weighed about 10 pounds—which was about the same as a musket—but was shorter, being only four feet in length overall. As contemporaries in Europe reported, these air guns were not without their problems: "Due to their construction, these guns were much more difficult to use effectively than normal, as one had to handle them much more cautiously and carefully."⁶⁶ In the late 1700s, the Austrian Army, which had a peacetime establishment of 304,628 men, purchased 1,500 Girardoni air rifles that, theoretically, could have armed only 0.5% of its soldiers.⁶⁷ As it turned out, "after a while no more than one-third of them were in a usable state," and they were all phased out by 1810 if not before.⁶⁸

39. The American military's use of a Girardoni air rifle was more limited in number and briefer in its timespan, but is also much better known. On their 1804-1806 expedition to the Pacific Ocean and back, Lewis and Clark and their "Corps of Discovery" carried with them a single Girardoni air rifle.⁶⁹ While it was occasionally used for hunting, their air rifle was primarily employed to impress Natives that they encountered along the way. As Private Joseph Whitehouse recorded in his journal: "Captain Lewis took his Air Gun and shot her off, and by the Interpreter, told them that there was medicine in her, and that she could do very great execution." "They all stood amazed at this curiosity."⁷⁰ Eight decades after John Pimm's repeating firearm had been used to impress Native Americans in Boston, Lewis and Clark—like the showman Philadelphia Gardiner Baker—were still able to exploit the rarity of a repeating gun to awe and entertain.

40. It is possible that someone in the United States may have been marketing Girardoni air rifles or something very similar to them in the mid-1790s. An announcement for a public

Page 22 - DECLARATION OF KEVIN M. SWEENEY

⁶⁶ Quoted in Frederick J. Chiaventone, "The Girardoni Air Rifle: The Lewis and Clark Expedition's Secret Weapon" *Military Heritage* Vol. 14 No. 5 (January 2015), 19.

⁶⁷ Richard Bassett, *For God and Kaiser: The Imperial Austrian Army* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 2015), 186.

⁶⁸ Chiaventone, "Girardoni Air Rifle," 19.

⁶⁹ For the identification of the air rifle on the Lewis and Clark Expedition as a Girardoni see Madeline Hiltz, "The Lewis and Clark Air Rifle: A Blast from the Past" *War History on Line* (June 16, 2021) <u>https://warhistoryonline.com/war-articles/lewis-and-clark-air-rifle.html?firefox=1</u> <Accessed online 1/21/2023, 8:00AM>

⁷⁰ Chiaventone, "Girardoni Air Rifle," 66.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 97 of 280 Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18320 Page 100 of 187 Case 2:22-cv-01815-IM Document 124 Filed 02/06/23 Page 23 of 27

auction in the issue of the Boston *Columbian Centinel* for March 7, 1795 listed among the items to be sold "a Magazine Air-Gun, equipped for hunting, and will carry ball or shot." This air gun appears to be a repeating gun because of its reference to a "Magazine." However, one should not automatically assume that all early air guns were repeaters. Air rifles made by Isaiah Lukens (1779-1846) of Pennsylvania were single shot air guns, though some writers erroneously assume that they were repeaters like Girardoni's air rifle.⁷¹ It wasn't until the 1880s that two Michigan companies—the most famous of which was the Daisy Manufacturing Company—would begin marketing the first commercially successful, mass-produced repeating air rifles, aiming them at a youth market, employing a lever-action operating system, and shooting BB-caliber pellets.

41. Two more references to what appear to be repeating firearms were discovered in eighteenth-century newspapers. One from the August 19, 1793 issue of the Concord, New Hampshire *Mirrour* contains a vague report of a repeating weapon supposedly designed by an "Artist in Virginia". However, this particular news report has been dismissed as a fabrication.⁷² The other reference to what does appear to be an identifiable type of repeating firearm was contained in a large advertisement in the October 26, 1785 issue of the *Columbian Herald* in Charleston, South Carolina. It was placed by James Lambet Ransier, a native of Liege, which was a center of small arms manufacturing in the Low Countries. Ransier announced that he had "a beautiful and complete assortment of Firearms" and in particular, he could furnish guns "that will fire four different times, with only charging once; or, if the person pleases, he may fire four different times one after another, with only one single lock."

42. Ransier appears to be describing imported Belgian or French-made Segales pistols which had four rifled barrels. These were small pistols that had a box lock and a swiveling

⁷¹ Nancy McClure, "Treasures from Our West: Lukens Air Rifle" August 3, 2014, Buffalo Bill Center of the West. <Accessed online on 10/31/2022, at 10:40 A.M> On November 2, 2022, I received an email from Danny Michael, Curator of the Cody Firearms Museum at the Buffalo Bill Center of the West, confirming that their Lukens air rifle is a single shot weapon.

⁷² Many aspects of the news report in the *Mirrour* raise fundamental questions about its believability, as does the fact that it was immediately followed by a news report on a Sea Monster. An intensive search of Virginia newspapers in *America's Historical Newspapers* failed to uncover the supposed origin of the news report. Because it could not be confirmed and because of its lack of detail and credibility, the report was dismissed.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 98 of 280 Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18321 Page 101 of 187 Case 2:22-cv-01815-IM Document 124 Filed 02/06/23 Page 24 of 27

breech attached to a cluster of four separate barrels: two upper barrels placed on top of two lower barrels. The box lock had two triggers and two hammers holding two flints, while the swiveling or rotating breech had four frizzens that were attached to the barrels. Each barrel was loaded separately at the muzzle with powder and ball. The two upper barrels could be fired one at a time by pulling each of the individual triggers in succession or fired simultaneously by pulling both triggers at once (which could be risky). After discharging the two upper barrels, the shooter then swiveled the rotating breech and the cluster of four barrels by pulling on the pistol's trigger guard. Once rotated to the upper position, the two barrels formerly in the lower position could now be fired when the triggers were pulled individually or simultaneously. However, as experts have pointed out: "All revolvers, and other multibarrel guns, of the muzzle-loading type were at risk from a dangerous chain reaction, in which firing one chamber could accidently set off all the others."⁷³ If this happened, the gun would explode in the shooter's hand.

43. Finally, something needs to be said about a gun which—ironically—was never found in the 13 Colonies, but has assumed an out-sized importance in the minds of some writing about colonial Americans and their presumed interest in and familiarity with repeating firearms.⁷⁴ In the early 1700s, James Puckle, an English lawyer, writer, and part-time inventor created a firearm fed by a 11-shot magazine located at the back of the gun that was rotated by a crank. Rotating the crank aligned a power charge and bullet in the magazine with the weapon's barrel. After locking the magazine and the barrel together, the operator had to manually prime each shot and pull back the cock before pulling the trigger for each discharge of the weapon. Because of the time needed to prime and cock the hammer before each shot and to change the magazine after it was emptied, the gun had a rate of fire of only 9 rounds per minute. It was

Page 24 - DECLARATION OF KEVIN M. SWEENEY

⁷³ Rimer, *Smithsonian's Firearms*, 56.

⁷⁴ Clayton E. Cramer and Joseph Edward Olson, "Pistols, Crime, and Public Safety in Early America" *Willamette Law Review* Vol. 44. No. 4 (Summer 2008), 716-717; David B. Kopel, "The History of Firearm Magazines and Magazine Prohibitions" *Albany Law Review* Vol. 78, No. 2 (2014-2015), 852.

never used in battle. The company producing it went out of business before 1730. This gun had no discernable impact on colonial Americans nor on the development of firearms technology.⁷⁵

44. However, the Puckle gun lives on in the imaginations of some.⁷⁶ Because of its weight, the Puckle gun used a tripod. Visually the weapon bears an undeniable physical resemblance to certain .30 caliber machine guns used in World War II. As a result, some refer to it today as "an eighteenth-century machine gun." It was not a machine gun as we understand and use the term today, in either its mode of operation or its rate of fire. The machine gun, invented by Hiram Maxim in 1884, used the recoil action of the gun to load it continuously and discharge spent cartridges. Just pull the trigger and it kept firing bullets as long as the operator's assistant kept feeding it an ammo belt. Another less common version of the machine gun diverted some of the gasses produced by discharging the weapon into a tube with a piston that automatically and repeatedly loaded the gun and ejected spent cartridges. (A modern assault rifle uses a similar system that also employs diverted gasses to operate a piston.) The .30 caliber medium machine gun used by the American army during World War II fired approximately 500 rounds a minute. The only thing this weapon had in common with the eighteenth-century Puckle Gun was its use of a tripod.

45. In summary, period probate inventories and newspapers indicate that repeating firearms were extraordinarily rare in eighteenth-century America. Like muskets, repeaters were regarded as military firearms. In 1777, the Continental Congress demonstrated an interest in Joseph Belton's firearm, and in 1813 the United States Navy purchased 200 muskets and 100 pistols produced by Joseph Gaston Chambers. However, such superposed systems were in the assessment of military historian Joseph G. Bilby "a developmental dead end."⁷⁷ Well into the third-quarter of the nineteenth century, the American government armed the overwhelming

Page 25 - DECLARATION OF KEVIN M. SWEENEY

⁷⁵ Brown, *Firearms in Colonial America*, 239. Brown appears to misstate the capacity of the magazine as 9-shot, when it was actually a 11-shot magazine.

⁷⁶ See note 74 above.

⁷⁷ Joseph G. Bilby, *A Revolution in Arms: History of the First Repeating Rifles* (Yardly, Penn.: Westholme Publishing, 2015), 41.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 100 of 280 Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18323 Page 103 of 187 Case 2:22-cv-01815-IM Document 124 Filed 02/06/23 Page 26 of 27

majority of its soldiers with muzzle-loading single-shot long arms. Even during the Civil War, the Union army made only limited use of the much more reliable repeating long arms made by Samuel Colt, the Spencer Arms Company, and the New Haven Arms Company, which was owned by Oliver Winchester and produced a repeater designed by Benjamin Henry.⁷⁸

46. The earlier lack of enthusiasm for repeating firearms among eighteenth-century Americans is unsurprising given the colonists' demonstrated preferences for inexpensive, light firearms that used less gunpowder and lead than did muskets. By contrast, most of the period's repeating arms were expensive, heavy, and required greater expenditures—that were often uncontrollable—of gunpowder and lead. Because repeating firearms contained multiple charges of explosive black powder gunpowder, they were also more dangerous than a gun using a smaller charge of gunpowder and a single projectile. Some of these repeating firearms had the potential to turn into a Roman candle or a pipe bomb. As Harold Peterson has observed "As long as the powder and ball had to be loaded separately there was no hope for a simple and safe magazine repeater."⁷⁹ For these reasons, eighteenth-century advertisements and homes were filled with muzzle-loading, single shot firearms.

47. The fact that some repeating firearms had been produced in Europe for four centuries by 1800 does not necessarily support the conclusion that Americans in the late 1700s would have assumed that such weapons would inevitably become reliable, safe, and widely available. An individual looking back from 1800 might have been just as likely to conclude that very little progress had been made over the previous four centuries. It was still not possible to manufacture with precision and in any quantity firearms with closely fitting parts that could contain the destructive explosive potential associated with the use of black powder gunpowder. The superposed systems employed by Belton and Chambers, the Girardoni air rifle, and the Puckle Gun proved to be dead ends. Calling these weapons and others like them "eighteenth-century assault rifles" or "an eighteenth-century machine gun" are examples of modern-day

Page 26 - DECLARATION OF KEVIN M. SWEENEY

⁷⁸ Bilby, *Revolution in Arms*, 44-48, 60-91.

⁷⁹ Peterson, *Treasury of the Gun*, 233.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 101 of 280 Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18324 Page 104 of 187

Case 2:22-cv-01815-IM Document 124 Filed 02/06/23 Page 27 of 27

rhetoric, not evidence of inevitable developments in firearms technology. As George Basalla, an historian of technology, has cautioned: "All too often it is assumed that the development of technology is rigidly unilinear."⁸⁰

I hereby declare that the above statement is true to the best of my knowledge and belief, and that I understand it is made for use as evidence in court and is subject to penalty for perjury. Dated this 5 day of February, 2023.

Kevin M. Sweeney

Page 27 - DECLARATION OF KEVIN M. SWEENEY

⁸⁰ George Basalla, *The Evolution of Technology* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 1988), 189.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 102 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18325 Page 105 of 187 Case 2:22-cv-01815-IM Document 124-1 Filed 02/06/23 Page 1 of 5

Curriculum Vitae: Kevin M. Sweeney

Home Address: 9 Orchard Street, Greenfield, MA 01301

Home Phone: (413) 774-5027 E-mail: kmsweeney@amherst.edu

Education:Ph.D. in History1986, Yale University.B.A. in History1972, Williams College.

Employment:

2000-2016	Professor of History and American Studies, Amherst College.
1993-2000	Associate Professor of History and American Studies, Amherst College.
1989-1993	Assistant Professor of History and American Studies, Amherst College.
1986-1989	Director of Academic Programs, Historic Deerfield, Deerfield, Mass.
1985-1986	Assistant Professor, Winterthur Museum, Winterthur, Delaware.
1980-1984	Administrator-Curator, Webb-Deane-Stevens Museum, Wethersfield, Conn.
1978-1980	History Instructor, Westover School, Middlebury, Conn.

Other Academic Appointments:

Visiting Faculty, American Studies Seminar, American Antiquarian Society, Worcester,
Mass.
Assistant Professor of American Studies at Smith College under the Five
College Program.
Adjunct Assistant Professor, Early American Culture, University of
Delaware.
Visiting Lecturer in American Studies, Trinity College, Hartford, Conn
Adjunct, Art History Department, University of Hartford.

Declarations Filed as an Expert Witness:

2022	Hanson v. District of Columbia, Case No. 1:22-cv-02256-RC.
2023	Delaware State Sportsmen's Assoc., Inc. v. Delaware Dept. of Safety and Homeland
	Security, United States District Court, District of Delaware, Case No. 1:22-cv-00951-RGA.

Academic Honors and Prizes:

2003 Book Prize, New England Historical Association.

2003 Award of Merit, American Association for State and Local History.

Ex. A - Sweeney Decl. Page 1 of 5 Ex. 4_Echeverria Decl. Page 101

1

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 103 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18326 Page 106 of 187 Case 2:22-cv-01815-IM Document 124-1 Filed 02/06/23 Page 2 of 5

2

- 1995 Harold L. Peterson Award, Eastern National Parks & Monuments Association.
- 1986 Jamestown Prize of the Institute of Early American History and Culture, Williamsburg, VA.
- 1986 Frederick W. Beinecke Prize in History, Yale University.
- 1973 Mary Cady Tew Prize in History, Yale University.
- 1972 William Bradford Turner Prize in American History, Williams College.
- 1971 Phi Beta Kappa, Williams College.

Publications:

Books

With Evan Haefeli, co-editors, *Captive Histories: English, French and Native Narratives* of the 1704 Deerfield Raid (Amherst, Mass.: University of Massachusetts Press, 2006).

With Evan Haefeli, *Captors and Captives: The 1704 French and Indian Raid on Deerfield* (Amherst, Mass.: University of Massachusetts Press, 2003). Awarded 2003 Book Prize, New England Historical Association and 2003 Award of Merit, American Association for State and Local History.

Articles/Book Chapters/Catalogue Essays

- "Revolutionary State Militias in the Backcountry and Along the Frontiers," *The American Revolution on the Frontier*, edited by Seanegan Sculley, Sons of the American Revolution 2022 Conference Proceedings, (publication forthcoming).
- "Firearms Ownership and Militias in Seventeenth- and Eighteenth-Century England and America" in Jennifer Tucker, Barton C. Hacker, and Margaret Vining, editors *A Right to Bear Arms? The Contested Role of History in Contemporary Debates on the Second Amendment* (Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Scholarly Press, 2019), 54-71.
- "Firearms, Militias, and the Second Amendment" in Saul Cornell and Nathan Kozuskanich, editors, *The Second Amendment on Trial: Critical Essays on District of Columbia v. Heller* (Amherst: University of Massachusetts Press, forthcoming August 2013), 310-382.
- "Mary Rowlandson: Taken by Indians," American Heritage 58:5 (Fall 2008): 23-25.
- "Early American Religious Traditions: Native Visions and Christian Providence," *OAH Magazine of History* (January 2008):8-13.
- With Jessica Neuwirth, Robert Paynter, Braden Paynter and Abbott Lowell Cummings, "Abbott Lowell Cummings and the Preservation of New England," *The Public Historian* 29:4 (Fall 2007):57-81.
- With Evan Haefeli, "*The Redeemed Captive* as Recurrent Political Text" *The New England Quarterly* (September 2004):341-367.
- "The 1704 French and Indian Raid on Deerfield" New England Ancestors 5:1 (Winter 2004): 23-26.

Ex. A - Sweeney Decl. Page 2 of 5 Ex. 4_Echeverria Decl. Page 102

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 104 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18327 Page 107 of 187 Case 2:22-cv-01815-IM Document 124-1 Filed 02/06/23 Page 3 of 5

"Regions and the Study of Material Culture: Explorations along the Connecticut River" for *American Furniture*, Luke Beckerdite, editor (Milwaukee, Wis.: Chipstone Foundation/ the University Press of New England, 1995), 145-166.

- With Evan Haefeli, "Revisiting *The Redeemed Captive*: New Perspectives on the 1704 Attack on Deerfield" *William and Mary Quarterly* 3rd ser. 52:1(January 1995):3-46. Awarded the 1995 Harold L. Peterson Award, Eastern National Parks & Monument Association, and the 1995 Essay Prize, Society of Colonial Wars.
- With Evan Haefeli, "Wattanummon's World: Personal and Tribal Identity in the Algonquian Diaspora, c. 1660-1712" in William Cowan, ed., *Papers of the Twenty Fifth Algonquian Conference* (Ottawa, 1994), 212-224.
- "High Style Vernacular: Lifestyles of the Colonial Elite " in *Of Consuming Interests: The Style of Life in Eighteenth-Century America*, edited by Ronald Hoffman, Cary Carson, and Peter J. Albert (Charlottesville: University of Virginia Press, 1994),1-58. Volume awarded the Harold Hugo Memorial Book Prize, Old Sturbridge Village, 1995.
- "Meetinghouses, Town Houses, and Churches: Changing Perceptions of Sacred and Secular Space in Southern New England, 1725-1850" *Winterthur Portfolio* 28:1 (Winter 1994):59-93.
- "Using Tax Lists to Detect Biases in Probate Inventories," *Early American Probate Inventories: Dublin Seminar for New England Folklife Annual Proceedings 1987, Peter Benes, ed. (Boston: Boston University Press, 1989), 32-40.*
- "Gentlemen Farmers and Inland Merchants: The Williams Family and Commercial Agriculture in Pre-Revolutionary Western Massachusetts," *Dublin Seminar for New England Folklife, Annual Proceedings 1986*, Peter Benes, ed. (Boston University Press, 1988), 60-73.
- "Furniture and the Domestic Environment in Wethersfield, Connecticut, 1640-1800," *Connecticut Antiquarian* 36:2 (1984): 10-39. Revised and reprinted in *Material Life in America*, 1600-1860, Robert B. St. George, editor (Boston: Northeastern University Press, 1988), 261-290.
- "From Wilderness to Arcadian Vale: Material Life in the Connecticut River Valley, 1635 to 1760" and "Gravestones" in *The Great River: Art and Society of The Connecticut Valley, 1635-1820* (Wadsworth Atheneum, Hartford, CT., 1985), 17-27, 485-523. Volume awarded the Harold Hugo Memorial Book Prize, Old Sturbridge Village, 1985.
- "Where the Bay Meets the River: Gravestones and Stonecutters in the River Towns of Western Massachusetts, 1690-1810," *Markers III*, David Watters, ed. (Association for Gravestone Studies, 1985),1-46.
- "Mansion People: Class, Kinship and Architecture in Western Massachusetts in the Mid-18th Century," *Winterthur Portfolio* (Winter 1984):231-255.
- "Furniture and furniture making in mid-eighteenth-century Wethersfield, Connecticut" *Antiques* 125:5 (May 1984), 1156-1163.

Ex. A - Sweeney Decl. Page 3 of 5 Ex. 4_Echeverria Decl. Page 103

3

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 105 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18328 Page 108 of 187 Case 2:22-cv-01815-IM Document 124-1 Filed 02/06/23 Page 4 of 5

4

"River Gods in the Making: The Williams Family in Western Massachusetts," *Dublin Seminar for New England Folklife, Annual Proceedings 1981*, Peter Benes, ed. (Boston University Press, 1982), pp. 101-116. Reprinted in a *Place Called Paradise: 1654-2004*, edited by Kerry Buckley (Amherst, Mass.: University of Massachusetts Press, 2004), 76-90.

Exhibitions:

- 2007-2008 Consultant, "Shays's Rebellion," N. E. H. Funded Web-Exhibition, Springfield Technical Community College and Pocumtuck Valley Memorial Association.
- 2003-2005 Consultant and Contributor, "The Many Stories of 1704," N.E.H. Funded Web-exhibition, Pocumtuck Valley Memorial Association. 2005 Museums and Webs Award Winner; 2005 Award of Merit, American Association for State and Local History; 2007 Merlot History Classics Award and others.
- 1984-1985 Consultant and Contributor, "The Great River: Art and Society of the Connecticut Valley, 1820" Catalogue awarded Charles F. Montgomery Prize for 1985 by the Decorative Arts Society; Award of Merit from the American Association for State and Local History, 1986; Honorable Mention, E. Harold Hugo Memorial Book Prize, Old Sturbridge Village, 1986.
- 1982 Consultant and Contributor, "Two Towns: Concord and Wethersfield A Comparative Exhibition of Regional Culture, 1635-1850," 1982. N. E. H. Funded Exhibition.

Films/Videos:

2012	Contributor, Cherry Cottage, The Story of an American House, Dave Simonds, Williamstown, Mass.
2009	Contributor, <i>The Forgotten War: The Battle for the North Country</i> , Mountain Lake Public Television, Plattsburg, NY.
2005	Contributor, <i>Captive: The Story of Esther</i> , VisionTV and Aboriginal Peoples Television Network, Canada.
2003	Contributor, New England's Great River: Discovering the Connecticut, Vermont Public Television, Burlington, VT

Memberships in Professional and Scholarly Societies:

American Historical Association. Colonial Society of Massachusetts. Massachusetts Historical Society. Organization of American Historians. Society of Military Historians

> Ex. A - Sweeney Decl. Page 4 of 5 Ex. 4_Echeverria Decl. Page 104

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 106 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18329 Page 109 of 187 Case 2:22-cv-01815-IM Document 124-1 Filed 02/06/23 Page 5 of 5

5

Other Professional Activities

2008-2010	Chair,	History	Department,	Amherst College.	

- 2005-2007 Chair, American Studies Department, Amherst College.
- 2003-2004 Consultant, "Remembering 1704: Context and Commemoration of the Deerfield Raid" Pocumtuck Valley Memorial Association and Historic Deerfield, Inc.
- 1997-2001 Consultant, "Turns of the Centuries" Project, Pocumtuck Valley Memorial Association.
- 1997-1999 Chair, History Department, Amherst College.
- 1997-1998 Consultant, Exhibition entitled "Performing Arts: The Refinement of Rural New England," Historic Deerfield., Inc.
- 1996-1998 Member, Advisory Committee for the Dickinson Homestead, Amherst College.
- 1994-1995 Chair, Committee on Priorities and Resources, Amherst College.
- 1993-1995 Chair, American Studies Department, Amherst College
- 1992 Consultant, "Forty Acres: A Reinterpretation Initiative," Porter-Phelps-Huntington Foundation, Hadley, Mass.
- 1991 Consultant, "Furniture-making in Central New England, 1790-1850," Old Sturbridge Village.
- 1991-1994 Member, Five College Standing Committee on American Indian Studies.
- 1986-1989 Member, Five College American Studies Steering Committee.
- 1981-1986 Member, Advisory Committee for Historic Deerfield.

1/27/2023

Ex. A - Sweeney Decl. Page 5 of 5 Ex. 4_Echeverria Decl. Page 105

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 107 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18330 Page 110 of 187 Case 2:22-cv-01815-IM Document 124-2 Filed 02/06/23 Page 1 of 1

Nom for the Cochrans Repeatino Gun, invented by the Americans 1814.

Ex. B - Sweeney Decl. Page 1 of 1 Ex. 4_Echeverria Decl. Page 106 Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 108 of 280 Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18332 Page 112 of 187

Deposition of Ashley Hlebinsky

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

January 20, 2023



206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989 1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101 www.buellrealtime.com email: info@buellrealtime.com



Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 109 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18333 Page 113 of 187

Ashley Hlebinsky Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al. Page 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF OREGON PORTLAND DIVISION OREGON FIREARMS FEDERATION, INC., et al., Plaintiffs, Case Nos.)) 2:22-cv-01815-IM 3:22-cv-01859-IM vs.) 3:22-cv-01862-IM) KATE BROWN, et al., 3:22-cv-01869-IM) Defendants. MARK FITZ, et al., VIDEO-RECORDED) VIDEOCONFERENCE) Plaintiffs, DEPOSITION OF) ASHLEY HLEBINSKY) vs. ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM, et al.,)) Defendants. *CAPTION) CONTINUES*) KATERINA B. EYRE, et al.,) Plaintiffs, vs. ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM, et al.,)) Defendants.) DATE TAKEN: JANUARY 20, 2023 REPORTED BY: LORRIE R. CHINN, RPR, Washington Certified Court Reporter No. 1902 Oregon Certified Court Reporter No. 97-0337

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 110 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18334 Page 114 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al. Ashley Hlebinsky Page 2 DANIEL AZZOPARDI, et al.,) 1) Plaintiffs, 2)) 3) vs.) ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM, et 4) al.,) 5) Defendants.) 6 7 8 VIDEO-RECORDED VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION 9 OF 10 ASHLEY HLEBINSKY 11 12 1:03 p.m. 13 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 14 (All participants appeared via videoconference.) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 111 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18335 Page 115 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

r

Ashley Hlebinsky

	Page 3
1	REMOTE APPEARANCES
2	
3	FOR THE OFF PLAINTIFFS (via videoconference):
4	LEONARD W. WILLIAMSON Van Ness, Williamson, LLP
5	960 Liberty Street, Suite 100
6	Salem, Oregon 97302 503.365.8800
7	l.williamson@vwllp.com
, 8	FOR THE DEFENDANTS (via videoconference):
9	HARRY B. WILSON
10	Markowitz Herbold, PC 1455 SW Broadway, Suite 1900
-	Portland, Oregon 97201-3412 503.295.3085
11	harrywilson@markowitzherbold.com
12	BRIAN S. MARSHALL
13	Senior Assistant Attorney General
14	Special Litigation Unit, Trial Division Oregon Department of Justice
15	100 SW Market Street Portland, Oregon 97201
	971.673.1880
16	brian.s.marshall@doj.state.or.us
17	FOR THE PROPOSED INTERVENOR-DEFENDANT OREGON ALLIANCE
18	FOR GUN SAFETY:
19	ZACHARY J. PEKELIS W. SCOTT FERRON
20	Pacifica Law Group, LLP 1191 Second Avenue, Suite 2000
21	Seattle, Washington 98101-3404
22	206.245.1700 zach.pekelis@pacificalawgroup.com
	scott.ferron@pacificalawgroup.com
23 24	ALSO PRESENT (via videoconference):
24 25	TANIA GRANT, VIDEOGRAPHER
20	

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 112 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18336 Page 116 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al. Ashley Hlebinsky Page 4 VIDEO-RECORDED VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION 1 OF ASHLEY HLEBINSKY 2 EXAMINATION INDEX 3 EXAMINATION BY: PAGE 4 Mr. Wilson 6 5 Mr. Pekelis 82 6 Mr. Williamson 145 7 Mr. Wilson 149 8 9 EXHIBIT INDEX 10 EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE 11 12 Exhibit 30 Declaration of Ashley Hlebinsky 9 NRA Women Ashley Hlebinsky: Exhibit 31 97 13 Historically Speaking 14 Exhibit 32 Testimony of Ashley Hlebinsky, 101 United States Senate, Subcommittee 15 on the Constitution, Committee on the Judiciary, Stop Gun Violence: 16 Ghost Guns, May 11, 2021 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 113 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18337 Page 117 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Ashley Hlebinsky

Page 5 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA; JANUARY 20, 2023 1 1:03 p.m. 2 --000--3 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good afternoon. This 5 is the video-recorded deposition of Ashley Hlebinsky in 6 the matter of Oregon Firearms Federation, Incorporated, 7 et al., versus Brown, et al. Cause numbers are 8 9 2:22-cv-01815-IM and 3:22-cv-01859-IM and 3:22-cv-01862-IM and 3:22-cv-01869-IM, in the U.S. 10 District Court for the District of Oregon, and was 11 noticed by Harry Wilson. 12 Today's date is January 20th, 2023. The time 13 is now 1:03 p.m. My name is Tania Grant from Buell 14 Realtime Reporting, LLC, located at 1325 Fourth Avenue, 15 Seattle, Washington. Your court reporter is Lorrie 16 Chinn. 17 Will counsel please identify yourselves and 18 19 state whom you represent. MR. WILSON: Harry Wilson. I'm special 20 assistant attorney general for Defendants. 21 MR. PEKELIS: Zach Pekelis in Seattle, 22 Washington, and I represent Intervenor-Defendant Oregon 23 Alliance for Gun Safety. 24 MR. WILLIAMSON: Leonard Williamson. Ι 25

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 114 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18338 Page 118 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Ashley Hlebinsky

Page 6 represent the Plaintiffs in OFF. 1 THE REPORTER: Mr. Ferron? 2 MR. FERRON: Scott Ferron also with 3 Pacifica Law Group for the Intervener-Defendants. 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. The court 5 reporter may now swear in the witness. 6 7 ASHLEY HLEBINSKY, witness herein, having been first 8 9 duly sworn under oath, was examined and testified as follows: 10 11 EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. WILSON: 13 Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Hlebinsky. My name is 14 Harry Wilson. I am an attorney representing Defendants 15 in the four matters that the videographer just read 16 into the record. 17 Could you -- could we start by having you 18 state your full name for the record? 19 Yes. My name is Ashley Hlebinsky. Α. 20 Do you understand that the oath that you just Q. 21 took is the same oath that you would take if you were 22 in a courtroom today? 23 Α. I do. 24 Q. Okay. Do you understand that this deposition 25

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 115 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18339 Page 119 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

```
Ashley Hlebinsky
```

Page 7 is being transcribed by a court reporter? 1 Α. I do. 2 MR. PEKELIS: Harry, I'm sorry. Could 3 we go off the record for one sec? 4 MR. WILSON: Yeah. 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off 6 The time is 1:05 p.m. 7 the record. (A discussion was held off the record.) 8 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now back on the record. The time is 1:06 p.m. 10 BY MR. WILSON: Okay. I can't remember if I Ο. 11 got the last question out, so let me just ask again. 12 Do you understand that this deposition is being 13 transcribed by a court reporter? 14 I do. Α. 15 And do you understand that this deposition is Ο. 16 being recorded by audio and video? 17 I do. Α. 18 Do you understand that we may be able to play Ο. 19 back the video and audio or read from the transcript at 20 hearings or at a trial in this matter? 21 I do. 22 Α. This afternoon I'm going to ask you a bunch of 23 Q. questions. And as we go along, I will assume that you 24 25 understand my question unless you tell me that you

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 116 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18340 Page 120 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

1	
	Page 8
1	don't understand the question. Fair?
2	A. Fair.
3	Q. Great. Is there anything that would prevent
4	you from thinking clearly today?
5	A. Nope.
6	Q. And anything that would prevent you from
7	testifying truthfully today?
8	A. No.
9	Q. I have emailed to Leonard Williamson a copy of
10	a declaration that was filed in the litigation that
11	we're here today. Do you and it was filed by you.
12	Do you have a copy of that in front of you?
13	A. I do. I have a hard copy.
14	Q. Okay. And does that copy have a line across
15	the top that says Case 2:22-cv-01815 and then some
16	other information?
17	A. It says 29CEE04E.
18	Q. Hm.
19	A. Do I have the wrong one?
20	Q. Maybe. I'm looking for a document that should
21	have that header across the top, and then it should
22	have some attorney information right below that
23	starting with Stephen J. Joncus.
24	A. It does start with Stephen J. Joncus.
25	Q. Okay. So maybe is the version you're

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 117 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18341 Page 121 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Γ

Ashley Hlebinsky

	Page 42
1	A. Correct.
2	Q. And how accurate is a Glock 19?
3	A. That's not within my expertise.
4	Q. Is there do you know if there's a way to
5	measure the accuracy of a firearm?
6	A. Possibly.
7	Q. But it's not one that you're familiar with?
8	A. No.
9	Q. Okay. I want to talk a little bit about the
10	Founding Era. When we discuss the Founding Era, I'm
11	going to use that phrase sometimes, the Founding Era.
12	And when I use that I'm talking about the years around
13	which the Constitution and the Bill of Rights were
14	ratified. So do you understand that when I use that
15	phrase, that's what I mean?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And you understand the Constitution was
18	ratified in 1788?
19	A. Correct.
20	Q. And the Bill of Rights was ratified in 1791?
21	A. Yes. And I've got the date that I use is
22	the Second Amendment date.
23	Q. So I'm kind of about around paragraph 19 of
24	your declaration, and you actually have the heading The
25	Founding Era, so I guess we'll start there.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 118 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18342 Page 122 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

r

	Page 43
1	A. Cool.
2	Q. Okay. So do you know about how many people
3	lived in the United States in the Founding Era?
4	A. I do not.
5	Q. In paragraph 19 you state kind of in the
6	middle and I'm on page 13 of your declaration. You
7	state, "repeaters, including those with magazines,
8	could have capacities of over ten rounds at least a
9	century before and during the ratification of the
10	Second Amendment."
11	So I want to what I want to do is try to
12	understand what those repeaters are that you're
13	referring to. And so my first question is just can you
14	provide a list of which repeaters you're referring to
15	in that statement?
16	A. I would have to pull up let me see.
17	Because for me looking historically the yes, there
18	are ones over ten rounds, but it's not like there was
19	any standard in what people were choosing. And so I
20	believe one of the Lorenzonis was over ten rounds.
21	There was also a lot of one-offs made in Europe that
22	you can see in the Cody collection that don't really
23	have a lot of background on who the manufacturer was or
24	the maker was. Sorry.
25	And I believe one of the Cooksons was over ten

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 119 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18343 Page 123 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Г

Ashley Hlebinsky

	Page 44
1	rounds. And then looking at my notes, I know there was
2	a really early firearm that had 16 shots as well. But,
3	like I say, it's not it's not thought of in the same
4	way that we think about it today. So it's one could
5	be eight rounds. One could be 12. It just kind of
6	depended on what they were working on and sometimes
7	what people were commissioning.
8	Q. Okay. So you mentioned that you were
9	referring to your notes. Do you have a set of notes in
10	front of you?
11	A. Oh, no, sorry, I was looking at the
12	declaration.
13	Q. Okay. Got it. Okay. So that statement in
14	paragraph 19, then some of the firearms that you were
15	referring to was the Lorenzoni, the Cookson, and then
16	there are some one-offs in Europe, plus the fourth one
17	you mentioned is there's some rifle that has or, I'm
18	sorry, some firearm that had a 16-shot, but you didn't
19	know the name offhand. Is that right?
20	A. Yeah. I have the it's just kind of I've
21	seen lots of people call it different things. It's a
22	16-shot odd firearm you can see in the collection.
23	It's got many different components to make it a
24	repeater. It's pretty advanced technology.
25	Q. I see. Are there any other firearms to which

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 120 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18344 Page 124 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Page 45 you're referring in that particular sentence in 1 paragraph 19 that I just read that you haven't 2 mentioned? 3 A. Not specifically. I just know that within 4 different collections I've been in, there have been a 5 lot of different repeaters. It's just the names aren't 6 necessarily as memorable. 7 Q. So if there are ones that you can't 8 9 remember -- can't remember their names but you've seen them, are those most likely one-off examples? 10 They can be, yeah. A lot of things during Α. 11 this timeframe, when I say one-off is because we're not 12 really dealing with armories or major manufacturers, I 13 mean. So you're not getting mass production of really 14 anything unless it's an inexpensive firearm for the 15 military. And even then that's slow compared to, you 16 know, 19th century standards. 17 So for me just because it's a one-off doesn't 18 mean that it's not relevant to the conversation because 19 that's just kind of how gun making was back then. It 20 was considered an art. 21 Sure. And I appreciate that, but at times I 22 Q. want to understand how common a firearm was. So let me 23 ask this: Were you -- are you aware of repeaters, 24 including those with magazines with a capacity over ten 25

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 121 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18345 Page 125 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Ashley Hlebinsky

	Page 46
1	rounds, that were available during the ratification of
2	the Second Amendment that were commercially available
3	in the United States?
4	A. A specific example, not necessarily, but I
5	will say that pretty much all repeaters that would have
6	been sold and many during that period would have been
7	sold to individuals and not the military.
8	Q. But you can't identify a repeater with ten
9	rounds or more that was commercially available at the
10	time of the ratification of the Second Amendment?
11	A. There were ones that were created before the
12	Second Amendment. I'm not sure what the year, if there
13	was something specific. However, they were certainly
14	designed and around. And as I repeated as well,
15	everything would have been commercial at that point for
16	the repeaters.
17	Q. So are you aware of any you know, in the
18	Founding Era, were you aware of any repeaters with more
19	than ten rounds that were being sold in the United
20	States?
21	A. In terms of, you know, around the specific
22	Founding Era in 1791 I'm sure there were individuals,
23	but I do, you know, have the Cookson example of certain
24	firearms that were being marketed to be sold.
25	Q. You say well, let's split that answer

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 122 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18346 Page 126 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Page 47 apart. So you believe the Cookson was being marketed 1 and sold in the Founding Era, correct? 2 A. It was a little bit earlier. That's what I 3 said is a lot --4 Q. Sure. 5 -- of this is kind of leading up to that Α. 6 specific timeframe. 7 Q. Okay. So the Cookson, is it your testimony 8 9 that the Cookson was being sold in the colonies either during the Founding Era or earlier? 10 A. During the -- according to the Royal 11 Armouries, which kind of had a lot of that information 12 because of the English Cookson, there is reference to 13 an advertisement. You saw advertisements with early 14 firearms sometimes, certainly not along the same vein 15 that you get them in the late 1800s, but periodically 16 you would see that. 17 But, like I said, a lot of times people were 18 specifically coming for something that they wanted, 19 needed. 20 So there was an advertisement for a Cookson in Ο. 21 the Founding Era or earlier? 22 Yes, according to, you know, my note. There 23 Α. was another firearm that I'm aware of, but it was 24 Europe. And I want to say it was 1600s, maybe 1700s, 25

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 123 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18347 Page 127 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Page 48 that also made an advertisement for a repeating firearm 1 for the commercial market, but I didn't have that 2 listed there. But I do know of one other through an 3 exhibition I worked on a couple of years -- probably 4 like five years ago. 5 Q. Okay. In that other advertisement, you said 6 that was an advertisement that was in Europe? 7 I believe so, yeah. Α. 8 9 Q. Okay. And then for the advertisement for the Cookson, you said according to your note. Are you 10 referring to a footnote in your declaration? 11 Sorry. I'll try to stop saying notes. Α. Yes. 12 But, yeah, the Boston Gazette in, oh, gosh, paragraph 13 21. That information circulated originally through the 14 Royal Armouries and Leeds. 15 Okay. And which footnote is that? Is that 16 Ο. 22? 17 That's 22, correct. There's a couple of --18 Α. there's a couple of things listed there. The firearms 19 history blog spot is what Royal Armouries references 20 and links to. 21 Okay. So it's that particular link that will 22 Ο. be a link to the advertisement? 23 It's a link to the firearm, and it's got 24 Α. No. some history behind it. 25

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 124 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18348 Page 128 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Page 49 Okay. So when you're identifying that Q. 1 particular advertisement for the Cookson, do you know 2 where we could review a copy of that? 3 A. I've not seen that one specifically, no. 4 And so how do you know that there was an 5 Ο. advertisement? 6 A. I was going off of the expertise of the 7 scholars at the Royal Armouries. 8 9 Q. Oh, okay. And so -- and that's -- I'm sorry. I'm getting confused. Sorry. Is that expertise found 10 in that -- in the links that are in footnote 22? 11 There's a description of the Cookson that Α. 12 Royal Armouries links to --13 Q. Okay. 14 -- and it lists that. Α. 15 I see. So there's a link within the link that 16 Ο. will --17 Yeah. Yeah. Royal Armouries has -- I believe 18 Α. it's their Cookson or one of their Lorenzoni types, and 19 then -- yeah. 20 Q. Okay. So are you aware of any other 21 advertisement advertising a ten-round or more repeater 22 in the Founding Era or earlier other than what we've 23 just discussed? 24 Α. I'm not. 25

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 125 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18349 Page 129 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

ſ

Ashley Hlebinsky

	Page 50
1	Q. Okay. So I'm going to back up just a little
2	bit here. So earlier, a few minutes ago we were
3	talking about the firearms that you were referring to
4	in paragraph 19 that have ten rounds or more. And the
5	first category you mentioned were some one-offs made in
6	Europe. Do you know the specific names of those to
7	which you're referring?
8	A. The ones that I've listed?
9	Q. So just the ones that are here in the
10	declaration?
11	A. Those are the ones I know specifically. But
12	the reason I don't necessarily have the names for them
13	is because I've seen them in the museum collection, but
14	I don't recall exactly what they were. And I'm not in
15	Wyoming anymore, so I couldn't list all of them.
16	Q. Sure. And the ones I've seen in the
17	declaration are the Kalthoff, the Berselli, and the
18	Lorenzoni. And then it kind of goes on and talks about
19	the Cookson. But those are three?
20	A. Yeah.
21	Q. Are those
22	A. Yeah. And it's the Lorenzoni was
23	replicated a lot of times by other people, so it's not
24	one or, I mean, there was one person that developed
25	it, but then they call them Lorenzoni types.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 126 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18350 Page 130 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

ſ

	Page 125
1	race, ethnicity.
2	Q. Is it a book that's an online form or I
3	don't see a publisher or anything on there.
4	A. It's on several different platforms. I think
5	it's the sedgwickcounty.org has a copy of it. It looks
6	to be like it would be an article. It's not extensive
7	like a published book. It's kind of just a listing of
8	the laws with a few paragraphs.
9	Q. So for your assertion here in the first
10	sentence of paragraph 23, were you primarily relying on
11	Eckwall's research?
12	A. I have used Eckwall's research in the past.
13	So, yeah, it was a large portion of that, but I did
14	also use the Duke repository for several parts of this.
15	Q. Okay. Including that assertion in the first
16	sentence of paragraph 23?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. For the last sentence of paragraph 23, it
19	reads, "It is generally understood that early laws were
20	largely motivated by race."
21	Do you see that?
22	A. I do.
23	Q. And for that you cite in footnote 30 a
24	publication by Clayton Cramer, Colonial Firearms
25	Regulation; is that right?

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 127 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18351 Page 131 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

	Page 126
1	A. That is correct.
2	Q. Who is Clayton Cramer?
3	A. Clayton Cramer is a scholar on firearms
4	history.
5	Q. Is he a recognized historian in the field?
6	A. I believe he is. I know that he's cited in a
7	lot of different historical works. I've read several
8	of his things over the years.
9	Q. And is this a published article?
10	A. I believe so.
11	Q. In what publication?
12	A. I'm not sure. I thought I put it in there,
13	but I didn't.
14	Q. Did you consider whether Mr. Cramer might have
15	any bias before relying on his publication?
16	A. Well, of course. You always kind of consider
17	that, but I've also seen in other declarations, in
18	other reports from people who, you know, have differing
19	opinions on firearms that that is something that people
20	acknowledge that they're race based.
21	They may not agree on the culture context
22	behind it, but the fact that a lot of them are race
23	based, I think is from what I've read, especially
24	someone I don't know declarations on this specifically,
25	but on other declarations that that is generally

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 128 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18352 Page 132 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Page 127 accepted. What people disagree on usually is why, 1 motivation, and relevancy. 2 Q. So were you aware that Mr. Cramer is also an 3 expert witness in this case on behalf of Plaintiffs? 4 I wasn't when I wrote this. I am now. 5 Α. Were you aware of that before I asked the 0. 6 question? 7 Α. I was. 8 9 Ο. Okay. I'll represent to you that in his deposition yesterday -- the days are blurring 10 together -- I think it was yesterday. Mr. Cramer 11 testified that he is a long-time supporter of the NRA. 12 He considers himself firmly on one side of the, quote, 13 unquote, culture war over firearms regulations, that 14 the NRA donated a thousand dollars to his state senate 15 campaign, and that he is not a neutral witness when it 16 comes to the issues in this case. 17 Does any of that cause you to question your 18 reliance on Mr. Cramer for your work? 19 Α. I was not aware of a lot of that. I had some 20 personal interactions with Mr. Cramer when I first 21 started, but it is something that I would consider. 22 Т also don't think that having, you know, an opinion and 23 having a relationship with gun people, gun industry 24 negates your ability to still evaluate your own biases. 25

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 129 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18353 Page 133 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Page 128 But I would have to kind of consider that because, as I 1 said, I wasn't aware of that prior to this. 2 MR. WILLIAMSON: Counsel, I'm going to 3 interject right here. I think there's kind of a 4 misrepresentation about Mr. Cramer's donation that he 5 received. Isn't it true that he returned that donation 6 to the NRA? 7 MR. PEKELIS: That was his testimony; 8 9 although, the documents or evidence was a bit unclear on that. 10 MR. WILLIAMSON: All right. Thank you. 11 Q. BY MR. PEKELIS: Page -- same page -- oh, no, 12 sorry. Next page, 18, paragraph 24 of Exhibit 30. 13 Α. Yes. 14 Final sentence you write, "As a result, 15 Ο. Revolutionary leaders, such as Paul Revere, required 16 possession of arms and ammunition by militiamen and 17 many required powder and projectiles in quantities 18 greater than ten pounds and rounds respectively." 19 Do you see that? 20 I do. 21 Α. And what sources are you relying on for that 22 Ο. assertion? 23 For that one I was using the original -- one 24 Α. 25 of the early summaries in Duncan.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 130 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18354 Page 134 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

	Page 129
1	Q. And Duncan is cited in footnote 34?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Okay. And that's the district court's
4	decision in Duncan from 2019?
5	A. I believe so, yes.
6	Q. Any other sources that you relied on for that
7	proposition?
8	A. I did see it in I believe I saw it also in
9	Johnson, et al., in their section on powder
10	regulations. I believe it's in there as well.
11	Q. And Johnson, et al., you're referring to the
12	citation in footnote 31, which is a law school
13	casebook?
14	A. Correct.
15	Q. Okay. Well, let's take Duncan first. Do
16	historians typically rely on contemporary judicial
17	opinions as sources for understanding historical
18	events?
19	A. I think that's up to the person. A lot of
20	times with that it's coming from experts. So if it was
21	a place where I could find something that was a
22	succinct analysis of it and then I saw the laws on the
23	Duke site, then, yes, I would use it.
24	Q. Okay. It's a secondary source, you agree?
25	A. Correct.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 131 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18355 Page 135 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

	Page 130
1	Q. Probably several steps removed from the
2	original source, whatever it's relying on, you would
3	agree?
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. In this case the Duncan decision was reversed
6	by the Court of Appeals. Were you aware of that when
7	you cited it?
8	A. I wasn't aware of all of the different
9	components of it. I know it went through, and then I
10	know it went up, and now it's back down for evaluation.
11	Q. Right. So the fact that that decision was
12	actually vacated or reversed, does that cause you any
13	concern with relying on this as an assertion?
14	A. Not necessarily.
15	Q. Why not?
16	A. Because just because the overarching argument
17	created by one side may have had may have been
18	reversed for specific reasons, it doesn't mean that's
19	one of them.
20	Q. Let's go to paragraph 26. This is on the next
21	page, page 19. And you write, "In summary, at the time
22	of the Founding Era, laws about firearms restriction
23	were regularly directed towards groups of people rather
24	than the firearms themselves."
25	Do you see that?

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 132 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18356 Page 136 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

	Page 131
1	A. I do.
2	Q. And what are your sources for that assertion?
3	A. I oh, I don't have that footnoted there,
4	but it's a combination of things, secondary sources
5	I've read over the years, as well as the Duke site
6	analyses that we used and have reviewed for Cody, many
7	different places.
8	Q. But you haven't cited those here?
9	A. No, I did not. I was speaking more generally
10	there.
11	Q. Okay. I want to go back to the Johnson
12	casebook. Do historians typically rely on law school
13	casebooks as sources for understanding historical
14	events?
15	A. If it provides a good description of it, I
16	don't know why you wouldn't.
17	Q. Okay. Also paragraph 26, this is the last
18	sentence oh, no, sorry. It's the next sentence.
19	"Within these laws, repeating and firing capacity are
20	not mentioned."
21	So did you conduct a search of laws mentioning
22	repeating or firing capacity in the Duke repository?
23	A. I believe I looked up repeating, not firing
24	capacity, because I don't think that's wording that
25	they would use. And then I utilized, you know, other

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 133 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18357 Page 137 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Ashley Hlebinsky

	Page 132	
1	resources that have summaries of the laws. But I do	
2	think I searched repeating when I was doing that, but I	
3	was also trying to be creative to make sure that I was	
4	covering other firearms-based verbiage that they could	
5	have used.	
6	Q. Did you examine the prevalence of repeaters or	
7	magazine-fed repeaters among civilians in the Founding	
8	Era? I think I asked you that already.	
9	A. The prevalence of it, no, not comprehensively.	
10	But of the ones I mentioned I do reference that they're	
11	one-offs or if they've been made.	
12	Q. So just as kind of a common sense matter,	
13	might the lack of widespread existence of those	
14	technologies be a reason why you didn't find laws	
15	mentioning them?	
16	A. Not necessarily. Because in terms of	
17	repeating, possibly. But in terms of regulations on	
18	specific firearms, I mean, there were many firearms	
19	around there, and I didn't necessarily find through my	
20	searching things about firearms features in the	
21	timeframe either. It's more focused, like I said, on	
22	groups.	
23	And then there are some other categories of	
24	things that are more with, you know, gunsmith	
25	relationships that I saw a few on on stamping and that	

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 134 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18358 Page 138 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Г

Ashley Hlebinsky

	Page 133		
1	kind of thing. That wasn't really relevant to this,		
2	but I saw when I was looking on the Duke site.		
3	Q. So I think you're saying that you did conduct		
4	a comprehensive, exhaustive search of firearm statutes,		
5	ordinances, other laws from the 18th and 19th		
6	centuries. Is that your testimony?		
7	A. I said I looked into the Duke law and tried to		
8	find at much as I could, and then I also utilized		
9	secondary sources on that as well. I did not print out		
10	every law and, you know, file it like has been done now		
11	in California. I did not do that, but I did do my due		
12	diligence to find as many things that I could that		
13	would be related to that.		
14	Q. Did you spend more time looking at the primary		
15	source material or the secondary source material?		
16	A. I would say I utilized a lot of secondary		
17	sources, but I also did utilize primary.		
18	Q. Which would you say more?		
19	A. I would probably say secondary in this case.		
20	Q. Okay. So in footnote 69 you cite David		
21	Yamane?		
22	A. Yes.		
23	Q. Who is David Yamane?		
24	A. He is a sociology professor at Wake Forest.		
25	Q. Okay. And you're citing a book called		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 135 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18359 Page 139 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Page 134 Concealed Carry Revolution: Expanding the Right to 1 Bear Arms in America? 2 Α. That is correct. 3 Q. That's a secondary source? 4 That is correct. Α. 5 I haven't read it, but Amazon describes it as 0. 6 brief and accessibly written. Would you agree with 7 that? 8 9 Α. It is brief. David is currently working on a much larger book on what he calls Gun Culture 2.0. And 10 so he published this one as kind of a small segment of 11 his research. 12 Okay. And --Q. 13 Α. I did not read that description, though. 14 That's... 15 Well, he's a sociologist too. He's not an Ο. 16 historian, right? 17 A. Correct, but there's a lot of history in his 18 19 research. Ο. So in the paragraph that you're citing him --20 this is paragraph 38 -- you say, "Racial firearm bans 21 continued into the nineteenth century. States 22 including but not limited to Louisiana, South Carolina, 23 Florida, Delaware, Maryland, North Carolina, and 24 Mississippi enacted race bans between ratification and 25

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 136 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18360 Page 140 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

	Page 135	
1	the American Civil War."	
2	Do you see that, right?	
3	A. I do.	
4	Q. Okay. And the source you're citing there is	
5	Eckwall?	
6	A. Correct.	
7	Q. And that's the only source for that assertion	
8	there?	
9	A. Just for that summary he had a good succinct	
10	listing of that, but it would reflect that as well in	
11	Duke. I just knew that that was a good kind of	
12	succinct area, so that's why I footnoted that instead	
13	of footnoting a lot of other areas.	
14	Q. Did you try to compare the number of racially	
15	restrictive firearm laws with race neutral firearm laws	
16	in the 19th century?	
17	A. I did not do a full comparison like that, no.	
18	Q. Okay. Paragraph 39, the next paragraph,	
19	starts as follows: "During this period in between	
20	ratifications of the Second and the Fourteenth	
21	Amendments, some laws emerged restricting carry by any	
22	person."	
23	Did you conduct a comprehensive survey of 19th	
24	century laws restricting carry by any person?	
25	A. No. I also although, I did not reference	

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 137 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18361 Page 141 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Page 136 it in this, Randolph Roth's declaration in a few cases 1 talks about this pretty extensively. I probably could 2 have cited him on that, but that was one of the other 3 places that I looked. 4 Q. Would you say you relied on Mr. Roth's 5 declaration? 6 I just knew it had good information in it 7 A. No. on some of the cases, so that was one of other places 8 9 where I did -- in addition to the websites and David Yamane's scholarship -- and I believed -- well, for 10 that I didn't really look at the Johnson series as 11 much, but I took it from several other places just to 12 kind of confirm what I had seen. 13 Q. So your testimony is that Rolfe's dec -- or, 14 excuse me, Roth's declaration has some of this material 15 in it, but you didn't rely on it for creating your 16 declaration in this case? 17 I mean, I utilized it, but I wouldn't say I Α. 18 relied on it. 19 Ο. What's the difference? 20 Utilizing it would be taking his research and Α. 21 seeing validity in it based on an evaluation and then 22 also putting it in here, but I wouldn't say it's the 23 24 only thing I looked at. I don't mean rely as like that's the only 25 Q.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 138 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18362 Page 142 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Ashley Hlebinsky

	Page 151		
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE		
2			
3	I, LORRIE R. CHINN, the undersigned Certified Court		
4	Reporter, pursuant to RCW 5.28.010 authorized to administer oaths and affirmations in and for the State of Washington, do		
5	hereby certify:		
6	That the sworn testimony and/or remote proceedings, a transcript of which is attached, was given before me at the		
7	time and place stated therein; that any and/or all witness(es) were duly sworn remotely to testify to the truth; that the		
8	sworn testimony and/or remote proceedings were by me stenographically recorded and transcribed under my		
9	supervision, to the best of my ability; that the foregoing transcript contains a full, true, and accurate record of all		
10	the sworn testimony and/or remote proceedings given and occurring at the time and place stated in the transcript; that		
11	a review of which was requested; that I am in no way related to any party to the matter, nor to any counsel, nor do I have		
12	any financial interest in the event of the cause.		
13	Reading and signing was not requested pursuant to FRCP Rule 30(e).		
14	WITNESS MY HAND AND DIGITAL SIGNATURE this 26th day		
15	of January, 2023.		
16	Jorrie R Ching.		
17	Nome R. Churr.		
18	LORRIE R. CHINN, RPR, CCR Washington State Certified Court Reporter No. 1902		
19	Oregon State Certified Court Reporter No. 97-0337 lorrie@buellrealtime.com		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 139 of 280 Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18364 Page 144 of 187

Deposition of Clayton Cramer

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

January 19, 2023



206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989 1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101 <u>www.buellrealtime.com</u>

email: info@buellrealtime.com



Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 140 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18365 Page 145 of 187

Clayton Cramer Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al. Page 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON OREGON FIREARMS FEDERATION,) INC., et al.,)) Plaintiffs,)) Case Nos.) 2:22-cv-01815-IM v.) 3:22-cv-01859-IM KATE BROWN, et al.,) 3:22-cv-01862-IM 3:22-CV-01869-IM) Defendants.)))) (Continued)) * VIDEOCONFERENCE * VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF EXPERT CLAYTON CRAMER Witness located in: Middleton, Idaho * All participants appeared via videoconference * January 19, 2023 DATE TAKEN: Tia B. Reidt, Washington RPR, CSR #2798 REPORTED BY: Oregon #22-0001

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

ER 299

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 141 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18366 Page 146 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Clayton Cramer

	Page
(Continued))
Z, et al.,)
Plaintiff) \$,))
ROSENBLUM, et a)
Defendant)
B. EYRE, et al.))
Plaintiff	\$,))
ROSENBLUM, et a)
Defendant	3.))
ZZOPARDI, et al.))
Plaintiff	\$,))
ROSENBLUM, et a)
Defendant) 3.)
)

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 142 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18367 Page 147 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

r

Clayton Cramer

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES
2	For Oregon Firearms Federation and the Witness:
3	LEONARD WILLIAMSON VAN NESS WILLIAMSON
4	960 Liberty Street SE, Suite 100 Salem, OR 97302
5	(503) 365-8800 L.williamson@vwllp.com
6 7	
8	For the State of Oregon Defendants:
9	ERIN DAWSON HARRY WILSON
10	MARKOWITZ HERBOLD 1455 SW Broadway, Suite 1900
11	Portland, OR 97201 (503) 972-5076
12	ErinDawson@markowitzherbold.com HarryWilson@markowitzherbold.com
13	For the Proposed Intervenor-Defendant Oregon Alliance
14 15	for Gun Safety: ZACHARY J. PEKELIS
16	W. SCOTT FERRON PACIFICA LAW GROUP
17	1191 Second Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98101
18	(206) 245-1700 Zach.Pekelis@PacificaLawGroup.com
19	Videographer:
20	CATHY ZAK BUELL REALTIME REPORTING
21	1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840 Seattle, WA 98101
22	(206) 287-9066 Info@buellrealtime.com
23	* * * * *
24 25	

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 143 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18368 Page 148 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Clayton Cramer

			Page 4
1		EXAMINATION INDEX	
2	EXAMINATION 1	BY: PAGE	
3	Ms. Dawson	8	
4	Mr. Pekelis	105	
5	Mr. Williams	on 164	
6		EXHIBIT INDEX	
7	EXHIBIT I	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
8		Second Declaration of Clayton Cramer.	15
9 10		First Declaration of Clayton Cramer.	97
11		Navy Yard Shooting Leaves Gun Control Crowd Out of Excuses -	112
12	1	Firearms News.	
13		Early American Gunsmithing: A Family Affair.	115
14 15		Ninth Circuit Victory: The End of the Beginning - Firearms News.	118
16		Clayton Cramer: A Victory in California.	122
17 18		Clayton Cramer: A Major Victory in California.	127
19		An Unfavorable California Decision that May Later Bear	131
20]	Fruit An Official Journal Of The NRA.	
21	ΕΥΠΙΣΤΗ 10 Ι	What is it Like to be a	134
22	(California Gun Owner? An Official Journal Of The NRA.	TQT
23	EXHIBIT 20 N	Why Oregon Ballot Measure 114	136
24	:	is Unconstitutional An Official Journal Of The NRA.	
25			

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 144 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18369 Page 149 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Clayton Cramer

			Page 5
1		EXHIBIT INDEX CONTINUED	
2	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3	EXHIBIT 21	Donor Lookup, OpenSecrets.	138
4		Clayton Cramer: Federal Judge	142
5	EAHLDII 22	Temporarily Blocks California's New Magazine Ban. Blog.	TIZ
6	EXHIBIT 23	Clayton Cramer: Does Limiting	144
7		Magazine Capacity Matter? Blog.	
8	EXHIBIT 24	Can Biden Take Your Rights With The Stroke of a Pen? An	148
9		Official Journal Of The NRA.	
10	EXHIBIT 25	CRAMER: On the right side of the bullet - Washington Times.	151
11	EXHIBIT 26	Tough Targets, When Criminals	154
12		Face Armed Resistance from Citizens.	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18 19			
20			
20			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 145 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18370 Page 150 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Clayton Cramer

Page 6 Middleton, Idaho; Thursday, January 19, 2023 1 10:17 a.m. 2 -000-3 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. 5 This is the deposition of Clayton Cramer in 6 the matter of Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al., 7 v. Brown, et al, Case Numbers 2:22-cv-01815-IM, 8 3:22-cv-01859-IM, 3:22-cv-01862-IM, and 9 3:22-cv-01869-IM in the United States District Court 10 for the District of Oregon, and was noticed by 11 Markowitz Herbold. 12 The time now is approximately 9:37 a.m. on 13 this 19th day of January, 2023, and we are convening 14 via Buell virtual depositions. 15 My name is Cathy Zak from Buell Realtime 16 Reporting, LLC, located at 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 1840, 17 in Seattle, Washington 98101. 18 Will Counsel please identify themselves for 19 the record. 20 MS. DAWSON: I'm Erin Dawson. I'm with 21 the law firm Markowitz Herbold, and we represent 22 Defendants. 23 MR. WILLIAMSON: This is Leonard 24 Williamson from the law firm Van Ness Williamson, LLP 25

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 146 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18371 Page 151 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al. Clayton Cramer Page 7 in Salem, Oregon, and we represent the Oregon Federal 1 Firearms Federation, Plaintiffs in this matter. 2 MR. PEKELIS: This is Zach Pekelis with 3 the law firm Pacifica Law Group in Seattle, Washington, 4 and I represent Intervenor-Defendant Oregon Alliance 5 for Gun Safety. 6 MR. WILSON: Harry Wilson, Special 7 Assistant Attorney General with Markowitz Herbold for 8 Defendants. 9 MS. BLAESING: Lauren Blaesing from 10 Markowitz Herbold, also counsel for Defendants. 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. Thank you. 12 The court reporter may now swear in the 13 witness. 14 THE COURT REPORTER: Can I please get a 15 stipulation from counsel to swear in the witness, as 16 I'm a Washington state court reporter and notary, and 17 the witness is in Idaho. 18 MR. WILLIAMSON: OFF plaintiffs stipulate. 19 MS. DAWSON: Defendants stipulate as well. 20 MR. PEKELIS: Intervenor-Defendant as 21 well. 22 23 CLAYTON CRAMER, 24 having been first duly sworn by the 25

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 147 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18372 Page 152 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al. Clayton Cramer Page 8 Certified Court Reporter, was deposed as follows: 1 2 EXAMINATION 3 BY MS. DAWSON: 4 Q. Mr. Cramer, it's nice to meet you. As I said, 5 my name is Erin Dawson. I'm with the law firm 6 Markowitz Herbold, and I represent defendants. 7 Just for the record, what is your full name? 8 Α. Clayton Earl Cramer. 9 And do you understand that this deposition is 10 Ο. being transcribed by the court reporter here as well as 11 being recorded by audio and video? 12 Yes, I do. Α. 13 Ο. Great. 14 And can you confirm for me that Mr. Williamson 15 shared with you the ground rules that the parties 16 agreed to in advance of this deposition? 17 Yes, he did. Α. 18 Q. Wonderful. 19 So do you understand that the oath that you 20 just took is the same type of oath that you would take 21 in a courtroom? 22 Α. Absolutely. 23 Q. And here is how I envision this going: 24 I'll ask you questions. If you don't 25

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 148 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18373 Page 153 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Clayton Cramer

	Page 9
1	understand any particular question, just let me know,
2	and I'll do my best to either reframe it or restate it.
3	A. Okay.
4	Q. The converse of that would be I'll assume that
5	you understood my question if you go ahead and answer
6	it. Does that seem fair?
7	A. Yes, it does.
8	Q. Okay.
9	And we discussed this off the record, but just
10	for the record, if you need a break, let me know. As
11	long as there's no question kind of pending, waiting
12	for your answer, we can take a break at any time.
13	A. I understand.
14	Q. I will do my best to break after about an
15	hour, but if I lose track of time, you're welcome to
16	signal me on that as well.
17	A. Okay.
18	Q. So is there anything that would prevent you
19	from thinking clearly today?
20	A. No.
21	Q. And is there anything that would prevent you
22	from answering truthfully today?
23	A. No. Nothing preventing me from answering
24	truthfully ever.
25	Q. Okay. Great.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 149 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18374 Page 154 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Clayton Cramer

Page 42 My recollection from reading it was it had no Α. 1 such limitation in terms of -- of location. 2 Okay. Q. 3 So would you agree, and I think you touched on 4 this briefly, that the Secret Service definition 5 includes attacks where three or more people are either 6 wounded or killed? 7 Α. Right. 8 So it doesn't describe an attack kind of in Ο. 9 the amount of deaths? 10 That's true. Three or more harmed. Α. 11 Ο. Okay. 12 So could it -- you know, theoretically, it 13 could include an attack that results in zero deaths. 14 Α. Yes. 15 That would qualify? Ο. 16 Α. Yes. There might -- well, there are 17 frequently incidents where several people are injured 18 but no one actually dies. 19 Okay. Q. 20 So if we move to the next paragraph, you 21 write -- this is the last paragraph on page 8, "For 22 purposes of my research, I have adapted the Secret 23 Service's definition. For purposes of this research, I 24 slightly extended the FBI definition to include at 25

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 150 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18375 Page 155 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

```
Clayton Cramer
```

Page 43 least two murder victims committed in multiple 1 locations within 24 hours and use the Secret Service's 2 'three more people harmed.'" 3 Is that an accurate --4 Α. Yes. 5 Q. -- reading or your statement? 6 Okay. 7 So I want to make sure that I understand kind 8 of your definition. You state that you used the Secret 9 Service's three or more people harmed definition? 10 Well, in some ways I sort of -- I adapted -- I Α. 11 sort of merged that and the FBI definition. 12 (Reporter requests to please speak slowly.) 13 THE WITNESS: Okay. 14 I said that I adapted -- maybe a more accurate 15 description is that I merged the Secret Service's 16 definition with the FBI's definition to include at 17 least two murder victims. And this can be incidents 18 that are committed in multiple locations within 24 19 hours. 20 BY MS. DAWSON: 21 So at least two murder victims, and could be Ο. 22 multiple locations, and --23 Α. Yeah. 24 -- and 24 hours? Q. 25

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 151 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18376 Page 156 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Clayton Cramer

Page 44 And three or more people harmed. So if two Α. 1 people are killed and four people are wounded during 2 this attack, then that would qualify. 3 Okay. Q. 4 [Indecipherable]. 5 (Reporter clarification.) 6 MS. DAWSON: Sorry. It's muttering. Т 7 tend to do that. I apologize. 8 BY MS. DAWSON: 9 Q. So I'm trying to figure out if you were 10 defining mass murder, why did you select a definition 11 or kind of create a definition that included harm 12 rather than sticking to the murder aspect? 13 A. Because a great many events that are commonly 14 thought of as mass murders. For example, the incident 15 in Isla Vista several years back involve a number of 16 people that are wounded and only a few people that are 17 actually murdered. 18 And as I said, because the Secret Service had 19 used this notion of three or more people harmed, it 20 seemed like a logical thing. I mean, if someone 21 attempts to mass murder and they're not very good at 22 it, they only kill, like, two people but they injure 23 five or six others, it's a pretty good assumption they 24 were not just trying to kill two people. They were 25

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 152 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18377 Page 157 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Г

Clayton Cramer

	Page 45
1	probably trying to murder a lot more than two.
2	Q. However, the Secret Service definition is of a
3	mass attack rather than a mass murder. So it didn't
4	seem as if they were purporting to define mass murder
5	in the same way that when I read mass murder in your
6	definition you have kind of the word "murder" in there.
7	Is there a reason you elected not to go with the FBI's
8	definition, which I think you state is kind of what's
9	accepted in scholarly research and is what the FBI
10	employs?
11	A. In fact, I'm not sure that there's any
12	agreement that it has to all be in one location or one
13	event. Because in fact, quite a few of the mass
14	murders that have been reported over the last 20 or 30
15	years have involved attacks that took place in several
16	locations. People commit murders in one place and move
17	on to commit murders in another place during the same
18	few hours.
19	Q. And I may have mis misheard. I think your
20	definition of kind of what the scholarly scholarship
21	in the field accepts is just four or more dead.
22	A. Right.
23	Q. So setting aside the location, is there a
24	reason you didn't select that definition that you said
25	is pretty commonly accepted?

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

ER_311

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 153 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18378 Page 158 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Clayton Cramer

	Page 46
1	A. Mostly because of the fact the Secret Service
2	had this other strange definition of three or more
3	harmed. And that particular report was, in fact,
4	almost entirely related to firearms mass murders. They
5	seem to have paid very little attention to other
6	categories of mass murder.
7	Q. So it sounds like you have FBI, you have
8	the field of scholarship. And then you happen to have
9	a mass attack definition kind of hanging out over here
10	with the Secret Service definition. But you decided to
11	go with that one instead?
12	A. Well, as I said, I sort of I used
13	components of both of those to come up with a
14	definition, which seemed to me to be pretty logical.
15	At least two people are dead, and a lot of other
16	people other people are injured, presumably because
17	the killer was intending to kill more than those two
18	people.
19	Q. Okay.
20	So other than kind of the presence of the
21	Secret Service definition, was there anything else that
22	led you to base your decision to create your
23	definition? Did you base it on anything else?
24	A. Nope.
25	Q. Okay.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 154 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18379 Page 159 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

	Page 47
1	And do you know of any scholarship, kind of
2	scholarly authorities that would define mass murder
3	using two or three dead?
4	A. I can not immediately.
5	Q. Okay.
6	So if you move on to page 9, first paragraph,
7	first sentence, you note there that and I'll quote
8	you. It says "Suicide or lawful killing of the mass
9	murderer or murderers is not included in the total
10	dead."
11	And that's part of your definition; is that
12	correct?
13	A. They will not be included in the count of the
14	number of dead.
15	Q. Okay.
16	A. So if someone goes on a rampage and shoots
17	three or four people and a police officer or a civilian
18	shoots and kills the murderer during the commission of
19	that crime, the murderer's death will not be included
20	in the total dead for that incident.
21	Q. Are you using the murderer's death to create
22	to include it in your dataset, though? So let's say
23	you had if your definition is two murder victims and
24	the murderer kills one person and is then shot by
25	police officers, notwithstanding that you aren't going

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 155 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18380 Page 160 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Clayton Cramer

	Page 48
1	to include him as part of your death count, would you
2	then classify that as a mass murder incident in your
3	dataset?
4	A. His death does not count as one of the deaths
5	that matter. I mean, if he shoots someone and kills
6	them, and the police shoot and kill him, that's only
7	two dead. Even if he shoots two people and the police
8	shoot him, that's really only two victims. So two
9	dead.
10	Q. Okay.
11	So you're not counting his death for purposes
12	of whether or not to classify this incident
13	A. No.
14	Q in your dataset?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Okay. Thank you for clarifying that.
17	(Reporter asks parties to speak one at a
18	time.)
19	THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry.
20	BY MS. DAWSON:
21	Q. On page 9, first full paragraph, first
22	sentence, you state "I have excluded multiday mass
23	murders committed in riots, such as the New York City
24	draft riots of 1863 and many of the race riots of the
25	20th century because they were not in one location."

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 156 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18381 Page 161 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Clayton Cramer

Page 81 in this chart? Because --1 Α. Yes. 2 -- when I read it --Q. 3 It is. Α. 4 Okay. Q. 5 (Reporter asks parties to speak one at a 6 time.) 7 MS. DAWSON: Sorry. 8 THE WITNESS: Yes, that is a separate 9 query I did to find out which ones only involve 10 non-firearms, which ones only used firearms. 11 BY MS. DAWSON: 12 Q. Okay. 13 Is that number where you have 3,809 for 14 non-firearms, and you have 2,068 for firearms 15 reflective of the entire dataset of multiple weapon 16 incidents in your data? 17 Yes. Α. 18 Q. So total, if I were to add those two numbers 19 together, that's everything that's not included in your 20 single-weapon-incident chart on page 20? 21 Could you ask that question again? Α. 22 If I added the -- the incidents listed on Q. 23 page 20 in your chart, and I added the two numbers that 24 you have on the top of page 21, which is 3,809 and 25

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 157 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18382 Page 162 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Clayton Cramer

Page 82 2,068, if I added those sets together, that would 1 cumulatively be your entire dataset; is that correct? 2 A. And I -- I can see a problem there. I can 3 definitely see a difficulty with that because the 4 "Firearm Unknown" category is 25 -- 2,571. So --5 Q. You also have --6 Sorry. 7 So pretty clearly, that count -- those counts Α. 8 are not quite right. 9 Q. You also have an "Unknown" category that's 10 862. So one of my questions was going to be: 11 How do you know it's a single-weapon incident 12 if it's unknown? 13 Α. Well --14 Do you have a sense for that? 15 Ο. Α. "Unknown" means that we have absolutely no 16 idea. There's no other weapons identified. There's no 17 weapons identified as having caused the murder. 18 There's a surprising number of these news accounts that 19 merely tell us that a whole family was murdered, and 20 they don't identify how. 21 Q. So it could have been multiple weapons, and it 22 could have been a single weapon. You just don't know 23 because there's no weapon listed at all? 24 A. Right. 25

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 158 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18383 Page 163 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Г

	Page 83
1	Q. Okay.
2	So for purposes of the numbers listed at the
3	top of page 21, which is non-firearms used, 3,809, and
4	then firearms, 2,068, is it possible those overlap
5	there between that and your other chart?
6	A. I do not think that they overlap, but I do
7	think that that firearms-only mass murder count is
8	probably too low.
9	Q. Okay.
10	When you have here on page 20, you have your
11	categories listed, can you tell me what "personal"
12	means?
13	A. Meaning that well, that was basically
14	things like someone being murdered by a fist or feet.
15	There's one where the which perhaps could have been
16	categorized as blunt, where one of the murderers picked
17	up a child and basically smashed his head against a
18	tree trunk.
19	Q. And then for the "Other Sharp" category, can
20	you tell me what that is?
21	A. That includes things like razors and pretty
22	much anything that is not explicitly a knife that is a
23	sharp object used to kill someone.
24	Q. And then what about "Other"?
25	A. That includes fairly unusual things. There's

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 159 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18384 Page 164 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Clayton Cramer

	Page 84
1	one incident where the killers, two of them used
2	blowtorches on couples in a lovers' lane in Yypsilanti,
3	Michigan in 1931.
4	(Reporter clarification.)
5	THE WITNESS: Ypsilanti, Michigan.
6	And there's also another one where there was a
7	Christmas party happening in a union event somewhere in
8	Michigan where the there's a lot of people upstairs
9	at a Christmas party, and someone opens up the front
10	door and shouts "Fire! Fire! Everyone get out!" And
11	it's one of those doors which you don't see anymore
12	that basically opens inward, not outward. And so this
13	huge crowd of people are trying to get out, 74 people
14	are stomped, trampled to death trying to get out of
15	this door that would not open.
16	BY MS. DAWSON:
17	Q. So in that instance when someone yelled
18	"Fire!" you coded that as a mass murder under "Other"?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And I just want to confirm that the numbers
21	listed here on page 20 in this chart, these are for
22	incidents, not deaths; is that correct?
23	A. Yeah, incidents.
24	Q. And can you tell me why you didn't include a
25	list of deaths here as opposed to incidents?

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 160 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18385 Page 165 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

	Page 85
1	A. Mostly because I was not thinking about that.
2	Although, it would be actually, a very good
3	suggestion would be to show a breakdown by total by
4	deaths by for each of these.
5	Q. And did you perform a chronological breakdown
6	of the data you have here?
7	A. I performed chronological breakdowns of
8	various types. I'm not sure how many of them I
9	included. Some of it isn't the in many cases I
10	started working on chronological breakdowns like that
11	and did did not actually complete them because I'm
12	primarily entering data at this point because the data
13	analysis part of this document I would consider quite
14	incomplete.
15	One of the breakdowns that I did make over
16	time was, for example, identifying mental-health-caused
17	mass murders over time.
18	Q. So when you say that the data analysis part of
19	this project is incomplete, you mean you collected some
20	data and you the incomplete part is?
21	A. I have not I've not written all of the
22	queries that I need and produced all the charts that I
23	need to present positive conclusions yet. I can see
24	some things that pop up rather obviously, and others
25	are not so obvious.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 161 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18386 Page 166 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

	Page 86
1	Q. And we have talked a little bit about numbers.
2	There's numbers elsewhere in your declaration, and I
3	just want to make certain that I understand what went
4	into each of them.
5	A. Okay.
6	Q. So I apologize in advance. I'm going to hop
7	around just a little bit. But I'll let you know which
8	pages I'm on. So we have page 20 with this chart.
9	I have have you added up kind of these
10	columns to come to a total for your
11	single-weapon-incident chart?
12	A. I have not added them up, no.
13	Q. Does 10,032 seem about right?
14	A. That seems a little on the high side just
15	because the largest single category here is 2,571, and
16	most the rest of these are quite a bit smaller.
17	Q. So I can represent to you that we added the
18	numbers, and it's 10,032. But for purposes of the
19	conversation, we can take a break if you want to add
20	them up yourself and come to kind of your own
21	conclusion.
22	A. You know, that might not might not be a bad
23	idea to do that.
24	MS. DAWSON: Okay.
25	Let's do that.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 162 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18387 Page 167 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Page 87 Let's go ahead and take -- how long do you 1 think you'd like just to not feel pressured and be able 2 to run those numbers? 3 THE WITNESS: I'd say about ten minutes. 4 MS. DAWSON: Okay. 5 Let's go ahead and take a quick ten-minute 6 break, then. 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. 8 The time is 11:15 a.m. 9 (Pause in the proceedings.) 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 11 record. 12 The time is 11:19 a.m. 13 BY MS. DAWSON: 14 Q. Mr. Cramer, during our break, did you have the 15 opportunity to calculate a total number for that chart 16 on page 20? 17 And you're right. It is -- it is -- I'm Α. Yes. 18 not sure exactly which query produced that data, but 19 it's clearly wrong. I can tell you how many incidents 20 and dead there were by firearms before 1960 and how 21 many by non-firearm before 1960. 22 And can you explain to me when you say that 23 Q. it's clearly wrong? 24 Well, the query that I constructed to request 25 Α.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 163 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18388 Page 168 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

ſ

Clayton Cramer

	Page 88
1	this information for the database was clearly not
2	properly constructed. I will say that I SQL
3	database queries can be sometimes rather confusing.
4	And I will not say that I'm quite as expert perhaps as
5	I need to be, but I at least have numbers that make
6	some sense now.
7	Q. So we have the we have the chart here,
8	which it sounds like you agree is likely incorrect. We
9	have the numbers on page 21. And just so that I am
10	clear, what do those numbers represent in the first
11	paragraph on page 21 where it says "When grouped by
12	incidents"?
13	A. Incidents where only a non-firearm item was
14	marked. Because I've added a few entries in the
15	last in the last few days, incidents before 1960,
16	the non-firearms incidents are now 3,812 dead, a total
17	of 807 incidents. And the incidents by firearm are now
18	866 incidents, 3,740 dead. It definitely changes
19	things a bit.
20	Q. Okay.
21	And so if you flip to I'll take you to
22	let me take a look at my page number. For my own
23	information, when we're looking at your non-firearm
24	data, were there any instances or incidents in that
25	dataset where more than 50 people were killed?

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 164 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18389 Page 169 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Г

	Page 89
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. What were those events?
3	A. Well, the one I just mentioned where 74 people
4	died being trampled coming out of a out of that
5	hall. There's another one that happened not before
6	1960. There are a few other fairly large ones that
7	let me take a quick look, and I can find you the number
8	of incidents that took place that involved more than
9	Q. Prior to 1960?
10	A. Yes, before 1960.
11	Q. And is this based on the dataset that you have
12	that you put together?
13	A. Yes.
14	Actually, it might take a little more more
15	time than
16	Q. Okay.
17	Can you tell me, does the phrase
18	"non-firearms" for purposes of your statement on
19	page 21, does that includes deaths where the weapon was
20	coded "Unknown"?
21	A. No, it does not.
22	Q. Okay.
23	And then so as I understand from our prior
24	conversation, the datasets between page 20 and page 21
25	do not have overlap?

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 165 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18390 Page 170 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

	Page 90
1	A. They should, but they clearly do not.
2	Q. Okay.
3	On page 14, if you'll move to page 14.
4	A. Page 14.
5	Q. Mm-hm.
6	And if you look at the second full paragraph
7	below the subheader "Data Limitations," there you state
8	"Before 1960, these intrafamily mass murders are 741 of
9	1,796 incidents and 2,784 out of 12,730 dead."
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Can you help me understand why the death total
12	there is 12,730, but then it appears you have a death
13	total on pages 20 and 21 that differ from that?
14	A. Let's see. If you mean the table by weapon
15	type, yes, I agree that's clearly wrong.
16	Q. Well, if you look at page 21 and you add those
17	two numbers together, that is not 12,730.
18	A. No. But yeah. I would agree with you on
19	that.
20	The "Other" is part of that, but it's not all
21	of it.
22	Q. Okay.
23	A. The "Unknown," I mean. Yeah, "Unknown" and
24	"Other" definitely fiddle with this a bit.
25	Q. Well, when I look at the total number of

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 166 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18391 Page 171 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

	Page 91
1	incidents here, it looks like it's, as you've stated,
2	1,796. And then on page 20, you stated that the
3	numbers here reflect incidents as well. And as we've
4	calculated, it's 10,032.
5	A. Yeah, that number is clearly wrong. This
6	the table here on page 20 is clearly incorrect.
7	Q. Okay.
8	And then if you look at page 16.
9	A. Okay.
10	Q. And you look at the first full paragraph, you
11	state "Through 1960, there were seven thousand"
12	sorry " 797 non-firearm mass murders." And then you
13	have ": 3,781 dead: an average of 4.74 dead per
14	incident; 840 exclusively firearms mass murders, 3,653
15	dead: an average of 4.35 dead per incident."
16	What went into that calculation?
17	A. Well, basically I went ahead and asked for an
18	account of all the mass murders that did not involve
19	firearms, that were some other category, and the total
20	number of people killed in these incidents, and the
21	database also calculated the average. The average
22	number of dead per incident.
23	Q. And does that include single-weapon incidents?
24	A. That would include any incident involving any
25	non-firearm weapon. And the other one involves

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 167 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18392 Page 172 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Г

Clayton Cramer

	Page 92
1	anyone any incident that involved at least one
2	firearm. You know, it could be a pistol. It could be
3	a rifle. It could be both. It could be the "Firearm
4	Unknown" category.
5	Q. So you have here non-firearm incidents and
6	firearm incidents. Your non-firearm incidents you have
7	listed as 797. Your firearm incidents you have listed
8	as 840. Total, those are 1,637. You have on page 14 a
9	total incident count of 1,796.
10	Can you tell me why those are different?
11	A. Because some of the some of the intrafamily
12	mass murders do not fit in the category of either
13	"Firearm" or "Non-Firearm" in many cases because
14	they're unknown or they're in the "Other" category.
15	Q. Okay.
16	So on your any of your lists, whether it's
17	page 21, which has a non-firearm/firearm calculation,
18	or page 14, which has so a total number of
19	incidents, which is 1,796, and then on pages 16, where
20	you have them broken out again, but you still have kind
21	of overall number you have incidents for each, tell
22	me which of those datasets you have excluded numbers
23	from as you just described to me you did for one
24	dataset.
25	A. Non-firearm mass murders includes only things

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 168 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18393 Page 173 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Page 93 like only the axes, the hatchets, knives, other sharp, 1 arson, strangulation. It does not include anything 2 that had a firearm of any type coded. 3 Q. Understood, that it would not include a 4 firearm. 5 Α. Right. 6 Ο. But you have -- you have a -- I think what 7 you've told me is that the -- one of your totals, which 8 is the intrafamily total on page 14, has excluded --9 did you say it excluded or included a certain number of 10 incidents? 11 Α. It would have -- it would have excluded 12 several incidents -- no. That lists all of the -- all 13 of the incidents that are intrafamily. 14 Q. So that is not an overall number of incidents? 15 It's just intrafamily incidents? 16 Α. 741, yeah, is the intrafamily murders. 17 Ο. Okay. 18 So let me take a quick look here. 19 I -- so perhaps you can clarify for me. Ιt 20 says "Before 1960, these intrafamily mass murders are 21 741 of 1,796 incidents." 22 Are the 1,796 incidents the total number of 23 incidents in your dataset? 24 Yes. Α. 25

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 169 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18394 Page 174 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Г

	Page 94
1	Q. Okay.
2	So if that is the total number of incidents in
3	your dataset, which is how I read that, and then you
4	compare it with the information that you have on
5	page 16, where you have listed 797 non-firearm mass
6	murders and that you have also listed 840 exclusively
7	firearms mass murders, when you add those totals
8	together they do not total 1,796. So my question is:
9	Why the difference?
10	A. Which the answer is, you know, I'm not
11	entirely sure. But pretty clearly I did something
12	wrong when I was requesting this information out of the
13	database.
14	Q. Do you recall if you specifically omitted
15	anything from any of your totals? And I'm happy to
16	walk through each of them. So the intrafamily mass
17	murder total, total of incidents, do you recall do
18	you recall excluding anything from that dataset when
19	you listed total incidents?
20	A. No.
21	In fact, I can find that right here, I think.
22	No, what I did is I selected for all for
23	all the items that have the category "Fam," family.
24	Q. So those incidents only included family-based
25	murders?

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 170 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18395 Page 175 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Clayton Cramer

	Page 95
1	A. Yes. Those are ones where it was someone who
2	was a murder that took place where a family member
3	or someone who lived in the home murdered murdered
4	many or all of a family.
5	Q. Okay.
6	And then in your research, what is the
7	relationship between the type of weapon used and the
8	number of victims in a mass murder?
9	So for example, if the weapon is an explosive,
10	is the average number of victims higher than if, say,
11	the weapon is an ax?
12	A. I cannot immediately tell you the answer to
13	that because that's part of the data analysis I have
14	not gotten to.
15	Q. Okay.
16	A. I can tell you that many of the explosives
17	incidents are in fact often have fairly high death
18	counts. The ax murders, they tend to be a smaller
19	number, of course. But sometimes you have as many as
20	eight people murdered with an ax.
21	Q. But what I think I'm hearing you say is you
22	haven't run that analysis?
23	A. No, I've not run an analysis.
24	Q. Okay.
25	So on page 18 in that last full paragraph

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 171 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18396 Page 176 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Clayton Cramer

Page 96 there --1 Okay. Α. 2 Last full. Okay. 3 You state "Firearms became more common weapons Ο. 4 by the 1920s." 5 What were you relying on for that conclusion? 6 The fact that as I was entering these things Α. 7 in, I began to notice firearms a little more commonly 8 showing up in these mass murders. 9 Before that point, they had tended to use more 10 axes and hatchets and knives. I have not --11 0. So what did --12 Go ahead. 13 I have not actually produced graphs or charts Α. 14 of that. That's more an impressionistic viewpoint of 15 what I was finding. 16 Ο. Would it be fair to say, then, that firearms 17 were less common weapons before the 1920s? 18 I would say so, yes. 19 Α. Okay. 20 Q. Firearms, I think, become more common because Α. 21 they become more commonly owned and used. 22 The axes and hatchets are, of course, part of 23 the use of the wood for illumination and then cooking. 24 Every house has an ax or a hatchet if they have a wood 25

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 172 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18397 Page 177 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Page 97 stove. 1 I'd like to ask you just a couple of questions Q. 2 about your other declaration. Do you have that one 3 handy? 4 Let me go ahead and open that. Α. 5 So this would be the one that's actually Ο. 6 titled "First Declaration of Clayton Cramer." And then 7 below that, it says "Permit System." And at the top, 8 it would say "Document 74." 9 Yeah, I'm finding that. 10 Α. MS. DAWSON: And for the court reporter, 11 I'd like, if we haven't already, to go ahead and mark 12 that one Exhibit 12. 13 (Exhibit 12 marked for identification.) 14 THE COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 12 has been 15 marked. 16 17 THE WITNESS: This one says Document 74? BY MS. DAWSON: 18 Yes. Q. 19 Α. Okay. 20 If you could flip to page 13. I'm going to do Q. 21 likewise. 22 Α. Okay. 23 Hold on one second. I'm going to try and Q. 24 catch up with myself. 25

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 173 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18398 Page 178 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al. **Clayton Cramer** Page 98 Okay. 1 So under the heading "Summary" in the second 2 sentence you state that "...licensing of concealed 3 carry is a post-1868 phenomenon. Concealed weapon laws 4 were complete bans with ill-defined exceptions." 5 Do you see that? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Okay. Q. 8 Can you tell me, what are you basing that on? 9 The statutes that I have read from the period 10 Α. before 1840 that regulate concealed carry, a very 11 common term that's used in those in some of the 12 post-war statutes, like Texas's 1871 have exceptions 13 for travelers. And they never define what "travelers" 14 mean. 15 And so is it fair to say that -- well, Ο. 16 actually, let me ask you. 17 Are you making a distinction between concealed 18 carry licensing and concealed weapons laws in that 19 statement? 20 A. Yes, I'm making a distinction. There are a 21 lot of laws that prohibit concealed carry but do not 22 necessarily -- but do not provide a licensing system. 23 They basically say you may not carry a concealed weapon 24 except for one or two conditions. But licensing is not 25

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 174 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18399 Page 179 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Г

Clayton Cramer

	Page 99
1	something that's something that they go ahead and
2	provide for.
3	Q. Okay.
4	So following that statement that you make
5	where you say "Concealed weapon laws were complete bans
6	with ill-defined exceptions," you have a text below it
7	from an Indiana law. Based on the footnote, it looks
8	like it's an Indiana law from 1820.
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Can you tell me how that relates to your prior
11	statement?
12	A. When I said "ill-defined exceptions," at the
13	very end it says "Provided, however, this act shall not
14	be so construed to affect travelers."
15	Q. So is this one of the laws you're stating are
16	a complete ban?
17	A. Well, it's not a licensing law. It's a ban
18	that has a couple of exceptions that does not define
19	what they mean by them. But basically, there's no
20	license provided. There's no way to issue a license
21	for this. It's just
22	Q. Got it. Okay.
23	A. It's just your general ban with this one
24	exception.
25	Q. So I think what you're saying here is that

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 175 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18400 Page 180 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al. Clayton Cramer Page 100 this is just a lot of ban this behavior and -- period, 1 flat ban. 2 Well, a flat ban except for this -- this Α. 3 exception about travelers. 4 Q. Understood. 5 Okay. Thank you. 6 Have you found other examples of similar types 7 of laws? 8 Α. Yeah. The 1831 Indiana ban has a similar 9 exception for travelers and the 1871 Texas law that is 10 disputed in English [indecipherable]. 11 (Reporter clarification.) 12 THE WITNESS: In English v. State, 1872, 13 had a similar exception for travelers. 14 At a later time, the Texas courts ended up 15 deciding that if you were crossing a county line, that 16 qualified as traveling, and therefore if you were 17 crossing county line, you were okay to be carrying 18 concealed. 19 BY MS. DAWSON: 20 On page -- oh, I'm sorry. Q. 21 Α. Go ahead. 22 On the following page, if you just flip it Q. 23 over, you have two laws there, 1831 Indiana ban and 24 1838 Arkansas ban. Is that what you're referring to 25

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 176 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18401 Page 181 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Clayton Cramer

1	
	Page 101
1	when you were listing other laws that were similar?
2	A. Well, those are other laws that are similar.
3	There is a there's another law from I think it's
4	Arkansas, which is after the Civil War, which, again,
5	has exceptions for people that are traveling.
6	Q. Do you recall the date on that?
7	A. No, I do not immediately recall it. I mean, I
8	could probably find it if I worked a little bit. It
9	was it was after 1868, however, interestingly
10	enough.
11	It definitely there's a dispute about a guy
12	who's carrying a pistol in his saddlebags, and he's
13	I guess also he was apparently brandishing the gun
14	later. His defense was that he was a traveler. My
15	recollection is that the state supreme court ended up
16	accepting that argument. And the following year, the
17	Arkansas legislature, again, revised their concealed
18	weapon permit law. Not permit to conceal but carry ban
19	to deal with the problem with this sort of behavior.
20	Q. Do you have a sense for how common these types
21	of bans were?
22	A. They were they were actually quite common
23	in the South. California's 1863 concealed carry ban
24	also has that same exception for travelers.
25	Q. Okay.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 177 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18402 Page 182 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Clayton Cramer

Page 102

1	Do you do you know why they were enacted?
2	A. Well, I can tell you the hypothesis, which I
3	think I proved in my book Concealed Weapon Laws of the
4	Early Republic, and it's sort of an odd thing. What
5	happened was that many of the southern states passed
6	laws prohibiting dueling, which would seem to have no
7	connection. But what happened was that people would be
8	required as a condition of holding certain offices,
9	like, for example, being elected to the legislature or
10	militia officers or various types of public figures
11	the public officials, they would be required as a
12	condition of their oath to swear that they would not
13	participate in a duel, either as a participant or in
14	carrying a challenge, something like that. After a
15	particular date.
16	And the reason that it was specified a
17	particular date is that as people who wanted to hold
18	these offices were being elected or appointed, they
19	almost always had some sort of incident in their past
20	which was after that date. And so legislatures keep
21	changing the dates on these laws basically to allow
22	people who otherwise would not have been allowed to
23	hold office to go ahead and hold the office.
24	The weird thing about this is that in many
25	cases these laws come about because people get into
L	

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 178 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18403 Page 183 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Clayton Cramer

Page 103

	Page 103
1	arguments. And if you can see that someone is openly
2	carrying a weapon, you're not going to get into a big
3	argument that might lead to a duel. Whereas if you
4	don't know they're carrying a weapon because it's
5	concealed, there is a real risk that you might go ahead
6	and and shoot or stab the guy or try to.
7	So the weird thing is that they seem to be
8	more concerned about having to perjure themselves about
9	participating in a duel than they were about killing
10	someone in a fight. There's a debate at the Kentucky
11	Constitutional Convention of 1851, I think it is, where
12	they actually get into a discussion of whether a
13	concealed carry is worst than dueling because of this
14	very question. So it's a very odd, unexpected thing.
15	My initial hypothesis to explain the adoption
16	of these laws turned out to be wrong. I had assumed
17	that they where in some way related to issues of race,
18	but it turned out that a fair number of Americans of
19	Scots-Irish ancestry had settled in the back country
20	parts of many of the southern states, and they came
21	from an honor culture where you had to seem very tough
22	in order to protect your property and yourself. And
23	these people tended to be partial to dueling because
24	dueling was a way of clarifying to everyone "I'm a
25	dangerous person. You don't want to mess with me."

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 179 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18404 Page 184 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Г

Clayton Cramer

	Page 104
1	Q. So is it kind of based on what you told me
2	about the existence of these bans? You mentioned
3	California, and there was a few in your declaration.
4	You said they were prevalent probably in the South. Is
5	it fair to say that some states were enacting laws
6	prohibiting concealed carry laws prior to 1868?
7	A. Yeah, they were prohibiting it. They were not
8	licensing it.
9	Q. Got it.
10	Okay. Thank you for clarifying.
11	MS. DAWSON: At this point I have no
12	further questions.
13	I believe Intervenors may have some for you.
14	Thank you for your time.
15	THE WITNESS: Okay.
16	And thank you for helping me to see some
17	things I need to fix in this database query.
18	MR. PEKELIS: Good morning, Mr. Cramer. I
19	do have questions. I think it might be helpful to take
20	a break before we get into that, so let's go off the
21	record.
22	THE WITNESS: Okay.
23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record.
24	The time is 11:44 a.m.
25	(Pause in the proceedings.)

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 180 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18405 Page 185 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al. Clayton Cramer Page 105 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 1 record. 2 The time is 11:51 a.m. 3 4 EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. PEKELIS: 6 Q. Good morning, Mr. Cramer. My name is Zach 7 Pekelis, and I represent Intervenor-Defendant Oregon 8 Alliance for Gun Safety in this matter. 9 I just have a few questions for you. 10 To pick up on Ms. Dawson's line of 11 questioning -- oh, let me say just out of the gate, the 12 exact same guidelines that Ms. Dawson went over at the 13 beginning of her questioning apply to this questioning 14 as well. 15 Does that make sense? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Ο. Okay. 18 So would you agree that in Ms. Dawson's 19 questioning and analysis of your declaration, 20 Exhibit 11, that she identified and you together 21 identified some fairly significant flaws in the data 22 contained in that declaration? 23 Α. I would agree that some of the data there is 24 inconsistent and definitely requires some repair. And 25

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 181 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18406 Page 186 of 187

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

Clayton Cramer

	Page 106
1	although the general theme that a non-firearm
2	[indecipherable] is actually quite common in American
3	history
4	(Reporter clarification.)
5	THE WITNESS: That non-firearm mass
6	murders are actually quite common in American history,
7	they've become more common firearm mass murders have
8	become more common in the last century or so. But
9	there's all sorts of horrible ways that people have
10	committed mass murder in American history without guns.
11	BY MR. PEKELIS:
12	Q. Understood.
13	Would you want the court to rely on the data
14	in your declaration, Exhibit 11?
15	A. Well, I can understand why they might be
16	reluctant to accept the data exactly as as it is
17	presented. Although, some of the larger themes that
18	I'm presenting, the problem with the fact that mental
19	illness is a major factor in what causes these mass
20	murders is, I think, still a valid point.
21	Q. Understood.
22	You mentioned when discussing your educational
23	backgrounds that you have a master's degree and a
24	bachelor's degree; is that right?
25	A. Correct.

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 182 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 142-1 Filed 02/10/23 PageID.18407 Page 187 of 187

Clayton Cramer Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al. Page 170 CERTIFICATE 1 2 STATE OF WASHINGTON 3 COUNTY OF PIERCE 4 5 I, Tia Reidt, a Certified Court Reporter in and 6 for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the 7 foregoing transcript of the deposition of CLAYTON 8 CRAMER, having been duly sworn, on January 19, 2023, is 9 true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and 10 ability. 11 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand 12 13 and seal this 26th day of January, 2023. 14 15 16 /S/ Tia B. Reidt 17 Tia B. Reidt, RPR, CSR Oregon #22-0001 18 NOTARY PUBLIC, State of Washington. My commission expires 19 5/15/2026. 20 21 22 23 24 25

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 183 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17905 Page 1 of 3

1	ROB BONTA	
2	Attorney General of California MARK BECKINGTON	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KEVIN J. KELLY	
4	Deputy Attorney General JOHN D. ECHEVERRIA	
5	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 268843	
6	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004	
7	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 510-3479 Fax: (415) 703-1234	
8	E-mail: Jóhn.Echeverria@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Defendant Rob Bonta, In his official capacity as Attorney	
9	IN THE UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
10	FOR THE SOUTHERN DI	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11		_
12	VIRGINIA DUNCAN et al.,	Case No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB
13	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF JOHN D.
14	v.	ECHEVERRIA RE SUBMISSION OF SURVEYS IN RESPONSE TO
15	ROB BONTA, in his official capacity	THE COURT'S ORDER ENTERED ON DECEMBER 15, 2022
16 17	as Attorney General of the State of California,	Dept: 5A
17 18	Defendant.	Judge: Hon. Roger T. Benitez
10 19	I, John D. Echeverria, declare as follows:	Action Filed: May 17, 2017
20		eral with the California Department of
20	Justice and serve as counsel to Defendant	*
21	Attorney General of the State of Californi	
22	matter. Except as otherwise stated, I have	
23 24	in this declaration, and if called upon as a	
24	those facts.	writess reduid testing competently as to
23 26		Court entered an Order providing that
20 27	"[t]he state defendants shall create, and th	
27	Light state defendants shall create, and th	e prantitits shan meet and conter
<u>~</u> 0		

Declaration of John D. Echeverria re Submission of Surveys (3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB)

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17906 Page 2 of 3

1	regarding, a survey or spreadsheet of relevant statutes, laws, or regulations in
2	chronological order." Dkt. 134. The Order provides:
3	The listing shall begin at the time of the adoption of the Second Amendment and continue through twenty years after the Fourteenth
4	Amendment and continue through twenty years after the Fourteenth Amendment. For each cited statute/law/regulation, the survey shall provide: (a) the date of enactment; (b) the enacting state, territory, or
5	locality; (c) a description of what was restricted (e.g., dirks, daggers, metal knuckles, storage of gunpowder or cartridges, or use regulations);
6	In the structure of the law or regulation restricted. Let what type of
7	weapon was being restricted (e.g., knife, Bowie Knife, stiletto, metal knuckles, pistols, rifles); (f) if and when the law was repealed and whether it was replaced; (g) whether the regulation was reviewed by a court and the outcome of the courts review (with case citation).
8	court and the outcome of the courts review (with case citation).
9 10	Defendants may create a second survey covering a time period following that of the first list. If opposing parties cannot agree on the inclusion of a particular entry on the survey, the disagreement shall be indicated and
10	described on a separate list.
11	3. The parties have met and conferred by email, as required by the
12	December 15 Order. In compliance with the Court's Order, Defendant is hereby
13	submitting Defendant's two surveys of relevant laws with a separate list of
14	Plaintiffs' disagreements about the relevance of those laws.
15	4. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of Defendant's
16	Survey of Relevant Statutes (Pre-Founding – 1888).
17	5. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is a true and correct copy of Defendant's
18	Survey of Relevant Statutes (1889 – 1930s).
19	6. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3 is a separate list of Plaintiffs'
20	Disagreements re Defendant's Survey of Relevant Statutes (Pre-Founding – 1930s).
21	7. The surveys have been filed in compliance with the Court's Order
22	directing the parties to identify all relevant laws, statutes, and regulations from the
23	time of the Second Amendment to twenty years after adoption of the Fourteenth
24	Amendment. In compliance with that Order and in recognition of the historical
25	inquiry mandated by Bruen, the spreadsheets identify hundreds of relevant firearms
26	laws, some of which were drafted well before the Thirteenth Amendment's
27	abolition of slavery and the Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection
28	Clause. While our subsequent briefing, as ordered by the Court, will explain in

Declaration of John D. Echeverria re Submission of Surveys (3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB)

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 185 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17907 Page 3 of 3

more detail the historical context and relevance of such laws, the Attorney General
emphasizes his strong disagreement with racial and other improper discrimination
that existed in some such laws, and which stand in stark contrast to California's
commonsense firearm laws, which are designed to justly and equitably protect all
Californians. The listing of such racist and discriminatory statutes should in no
way be construed as an endorsement of such laws by the Attorney General or his
counsel in this matter.

8 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of
9 America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on January 11, 2023, at
10 San Francisco, California.

s/ John D. Echeverria John D. Echeverria

Declaration of John D. Echeverria re Submission of Surveys (3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB)

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 186 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17908 Page 1 of 56

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
1	1383	England	7 Rich. 2, ch. 13 (1383)	Prohibited possession of launcegays. Punished by forfeiture of the weapon.	Launcegay		
2	1396	England	20 Rich. 2, ch. 1 (1396)	Prohibited possession of launcegays. Punished by forfeiture of the weapon.	Launcegay		
3	1541	England	33 Hen. 8, ch. 6 §§ 1, 18 (1541)	Prohibited possession of any crossbow, handgun, hagbutt, or demy hake. Exempted subjects living within 12 miles of the Scottish border. Punishable by forfeiture or payment of 10 pounds.	Pistol; Crossbow		
4	1606	England	4 Jac. I, ch. 1 (1606)	Repealed exemption for subjects living with 12 miles of the Scottish border for the keeping of crossbows, handguns, and demy hakes.	Club; Other weapon		
5	1664	New York	The Colonial Laws of New York from the Year 1664 to the Revolution , at 687 (1894)	Prohibited a slave from possessing or using a gun, pistol, sword, club, or other kind of weapon unless in the presence and at the direction of their Master or Mistress.	Gun; Pistol; Sword; Club; Other kind of weapon	Unconstitutio nal under the Thirteenth and/or Fourteenth Amendments	

Duncan v. Bonta, No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Defendant's Survey of Relevant Statutes (Pre-Founding – 1888)^{1,2}

¹ In compliance with the Court's Order dated December 15, 2022 (Dkt. 134), Defendant created this survey of statutes, laws, and regulations that Defendant has determined are relevant to this action. Plaintiffs disagree that nearly all of those statutes, laws, and regulations are relevant to the historical analysis required in this case, and in compliance with the Court's December 15 Order, the chart reflects Plaintiffs' position regarding the relevance of each law.

² The surveys have been filed in compliance with the Court's Order directing the parties to identify all relevant laws, statutes, and regulations from the time of the Second Amendment to twenty years after adoption of the Fourteenth Amendment. In compliance with that Order and in recognition of the historical inquiry mandated by *Bruen*, the spreadsheets identify hundreds of relevant firearms laws, some of which were drafted well before the Thirteenth Amendment's abolition of slavery and the Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause. While our subsequent briefing, as ordered by the Court, will explain in more detail the historical context and relevance of such laws, the Attorney General emphasizes his strong disagreement with racial and other improper discrimination that existed in some such laws, and which stand in stark contrast to California's commonsense firearm laws, which are designed to justly and equitably protect all Californians. The listing of such racist and discriminatory statutes should in no way be construed as an endorsement of such laws by the Attorney General or his counsel in this matter.

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 187 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17909 Page 2 of 56

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
						to the U.S. Constitution	
6	1686	New Jersey	The Grants, Concessions, and Original Constitutions of The Province of New Jersey 289-90 (1881) (1686)	Prohibited the carrying "privately" of any pocket pistol, skeines, stilettoes, daggers or dirks, or other unusual or unlawful weapons. Punishable by fine of 5 pounds for first conviction, and punishable by imprisonment for 6 months and a fine of 10 pounds.	Pistol; Skeines; Stilettoes; Dagger; Dirk; Other unusual or unlawful weapons		
7	1689	England	English Bill of Rights of 1689, 1 Wm. & Mary ch. 2, § 7	Provided a right for Protestants to have "Arms for their Defense as allowed by law."	Arms for defense		
8	1750	Massachusetts	1750 Mass. Acts 544, An Act for Preventing and Suppressing of Riots, Routs and Unlawful Assemblies, ch. 17, § 1	Prohibited the carrying of a club or other weapon while unlawfully, riotously, or tumultuously assembling. Punishable by seizing the weapon and a hearing before the court.	Club; Other weapon		
9	1769	England	1 Blackstone ch. 1 (1769)	Recognized the "fifth and last auxiliary right," which provided that Protestant subjects had the right to "arms for their defence" "such as are allowed by law."	Arms for defense		
10	1771	New Jersey	1763-1775 N.J. Laws 346, An Act for the Preservation of Deer and Other Game, and to Prevent Trespassing with Guns, ch. 539, § 10	Prohibited the setting of any trap gun intended to discharge by any string, rope, or other contrivance. Punishable by forfeiture of the firearm and fine of 6 pounds.	Trap gun		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 188 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17910 Page 3 of 56

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
11	1783	Massachusetts – City of Boston	1783 Mass. Acts 37, § 2	Prohibited the possession of any "fire arms," and among other devices, loaded with any gun powder. Punishable by forfeiture and sale at public auction.	Gunpowder		
12	1784	New York – City of New York City	1784 Laws of N.Y. 627, ch. 28	Prohibited any person to keep any quantity of gun powder exceeding 28 pounds and required storage in separate containers. Punishable by forfeiture and fine.	Gunpowder		
13	1786	Massachusetts	An Act to Prevent Routs, Riots, and Tumultuous assemblies, and the Evil Consequences Thereof, reprinted in Cumberland Gazette (Portland, MA), Nov. 17, 1786, at 1	Prohibited being armed with a club or other weapon while rioting.	Club; Other weapon		
14	1788	Ohio [Territory]	1788-1801 Ohio Laws 20, A Law Respecting Crimes and Punishments, ch. 6	Prohibited the carrying of any "dangerous weapon" that indicates a violent intention while committing a burglary. Punishable by imprisonment for up to 40 years.	Any dangerous weapon		
15	1792	Virginia	Collection of All Such Acts of the General Assembly of Virginia, of a Public and Permanent Nature, as Are Now in Force, at 187 (1803), §§ 8-9	Prohibited any "negro or mulatto" from possessing or carrying a gun, powder, shot, club, or other weapon.	Gun; Powder; Shot; Club; Other weapon; Ammunition	Unconstitutio nal under the Thirteenth and/or Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution	

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 189 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17911 Page 4 of 56

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
16	1797	Delaware	Del. Laws 104, An Act for the Trial of Negroes, ch. 43, § 6	Prohibited "any Negro or Mulatto slave" from carrying guns, swords, pistols, fowling pieces, clubs, or other arms and weapons without the master's special license.	Gun; Sword; Pistol; Fowling pieces; Club; other arms and weapons	Unconstitutio nal under the Thirteenth and/or Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution	
17	1798	Kentucky	1798 Ky. Acts 106	Prohibited "negro, mulatto, or Indian" from possessing or carrying a gun, powder, shot, club, or other weapon or ammunition.	Gun; Powder; Shot; Club; Other weapon	Unconstitutio nal under the Thirteenth and/or Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution	
18	1799	Mississippi [Territory]	1799 Miss. Laws 113, A Law for The Regulation of Slaves	Prohibited any "Negro or mulatto" from carrying gun, powder, shot, club, or other weapon. Also prohibits a "negro or mulatto" from possessing a gun, weapon, or ammunition.	Gun; Powder; Shot; Cub; Other weapon; Ammunition	Unconstitutio nal under the Thirteenth and/or Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution	
19	1799	New Jersey	Charles Nettleton, Laws of the State of New-Jersey, at 474 (1821), [An Act to Describe, Apprehend and Punish Disorderly Persons (1799)], § 2	Prohibited the carrying of any pistol, hanger, cutlass, bludgeon, or other offensive weapon, with intent to assault any person."	Pistol; Hanger; Cutlass; Bludgeon; Other offensive weapon		
20	1801	Tennessee	1801 Tenn. Act 260-61	Prohibited the private carrying of "any dirk, large knife, pistol, or any other dangerous weapon, to	Dirk; Large knife; Pistol;		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 190 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17912 Page 5 of 56

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
				the fear or terror of any person," unless a surety is posted. Punishable as for "breach of the peace, or riot at common law."	Other dangerous weapon	Status	Review
21	1804	Indiana [Territory]	1804 Ind. Acts 108, A Law Entitled a Law Respecting Slaves, § 4	Prohibited a "slave or mulatto" from carrying or possessing a gun, powder, shot, club or other weapon and ammunition.	Gun; Powder; Shot; Club; Other weapon	Unconstitutio nal under the Thirteenth and/or Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution	
22	1804	Mississippi [Territory]	1804 Miss. Laws 90, An Act Respecting Slaves, § 4	Prohibited a "Slave" from keeping or carrying a gun, powder, shot, club, or other weapon.	Gun; Powder; Shot; Club; Other weapon; Ammunition	Unconstitutio nal under the Thirteenth and/or Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution	
23	1811	Maryland	The Laws of Maryland, with the Charter, the Bill Of Rights, the Constitution of the State, and Its Alterations, the Declaration of Independence, and the Constitution of the United States, and Its Amendments, at 465 (1811)	Prohibited the carrying of any pistol, hanger, cutlass, bludgeon, or other offensive weapon with the intent to assault a person. Punishable by imprisonment for 3 months to 2 years.	Pistol; Hanger; Cutlass; Bludgeon; Other offensive weapon		
24	1813	Louisiana	1813 La. Acts 172, An Act Against Carrying	Prohibited the carrying of any concealed weapon, including a	Dirk; Dagger;		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 191 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17913 Page 6 of 56

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
			Concealed Weapons, and Going Armed in Public Places in an Unnecessary	dirk, dagger, knife, pistol, or any other deadly weapon.	Knife; Pistol; Other deadly		
25	1816	Georgia	Manner, § 1 Lucius Q.C. Lamar, A Compilation of the Laws of the State of Georgia, Passed by the Legislature since the Year 1810 to the Year 1819, Inclusive. Comprising all the Laws Passed within those Periods, Arranged under Appropriate Heads, with Notes of Reference to those Laws, or Parts of Laws, which are Amended or Repealed to which are Added such Concurred and Approved Resolutions, as are Either of General, Local, or Private Moment. Concluding with a Copious Index to the Laws, a Separate one to the Resolutions, at 599 (1821), Offences Against the Public Peace, (1816) § 19	Prohibited the carrying of any pistol, hanger, cutlass, bludgeon, or other offensive weapon with the intent to assault a person. Punishable by imprisonment with hard labor for a period of time to be determined by a jury.	weapon Picklock; Key; Crow; Jack; Bit or other implement; Pistol; Hanger; Cutlass; Bludgeon; Other offensive weapon		
26	1818	Missouri [Territory]	Organic Laws:-Laws of Missouri Territory, (Alphabetically	Prohibited "slave or mulatto" from carrying a gun, powder, shot, club or other weapon and	Gun; Powder; Shot;	Unconstitutio nal under the Thirteenth	

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 192 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17914 Page 7 of 56

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
			Arranged):-Spanish Regulations for the Allotment of Lands:- Laws of the United States, for Adjusting Titles to Lands, &c. to Which are Added, a Variety of Forms, Useful to Magistrates, at 374 (1818), Slaves, § 3	from possessing a gun or ammunition.	Club; Other weapon; Ammunition	and/or Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution	
27	1821	Maine	1821 Me. Laws 98, An Act for the Prevention of Damage by Fire, and the Safe Keeping of Gun Powder, chap. 25, § 1	Prohibited any person from possessing any gunpowder, in any quantity, unless permitted by local rules and regulations.	Gunpowder		
28	1835	Arkansas [Territory]	Slaves, in Laws of the Arkansas Territory 521 (J. Steele & J. M'Campbell, Eds., 1835)	Prohibited any "slave or mulatto" from keeping or carrying a gun, powder, shot, club, or other weapon.	Firearm; Powder; Shot; Club; Other weapon	Unconstitutio nal under the Thirteenth and/or Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution	
29	1836	Massachusetts	Mass. Rev. Stat., ch. 134, § 16 (1836)	Prohibited the carrying of a dirk, dagger, sword, pistol, or other offensive and dangerous weapon without reasonable cause to fear an assault. Punishable by finding sureties for keeping the peace for a term up to 6 months.	Dirk; Dagger; Sword; Pistol; Other offensive and dangerous weapon		
30	1836	Connecticut – Cities of Hartford, New Haven, New	1836 Conn. Acts 105, ch. 1, § 20	Authorizing the local court of common counsel to prohibitand regulate the storage of gun powder.	Gunpowder		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 193 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17915 Page 8 of 56

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
		London, Norwich, and Middletown					
31	1837	Alabama	1837 Ala. Acts 7, §§ 1, 2	Imposed tax of \$100 on any person selling, giving, or disposing of any Bowie knife or Arkansas toothpick. Failure to pay the tax was subject to penalty of perjury.	Knife	Tax reduced in 1851.	
32	1837	Arkansas	Josiah Gould, A Digest of the Statutes of Arkansas Embracing All Laws of a General and Permanent Character in Force the Close of the Session of the General Assembly of 1856 380 381–82 (1837)	Prohibited the concealed carrying of any pistol, dirk, butcher or large knife, sword cane, unless "upon a journey."	Pistol; Dirk; Butcher knife; Sword cane		State v. Buzzard, 4 Ark. 18 (1842) (upholding law under the Second Amendment and state constitution); Fife v. State, 31 Ark. 455 (1876)
33	1837	Georgia	Acts of the General Assembly of the State of Georgia Passed in Milledgeville at an Annual Session in November and December 1837, at 90-91 (1838)	Prohibited any merchant, or "any other person or persons whatsoever," to sell, offer to sell, keep, or have on their person or elsewhere any Bowie knife or "any other kind of knives, manufactured and sold for the purpose of wearing, or carrying the same as arms of offence or defence," pistols, swords, sword canes, or spears. Exempted	Bowie knife; Other knife manufactured for wearing or carrying for offense or defense; Pistol; Sword; Sword cane; Spear		Nunn v. State, 1 Ga. 243 (1846) (held unconstitutio nal under Second Amendment).

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 194 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17916 Page 9 of 56

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
				"such pistols as are known as horseman's pistols" from these restrictions. Punishable by a fine of up to \$100-500 for the first offense and \$500-1,000 for subsequent offenses.			
34	1837	Mississippi	1837 Miss. L. 291-92	Prohibited the use of any rifle, shotgun, sword cane, pistol, dirk, dirk knife, Bowie knife, or any other deadly weapon in a fight in which one of the combatants was killed, and the exhibition of any dirk, dirk knife, Bowie knife, sword, sword cane, or other deadly weapon in a rude or threatening manner that was not in necessary self-defense. Punishable by liability to decedent and a fine of up to \$500 and imprisonment for up to 3 months.	Rifle; Shotgun; Sword cane; Pistol; Dirk; Dirk knife; Bowie knife; Sword; Sword cane; Other deadly weapon		
35	1837	Mississippi – Town of Sharon	1837 Miss. L. 294	Authorized the town of Sharon to enact "the total inhibition of the odious and savage practice" of carrying dirks, Bowie knives, or pistols.	Dirk; Bowie knife; Pistol		
36	1837	Tennessee	1837-38 Tenn. Pub. Acts 200-01, An Act to Suppress the Sale and Use of Bowie Knives and Arkansas Tooth Picks in this State, ch. 137, § 2	Prohibited the carrying of a concealed Bowie knife, Arkansas tooth pick, or other knife or weapon. Punishable by fine of \$200-500 and imprisonment for 3-6 months.	Bowie knife; Arkansas toothpick; Other knife or weapon		Haynes v. Tennessee, 24 Tenn. 120 (1844) (upheld conviction for unlawful

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 195 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17917 Page 10 of 56

Duncan v. Bonta, No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
							carrying of a Bowie knife).
37	1837	Tennessee	1837-1838 Tenn. Pub. Acts 200, An Act to Suppress the Sale and Use of Bowie Knives and Arkansas Tooth Picks in this State, ch. 137, § 1.	Prohibited any merchant from selling a Bowie knife or Arkansas tooth pick. Punishable by fine of \$100-500 and imprisonment for \$1-6 months.	Bowie knife; Arkansas toothpick		
38	1837	Tennessee	1837-1838 Tenn. Pub. Acts 201, An Act to Suppress the Sale and Use of Bowie Knives and Arkansas Tooth Picks in the State, ch. 137, § 4	Prohibited the stabbing or cutting of another person with any knife or weapon known as a "Bowie knife, Arkansas tooth pick, or any knife or weapon that shall in form, shape or size resemble a Bowie knife," regardless of whether the person dies. Punishable by imprisonment for 3-15 years.	Bowie knife; Arkansas toothpick; Any knife or weapon that resembles a bowie knife		
39	1838	Tennessee	Acts Passed at the First Session of the Twenty- Second General Assembly of the State of Tennessee: 1837-38, at 200-01, ch. 137	Prohibited the sale or transfer of any Bowie knife or knives, Arkansas toothpicks, or "any knife or weapon that shall in form shape or size resemble a Bowie knife or any Arkansas toothpick."	Bowie knife; Arkansas toothpick; Any similar knife		Aymette v. State, 21 Tenn. (2 Hum.) 154 (1840) (upheld under state constitution).
40	1838	Virginia	Acts of the General Assembly of Virginia, Passed at the Session of 1838, at 76-77, ch. 101 (1838)	Prohibited "habitually or generally" carrying any concealed pistol, dirk, Bowie knife, or any other weapon of like kind.	Pistol; Dirk; Bowie knife; Other similar weapon		
41	1839	Alabama	1839 Ala. Acts 67, § 1	Prohibited the concealed carrying of "any species of fire	Knife; Deadly weapon		<i>State v. Reid</i> , 1 Ala. 612

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 196 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17918 Page 11 of 56

Duncan v. Bonta, No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB **Defendant's Survey of Relevant Statutes (Pre-Founding – 1888)**

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
				arms, or any bowie knife, Arkansas tooth-pick, or any other knife of the like kind, dirk, or any other deadly weapon." Punished by fine of \$50-100 and imprisonment not to exceed 3 months.			(1840) (upheld under Alabama Constitution); <i>Whatley v.</i> <i>State</i> , 49 Ala. 355 (1947) (necessity required).
42	1839	Florida [Territory]	John P. Duval, Compilation of the Public Acts of the Legislative Council of the Territory of Florida, Passed Prior to 1840, at 423 (1839), An Act to Prevent any Person in this Territory from Carrying Arms Secretly	Prohibited the concealed carrying of "any dirk, pistol, or other arm, or weapon, except a common pocket-knife." Punishable by fine of \$50-500 or imprisonment for 1-6 months.	Dirk; Pistol; Other arm or weapon		
43	1839	Mississippi – Town of Emery	1839 Miss. L. 385, ch. 168	Authorized the town of Emery to enact restrictions on the carrying of dirks, Bowie knives, or pistols.	Dirk; Bowie knife; Pistol		
44	1840	Mississippi – Town of Hernando	1840 Miss. L. 181, ch. 111	Authorized the town of Hernando to enact restrictions on the carrying of dirks, Bowie knives, or pistols.	Dirk; Bowie knife; Pistol		
45	1841	Alabama	1841 Ala. Acts 148–49, Of Miscellaneous Offences, ch. 7, § 4	Prohibited the concealed carrying of "a bowie knife, or knife or instrument of the like kind or description, by whatever name called, dirk or any other deadly weapon, pistol or any	Knife; Pistol; Air gun; Other deadly weapon		

11

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 197 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17919 Page 12 of 56

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
				species of firearms, or air gun," unless the person is threatened with an attack or is traveling or "setting out on a journey." Punished by a fine of \$50-100.	2		
46	1841	Maine	1841 Me. Laws 709, ch. 169, § 16.	Prohibited the carrying of a dirk, dagger, sword, pistol, or other offensive and dangerous weapon without reasonable cause to fear an assault. Upon complaint of any person, the person intending to carry such weapons may be required to find sureties for keeping the peace for up to six months.	Dirk; Dagger; Sword; Pistol; Other offensive and dangerous weapon		
47	1841	Mississippi	1841 Miss. 52, ch. 1	Imposed an annual property tax of \$1 on each Bowie knife.	Bowie knife	Tax reduced in 1850	
48	1842	Louisiana	Henry A. Bullard & Thomas Curry, 1 A New Digest of the Statute Laws of the State of Louisiana, from the Change of Government to the Year 1841 at 252 (E. Johns & Co., New Orleans, 1842)	Prohibited the carrying of " any concealed weapon, such as a dirk, dagger, knife, pistol, or any other deadly weapon." Punishable by fine of \$20-50.	Dirk; Dagger; Knife; Pistol; Other deadly weapon		
49	1845	Illinois	Mason Brayman, Revised Statutes of the State of Illinois: Adopted by the General Assembly of Said State, at Its Regular Session, Held in the Years A.D. 1844-45: Together with an Appendix	Prohibited the carrying of "any pistol, gun, knife, dirk, bludgeon or other offensive weapon, with intent to assault any person. Punishable by fine up to \$100 or imprisonment up to 3 months.	Pistol; Gun; Knife; Dirk; Bludgeon; Other offensive weapon		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 198 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17920 Page 13 of 56

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
			Containing Acts Passed at the Same and Previous Sessions, Not Incorporated in the Revised Statutes, but Which Remain in Force, at 176 (1845), Criminal Jurisprudence, § 139				
50	1846	North Carolina	1846 N.C. L., ch. 42	Prohibited "any slave" from receiving any sword, dirk, Bowie knife, gun, musket, firearms, or "any other deadly weapons of offense" without written permission.	Sword; Dirk; Bowie knife; Gun; Musket; Firearms; Other deadly weapons of offense	Unconstitutio nal under the Thirteenth and/or Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution	
51	1847	Maine	The Revised Statutes of the State of Maine, Passed October 22, 1840; To Which are Prefixed the Constitutions of the United States and of the State of Maine, and to Which Are Subjoined the Other Public Laws of 1840 and 1841, with an Appendix, at 709 (1847), Justices of the Peace, § 16	Prohibited the carrying of a dirk, dagger, sword, pistol, or other offensive and dangerous weapon without reasonable cause to fear an assault. Upon complaint of any person, the person intending to carry such weapons may be required to find sureties for keeping the peace for up to one year.	Dirk; Dagger; Sword; Pistol; Other offensive and dangerous weapon		
52	1849	California – City of San Francisco	1849 Cal. Stat. 245, An Act to Incorporate the City of San Francisco, § 127	Prohibited the carrying, with intent to assault any person, any pistol, gun, knife, dirk, bludgeon, or other offensive	Pistol; Gun; Knife; Dirk;		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 199 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17921 Page 14 of 56

Duncan v. Bonta, No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
				weapon with the intent to assault another person. Punished by fine of up to \$100 and imprisonment for up to 3 months.	Bludgeon; Other offensive weapon		
53	1850	Mississippi	1850 Miss. 43, ch. 1	Imposed an annual property tax of 50 cents on each Bowie knife.	Bowie knife	Tax increased to \$1 in 1854	
54	1851	Alabama	1851-52 Ala. 3, ch. 1	Tax of \$2 on "every bowie knife or revolving pistol."	Bowie knife; Pistol	Additional weapons added in 1867.	
55	1851	Illinois – City of Chicago	Ordinances of the City of Chicago, Ill., ch. 16, § 1	Prohibiting the keeping, sale, or giving away of gun powder or gun cotton "in any quantity" absent written permission of the authorities. Punishable by a fine of \$25 per offense.	Gunpowder		
56	1851	Pennsylvania – City of Philadelphia	1851 Pa. Laws 382, An Act Authorizing Francis Patrick Kenrick, Bishop of Philadelphia, to Convey Certain Real Estate in the Borough of York, and a Supplement to the Charter of Said Borough, § 4	Prohibited the willful and malicious carrying of any pistol, gun, dirk, knife, slungshot, or deadly weapon. Punishable by imprisonment for 6 months to 1 year and security for future good behavior.	Pistol; Gun; Dirk; Slungshot; Deadly weapon		
57	1853	California	S. Garfielde, Compiled Laws of the State of California: Containing All the Acts of the Legislature of a Public and General Nature, Now in Force,	Prohibited carrying of pistol, gun, knife, dirk, bludgeon, or other offensive weapon with intent to assault. Punishable by fine of up to \$100 or imprisonment for up to 3 months.	Pistol; Gun; Knife; Dirk; Bludgeon; Other offensive weapon		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 200 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17922 Page 15 of 56

Duncan v. Bonta, No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
			Passed at the Sessions of 1850-51-52-53, § 127				
58	1853	New Mexico [Territory]	1853 N.M. Laws 406, An Act Prohibiting the Carrying of Weapons Concealed or Otherwise, § 25	Prohibited the carrying of a concealed pistol, Bowie knife, cuchillo de cinto (belt buckle knife), Arkansas toothpick, Spanish dagger, slungshot, or any other deadly weapon.	Pistol; Bowie knife; Cuchillo de cinto (belt buckle knife); Arkansas toothpick; Spanish dagger; Slungshot; Other deadly weapon	See also 1859 N.M. L. 94- 96 (same).	
59	1854	Mississippi	1854 Miss. 50, ch. 1	Imposed an annual property tax of \$1 on each Bowie knife, Arkansas toothpick, sword cane, and dueling or pocket pistol.	Bowie knife; Arkansas toothpick; Sword cane; Dueling or pocket pistol	Amended in 1856 to exclude pocket pistols from the tax	
60	1854	Washington [Territory]	1854 Wash. Sess. Law 80, An Act Relative to Crimes and Punishments, and Proceedings in Criminal Cases, ch. 2, § 30	Prohibited exhibiting, in a rude, angry, or threatening manner, a pistol, Bowie knife, or other dangerous weapon. Punishable by imprisonment up to 1 year and a fine up to \$500.	Pistol; Bowie knife; Other dangerous weapon		
61	1855	California	1855 Cal. L. 152-53, ch. 127	Provided that a person who killed another in a duel with "a rifle, shot-gun, pistol, bowie- knife, dirk, small-sword, back- sword or other dangerous weapon" would pay the decedent's debts and be liable to	Rifle; Shotgun; Pistol; Bowie knife; Dirk; Small-sword; Back-sword;		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 201 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17923 Page 16 of 56

Duncan v. Bonta, No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
				the decedent's family for liquidated damages.	Other dangerous weapon	~~~~~	
62	1855	Indiana	1855 Ind. Acts 153, An Act to Provide for the Punishment of Persons Interfering with Trains or Railroads, ch. 79, § 1	Prohibited the carrying of any dirk, pistol, Bowie knife, dagger, sword in cane, or any other dangerous or deadly weapon with the intent of injuring another person. Exempted any person who was a "traveler." Punishable by fine up to \$500.	Dirk; Pistol; Bowie knife; Dagger; Sword cane; Other dangerous or deadly weapon	<i>See also</i> 1859 Ind. L. 129, ch. 78 (same); 1881 Ind. L. 191, ch. 37.	
63	1855	Louisiana	1855 La. L. 148, ch. 120	Prohibited the concealed carrying of "pistols, bowie knife, dirk, or any other dangerous weapon."	Pistol; Bowie knife; Dirk; Other dangerous weapon		
64	1856	Mississippi	1856-1857 Miss. L. 36, ch. 1	Imposed an annual property tax of \$1 on each Bowie knife, dirk knife, or sword cane.	Bowie knife; Dirk knife; Sword cane	Modified in 1861 to preclude collection of the tax during the Civil War (1861-1862 Miss. L. 134, ch. 125)	
65	1856	Tennessee	1855-56 Tenn. L. 92, ch. 81	Prohibited the sale or transfer of any pistol, Bowie knife, dirk, Arkansas toothpick, or hunter's knife to a minor. Excepted the transfer of a gun for hunting.	Pistol; Bowie knife; Dirk; Arkansas toothpick; Hunter's knife		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 202 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17924 Page 17 of 56

Duncan v. Bonta, No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
66	1856	Texas	Tex. Penal Code arts. 611- 12 (enacted Aug. 28, 1856)	Provided that the use of a Bowie knife or a dagger in manslaughter is to be deemed murder.	Bowie knife; Dagger		Cockrum v. State, 24 Tex. 394 (1859) (upheld under Second Amendment and Texas Constitution).
67	1858	Minnesota – City of St. Paul	Ordinances of the City of St. Paul, Minn., ch. 21, § 1	Prohibited the keeping, sale, or giving away of gun powder or gun cotton "in any quantity" absent payment of \$5 to the City Treasurer and written permission of the authorities. Authorized any person to "keep for his own use" no more than 1 pound of gun powder or gun cotton at any one time. Punishable by a fine not to exceed \$50 per offense.	Gunpowder		
68	1858	Nebraska [Territory]	1858 Neb. Laws 69, An Act to Adopt and Establish a Criminal code for the Territory of Nebraska, § 135	Prohibited the carrying of a pistol, gun, knife, dirk, bludgeon or other offensive weapon with the intent to assault a person. Punishable by fine up to \$100.	Pistol; Gun; Knife; Dirk; Bludgeon; Other offensive weapon		
69	1859	Kentucky – Town of Harrodsburg	1859 Ky. Acts 245, An Act to Amend An Act Entitled "An Act to Reduce to One the Several Acts in Relation to the Town of Harrodsburg, § 23	Prohibited the selling, giving, or loaning of a concealed pistol, dirk, Bowie knife, brass knuckles, slungshot, colt, cane- gun, or other deadly weapon to a "minor, slave, or free negro." Punishable by fine of \$50.	Pistol; Dirk; Bowie knife; Brass knuckles; Slungshot; Colt; Cane-gun;	Unconstitutio nal under the Thirteenth and/or Fourteenth Amendments	

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 203 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17925 Page 18 of 56

Duncan v. Bonta, No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
	Linuctificati				Other deadly	to the U.S.	Review
					weapon	Constitution	
70	1859	Ohio	1859 Ohio Laws 56, An	Prohibited the concealed	Pistol;		
			Act to Prohibit the	carrying of any pistol, Bowie	Bowie knife;		
			Carrying or Wearing of	knife, or any other "dangerous	Other		
			Concealed Weapons, § 1	weapon." Punishable by fine of	dangerous		
				up to \$200 or imprisonment of	weapon		
				up to 30 days for the first			
				offense, and a fine of up to \$500			
				or imprisonment for up to 3			
	10.50	***		months for the second offense.	D ¹ • 1		
71	1859	Washington	1859 Wash. Sess. Laws	Prohibited exhibiting, in a rude,	Pistol;		
		[Territory]	109, An Act Relative to	angry, or threatening manner, a	Bowie knife; Other		
			Crimes and Punishments, and Proceedings in	pistol, Bowie knife, or other			
			Criminal Cases, ch. 2, § 30	dangerous weapon. Punishable by imprisonment up to 1 year	dangerous		
			Criminal Cases, cn. 2, § 50	and a fine up to \$500.	weapon		
72	1860	Georgia	1860 Ga. Laws 56, An Act	Prohibited the sale or furnishing	Gun;	Unconstitutio	
12	1800	Ocorgia	to add an additional	of any gun, pistol, Bowie knife,	Pistol;	nal under the	
			Section to the 13th	slungshot, sword cane, or other	Bowie knife;	Thirteenth	
			Division of the Penal	weapon to a "slave or free	Slungshot;	and/or	
			Code, making it penal to	person of color." Punishable by	Sword cane;	Fourteenth	
			sell to or furnish slaves or	fine up to \$500 and	Other weapon	Amendments	
			free persons of color, with	imprisonment up to 6 months.		to the U.S.	
			weapons of offence and	1 1		Constitution	
			defence; and for other				
			purposes therein				
			mentioned, § 1.				
73	1861	California	William H. R. Wood,	Prohibited the display of any	Dirk;		
			Digest of the Laws of	dirk, dirk-knife, Bowie knife,	Bowie knife;		
			California: Containing All	sword, sword cane, pistol, gun,	Sword;		
			Laws of a General	or other deadly weapon in a	Sword cane;		
			Character Which were in	threatening manner, or use of	Pistol;		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 204 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17926 Page 19 of 56

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
			Force on the First Day of January, 1858; Also, the Declaration of Independence, Constitution of the United States, Articles of Confederation, Kentucky and Virginia Resolutions of 1798-99, Acts of Congress Relative to Public Lands and Pre- Emptions. Together with Judicial Decisions, Both of the Supreme Court of the United States and of California, to Which are Also Appended Numerous Forms for Obtaining Pre- Emption and Bounty Lands, Etc., at 334 (1861)	such weapon in a fight. Punishable by a fine of \$100-500 or imprisonment for 1-6 months.	Gun; Other deadly weapon		
74	1861	Nevada [Territory]	1861 Nev. L. 61	Provided that the killing of another in a duel with a rifle, shotgun, pistol, Bowie knife, dirk, small-sword, back-sword, or other "dangerous weapon" in the killing of another in a duel is to be deemed murder.	Rifle; Shotgun; Pistol; Bowie knife; Dirk; Small-sword; Back-sword; Other dangerous weapon		
75	1862	Colorado [Territory]	1862 Colo. Sess. Laws 56, § 1	Prohibited the concealed carrying in any city, town, or village any pistol, Bowie knife,	Pistol; Bowie knife; Dagger;		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 205 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17927 Page 20 of 56

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
				dagger, or other deadly weapon. Punished by fine of \$5-35.	Other deadly weapon		
76	1863	Kansas – City of Leavenworth	C. B. Pierce, Charter and Ordinances of the City of Leavenworth, with an Appendix, at 45 (1863), An Ordinance Relating to Misdemeanors, § 23	Prohibited the carrying of any concealed "pistol, dirk, bowie knife, revolver, slung shot, billy, brass, lead or iron knuckles, or any other deadly weapon within this city." Punishable by a fine of \$3-100.	Pistol; Dirk; Bowie knife; Revolver; Slungshot; Billy; Brass; lead or iron knuckles; Other deadly weapon		
77	1863	Tennessee – City of Memphis	William H. Bridges, Digest of the Charters and Ordinances of the City of Memphis, Together with the Acts of the Legislature Relating to the City, with an Appendix, at 190 (1863), Offences Affecting Public Safety: Carrying Concealed Weapons, § 3	Prohibited the carrying of a concealed pistol, Bowie knife, dirk, or any other deadly weapon. Punishable by fine of \$10-50.	Pistol; Bowie knife; Dirk; Other deadly weapon		
78	1864	California	Theodore Henry Hittell, The General Laws of the State of California, from 1850 to 1864, Inclusive: Being a Compilation of All Acts of a General Nature Now in Force, with Full References to Repealed Acts, Special and Local Legislation, and Statutory Constructions of	Prohibited the concealed carrying of any dirk, pistol, sword cane, slungshot, or "other dangerous or deadly weapon." Exempted any peace officer or officer acting under the law of the United States. Punishable by imprisonment for 30-90 days or fine of \$20-200.	Dirk; Pistol; Sword cane; Slungshot; Other deadly or dangerous weapon	Repealed 1869-70 Cal. Sess. Laws, ch. 63 (provided that pending cases be heard and tried as if not repealed)	

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 206 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17928 Page 21 of 56

No.	Year of	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of	Repeal	Judicial
	Enactment				Regulation	Status	Review
			the Supreme Court. To				
			Which are Prefixed the				
			Declaration of				
			Independence,				
			Constitution of the United				
			States, Treaty of				
			Guadalupe Hidalgo,				
			Proclamations to the				
			People of California,				
			Constitution of the State of				
			California, Act of				
			Admission, and United				
			States Naturalization				
			Laws, with Notes of				
			California Decisions				
			Thereon, at 261, § 1				
			(1868)				
79	1864	Montana	1864 Mont. Laws 355, An	Prohibited the carrying of a	Pistol;		
		[Territory]	Act to Prevent the	concealed "any pistol, bowie-	Bowie knife;		
			Carrying of Concealed	knife, dagger, or other deadly	Dagger;		
			Deadly Weapons in the	weapon" within any town or	Other deadly		
			Cities and Towns of This	village in the territory.	weapon		
			Territory, § 1	Punishable by fine of \$25-100.			
80	1865	Utah	An Act in relation to	Prohibited the "set[ting] of any	Trap gun		
		[Territory]	Crimes and Punishment,	gun." Punishable by			
			Ch. XXII, Title VII, Sec.	imprisonment of up to 1 year or			
			102, in Acts, Resolutions	a fine of up to \$500.			
			and Memorials Passed at				
			the Several Annual				
			Sessions of the Legislative				
			Assembly of the Territory				
			of Utah 59 (Henry				
			McEwan 1866), § 102				

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 207 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17929 Page 22 of 56

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
81	1866	New York	Montgomery Hunt	Prohibited using, attempting to	Slungshot;	Status	I WILW
-			Throop, The Revised	use, concealing, or possessing a	Billy;		
			Statutes of the State of	slungshot, billy, sandclub or	Sandclub;		
			New York; As Altered by	metal knuckles, and any dirk or	Metal		
			Subsequent Legislation;	dagger, or sword cane or air-gun.	knuckles;		
			Together with the Other	Punishable by imprisonment for	Dirk;		
			Statutory Provisions of a	up to 1 year and/or a fine up to	Dagger;		
			General and Permanent	\$500.	Sword cane;		
			Nature Now in Force,		Air gun		
			Passed from the Year 1778				
			to the Close of the Session				
			of the Legislature of 1881,				
			Arranged in Connection				
			with the Same or kindred				
			Subjects in the Revised				
			Statutes; To Which are				
			Added References to				
			Judicial Decisions upon				
			the Provisions Contained				
			in the Text, Explanatory				
			Notes, and a Full and				
			Complete Index, at 2512				
			(Vol. 3, 1882), An Act to				
			Prevent the Furtive				
			Possession and use of				
			slungshot and other				
			dangerous weapons,				
	10.00		ch. 716, § 1				
82	1866	North Carolina	1866 N.C. L. ch. 21, at 33-	Imposed a \$1 tax on every dirk,	Dirk;		
			34, § 11	Bowie knife, pistol, sword cane,	Bowie knife;		
				dirk cane, and rifle cane used or	Pistol;		
				worn during the year.	Sword cane;		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 208 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17930 Page 23 of 56

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
					Dirk cane; Rifle cane		
83	1867	Alabama	1867 Ala. Rev. Code 169	Tax of \$2 on pistols or revolvers in the possession of private persons, excluding dealers, and a tax of \$3 on "all bowie knives, or knives of the like description." Non-payment was punishable by seizure and, unless payment was made within 10 days with a penalty of an additional 50%, subject to sale by public auction.	Pistol; Bowie knife		
84	1867	Colorado [Territory]	1867 Colo. Sess. Laws 229, § 149	Prohibited the concealed carrying of any pistol, Bowie knife, dagger, or other deadly weapon within any city, town, or village in the territory. Punishable by fine of \$5-35. Exempted sheriffs, constables, and police officers when performing their official duties.	Pistol; Bowie knife; Dagger; Other deadly weapon		
85	1867	Tennessee – City of Memphis	William H. Bridges, Digest of the Charters and Ordinances of the City of Memphis, from 1826 to 1867, Inclusive, Together with the Acts of the Legislature Relating to the City, with an Appendix, at 44 (1867), Police Regulations of the State, Offences Against Public	Prohibited the carrying of a concealed Bowie knife, Arkansas tooth pick, dirk, sword cane, Spanish stiletto, belt or pocket pistol, or other knife or weapon. Also prohibited selling such a weapon or using such a weapon to threaten people.	Bowie knife; Arkansas toothpick; Dirk; Sword cane; Spanish stiletto; Belt; Pocket pistol; Other knife or weapon		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 209 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17931 Page 24 of 56

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
			Peace, §§ 4746, 4747, 4753, 4757				
86	1867	Tennessee – City of Memphis	William H. Bridges, Digest of the Charters and Ordinances of the City of Memphis, from 1826 to 1867, Inclusive, Together with the Acts of the Legislature Relating to the City, with an Appendix, at 50 (1867), Police Regulations of the State. Selling Liquors or Weapons to Minors, § 4864	Prohibited selling, loaning, or giving to a minor a pistol, Bowie knife, dirk, Arkansas tooth-pick, hunter's knife, or like dangerous weapon, except a gun for hunting or self defense in traveling. Punishable by fine of minimum \$25 and imprisonment.	Pistol; Bowie knife; Dirk; Arkansas toothpick; Hunter's knife; Dangerous weapon		
87	1868	Alabama	Wade Keyes, The Code of Alabama, 1876, ch. 3, § 4111 (Act of Aug. 5, 1868, at 1)	Prohibited the carrying of any rifle or "shot-gun walking cane." Punishable by fine of \$500-1000 and imprisonment of no less than 2 years.	Rifle; Shotgun walking cane		
88	1868	Florida	Fla. Act of Aug. 8, 1868, as codified in Fla. Rev. Stat., tit. 2, pt. 5 (1892), at 2425	Prohibited the manufacture or sale of slungshots or metallic knuckles. Punishable by imprisonment for up to 6 months or a fine up to \$100.	Slungshot; Metallic knuckles		
89	1868	Florida	1868 Fla. Laws 2538, Persons Engaged in Criminal Offence, Having Weapons, ch. 7, § 10	Prohibited the carrying of a slungshot, metallic knuckles, billies, firearms or other dangerous weapon if arrested for committing a criminal offence or disturbance of the peace. Punishable by imprisonment up to 3 months or a fine up to \$100.	Slungshot; Metallic knuckles; Billy; Firearms; Other dangerous weapon		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 210 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17932 Page 25 of 56

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
90	1868	Florida	James F McClellan, A Digest of the Laws of the State of Florida: From the Year One Thousand Eight Hundred and Twenty- Two, to the Eleventh Day of March, One Thousand Eight Hundred and Eighty- One, Inclusive, at 403 (1881), Offences Against Public Peace, § 13 (Fla. Act of Aug. 6, 1868, ch. 1637)	Prohibited the carrying "about or on their person" any dirk, pistol or other arm or weapon, except a "common pocket knife." Punishable by fine up to \$100 or imprisonment up to 6 months.	Dirk; Pistol; Other arm or weapon		
91	1869	Tennessee	1869-70 Tenn. L. 23-24, ch. 22	Prohibited the carrying of any "pistol, dirk, bowie-knife, Arkansas tooth-pick," any weapon resembling a bowie knife or Arkansas toothpick, "or other deadly or dangerous weapon" while "attending any election" or at "any fair, race course, or public assembly of the people."	Pistol; Dirk; Bowie knife; Arkansas toothpick; Other "deadly or dangerous weapon"		Andrews v. State, 50 Tenn. 165 (1871) (upheld under state constitution)
92	1869	Washington [Territory]	1869 Wash. Sess. Laws 203-04, An Act Relative to Crimes and Punishments, and Proceedings in Criminal Cases, ch. 2, § 32	Prohibited exhibiting, in a rude, angry, or threatening manner, a pistol, Bowie knife, or other dangerous weapon. Punishable by imprisonment up to 1 year and a fine up to \$500.	Pistol; Bowie knife; Other dangerous weapon		
93	1870	Georgia	1870 Ga. L. 421, ch. 285	Prohibited the open or concealed carry of "any dirk, bowie-knife, pistol or revolver, or any kind of deadly weapon" at "any court of	Dirk; Bowie knife; Pistol; Revolver;	Law enforcement exception added in	Hill v. State, 53 Ga. 472 (1874) (upheld

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 211 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17933 Page 26 of 56

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
				justice, or any general election ground or precinct, or any other public gathering," except for militia musters.	Any kind of deadly weapon	1879. <i>See</i> 1879 Ga. L. 64, ch. 266	under state constitution)
94	1870	Louisiana	1870 La. Acts 159–60, An Act to Regulate the Conduct and to Maintain the Freedom of Party Election, § 73	Prohibited the carrying of a concealed or open gun, pistol, Bowie knife or other dangerous weapon on an election day during the hours the polls are open or during registration. Punishable by fine of minimum \$100 and imprisonment of minimum 1 month.	Gun; Pistol; Bowie knife; Other dangerous weapon		
95	1870	New York	"The Man Trap," The Buffalo Commercial, Nov. 1, 1870	Referenced prohibition on the use of "infernal machines."	Trap gun; Infernal machine		
96	1871	Arkansas – City of Little Rock	George Eugene Dodge, A Digest of the Laws and Ordinances of the City of Little Rock, with the Constitution of State of Arkansas, General Incorporation Laws, and All Acts of the General Assembly Relating to the City 230-31 (1871)	Prohibited carrying of a pistol, revolver, Bowie knife, dirk, rifle, shot gun, slungshot, colt, or metal knuckles while engaged in a breach of the peace. Punishable by a fine of \$25-500.	Pistol; Revolver; Bowie knife; Dirk; Rifle; Shotgun; Slungshot; Colt; Metal knuckles		
97	1871	District of Columbia	An Act to Prevent the Carrying of Concealed Weapons, Aug. 10, 1871, reprinted in Laws of the District of Columbia: 1871-1872, Part II, 33 (1872) (Dist. of Col., An	Prohibited the carrying or having concealed "any deadly or dangerous weapons, such as daggers, air-guns, pistols, Bowie knives, dirk-knives, or dirks, razors, razor-blades, sword- canes, slungshots, or brass or	Dangerous weapon; Dagger; Air-guns; Pistols; Bowie knife; Dirk;		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 212 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17934 Page 27 of 56

Duncan v. Bonta, No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
			Act to Prevent the Carrying of Concealed Weapons, 1871, ch. XXV)	other metal knuckles." Punishable by forfeiture of the weapon and a fine of \$20-50.	Razor; Sword-cane; Slungshot; Metal knuckles		
98	1871	Mississippi	1871 Miss. L. 819-20, ch. 33	Imposed property tax on pistols, dirks, Bowie knives, and sword canes.	Pistol; Dirk; Bowie knife; Sword cane	See also 1876 Miss. L. 131, 134, ch. 103; 1878 Miss. L. 27, 29, ch. 3; 1880 Miss. L. 21, ch. 6; 1892 Miss L. 194, ch. 74; 1894 Miss L. 27, ch. 32	
991	1871	Missouri – City of St. Louis	Everett Wilson Pattison, The Revised Ordinance of the City of St. Louis, Together with the Constitution of the United States, and of the State of Missouri; the Charter of the City; and a Digest of the Acts of the General Assembly, Relating to the City, at 491-92 (1871), Ordinances of the City of St. Louis, Misdemeanors, §§ 9-10.	Prohibited the carrying of a concealed pistol, or revolver, colt, billy, slungshot, cross knuckles, or knuckles of lead, brass or other metal, Bowie knife, razor, dirk knife, dirk, dagger, or any knife resembling a Bowie knife, or any other dangerous or deadly weapon without written permission from the Mayor. Punishable by fine of \$10-500.	Pistol; Revolver; Colt; Billy; Slungshot; Cross knuckles; Metal knuckles; Bowie knife; Razor; Dirk; Dagger; Any knife resembling a bowie knife; Other dangerous or deadly weapon		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 213 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17935 Page 28 of 56

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
100	1871	Tennessee	James H. Shankland Public Statutes of the State of Tennessee, since the Year 1858. Being in the Nature of a Supplement to the Code, at 108 (Nashville, 1871)	Prohibited the carrying of a pistol, dirk, Bowie knife, Arkansas tooth pick, or other weapon in the shape of those weapons, to an election site. Punishable by fine of minimum \$50 and imprisonment at the discretion of the court.	Pistol; Dirk; Bowie knife; Arkansas toothpick		
101	1871	Texas	1871 Tex. Laws 25, An Act to Regulate the Keeping and Bearing of Deadly Weapons. § 1	Prohibited the carrying of a concealed pistol, dirk, dagger, slungshot, sword cane, spear, brass knuckles, Bowie knife, or any other kind of knife used for offense or defense, unless carried openly for self-defense. Punishable by fine of \$20-100, forfeiture of the weapon, and for subsequent offenses, imprisonment up to 60 days.	Pistol; Dirk; Dagger; Slungshot; Sword cane; Spear; Metal knuckles; Bowie knife; Any other kind of knife used for offense or defense		English v. <u>State</u> , 35 Tex. 473 (1872) (upheld as constitutional under Second Amendment and Texas Constitution); <i>State v. Duke</i> , 42 Tex. 455 (1875) (upheld as constitutional under Texas Constitution)
102	1871	Texas	Tex. Act of Apr. 12, 1871, as codified in Tex. Penal Code (1879). Art. 163.	Prohibited the carrying of a concealed or open gun, pistol, Bowie knife, or other dangerous weapon within a half mile of a polling site on an election day. Also prohibited generally carrying a pistol, dirk, dagger, slungshot, sword cane, spear, brass knuckles, Bowie knife, or	Pistol; Dirk; Dagger; Slungshot; Sword cane; Spear; Brass- knuckles; Bowie knife;		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 214 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17936 Page 29 of 56

Duncan v. Bonta, No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
				other kind of knife used for offense or defense. Punishable by fine and forfeiture of the weapon.	Other dangerous weapon; Other knife used for offense or defense	Surus	
103	1872	Maryland – City of Annapolis	1872 Md. Laws 57, An Act to Add an Additional Section to Article Two of the Code of Public Local Laws, Entitled "Anne Arundel County," Sub-title "Annapolis," to Prevent the Carrying of Concealed Weapons in Said City, § 246	Prohibited the carrying of a concealed pistol, dirk-knife, Bowie knife, slingshot, billy, razor, brass, iron or other metal knuckles, or any other deadly weapon. Punishable by a fine of \$3-10.	Pistol; Dirk; Bowie knife; Slingshot; Billy; Razor; Brass; Metal knuckles; Other deadly weapon		
104	1872	Nebraska – City of Nebraska	Gilbert B. Colfield, Laws, Ordinances and Rules of Nebraska City, Otoe County, Nebraska, at 36 (1872), Ordinance No. 7, An Ordinance Prohibiting the Carrying of Fire Arms and Concealed Weapons, § 1	Prohibited the carrying openly or concealed of a musket, rifle, shot gun, pistol, sabre, sword, Bowie knife, dirk, sword cane, billy slungshot, brass or other metallic knuckles, or any other dangerous or deadly weapons.	Musket; Rifle; Shot gun; Pistol; Sabre; Sword; Bowie knife; Dirk; Sword cane; Billy; Slungshot; Metal knuckles; Other dangerous or		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 215 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17937 Page 30 of 56

Duncan v. Bonta, No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
					deadly weapons	Stutus	
105	1873	Alabama	Wade Keyes, The Code of Alabama, 1876, ch. 3, § 4110 (Act of Apr. 8, 1873, p. 130)	Prohibited the concealed carrying of any brass knuckles, slungshots, or "other weapon of like kind or description." Punishable by a fine of \$20-200 and imprisonment or term of hard labor not to exceed 6 months.	Metal knuckles; Slungshot		State v. Reid, 1 Ala. 612 (1840) (upheld under Alabama Constitution); Whatley v. State, 49 Ala. 355 (1947) (necessity required).
106	1873	Georgia	R. H. Clark, The Code of the State of Georgia (1873) § 4528	Prohibited the carrying of any dirk, Bowie knife, pistol, or other deadly weapon to a court, election site, precinct, place of worship, or other public gathering site. Punishable by fine of \$20-50 or imprisonment for 10-20 days.	Dirk; Bowie knife; Pistol; Any kind of deadly weapon		
107	1873	Massachusetts	1850 Mass. Gen. Law, ch. 194, §§ 1, 2, as codified in Mass. Gen. Stat., ch. 164 (1873) § 10	Prohibited the carrying of a slungshot, metallic knuckles, bills, or other dangerous weapon if arrested pursuant to a warrant or while committing a crime. Punishable by fine.	Slungshot; Metallic knuckles; Billy; Other dangerous weapon		
108	1873	Massachusetts	1850 Mass. Gen. Law, ch. 194, §§ 1, 2 as codified in Mass. Gen. Stat., ch. 164 (1873) § 11	Prohibited manufacturing or selling a slungshot or metallic knuckles. Punishable by fine up	Slungshot; Metallic knuckles		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 216 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17938 Page 31 of 56

Duncan v. Bonta, No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
	Linactiment			to \$50 or imprisonment up to 6	Regulation	Status	I Keview
				months.			
109	1873	Minnesota	The Statutes at Large of the State of Minnesota: Comprising the General Statutes of 1866 as Amended by Subsequent Legislation to the Close of the Session of 1873: Together with All Laws of a General Nature in Force, March 7, A.D. 1873 with References to Judicial Decisions of the State of Minnesota, and of Other States Whose Statutes are Similar to Which are Prefixed the Constitution of the United States, the Organic Act, the Act Authorizing a State Government, and the Constitution of the State of Minnesota, at 993 (Vol. 2, 1873), Of Crimes and Their Punishment, Setting Spring Guns Unlawful, § 64-65	Prohibited the setting of any spring or trap gun. Punished by imprisonment for at least 6 months or a fine of up to \$500 if no injury results; imprisonment for up to 5 years if non-fatal injury results; and imprisonment for 10-15 years if death results.	Spring gun; Trap gun		
110	1873	Nevada	Bonnifield, The Compiled Laws of the State of Nevada. Embracing Statutes of 1861 to 1873,	Prohibited dueling and killing a person with a rifle, shotgun, pistol, Bowie knife, dirk, small	Rifle; Shotgun; Pistol; Bowie knife;		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 217 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17939 Page 32 of 56

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
	Enactment		Inclusive, at 563 (Vol. 1, 1873), Of Crimes and Punishments, §§ 35-36	sword, backsword, or other dangerous weapon.	Dirk; Small sword; Back sword; Other dangerous weapon	Status	Keview
111	1873	Tennessee	Seymour Dwight Thompson, A Compilation of the Statute Laws of the State of Tennessee, of a General and Permanent Nature, Compiled on the Basis of the Code of Tennessee, With Notes and References, Including Acts of Session of 1870- 1871, at 125 (Vol. 2, 1873), Offences Against Public Policy and Economy, § 4864	Prohibited selling, loaning, or giving to a minor a pistol, Bowie knife, dirk, Arkansas tooth-pick, hunter's knife, or like dangerous weapon, except a gun for hunting or self defense in traveling. Punishable by fine of minimum \$25 and imprisonment for a term determined by the court.	Pistol; Bowie knife; Dirk; Arkansas toothpick; Hunter's knife; Dangerous weapon		
112	1874	Alabama	1874 Ala. L. 41, ch. 1	Imposed \$25 occupational tax on dealers of pistols, Bowie knives, and dirk knives.	Pistol; Bowie knife; Dirk	Increased tax to \$50 in 1875-76.	
113	1874	Illinois	Harvey Bostwick Hurd, The Revised Statutes of the State of Illinois. A. D. 1874. Comprising the Revised Acts of 1871-72 and 1873-74, Together with All Other General Statutes of the State, in Force on the First Day of July, 1874, at 360 (1874),	Prohibited the carrying a concealed weapon, including a pistol, knife, slungshot, brass, steel, or iron knuckles, or other deadly weapon while disturbing the peace. Punishable by fine up to \$100.	Pistol; Knife; Slungshot; Other deadly weapon		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 218 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17940 Page 33 of 56

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
			Disorderly Conduct: Disturbing the Peace, § 56				
114	1874	New Jersey – City of Jersey City	Ordinances of Jersey City, Passed by the Board of Aldermen since May 1, 1871, under the Act Entitled "An Act to Re- organize the Local Government of Jersey City," Passed March 31, 1871, and the Supplements Thereto, at 41 (1874), An Ordinance to Prevent the Carrying of Loaded or Concealed Weapons within the Limits of Jersey City. The Mayor and Aldermen of Jersey City do ordain as follows: §§ 1- 2	Prohibited the carrying of a concealed slungshot, billy, sandclub or metal knuckles, and any dirk or dagger (not contained as a blade of a pocket- knife), and loaded pistol or other dangerous weapon, including a sword in a cane, or air-gun. punishable by confiscation of the weapon and a fine of up to \$20. Exempted policemen of Jersey City.	Slungshot; Billy; Sandclub; Metal knuckles; Dirk; Dagger; Pistol; Other dangerous weapon; Sword cane; Air gun		
115	1874	Virginia	1874 Va. L. 239, ch. 239	Included the value of all "rifles, muskets, and other fire-arms, bowie-knives, dirks, and all weapons of a similar kind" in list of taxable personal property.	Rifle; Musket; Other firearm; Bowie knife; Dirk		
116	1875	Alabama	1875-1876 Ala. L. 82, ch. 1	Imposed \$50 occupational tax on dealers of pistols, Bowie knives, and dirk knives.	Pistol; Bowie knife; Dirk	Added pistol cartridges in 1886 and increased the tax to \$300 in 1887.	

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 219 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17941 Page 34 of 56

Duncan v. Bonta, No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
117	1875	Alabama	1875-1876 Ala. L. 46, ch. 2	Imposed tax rate of 0.75% of the value of any pistols, guns, dirks, and Bowie knives.	Pistols; Guns; Dirks; Bowie knives	Tax rate reduced to 55 cents in 1882, with additional weapons added.	
118	1875	Arkansas	Act of Feb. 16, 1875, 1874-75 Ark. Acts 156, § 1	Prohibited the carrying in public of any "pistol, gun, knife, dirk, bludgeon, or other offensive weapon, with intent to assault any person." Punishable by a fine of \$25-100.	Pistol; Dirk; Butcher knife; Bowie knife; Sword cane; Metal knuckles		Wilson v. State, 33 Ark. 557 (1878) (held unconstitutio nal).
119	1875	Idaho [Territory]	Crimes and Punishments, in Compiled and Revised Laws of the Territory of Idaho 354 (M. Kelly, Territorial Printer 1875), § 133.	Prohibited the carrying of "any pistol, gun, knife, dirk, bludgeon, or other offensive weapon, with intent to assault any person." Punishable by imprisonment for up to 3 months or a fine up to \$100.	Pick-lock; Crow-key; Bit; Other instrument or tool; Pistol; Knife; Dirk; Bludgeon; Other offensive weapon		
120	1875	Indiana	1875 Ind. Acts 62, An Act Defining Certain Misdemeanors, and Prescribing Penalties Therefore, § 1	Prohibited the drawing or threatening to use a pistol, dirk, knife, slungshot, or any other deadly or dangerous weapon. Punishable by fine of \$1-500, and potentially imprisonment up to 6 months.	Pistol; Dirk; Knife; Slungshot; Other deadly or dangerous weapon		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 220 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17942 Page 35 of 56

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
121	1875	Michigan	1875 Mich. Pub. Acts 136, An Act To Prevent The Setting Of Guns And Other Dangerous Devices, § 1	Prohibited the setting of any spring or trap gun.	Spring gun; Trap gun		
122	1876	Alabama	1876-77 Ala. Code 882, § 4109	Prohibited the carrying of a Bowie knife, pistol, or air gun, or any other weapon of "like kind or description," unless threatened with or having good cause to fear an attack or while traveling or setting out on a journey. Punishable by a fine of \$50-300 and imprisonment or hard labor for no more than 6 months.	Bowie knife; Pistol; Air gun; Other similar weapon		State v. Reid, 1 Ala. 612 (1840) (upheld under Alabama Constitution); Whatley v. State, 49 Ala. 355 (1947) (necessity required).
123	1876	Colorado	1876 Colo. Sess. Laws 304, § 154	Prohibited the carrying with intent to assault another any pistol, gun, knife, dirk, bludgeon, or other offensive weapon.	Pistol; Gun; Knife; Dirk; Bludgeon; Other offensive weapon		
124	1876	Georgia	1876 Ga. L. 112, ch. 128	Prohibited the transfer of any pistol, dirk, Bowie knife, or sword cane to a minor.	Pistol; Dirk; Bowie knife; Sword cane		
125	1876	Illinois – Village of Hyde Park	Consider H. Willett, Laws and Ordinances Governing the Village of Hyde Park [Illinois] Together with Its Charter and General Laws	Prohibited the carrying a concealed pistol, revolver, slungshot, knuckles, Bowie knife, dirk knife, dirk, dagger, or any other dangerous or deadly	Pistol; Revolver; Slungshot; Knuckles; Bowie knife;		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 221 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17943 Page 36 of 56

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
			Affecting Municipal Corporations; Special Ordinances and Charters under Which Corporations Have Vested Rights in the Village. Also, Summary of Decisions of the Supreme Court Relating to Municipal Corporations, Taxation and Assessments, at 64 (1876),	weapon without written permission from the Captain of Police. Exempted peace officers.	Dirk; Dagger; Other dangerous or deadly weapon		
126	1876	Wyoming [Territory]	Misdemeanors, § 39 Wyo. Comp. Laws (1876) ch. 35, § 127, as codified in Wyo. Rev. Stat., Crimes	Prohibited the carrying of a pistol, knife, dirk, bludgeon, or other offensive weapon with the	Pistol; Knife; Dirk;		
			(1887), Having possession of offensive weapons, § 1027	intent to assault a person. Punishable by fine up to \$500 or imprisonment up to 6 months.	Bludgeon; Other offensive weapon		
127	1877	Alabama	Wade Keyes, The Code of Alabama, 1876, ch. 6, § 4230	Prohibited the sale, giving, or lending of any pistol, Bowie knife, or "like knife" to any boy under the age of 18.	Pistol; Bowie knife		<i>Coleman v.</i> <i>State</i> , 32 Ala. 581 (1858) (affirming conviction of letting minor obtain a pistol).
128	1877	Alabama	Wade Keyes, The Code of Alabama, 1876, ch. 3, § 4109	Prohibited the concealed carrying of any Bowie knife, or any other knife of like kind or description, pistol, air gun, slungshot, brass knuckles, or other deadly or dangerous weapon, unless the person was	Bowie knife; Pistol; Air gun; Slungshot; Metal knuckles; Other deadly or		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 222 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17944 Page 37 of 56

Duncan v. Bonta, No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
				threatened with, or had good reason to apprehend, an attack, or "while traveling, or setting out on a journey." Punishable by fine of \$50-300 and imprisonment of not more than 6 months.	dangerous weapon		
129	1877	Colorado – Town of Georgetown	Edward O. Wolcott, The Ordinances of Georgetown [Colorado] Passed June 7th, A.D. 1877, at 100, § 9	Prohibited the concealed carrying of any pistol, Bowie knife, dagger, or other deadly weapon. Punishable by a fine of \$5-50.	Pistol; Bowie knife; Dagger; Other deadly weapon		
130	1877	New Jersey	Mercer Beasley, Revision of the Statutes of New Jersey: Published under the Authority of the Legislature; by Virtue of an Act Approved April 4, 1871, at 304 (1877), An Act Concerning Disorderly Persons, § 2	Prohibited The carrying of "any pistol, hanger, cutlass, bludgeon, or other offensive weapon, with intent to assault any person." Punishable as a "disorderly person."	Pistol; Hanger; Cutlass; Bludgeon; Other offensive weapon		
131	1877	South Dakota [Territory]	S.D. Terr. Pen. Code (1877), § 457 as codified in S.D. Rev. Code, Penal Code (1903), §§ 470-471.	Prohibited the carrying, "whether concealed or not," of any slungshot, and prohibited the concealed carrying of any firearms or sharp or dangerous weapons.	Slungshot; Firearm; Sharp or dangerous weapon		
132	1877	Utah – City of Provo [Territory]	Chapter 5: Offenses Against the Person, undated, reprinted in The Revised Ordinances Of Provo City, Containing All The Ordinances In Force	Prohibited carrying a pistol, or other firearm, slungshot, false knuckles, Bowie knife, dagger or any other "dangerous or deadly weapon." Punishable by fine up to \$25.	Pistol; Other firearm; Slungshot; Metal knuckles; Bowie knife;		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 223 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17945 Page 38 of 56

Duncan v. Bonta, No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
			105, 106-07 (1877) (Provo, Utah). § 182:		Dagger; Other dangerous or deadly weapon		
133	1878	Alabama – City of Uniontown	1878 Ala. L. 437, ch. 314	Authorized Uniontown to license dealers of pistols, Bowie knives, and dirk knives.	Pistol; Bowie knife; Dirk	Added dealers of "brass knuckles" in 1884. Similar to law enacted in 1884 authorizing Tuscaloosa to regulate dealers in pistols, Bowie knives, shotguns or firearms, and knives "of like kind or description." 1884-1885 Ala. 323, ch. 197	
134	1878	Mississippi	1878 Miss. Laws 175, An Act to Prevent the Carrying of Concealed Weapons and for Other Purposes, § 1	Prohibited the carrying of a concealed Bowie knife, pistol, brass knuckles, slungshot or other deadly weapon. Excepted travels other than "a tramp." Punishable by fine of \$5-100.	Bowie knife; Pistol; Brass knuckles; Slungshot; Other deadly weapon	Prohibited weapons were expanded in 1896	

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 224 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17946 Page 39 of 56

Duncan v. Bonta, No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
135	1879	Alabama – City of Montgomery	J. M. Falkner, The Code of Ordinances of the City Council of Montgomery [Alabama] (1879), § 428	Prohibited carrying of a concealed Bowie knife, pistol, air gun, slungshot, brass knuckles, or other deadly or dangerous weapon. Punishable by a fine of \$1-100.	Bowie knife; Pistol; Air gun; Slungshot; Metal knuckles; Other deadly or dangerous weapon		
136	1879	Idaho – City of Boise [Territory]	Charter and Revised Ordinances of Boise City, Idaho. In Effect April 12, 1894, at 118-19 (1894), Carrying Concealed Weapons, § 36	Prohibited the carrying a concealed Bowie knife, dirk knife, pistol or sword in cane, slungshot, metallic knuckles, or other dangerous or deadly weapon, unless traveling or setting out on a journey. Punishable by fine up to \$25 and/or imprisonment up to 20 days.	Bowie knife; Dirk; Pistol; Sword cane; Slungshot; Metallic knuckles; Other dangerous or deadly weapons		State v. Har <u>t</u> , 66 Idaho 217 (1945) (upheld under state constitution)
137	1879	Louisiana	La. Const. of 1879, art. III	Provided the right to bear arms, but authorizes the passage of laws restricting the carrying of concealed weapons.	Concealed weapons		
138	1879	Montana [Territory]	1879 Mont. Laws 359, Offences against the Lives and Persons of Individuals, ch. 4, § 23	Prohibited dueling and killing a person involved with a rifle, shot-gun, pistol, Bowie knife, dirk, small-sword, back-sword, or other dangerous weapon. Punishable by death by hanging.	Rifle; Shotgun; Pistol; Bowie knife; Dirk; Small sword; Back sword; Other		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 225 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17947 Page 40 of 56

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
					dangerous weapon		
139	1879	North Carolina	North Carolina: N.C. Sess. Laws (1879), ch. 127, as codified in North Carolina Code, Crim. Code, ch. 25 (1883) § 1005, Concealed weapons, the carrying or unlawfully, a misdemeanor	Prohibited the concealed carrying of any pistol, Bowie knife, dirk, dagger, slungshot, loaded case, metal knuckles, razor, or other deadly weapon. Exemption for carrying on the owner's premises. Punishable by fine or imprisonment at the discretion of the court.	Pistol; Bowie knife; Dirk; Dagger; Slungshot; Metal knuckles; Razor; Other deadly weapon		
140	1880	Ohio	Michael Augustus Daugherty, The Revised Statutes and Other Acts of a General Nature of the State of Ohio: In Force January 1, 1880, at 1633 (Vol. 2, 1879), Offences Against Public Peace, § 6892	Prohibited the concealed carrying of any pistol, Bowie knife, dirk, or other dangerous weapon. Punishable by a fine of up to \$200 or imprisonment for up to 30 days for the first offense, and a fine of up to \$500 or imprisonment for up to 3 months for the second offense.	Pistol; Bowie knife; Other dangerous weapon		
141	1880	South Carolina	1880 S.C. Acts 448, § 1, as codified in S.C. Rev. Stat. (1894), § 129	Prohibited the carrying of a concealed pistol, dirk, dagger, slungshot, metal knuckles, razor, or other deadly weapon. Punishable by fine up to \$200 and/or imprisonment up to 1 year.	Pistol; Dirk; Dagger; Slungshot; Metal knuckles; Razor; Other deadly weapon		
142	1881	Alabama	1880-1881 Ala. L. 38-39, ch. 44	Prohibited the concealed carrying of any Bowie knife, or any other knife of like kind or	Bowie knife; Pistol; Air gun;	Amended in 2022 to remove	

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 226 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17948 Page 41 of 56

Duncan v. Bonta, No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
				description, pistol, or firearm of "any other kind or description," or air gun. Punishable by fine of \$50-300 and imprisonment of not more than 6 months. Further provided that fines collected under the statute would be monetary and not in-kind payments.	Slungshot; Metal knuckles; Other deadly or dangerous weapon	prohibition on concealed carry of Bowie knives. <i>See</i> Ala. Stat. § 13A-11-50.	
143	1881	Arkansas	1881 Ark. Acts 191, ch. 96, § 1-2	Prohibited the carrying of any dirk, Bowie knife, sword, spear cane, metal knuckles, razor, or any pistol (except pistols that are used in the Army or Navy if carried openly in the hand).	Dirk; Bowie knife; Sword; Spear cane; Metal knuckles; Razor; Pistol		
144	1881	Colorado	Colo. Rev. Stat 1774, § 248 (1881)	Prohibited the concealed carrying of any firearms, any pistol, revolver, Bowie knife, dagger, slingshot, brass knuckles, or other deadly weapon, unless authorized by chief of police.	Pistol; Revolver; Bowie knife; Dagger; Slingshot; Metal knuckles; Other deadly weapon		
145	1881	Delaware	1881 Del. Laws 987, An Act Providing for the Punishment of Persons Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapons, ch. 548, § 1	Prohibited the carrying of concealed deadly weapons or selling deadly weapons other than an ordinary pocket knife to minors. Punishable by a fine of \$25-200 or imprisonment for 10- 30 days.	Deadly weapon		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 227 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17949 Page 42 of 56

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
146	1881	Illinois	Ill. Act of Apr. 16, 1881, as codified in Ill. Stat. Ann., Crim. Code 73 (1885), ch. 38, Possession or sale forbidden, § 1	Prohibited the possession, selling, loaning, or hiring for barter of a slungshot or metallic knuckles or other deadly weapon. Punishable as a misdemeanor.	Slungshot; Metallic knuckles; Other deadline weapon	Status	
147	1881	Illinois	Harvey Bostwick Hurd, Late Commissioner, The Revised Statutes of the State of Illinois. 1882. Comprising the "Revised Statutes of 1874," and All Amendments Thereto, Together with the General Acts of 1875, 1877, 1879, 1881 and 1882, Being All the General Statutes of the State, in Force on the First Day of December, 1882, at 375 (1882), Deadly Weapons: Selling or Giving to Minor, § 54b.	Prohibited selling, giving, loaning, hiring for barter any minor a pistol, revolver, derringer, Bowie knife, dirk or other deadly weapon. Punishable by fine of \$25-200.	Pistol; Revolver; Derringer; Bowie knife; Dirk; Other deadly weapon		
148	1881	Indiana	The Revised Statutes of Indiana: Containing, Also, the United States and Indiana Constitutions and an Appendix of Historical Documents. Vol. 1, at 366 (1881), Crimes, § 1957	Prohibited maliciously or mischievously shooting a gun, rifle, pistol, or other missile or weapon, or throwing a stone, stick, club, or other substance at a vehicle. Punishable by imprisonment for 30 days to 1 year and a fine of \$10-100.	Gun; Rifle; Pistol; Other missile or weapon; Stone; Stick; Club; Other substance		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 228 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17950 Page 43 of 56

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
149	1881	Nevada	David E. Baily, The General Statutes of the State of Nevada. In Force. From 1861 to 1885, Inclusive. With Citations of the Decisions of the Supreme Court Relating Thereto, at 1077 (1885), An Act to prohibit the carrying of concealed weapons by minors, § 1	Prohibited a minor from carrying a concealed dirk, pistol, sword in case, slungshot, or other dangerous or deadly weapon. Punishable by fine of \$20-200 and/or imprisonment of 30 days to 6 months.	Dirk; Pistol; Sword in case; Slungshot; Other dangerous or deadly weapon		
150	1881	New York	George S. Diossy, The Statute Law of the State of New York: Comprising the Revised Statutes and All Other Laws of General Interest, in Force January 1, 1881, Arranged Alphabetically According to Subjects, at 321 (Vol. 1, 1881), Offenses Against Public Decency; Malicious Mischief, and Other Crimes not Before Enumerated, Concealed Weapons, § 9	Prohibited using, attempting to use, or concealing a slungshot, billy, sandclub or metal knuckles, and any dirk. Punishable by imprisonment for up to 1 year and/or a fine up to \$500.	Slungshot; Billy; Sandclub; Metal knuckles; Dirk		
151	1881	Tennessee – City of Nashville	William King McAlister Jr., Ordinances of the City of Nashville, to Which are Prefixed the State Laws Chartering and Relating to the City, with an Appendix, at 340-41	Prohibited the carrying of pistol, Bowie knife, dirk, slungshot, brass knuckles, or other deadly weapon. Punishable by fine of \$10-50 for a first offense and \$50 for subsequent offenses.	Pistol; Bowie knife; Dirk; Slungshot; Metal knuckles;		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 229 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17951 Page 44 of 56

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
			(1881), Ordinances of the City of Nashville, Carrying Pistols, Bowie- Knives, Etc., § 1		Other deadly weapon		
152	1881	Washington [Territory]	1881 Wash. Code 181, Criminal Procedure, Offenses Against Public Policy, ch. 73, § 929	Prohibited the carrying of "any concealed weapon." Punishable by fine up to \$100 or imprisonment up to 30 days.	Concealed weapon		
153	1881	Washington – City of New Tacoma [Territory]	1881 Wash. Sess. Laws 76, An Act to Confer a City Govt. on New Tacoma, ch. 6, § 34, pt. 15	Authorized New Tacoma to regulate transporting, storing, or selling gunpowder, giant powder, dynamite, nitroglycerine, or other combustibles without a license, as well as the carrying concealed deadly weapons, and the use of guns, pistols, firearms, firecrackers.	Gunpowder; Giant powder; Dynamite; Nitroglycerine; Other combustible; Concealed deadly weapon; Gun; Pistol; Firearm		
154	1881	Washington [Territory]	William Lair Hill, Ballinger's Annotated Codes and Statutes of Washington, Showing All Statutes in Force, Including the Session Laws of 1897, at 1956 (Vol. 2, 1897)	Prohibited exhibiting a dangerous weapon in a manner likely to cause terror. Punishable by fine up to \$25.	Dangerous weapon		
155	1882	Georgia	1882-83 Gal. L. 48-49, ch. 94	Prohibited the concealed carrying of any "pistol, dirk, sword in a cane, spear, Bowie- knife, or any other kind of knives manufactured and sold	Pistol; Dirk; Sword cane; speak Bowie knife;		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 230 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17952 Page 45 of 56

Duncan v. Bonta, No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
				for the purpose of offense and defense."	Other kind of knife		
156	1882	Georgia	1882-83 Ga. L. 37, ch. 18	Imposed \$25 occupational tax on dealers of pistols, revolvers, dirks, or Bowie knives.	Pistol; Revolver; Dirk; Bowie knife	Raised to \$100 in 1884.	
157	1882	Iowa – City of Sioux City	S. J. Quincy, Revised Ordinances of the City of Sioux City, Iowa, at 62 (1882), Ordinances of the City of Sioux City, Iowa, § 4.	Prohibited the carrying a concealed pistol, revolver, slungshot, cross-knuckles, knuckles of lead, brass or other metal, or any Bowie knife, razor, billy, dirk, dirk knife or Bowie knife, or other dangerous weapon.	Pistol; Revolver; Slungshot; Cross- knuckles; Metal Knuckles; Bowie knife; Razor; Billy; Dirk; Other dangerous weapon		
158	1882	West Virginia	1882 W. Va. Acts 421-22; W. Va. Code, ch. 148, § 7	Prohibited the carrying of a pistol, dirk, Bowie knife, razor, slungshot, billy, metallic or other false knuckles, or any other dangerous or deadly weapon. Also prohibited selling any such weapon to a minor. Punishable by fine of \$25-200 and imprisonment of 1-12 months.	Pistol; Dirk; Bowie knife; Razor; Slungshot; Billy; Metal knuckles; Other dangerous or deadly weapon		State v. Workman, 35 W. Va. 367 (1891) (upheld under the Second Amendment), abrogated by New York State Rifle & Pistol Ass'n v. Bruen, 142

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 231 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17953 Page 46 of 56

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
							S. Ct. 2111, 2153 (2022)
159	1883	Illinois – City of Danville	Revised Ordinances of the City of Danville [Illinois], at 66 (1883), Ordinances of the City of Danville. Concealed Weapons, § 22.	Prohibited the carrying of a concealed pistol, revolver, derringer, Bowie knife, dirk, slungshot, metallic knuckles, or a razor, as a weapon, or any other deadly weapon. Also prohibited displaying the weapon in a threatening or boisterous manner. Punishable by fine of \$1-100 and forfeiting the weapon, if ordered by the magistrate.	Pistol; Revolver; Derringer; Bowie knife; Dirk; Slungshot; Metallic knuckles; Razor; Other deadly weapon		
160	1883	Kansas	1883 Kan. Sess. Laws 159, An Act to Prevent Selling, Trading Or Giving Deadly Weapons or Toy Pistols to Minors, and to Provide Punishment Therefor, §§ 1-2	Prohibited the selling, trading, giving, or loaning of a pistol, revolver, or toy pistol, dirk, Bowie knife, brass knuckles, slungshot, or other dangerous weapons to any minor, or to any person of notoriously unsound mind. Also prohibited the possession of such weapons by any minor. Punishable by fine of \$5-100. Also prohibited a minor from possessing a pistol, revolver, toy pistol by which cartridges may be exploded, dirk, Bowie knife, brass knuckles, slungshot, or other dangerous weapon. Punishable by fine of \$1-10.	Pistol; Revolver; Toy pistol; Dirk; Bowie knife; Brass knuckles; Slungshot; Other dangerous weapons		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 232 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17954 Page 47 of 56

No.	Year of	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of	Repeal	Judicial
161	Enactment 1883	Missouri	1883 Mo. Laws 76, An	Prohibited the carrying of a	Regulation Fire arms;	Status	Review
101	1005	WIISSOull	Act to Amend Section	concealed fire arms, Bowie	Bowie knife;		
			1274, Article 2, Chapter	knife, dirk, dagger, slungshot, or	Dirk;		
			24 of the Revised Statutes	other deadly weapon to a church,	Dagger;		
			of Missouri, Entitled "Of	school, election site, or other	Slungshot;		
			Crimes And Criminal	public setting or carrying in a	Other deadly		
			Procedure" § 1274	threatening manner or while	weapon		
			0	intoxicated. Punishable by fine	1		
				of \$25-200 and/or by			
				imprisonment up to 6 months.			
162	1883	Washington -	1883 Wash. Sess. Laws	Authorized City of Snohomish to	Deadly		
		City of	302, An Act to Incorporate	regulate and prohibit carrying	weapon;		
		Snohomish	the City of Snohomish, ch.	concealed deadly weapons and	Gun;		
		[Territory]	6, § 29, pt. 15	to prohibit using guns, pistols,	Pistol;		
				firearms, firecrackers, bombs,	Firearm;		
				and explosives.	Firecracker;		
1.62	1002		1000 117 0 1 510		Bomb		
163	1883	Wisconsin –	1883 Wis. Sess. Laws 713,	Prohibited the carrying of a	Pistol;		
		City of	An Act to Revise,	concealed pistol or colt, or	Colt;		
		Oshkosh	consolidate And Amend	slungshot, or cross knuckles or	Slungshot; Cross knuckles;		
			The Charter Of The City Of Oshkosh, The Act	knuckles of lead, brass or other	Knuckles of		
			Incorporating The City,	metal or Bowie knife, dirk knife, or dirk or dagger, or any other	lead;		
			And The Several Acts	dangerous or deadly weapon.	Metal		
			Amendatory Thereof, ch.	Punishable by confiscation of the	knuckles;		
			6, § 3, pt. 56	weapon.	Bowie knife;		
			o, 3 o, pu oo		Dirk;		
					Dagger;		
					Any other		
					dangerous or		
					deadly weapon		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 233 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17955 Page 48 of 56

Duncan v. Bonta, No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
164	1884	Georgia	1884-85 Ga. L. 23, ch. 52	Imposed \$100 occupational tax on dealers of pistols, revolvers, dirks, or Bowie knives.	Pistol; Revolver; Dirk; Bowie knife	Reduced to \$25 in 1888.	
165	1884	Maine	The Revised Statutes of the State of Maine, Passed August 29, 1883, and Taking Effect January 1, 1884, at 928, (1884), Prevention of Crimes, § 10	Prohibited the carrying of a dirk, dagger, sword, pistol, or other offensive and dangerous weapon without reasonable cause to fear an assault.	Dirk; Dagger; Sword; Pistol; Other offensive and dangerous weapon		
166	1884	Minnesota – City of Saint Paul	W. P. Murray, The Municipal Code of Saint Paul: Comprising the Laws of the State of Minnesota Relating to the City of Saint Paul, and the Ordinances of the Common Council; Revised to December 1, 1884, at 289 (1884), Concealed Weapons – License, § 1	Prohibited the carrying of a concealed pistol or pistols, dirk, dagger, sword, slungshot, cross- knuckles, or knuckles of lead, brass or other metal, Bowie knife, dirk knife or razor, or any other dangerous or deadly weapon. Punishable by seizure of the weapon.	Pistol; Dirk; Dagger; Sword; Slungshot; Cross- knuckles; Metal knuckles; Bowie knife; Dirk; Razor; Other dangerous or deadly weapon		
167	1884	Tennessee	Tenn. Pub. Acts (1879), ch. 186, as codified in Tenn. Code (1884)	Prohibited the carrying, "publicly or privately," of any dirk, razor, sword cane, loaded cane, slungshot, brass knuckles, Spanish stiletto, belt or pocket pistol, revolver, or any kind of pistol.	Dirk; Razor; Sword cane; Loaded cane; Slungshot; Metal knuckles;		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 234 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17956 Page 49 of 56

Duncan v. Bonta, No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
					Spanish stiletto; Pistol; Revolver		
168	1884	Vermont	1884 Vt. Acts & Resolves 74, An Act Relating To Traps, § 1	Prohibited the setting of any spring gun trap. Punishable by a fine of \$50-500 and liability for twice the amount of any damage resulting from the trap.	Spring gun		
169	1884	Wyoming [Territory]	1884 Wyo. Sess. Laws, ch. 67, § 1, as codified in Wyo. Rev. Stat., Crimes (1887): Exhibiting deadly weapon in angry manner. § 983	Prohibited exhibiting in a threatening manner a fire-arm, Bowie knife, dirk, dagger, slungshot or other deadly weapon. Punishable by fine of \$10-100 or imprisonment up to 6 months.	Pistol; Bowie knife; Dirk; Dagger; Slungshot; Other deadly weapon		
170	1885	Montana [Territory]	1885 Mont. Laws 74, Deadly Weapons, An Act to Amend § 62 of Chapter IV of the Fourth Division of the Revised Statutes, § 62-63	Prohibited possessing, carrying, or purchasing a dirk, dirk-knife, sword, sword cane, pistol, gun, or other deadly weapon, and from using the weapon in a threatening manner or in a fight. Punishable by fine of \$10-100 and/or imprisonment for 1-3 months.	Dirk; Sword; Sword cane; Pistol; Gun; Other deadly weapon		
171	1885	New York	George R. Donnan, Annotated Code of Criminal Procedure and Penal Code of the State of New York as Amended 1882-85, at 172 (1885), Carrying, Using, Etc., Certain Weapons, § 410	Prohibited using or attempting to use, carrying, concealing, or possessing a slungshot, billy, sandclub or metal knuckles, or a dagger, dirk or dangerous knife. Punishable as a felony, and as a misdemeanor if a minor.	Slungshot; Billy; Sandclub; Metal knuckles; Dagger; Dirk;		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 235 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17957 Page 50 of 56

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
					Dangerous knife		
172	1885	New York – City of Syracuse	Charter and Ordinances of the City of Syracuse: Together with the Rules of the Common Council, the Rules and Regulations of the Police and Fire Departments, and the Civil Service Regulations, at 215 (1885), [Offenses Against the Public Peace and Quiet,] § 7	Prohibited the carrying or using with the intent to do bodily harm a dirk, Bowie knife, sword or spear cane, pistol, revolver, slungshot, jimmy, brass knuckles, or other deadly or unlawful weapon. Punishable by a fine of \$25-100 and/or imprisonment for 30 days to 3 months.	Dirk; Bowie knife; Sword; Spear cane; Pistol; Revolver; Slungshot; Jimmy; Metal knuckles; Other deadly or unlawful weapon		
173	1885	Oregon	1885 Or. Laws 33, An Act to Prevent Persons from Carrying Concealed Weapons and to Provide for the Punishment of the Same, §§ 1-2	Prohibited the concealed carrying of any revolver, pistol, or other firearm, or any knife (other than an "ordinary pocket knife"), or any dirk, dagger, slungshot, metal knuckles, or any instrument that could cause injury. Punishable by a fine of \$10-200 or imprisonment for 5- 100 days.	Revolver; Pistol; Other firearm; Knife; Dirk; Dagger; Slungshot; Metal knuckles		
174	1886	Colorado – City of Denver	Isham White, The Laws and Ordinances of the City of Denver, Colorado, at 369, § 10 (1886)	Prohibited the carrying of any slungshot, colt, or metal knuckles while engaged in any breach of the peace. Punishable by a fine of \$25-300.	Slungshot; Colt; Metal knuckles		
175	1886	Georgia	1886 Ga. L. 17, ch. 54	Imposed \$100 occupational tax on dealers of pistols, revolvers,	Pistol; Revolver; Dirk;		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 236 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17958 Page 51 of 56

Duncan v. Bonta, No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
				dirks, Bowie knives, and "pistol or revolver cartridges."	Bowie knife; Pistol or		
					revolver cartridges		
176	1886	Maryland – County of Calvert	1886 Md. Laws 315, An Act to Prevent the Carrying of Guns, Pistols, Dirk-knives, Razors, Billies or Bludgeons by any Person in Calvert County, on the Days of Election in said County, Within One Mile of the Polls § 1	Prohibited the carrying of a gun, pistol, dirk, dirk-knife, razor, billy or bludgeon on an election day. Punishable by a fine of \$10-50.	Gun; Pistol; Dirk; Razor; Billy; Bludgeon		
177	1886	Maryland – County of Calvert	John Prentiss Poe, The Maryland Code. Public Local Laws, Adopted by the General Assembly of Maryland March 14, 1888. Including also the Acts of the Session of 1888 Incorporated Therein, and Prefaced with the Constitution of the State, at 468-69 (Vol. 1, 1888), Concealed Weapons, § 30	Prohibited the carrying of a concealed pistol, dirk knife, Bowie knife, slungshot, billy, sandclub, metal knuckles, razor, or any other dangerous or deadly weapon. Punishable by fine of up to \$500 or imprisonment of up to 6 months.	Pistol; Dirk; Bowie knife; Slungshot; Billy; Sandclub; Metal knuckles; Razor; Other dangerous or deadly weapon		
178	1886	Maryland	1886 Md. Laws 315, An Act to Prevent the Carrying of Guns, Pistols, Dirk-knives, Razors, Billies or Bludgeons by any Person in Calvert County, on the Days of	Prohibited the carrying of a gun, pistol, dirk, dirk-knife, razor, billy or bludgeon on an election day within 300 yards of the polls. Punishable by fine of \$10- 50.	Gun; Pistol; Dirk; Razo; Billy; Bludgeon		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 237 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17959 Page 52 of 56

Duncan v. Bonta, No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB **Defendant's Survey of Relevant Statutes (Pre-Founding – 1888)**

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
			Election in said County, Within One Mile of the Polls § 1				
179	1887	Alabama	1886 Ala. L. 36, ch. 4	Imposed \$300 occupational tax on dealers of pistols, pistol cartridges, Bowie knives, and dirk knives.	Pistol; Pistol cartridges; Bowie knife; Dirk		
180	1887	Iowa – City of Council Bluffs	Geoffrey Andrew Holmes, Compiled Ordinances of the City of Council Bluffs, and Containing the Statutes Applicable to Cities of the First-Class, Organized under the Laws of Iowa, at 206-07 (1887), Carrying Concealed Weapons Prohibited, § 105	Prohibited the carrying of a concealed pistol or firearms, slungshot, brass knuckles, or knuckles of lead, brass or other metal or material, or any sandbag, air guns of any description, dagger, Bowie knife, or instrument for cutting, stabbing or striking, or other dangerous or deadly weapon, instrument or device.	Pistol; Slungshot; Metal knuckles; Sandbag; Air guns; Dagger; Bowie knife; Instrument for cutting; stabbing or striking; Other dangerous or deadly weapon		
181	1887	Kansas – City of Independence	O. P. Ergenbright, Revised Ordinances of the City of Independence, Kansas: Together with the Amended Laws Governing Cities of the Second Class and Standing Rules of the City Council, at 162 (1887), Weapons, § 27	Prohibited using a pistol or other weapon in a hostile or threatening manner. Also prohibited carrying a concealed pistol, dirk, Bowie knife, revolver, slungshot, billy, brass, lead, or iron knuckles, or any deadly weapon. Punishable by fine of \$5-100.	Pistol; Dirk; Bowie knife; Revolver; Slungshot; Billy; Metal knuckles; Any deadly weapon		

52

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 238 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17960 Page 53 of 56

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
182	1887	Michigan	1887 Mich. Pub. Acts 144, An Act to Prevent The Carrying Of Concealed Weapons, And To Provide Punishment Therefore, § 1	Prohibited the carrying of a concealed dirk, dagger, sword, pistol, air gun, stiletto, metallic knuckles, pocket-billy, sandbag, skull cracker, slungshot, razor or other offensive and dangerous weapon or instrument.	RegulationDirk;Dagger;Sword;Pistol;Air gun;Stiletto;Metallicknuckles;Billy;Sand bag;Skull cracker;Slungshot;Razor;Other offensiveand dangerousweapon orinstrument	Status	Keview
183	1887	Montana [Territory]	1887 Mont. Laws 549, Criminal Laws, § 174	Prohibited the carrying of a any pistol, gun, knife, dirk-knife, bludgeon, or other offensive weapon with the intent to assault a person. Punishable by fine up to \$100 or imprisonment up to 3 months.	Pistol; Knife; Dirk; Bludgeon; Other offensive weapon		
184	1887	New Mexico [Territory]	An Act to Prohibit the Unlawful Carrying and Use of Deadly Weapons, Feb. 18, 1887, reprinted in Acts of the Legislative Assembly of the Territory of New Mexico, Twenty- Seventh Session 55, 58 (1887)	Defined "deadly weapons" as including pistols, whether the same be a revolved, repeater, derringer, or any kind or class of pistol or gun; any and all kinds of daggers, Bowie knives, poniards, butcher knives, dirk knives, and all such weapons with which dangerous cuts can	Pistol; Dagger; Bowie Knife; Poniard; Butcher Knife; Dirk		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 239 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17961 Page 54 of 56

Duncan v. Bonta, No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
				be given, or with which dangerous thrusts can be inflicted, including sword canes, and any kind of sharp pointed canes; as also slungshots, bludgeons or any other deadly weapons.	2		
185	1887	Virginia	The Code of Virginia: With the Declaration of Independence and the Constitution of the United States; and the Constitution of Virginia, at 897 (1887), Offences Against the Peace, § 3780	Prohibited the carrying of a concealed pistol, dirk, Bowie knife, razor, slungshot, or any weapon of the like kind. Punishable by fine of \$20-100 and forfeiture of the weapon.	Pistol; Dirk; Bowie knife; Razor; Slungshot; Any weapon of the like kind		
186	1888	Maryland – County of Kent	John Prentiss Poe, The Maryland Code : Public Local Laws, Adopted by the General Assembly of Maryland March 14, 1888. Including also the Public Local Acts of the Session of 1888 incorporated therein, at 1457 (Vol. 2, 1888), Election Districts– Fences, § 99	Prohibited carrying, on days of an election, any gun, pistol, dirk, dirk-knife, razor, billy or bludgeon. Punishable by a fine of \$5-20.	Gun; Pistol; Dirk; Razor; Billy; Bludgeon		
187	1888	Florida	Fla. Act of Aug. 6, 1888, ch. 1637, subch. 7, § 10, as codified in Fla. Rev. State., tit. 2, pt. 5 (1892)	Prohibited the concealed carrying of slungshot, metallic knuckles, billies, firearms, or other dangerous weapons if arrested for committing a criminal offense or disturbance of the peace. Punishable by	Slungshot; Metallic knuckles; Billy; Firearms; Other		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 240 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17962 Page 55 of 56

Duncan v. Bonta, No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
				imprisonment up to 1 year and a fine up to \$50.	dangerous weapon		
188	1888	Georgia	1888 Ga. L. 22, ch. 123	Imposed \$25 occupational tax on dealers of pistols, revolvers, dirks, or Bowie knives.	Pistol; Revolver; Dirk; Bowie knife; Pistol or revolver cartridges	Raised to \$100 in 1890.	
189	1888	Maryland – City of Baltimore	John Prentiss Poe, The Maryland Code. Public Local Laws, Adopted by the General Assembly of Maryland March 14, 1888. Including also the Public Local Acts of the Session of 1888 Incorporated Therein, at 522-23 (Vol. 1, 1888), City of Baltimore, § 742	Prohibited the carrying of a pistol, dirk knife, Bowie knife, slingshot, billy, brass, iron or any other metal knuckles, razor, or any other deadly weapon if arrested for being drunk and disorderly. Punishable by fine of \$5-25, and confiscation of the weapon.	Pistol; Dirk; Bowie knife; Slingshot; Billy; Metal knuckles; Razor; Other deadly weapon		
190	1888	Minnesota	George Brooks Young. General Statutes of the State of Minnesota in Force January 1, 1889, at 1006 (Vol. 2, 1888), Making, Selling, etc., Dangerous Weapons, §§ 333-34	Prohibited manufacturing, selling, giving, or disposing of a slungshot, sandclub, or metal knuckles, or selling or giving a pistol or firearm to a minor without magistrate consent. Also prohibited carrying a concealed slungshot, sandclub, or metal knuckles, or a dagger, dirk, knife, pistol or other fire- arm, or any dangerous weapon.	Slungshot; Sandclub; Metal knuckles; Dagger; Dirk; Knife; Pistol; Any dangerous weapon		
191	1888	Utah – City of Salt Lake City	Dangerous and Concealed Weapon, Feb. 14, 1888,	Prohibited carrying a slingshot or any concealed deadly weapon	Slingshot; Deadly weapon		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 241 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-1 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17963 Page 56 of 56

Duncan v. Bonta, No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB

No.	Year of	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of	Repeal	Judicial
	Enactment				Regulation	Status	Review
		[Territory]	reprinted in The Revised Ordinances Of Salt Lake City, Utah 283 (1893) (Salt Lake City, Utah). § 14	without permission of the mayor. Punishable by fine up to \$50.			

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 242 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17964 Page 1 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
192	1889	Arizona [Territory]	1889 Ariz. Sess. Laws 16, § 1	Prohibited carrying of any pistol, dirk, dagger, slungshot, sword cane, spear, brass knuckles, Bowie knife, or any knife manufactured to offensive or defensive purposes. Punishable by a fine of \$25- 100 and forfeiture of the	Pistol; Dirk; Dagger; Slungshot; Sword cane; Spear; Brass knuckles; Bowie knife; Other offensive or	Status	Keview
193	1889	Idaho [Territory]	The Act of the Territory of Idaho approved February 4, 1889 (Sess. Laws 1889, p. 27)	weapon. Prohibited private persons from carrying "deadly weapons" within any city, town or village.	defensive knife Deadly weapons		In re Brickey, 8 Idaho 597 (1902) (held unconstitution al under the Second Amendment and state constitution)
194	1889	Pennsylvania – City of Johnstown	Laws of the City of Johnstown, Pa., Embracing City Charter, Act of Assembly of May 23, 1889,	Prohibited the concealed carrying of any pistol, razor, dirk, Bowie knife, blackjack, handy billy, or other deadly	Pistol; Razor; Dirk; Bowie knife;		

Duncan v. Bonta, No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Defendant's Survey of Relevant Statutes (1889–1930s)^{1,2}

¹ In compliance with the Court's Order dated December 15, 2022 (Dkt. 134), Defendant created this survey of statutes, laws, and regulations that Defendant has determined are relevant to this action. Plaintiffs disagree that nearly all of those statutes, laws, and regulations are relevant to the historical analysis required in this case, and in compliance with the Court's December 15 Order, the chart reflects Plaintiffs' position regarding the relevance of each law.

² The surveys have been filed in compliance with the Court's Order directing the parties to identify all relevant laws, statutes, and regulations from the time of the Second Amendment to twenty years after adoption of the Fourteenth Amendment. In compliance with that Order and in recognition of the historical inquiry mandated by *Bruen*, the spreadsheets identify hundreds of relevant firearms laws, some of which were drafted well before the Thirteenth Amendment's abolition of slavery and the Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause. While our subsequent briefing, as ordered by the Court, will explain in more detail the historical context and relevance of such laws, the Attorney General emphasizes his strong disagreement with racial and other improper discrimination that existed in some such laws, and which stand in stark contrast to California's commonsense firearm laws, which are designed to justly and equitably protect all Californians. The listing of such racist and discriminatory statutes should in no way be construed as an endorsement of such laws by the Attorney General or his counsel in this matter.

1

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 243 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17965 Page 2 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
			for the Government of Cities of the Third Class, General and Special Ordinances, Rules of Select and Common Councils and Joint Sessions, at 86 (1897), An Ordinance for the Security of Persons and Property of the Inhabitants of the City of Johnstown; The preservation of the Public Peace and Good Order of the City, and Prescribing Penalties for Offenses Against the Same, § 12	weapon. Punishable by fine of \$5-50.	Blackjack; Billy; Other deadly weapon		
195	1890	Connecticut – City of New Haven	Charles Stoers Hamilton, Charter and Ordinances of the City of New Haven, Together with Legislative Acts Affecting Said City, at 164, § 192 (1890)	Prohibited the concealed carrying of any metal knuckles, pistol, slungshot, stiletto, or similar weapons, absent written permission of the mayor or superintendent of police. Punishable by a fine of \$5-50.	Metal knuckles; Slungshot; Stiletto		
196	1890	Georgia	1890 Ga. L. 38, ch. 131	Imposed \$100 occupational tax on dealers of pistols, revolvers, dirks, or Bowie knives.	Pistol; Revolver; Dirk; Bowie knife; Pistol or revolver cartridges		
197	1890	Louisiana	890 La. L. 39, ch. 46	Prohibiting the transfer of any pistol, dirk, Bowie knife, or "any other dangerous weapon, which may be	Pistol; Dirk; Bowie knife;		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 244 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17966 Page 3 of 39

No.	Year of	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of	Repeal	Judicial
	Enactment				Regulation	Status	Review
				carried concealed on a	Other dangerous		
				person to any person under	weapon		
				the age of 21.			
198	1890	Maryland –	John Prentiss Poe, The	Prohibited the carrying of a	Pistol;		
		City of	Baltimore City Code,	concealed pistol, dirk-knife,	Dirk;		
		Baltimore	Containing the Public Local	Bowie knife, slingshot, billy,	Bowie knife;		
			Laws of Maryland Relating	sandclub, metal knuckles,	Slingshot;		
			to the City of Baltimore, and	razor or any other dangerous	Billy;		
			the Ordinances of the Mayor	or deadly weapon, or who	Sandclub;		
			and City Council, in Force	openly carries with the intent	Metal knuckles;		
			on the First Day of	to injure a person.	Razor;		
			November, 1891, with a	Punishable by fine of up to	Other dangerous or		
			Supplement, Containing the	\$500 and imprisonment up	deadly weapon		
			Public Local Laws Relating	to 6 months.			
			to the City of Baltimore,				
			Passed at the Session of				
			1892 of the General				
			Assembly, and also the				
			Ordinances of the Mayor and City Council, Passed at				
			the Session of 1891-92, and				
			of 1892-1893, up to the				
			Summer Recess of 1893, at				
			297-98 (1893), Ordinances				
			of Baltimore, § 742A				
199	1890	Nebraska –	W. J. Connell, The Revised	Prohibited the carrying of a	Pistol;		
1//	1070	City of Omaha	Ordinances of the City of	concealed pistol or revolver,	Revolver;		
		ency of official	Omaha, Nebraska,	colt, billy, slungshot, brass	Colt;		
			Embracing All Ordinances	knuckles or knuckles of lead,	Billy;		
			of a General Nature in Force	dirk, dagger, or any knife	Slungshot;		
			April 1, 1890, Together with	resembling a Bowie knife, or	Metal knuckles		
			the Charter for Metropolitan	any other dangerous or	Dirk;		
			Cities, the Constitution of		Dagger;		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 245 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17967 Page 4 of 39

No.	Year of	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of	Repeal	Judicial
	Enactment				Regulation	Status	Review
			the United States and the	deadly weapon. Punishable	Any knife		
			Constitution of the State of	by fine up to \$100.	resembling a bowie		
			Nebraska, at 344 (1890),		knife;		
			Ordinances of Omaha,		Other dangerous or		
			Concealed Weapons, § 10		deadly weapon		
200	1890	Nebraska –	W. J. Connell, The Revised	Prohibited the carrying of a	Pistol;		
		City of Omaha	Ordinances of the City of	concealed pistol or revolver,	Revolver;		
		-	Omaha, Nebraska,	colt, billy, slungshot, brass	Colt;		
			Embracing All Ordinances	knuckles or knuckles of lead,	Billy;		
			of a General Nature in Force	dirk, dagger, or any knife	Slungshot;		
			April 1, 1890, Together with	resembling a Bowie knife, or	Metal knuckles;		
			the Charter for Metropolitan	any other dangerous or	Dirk;		
			Cities, the Constitution of	deadly weapon. Punishable	Dagger;		
			the United States and the	by fine up to \$100.	Any knife		
			Constitution of the State of		resembling a bowie		
			Nebraska, at 344 (1890),		knife;		
			Ordinances of Omaha,		Other dangerous or		
			Concealed Weapons, § 10.		deadly weapon		
201	1890	Oklahoma	1890 Okla. Laws 495, art.	Prohibited the concealed	Pistol;		
		[Territory]	47, §§ 1, 2, 10; Leander G.	carrying of any pistol,	Revolver;		
			Pitman, The Statutes of	revolver, Bowie knife, dirk,	Bowie knife;		
			Oklahoma, 1890. (From the	dagger, slungshot, sword	Dirk;		
			Laws Passed by the First	cane, spear, metal knuckles,	Dagger;		
			Legislative Assembly of the	or any other knife or	Slungshot;		
			Territory), at 495-96 (1891)	instrument manufactured or	Sword cane;		
				sold solely for defense. Also	Spear;		
				prohibited the carrying of	Metal knuckles;		
				any pistol, revolver, Bowie	Other knife;		
				knife, dirk knife, loaded	Loaded cane;		
				cane, billy, metal knuckles,	Billy;		
				or "any other offensive or	Other offensive or		
				defense weapon."	defensive weapon		
				Punishable by a fine of \$50-			

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 246 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17968 Page 5 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
				500 and imprisonment for 3- 12 months.			
202	1890	Oklahoma [Territory]	1890 Okla. Sess. Laws 475, Crimes Against The Public Health And Safety, §§ 18-19	Prohibited the manufacture, sale, giving, or disposing of any instrument or weapon usually known as a slungshot, and prohibited the carrying any slungshot or similar weapon.	Slungshot		
203	1890	Oklahoma – Town of Checotah [Territory]	General Laws Relating to Incorporated Towns of Indian Territory, at 37 (1890), Revised Ordinances of the Town of Checotah, Ordinance No. 11, § 3	Prohibited the carrying of any pistol; dirk; butcher knife; Bowie knife; sword; spear-cane, metal knuckles, razor, slungshot, sandbag, or a switchblade.	Pistol; Dirk; Butcher knife; Sword; Spear cane; Metal knuckles; Razor; Slungshot; Sandbag; Switchblade		
204	1891	Michigan	1891 Mich. Pub. Acts 409, Police Department, pt 15	Prohibited the carrying of a concealed pistol, revolver, Bowie knife, dirk, slungshot, billie, sandbag, false knuckles, or other dangerous weapon. Also prohibited lurking or being concealed with the intent to injure a person or property, or threatening to beat or kill a person or property. Punishable by fine up to \$100 and the costs of	Pistol; Revolver; Bowie; Dirk; Slungshot; Billy; Sandbag; False knuckles; Other dangerous weapon		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 247 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17969 Page 6 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
				prosecution, and in default of payment, imprisonment.			
205	1891	Missouri	"Shot by a Trap-Gun," The South Bend Tribune, Feb. 11, 1891	Fined farmer for setting a trap gun that killed his wife.	Trap gun		
206	1891	North Dakota	1891 N.D. Laws 193, An Act to Amend Sections 1 and 2 of Chapter 63 of the General Laws of 1883, ch. 70, § 1	Prohibited the setting of any gun or gun trap to be discharged at certain animals.	Trap gun		
207	1891	West Virginia	1891 W. Va. Code 915, Of Offences Against the Peace, ch. 148, § 7	Prohibited the carrying of a pistol, dirk, Bowie knife, razor, slungshot, billy, metallic or other false knuckles, or any other dangerous or deadly weapon. Also prohibited selling such a weapon to a minor. Punishable by fine of \$25-200 and imprisonment for 1-12 months.	Pistol; Dirk; Bowie knife; Razor; Slungshot; Billy; Metal knuckles; Other dangerous or deadly weapon		
208	1892	Alabama	1892 Ala. L. 183, ch. 95	Imposed \$300 occupational tax on dealers of pistols, pistol cartridges, Bowie knives, and dirk knives, and clarified that cartridges that can be used in a pistol shall be deemed pistol cartridges.	Pistol; Pistol cartridges; Bowie knife; Dirk		
209	1892	Georgia	1892 Ga. L. 25, ch. 133	Imposed \$100 occupational tax on dealers of pistols, revolvers, dirks, Bowie knives, and metal knuckles.	Pistol; Revolver; Dirk; Bowie knife; Pistol or revolver		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 248 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17970 Page 7 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
					cartridges; Metal knuckles		
210	1892	Washington – City of Tacoma	Albert R. Heilig, Ordinances of the City of Tacoma, Washington, at 333-34 (1892)	Prohibited the carrying of a concealed a revolver, pistol or other fire arms or any knife (other than an ordinary pocket knife) or any dirk or dagger, slingshot or metal knuckles, or any instrument by the use of which injury could be inflicted upon the person.	Revolver; Pistol; Knife; Dirk; Dagger; Slingshot; Metal knuckles; Instrument that causes injury		
211	1893	Arizona [Territory]	1893 Ariz. Sess. Laws 3, § 1	Prohibited the concealed carrying of any pistol or other firearm, dirk, dagger, slungshot, sword cane, spear, brass knuckles, Bowie knife (or any kind of knife, except a pocket knife not manufactured for offensive or defensive use).	Pistol; Other firearm; Dirk; Dagger; Slungshot; Sword-cane; Spear; Metal knuckles; Bowie knife; Any kind of knife (other than pocket knife)		
212	1893	Delaware	Revised Statutes of the State of Delaware, of Eight Hundred and Fifty-Two. As They Have Since Been Amended, Together with the Additional Laws of a Public and General Nature, Which Have Been Enacted Since the Publication of the	Prohibited the concealed carrying of deadly weapons or selling deadly weapons other than an ordinary pocket knife, and prohibited discharging any firearm in any public road. Punishable by fine of \$25-100 or by	Deadly weapon		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 249 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17971 Page 8 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
			Revised Code of Eighteen Fifty-Two. To the Year of Our Lord One Thousand Eight Hundred and Ninety- Three; to Which are Added the Constitutions of the United States and of this State, the Declaration of Independence, and Appendix, at 987 (1893), An Act Providing for the Punishment of Persons Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapons, § 1	imprisonment for 10-30 days.			
213	1893	North Carolina	1893 N.C. L. 468-69, ch. 514	Prohibiting the transfer of any pistol, pistol cartridge, brass knucks, Bowie knife, dirk, loaded cane, or slingshot to a minor.	Pistol; Pistol cartridge; Metal knuckles; Bowie knife; Dirk; Loaded cane; Slingshot		
214	1893	Rhode Island	1893 R.I. Pub. Laws 231, An Act Prohibiting The Carrying Of Concealed Weapons, chap. 1180, § 1	Prohibited the carrying of any dirk, Bowie knife, butcher knife, dagger, razor, sword cane, air-gun, billy, metal knuckles, slungshot, pistol, or firearm of any description.	Dirk; Bowie knife; Butcher knife; Dagger; Razor; Sword Cane; Air gun; Billy; Metal knuckles; Slungshot; Pistol; Other firearm		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 250 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17972 Page 9 of 39

No.	Year of	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of	Repeal	Judicial
	Enactment				Regulation	Status	Review
215	1893	Tennessee – City of Nashville	Claude Waller, Digest of the Ordinances of the City of Nashville, to Which are Prefixed the State Laws Incorporating, and Relating to, the City, with an Appendix Containing Various Grants and Franchises, at 364-65 (1893), Ordinances of the City of Nashville, § 738	Prohibited the carrying of a pistol, Bowie knife, dirk knife, slungshot, brass knucks, or other deadly weapon. Punishable by fine of \$10-50 for a first offense and \$50 for subsequent offenses.	Pistol; Bowie knife; Dirk; Slungshot; Brass knuckles; Other deadly weapon		
216	1893	Wyoming – City of Rawlins	A. McMicken, City Attorney, The Revised Ordinances of the City of Rawlins, Carbon County, Wyoming, at 131-32 (1893), Revised Ordinances of the City of Rawlins, Article VII, Carrying Firearms and Lethal Weapons, § 1	Prohibited a person from possessing or carrying a pistol, revolver, knife, slungshot, bludgeon or other lethal weapon. Punishable by fine up to \$100 or imprisonment up to 30 days.	Pistol; Revolver; Knife; Slungshot; Bludgeon; Other lethal weapon		
217	1895	North Dakota	1895 N.D. Rev. Codes 1293, Penal Code, Crimes Against the Public Health and Safety, ch. 40, §§ 7312-13	Prohibited the carrying of any slungshot or similar weapon, and the concealed carrying of any firearm or any "sharp or dangerous weapon."	Slungshot; Firearm; Sharp or dangerous weapon		
218	1895	North Dakota	The Revised Codes of the State of North Dakota 1895 Together with the Constitution of the United States and of the State of North Dakota with the	Prohibited the setting of any spring or trap gun.	Trap gun		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 251 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17973 Page 10 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
			Amendments Thereto, at 1259 (1895)				
219	1895	Vermont – City of Barre	Ordinances of the City of Barre, Vermont, ch. 16, § 18 (1895)	Prohibited discharging a gun, pistol, or other loaded firearm, firecracker, serpent, or other explosive, unless on a person's own property or with the permission of the property owner. Also prohibited making a bonfire in the street except with city council permission and the carrying of concealed steel or brass knuckles, a pistol, slungshot, stiletto, or weapon of similar character.	Steel or brass knuckles; Pistol; Slungshot; Stiletto; Weapon of similar character; Gun; Loaded firearm; Firecracker; Serpent		
220	1896	California – City of Fresno	L. W. Moultrie, City Attorney, Charter and Ordinances of the City of Fresno, 1896, at 37, § 53 (1896)	Prohibited the transfer to any minor under the age of 18 any gun, pistol or other firearm, dirk, Bowie knife, powder, shot, bullets, or any combustible or dangerous material, absent written consent of parent or guardian.	Gun; Pistol; Dirk; Bowie knife; Powder; Shot; Bullets		
221	1896	California – City of Fresno	L. W. Moultrie, Charter and Ordinances of the City of Fresno, at 30, § 8 (1896)	Prohibited the concealed carrying of any pistol or firearm, slungshot, dirk, Bowie knife, or other deadly weapon, absent written permission.	Pistol; Firearm; Slungshot; Dirk; Bowie knife; Other deadly weapon		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 252 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17974 Page 11 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
222	1896	Mississippi	1896 Miss. L. 109-10, ch. 104	Prohibited the carrying of a concealed Bowie knife, dirk, butcher knife, pistol, brass or metallic knuckles, slingshot, sword, or other deadly weapon "of like kind or description."	Bowie knife; Dirk; Butcher knife; Pistol; Metal Knuckles; Slingshot; Sword; Other deadly weapon of like kind		
223	1896	Rhode Island	General Laws of the State of Rhode Island and Providence Plantations to Which are Prefixed the Constitutions of the United States and of the State, at 1010-11 (1896), Offences Against Public Policy, §§ 23, 24, 26	Prohibited the carrying of any dirk, Bowie knife, butcher knife, dagger, razor, sword cane, air-gun, billy, metal knuckles, slungshot, pistol, or firearm of any description. Exempted officers or watchmen whose duties required them to make arrests or guard prisoners or property.	Dirk; Bowie knife; Butcher knife; Dagger; Razor; Sword cane; Air gun; Billy; Metal knuckles; Slungshot; Pistol; Other firearm		
224	1896	Washington – City of Spokane	Rose M. Denny, The Municipal Code of the City of Spokane, Washington. Comprising the Ordinances of the City (Excepting Ordinances Establishing Street Grades) Revised to October 22, 1896, at 309-10 (1896), Ordinances of Spokane, An Ordinance to Punish the Carrying of	Prohibited the carrying of a concealed revolver, pistol or other fire-arms, or any knife (other than an ordinary pocket knife) or any dirk or dagger, sling-shot or metal knuckles, or any instrument by the use of which injury could be inflicted upon the person or property. punishable by fine of \$25- 100, cost of prosecution, and	Revolver; Pistol; Other firearms; Knife; Dirk; Dagger; Slingshot; Metal knuckles; Any instrument that can cause injury		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 253 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17975 Page 12 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
			Concealed Weapons within the City of Spokane, § 1	imprisonment until fines/costs are paid.			
225	1897	Alabama	William Logan Martin, Commissioner, The Code of Alabama, Adopted by Act of the General Assembly of the State of Alabama, Approved February 16, 1897, § 27 (1897)	Tax of \$300 on the sale of pistols, pistol cartridges, Bowie knives, and dirk knives.	Pistol; Pistol cartridge; Bowie knife; Dirk		
226	1897	Missouri – City of Saint Joseph	William K. Amick, The General Ordinances of the City of Saint Joseph (A City of the Second Class) Embracing all Ordinances of General Interest in Force July 15, 1897, together with the Laws of the State of Missouri of a General Nature Applicable to the City of St. Joseph. Compiled and Arranged, at 508 (1897), Concealed Weapons – Carrying of, § 7	Prohibited the carrying of a concealed pistol or revolver, colt, billy, slungshot, cross knuckles or knuckles of lead, brass or other metal, dirk, dagger, razor, Bowie knife, or any knife resembling a Bowie knife, or any other dangerous or deadly weapon.	Pistol; Revolver,; Colt; Billy; Slungshot; Metal knuckles; Dirk; Dagger; Razor; Bowie knife; Any knife resembling a bowie knife; Other dangerous or deadly weapon		
227	1897	Texas	1897 Tex. Gen. Laws 221, An Act to Prevent the Barter, Sale And Gift of Any Pistol, Dirk, Dagger, Slung Shot, Sword Cane, Spear, or Knuckles Made of Any Metal Or Hard Substance to Any Minor Without the Written Consent of the	Prohibited the selling or giving to a minor a pistol, dirk, dagger, slungshot, sword cane, spear or knuckles made of any metal or hard substance, Bowie knife or any other knife manufactured or sold for the purpose of offense or	Pistol; Dirk; Dagger; Slungshot; Sword cane; Spear; Knuckles; Bowie knife; Any other knife		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 254 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17976 Page 13 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
			Parent or Guardian of Such Minor, ch. 155	defense without the consent of their parent or guardian. Punishable by fine of \$25- 200 and/or imprisonment for 10-30 days.	used for offense or defense		
228	1897	Washington	Richard Achilles Ballinger, Ballinger's Annotated Codes and Statutes of Washington: Showing All Statutes in Force, Including the Session Laws of 1897, at 1956-57 (Vol. 2, 1897), Carrying Concealed Weapons, § 7084	Prohibited the carrying of a concealed revolver, pistol, or other fire-arms, or any knife, (other than an ordinary pocket knife), or any dirk or dagger, sling-shot, or metal knuckles, or any instrument by the use of which injury could be inflicted upon the person or property of any other person. Punishable by fine of \$25-100 and/or imprisonment for 30 days.	Revolver; Pistol; Other fire-arms; Knife; Dirk; Dagger; Slingshot; Metal knuckles; Any instrument that can cause injury		
229	1898	Georgia	1898 Ga. L. 60, ch. 103	Prohibited the concealed carry of any pistol, dirk, sword cane, spear, Bowie knife, other kind of knife "manufactured and sold for purpose of offense and defense," and any "kind of metal knucks."	Bowie knife; Other knife manufactured for wearing or carrying for offense or defense; Pistol; Sword; Sword cane; Spear; Metal knuckles		
230	1898	Oregon – City of Oregon City	The Charter of Oregon City, Oregon, Together with the Ordinances and Rules of Order, 259 (1898), An	Prohibited the carrying of any slingshot, billy, dirk, pistol, or "any concealed deadly weapon," and the	Slingshot; Billy; Dirk; Pistol;		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 255 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17977 Page 14 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
			Ordinance Providing for the Punishment of Disorderly Persons, and Keepers and Owners of Disorderly Houses, § 2	discharge of any firearm, air gun, sparrow gun, flipper, or bean shooter, unless in self- defense.	Concealed deadly weapon; Firearm; Air gun; Sparrow gun; Flipper; Bean shooter		
231	1899	Alaska	Fred F. Barker, Compilation of the Acts of Congress and Treaties Relating to Alaska: From March 30, 1867, to March 3, 1905, at App. A, p. 139 (30 Stat. L. 1253 (1899)); 1896-99 Alaska Sess. Laws 1270, ch. 6, § 117	Prohibited concealed carrying in any manner any revolver, pistol, other firearm, knife (other than an "ordinary pocket knife"), dirk, dagger, slungshot, metal knuckles, or any instrument that could cause injury to a person or property.	Pistol; Revolver; Other firearm; Knife; Dirk; Dagger; Slungshot; Metal knuckles; Other instrument		
232	1899	Nebraska – City of Fairfield	Compiled Ordinances of the City of Fairfield, Clay County, Nebraska, at 34 (1899), Ordinance No. 20, An Ordinance to Prohibit the Carrying of Concealed Weapons and Fixing a Penalty for the violations of the same. Be it ordained by the Mayor and Council of the City of Fairfield, Nebraska: § 1	Prohibited the carrying of a concealed pistol, revolver, dirk, Bowie knife, billy, slingshot, metal knuckles, or other dangerous or deadly weapons. Punishable by forfeiture and "shall be so adjudged."	Pistol; Revolver; Dirk; Bowie knife; Billy; Slingshot; Metal knuckles; Other dangerous or deadly weapons		
233	1899	Texas – City of San Antonio	Theodore Harris, Charter and Ordinances of the City of San Antonio. Comprising All Ordinances of a General	Prohibited drawing in a threatening manner a pistol, gun, knife, sword cane, club or any other instrument or	Pistol; Gun; Knife; Sword cane;		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 256 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17978 Page 15 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
			Character in Force August 7th, at 220 (1899), Ordinances of the City of	weapon that may cause death.	Club; Any other instrument or		
			San Antonio, Ordinances, ch. 22, § 4		weapon that causes death		
234	1900	Iowa – City of Des Moines	William H. Baily, The Revised Ordinances of Nineteen Hundred of the City of Des Moines, Iowa, at 89-90, (1900), Ordinances City of Des Moines, Weapons, Concealed, § 209	Prohibited the carrying of a concealed pistol or other firearms, slungshot, brass knuckles, or knuckles of lead, brass or other metal or material, or any sandbag, air guns of any description, dagger, Bowie knife, dirk knife, or other knife or instrument for cutting, stabbing or striking, or other dangerous or deadly weapon.	Pistol; Slungshot; Metal knuckles; Sandbag; Air guns; Dagger; Bowie knife; Instrument for cutting; stabbing or striking; Other dangerous or deadly weapon		
235	1900	New York	1900 N.Y. Laws 459, An Act to Amend Section Four Hundred and Nine of the Penal Code, Relative to Dangerous Weapons, ch. 222, § 1	Prohibited manufacturing or selling a slungshot, billy, sandclub or metal knuckles, and prohibited selling a firearm to a minor in any city or incorporated village without written consent of police magistrate. Exempted any officer of the United States or peace officer when necessary and proper to discharge official duties.	Slungshot; Billy; Sandclub; Metal knuckles; Pistol; Other firearm		
236	1901	Arizona [Territory]	1901 Ariz. 1251-53, §§ 381, 385, 390	Prohibited the concealed carrying of any pistol or other firearm, dirk, dagger,	Pistol; Other firearm; Dirk;		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 257 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17979 Page 16 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
				slungshot, sword cane, spear, brass knuckles, Bowie knife (or any kind of knife, except a pocket knife not manufactured for offensive or defensive use). Exempted peace officers in discharge of official duties. Punishable by a fine of \$25- 100 and forfeiture of the weapon.	Dagger; Slungshot; Sword cane; Spear; Metal knuckles; Bowie knife; Any kind of knife (other than pocket knife)		
237	1901	Utah	1901 Utah Laws 97-98, An Act Defining an Infernal Machine, and Prescribing Penalties for the Construction or Contrivance of the Same, or Having Such Machine in Possession, or Delivering Such Machine to Any Person , ch. 96, §§ 1-3	Prohibited the construction and possession of any "infernal machine," defined as a device with a loaded firearm that is capable of igniting when moved, handled, or opened.	Infernal machine		
238	1903	Oklahoma [Territory]	Wilson's Rev. & Ann. St. Okla.(1903) § 583, c. 25	Prohibited the concealed carrying of any pistol, revolver, Bowie knife, dirk, dagger, slungshot, sword cane, spear, metal knuckles, or other kind of knife manufactured for defense.	Pistol; Revolver; Bowie knife; Dirk; Dagger; Slungshot; Sword Cane; Spear; Metal knuckles; Other knife		
239	1903	South Dakota	S.D. Rev. Code, Penal Code 1150 (1903) §§ 470, 471	Prohibited the carrying of a concealed slungshot,	Slungshot; Firearm;		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 258 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17980 Page 17 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
				firearm, or sharp or	Sharp or dangerous		
				dangerous weapon.	weapon		
240	1905	Indiana	1905 Ind. Acts 677, Public	Prohibited maliciously or	Gun;		
			Conveyance—Attacking, §	mischievously shooting a	Rifle;		
			410	gun, rifle, pistol or other	Pistol;		
				weapon, or throwing a stone,	Other weapon;		
				stick, club or any other	Stone; stick;		
				substance at a vehicle.	Club;		
				Punishable by imprisonment	Any other		
				for 30 days to 1 year and a	substance		
				fine of \$10-100.			
241	1905	New Jersey	1905 N.J. Laws 324-25, A	Prohibited the carrying of a	Revolver;	State v.	
			Supplement to an Act	concealed revolver, pistol or	Pistol;	Angelo, 3	
			Entitled "An Act for the	other deadly, offensive or	Other deadly;	N.J. Misc.	
			Punishment of Crimes," ch.	dangerous weapon or	offensive or	1014, 1015	
			172, § 1	firearm or any stiletto,	dangerous weapon	(1925)	
				dagger or razor. Punishable	or firearm or any	(upheld	
				by fine up to \$200 and/or	stiletto;	conceal carry	
				imprisonment with hard	Dagger;	ban)	
				labor up to 2 years.	razor		
242	1908	Rhode Island	1908 (January Session) R.I.	Prohibited the carrying of	Dirk;		
			Pub. Laws 145, An Act in	any dirk, dagger, razor,	Dagger;		
			Amendment of section 23 of	sword cane, Bowie knife,	Razor;		
			chapter 283 of the General	butcher knife, air-gun, billy,	Sword cane;		
			Laws, § 23	metal knuckles, slungshot,	Bowie knife;		
				pistol, other firearm.	Butcher knife;		
				Exempted officers or	Air gun;		
				watchmen.	Billy;		
					Metal knuckles;		
					Slungshot;		
					Pistol;		
					Other firearm.		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 259 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17981 Page 18 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
243	1909	Idaho	1909 Id. Sess. Laws 6, An Act To Regulate the Use and Carrying of Concealed Deadly Weapons and to Regulate the Sale or Delivery of Deadly Weapons to Minors Under the Age of Sixteen Years to Provide a Penalty for the Violation of the Provisions of this Act, and to Exempt Certain Persons, § 1	Prohibited the carrying a concealed dirk, Bowie knife, dagger, slungshot, pistol, revolver, gun, or any other deadly or dangerous weapon in any public setting.	Dirk; Bowie knife; Dagger; Slungshot; Pistol; Revolver; Other deadly or dangerous weapon	No longer restricts concealed carrying of a slungshot. <i>See</i> Idaho Stats. Ch. 33, § 18- 3302(2)(a)(b) (i).	State v. Hart, 66 Idaho 217 (1945) (upheld under state constitution)
244	1909	South Dakota	1909 S.D. Sess. Laws 450, An Act for the Preservation, Propagation, Protection, Taking, Use and Transportation of Game and Fish and Establishing the Office of State Game Warden and Defining His Duties, ch. 240, §§ 21-22	Prohibited the setting or possession of any "set gun."	Set gun		
245	1909	Washington	1909 Wash. Sess. Laws 973, An Act Relating to Crimes and Punishments and the Rights and Custody of Persons Accused or Convicted of Crime, and Repealing Certain Acts, ch. 249, ch. 7, §266, pts. 1-3	Prohibited the setting of any trap, spring pistol, rifle, or other deadly weapon. Punishable by imprisonment for up to 1 year or a fine of up to \$1,000. Further punishable by imprisonment for up to 20 years for non- fatal or fatal injuries resulting from the trap or	Trap gun		
246	1911	New York	1911 N.Y. Laws 442, An Act to Amend the Penal	Prohibited the manufacture, sale, giving, or disposing of	Blackjack; Slungshot;		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 260 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17982 Page 19 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
			Law, in Relation to the Sale	any weapon of the kind	Billy;		
			and Carrying of Dangerous	usually known as a	Sandclub;		
			Weapons, ch. 195, § 1	blackjack, slungshot, billy,	Sandbag;		
			1 2 20	sandclub, sandbag,	Bludgeon;		
				bludgeon, or metal knuckles,	Metal knuckles;		
				and the offering, sale,	Gun;		
				loaning, leasing, or giving of	Revolver;		
				any gun, revolver, pistol, air	Pistol;		
				gun, or spring-gun to a	Air gun;		
				person under the age of 16.	Spring gun		
247	1911	New York	1911 N.Y. Laws 442-43, An	Prohibited the carrying or	Blackjack;		
			Act to Amend the Penal	possession of any weapon of	Slungshot;		
			Law, in Relation to the Sale	the kind commonly known	Billy;		
			and Carrying of Dangerous	as a blackjack, slungshot,	Sandclub;		
			Weapons. ch. 195, § 1	billy, sandclub, sandbag,	Sandbag;		
				metal knuckles, or bludgeon,	Metal knuckles;		
				and the carrying or	Bludgeon;		
				possession of any dagger,	Dagger;		
				dirk, dangerous knife, razor,	Dirk;		
				stiletto, or other "dangerous	Dangerous knife;		
				or deadly instrument or	Razor;		
				weapon" with intent to use	Stiletto;		
				the weapon unlawfully	Other dangerous or		
				against another.	deadly weapon		
248	1912	Vermont	1912 Vt. Acts and Resolves	Prohibited the setting of any	Spring gun		
			261	spring gun. Punishable by a			
				fine of \$50-500 and liability			
				for twice the amount of			
				damage resulting from the			
				trap.			
249	1913	Florida	1913 Fla. 117, An Act to	Prohibited hunting wild	Machine guns		
			Regulate the Hunting of	game with automatic guns.			
			Wild Deer etc., § 8				

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 261 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17983 Page 20 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
250	1913	Hawaii	1913 Haw. Rev. Laws ch.	Prohibited the carrying a	Bowie knife;	Status	ICVIEW
230	1915	[Territory]	209, § 3089, Carrying	Bowie knife, sword cane,	Sword cane;		
		[Termory]	Deadly Weapons, § 3089.	pistol, air-gun, slungshot, or	Pistol;		
			Deadry Weapons, § 5089.	other deadly weapon.	Air gun;		
				Punishable by fine of \$10-	Slungshot;		
				250 or imprisonment for 3-	Other deadly		
				12 months, unless good	weapon		
				cause can be shown for	weapon		
				carrying the weapon.			
251	1913	Iowa	1913 Iowa Acts 307, ch.	Prohibited the carrying of a	Dirk;		
231	1915	Iowa	-	concealed dirk, dagger,			
			297, §§ 1, 2	sword, pistol, revolver,	Dagger;		
				stiletto, metallic knuckles,	Sword; Pistol;		
					,		
				picket billy, sandbag, skull	Revolver;		
				cracker, slungshot, or other	Stiletto;		
				offensive and dangerous	Metallic knuckles;		
				weapons or instruments.	Picket;		
				Also prohibited the selling,	Billy;		
				keeping for sale, offering for	Sand bag;		
				sale, loaning, or giving away	Skull cracker;		
				any dirk, dagger, stiletto,	Slungshot;		
				metallic knuckles, sandbag,	Other offensive and		
				or "skull cracker."	dangerous weapons		
				Exempted the selling or	or instruments		
				keeping for sale of "hunting			
				and fishing knives."			
252	1913	New York	1913 N.Y. Laws 1627-30,	Prohibited the carrying or	Blackjack;		
			vol. III, ch. 608, § 1,	possession of any weapon of	Slungshot;		
			Carrying and Use of	the kind commonly known	Billy;		
			Dangerous Weapons	as a blackjack, slungshot,	Sandclub;		
			Carrying Weapons,	billy, sandclub, sandbag,	Sandbag;		
			Dangerous or Unusual	metal knuckles, bludgeon,	Metal knuckles;		
			Weapons, § 1	bomb, or bombshell, and the	Bludgeon;		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 262 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17984 Page 21 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
				carrying or possession of any dagger, dirk, dangerous	Bomb; Bombshell;	Status	10000
				knife, razor, stiletto, or other	Dagger; Dirk;		
				"dangerous or deadly instruments or weapon."	Dirk, Dangerous knife;		
				instruments of weapon.	Razor;		
					Stiletto;		
					Other dangerous or		
					deadly weapon		
253	1915	New	1915 N.H. Laws 180-81, An	Prohibited the setting of a	Spring gun		
		Hampshire	Act to Revise and Amend	spring gun. Punished by a			
			the Fish and Game Laws, ch.	fine of \$50-500.			
			133, pt. 2, § 18				
254	1915	North Dakota	1915 N.D. Laws 96, An Act	Prohibited the concealed	Blackjack;		
			to Provide for the	carrying of any instrument	Slungshot;		
			Punishment of Any Person	or weapon usually known as	Billy;		
			Carrying Concealed Any	a blackjack, slungshot, billy,	Sandclub;		
			Dangerous Weapons or	sandclub, sandbag,	Sandbag;		
			Explosives, or Who Has the	bludgeon, metal knuckles, or	Bludgeon;		
			Same in His Possession,	any sharp or dangerous	Metal knuckles;		
			Custody or Control, unless	weapon, any gun, revolver,	Any sharp or		
			Such Weapon or Explosive Is Carried in the Prosecution	pistol, or "other dangerous fire arm," nitroglycerin,	dangerous weapon; Any Gun;		
			of a Legitimate and Lawful	dynamite, or any other	Revolver;		
			Purpose, ch. 83, §§ 1-3, 5	dangerous or violent	Pistol;		
				explosive.	Dangerous firearm;		
				- inprovint et	Explosive		
255	1917	California	1917 Cal. Stat. 221, § 1	Prohibited the manufacture,	Blackjack;	Repealed and	
			~ 0	leasing, keeping for sale,	Slungshot;	replaced by	
				offering, giving, or disposing	Billy;	1923 Cal.	
				of any instrument or weapon	Sandclub;	Stat. 695	
				of the kind commonly	Sandbag;	(1923)	
				known as a blackjack,	Bludgeon;		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 263 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17985 Page 22 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
				slungshot, billy, sandclub, sandbag, bludgeon, metal knuckles, dirk, or dagger.	Metal knuckles; Dirk; Dagger		
256	1917	California	1917 Cal. Stat. 221, § 2	Prohibited the possession of any instrument or weapon of the kind commonly known as a blackjack, slungshot, billy, sandclub, sandbag, bludgeon, metal knuckles, bomb, or bombshells, and the carrying of any dirk or dagger.	Blackjack; Blackjack; Slungshot; Billy; Sandclub; Sandbag; Bludgeon; Metal knuckles; Bomb; Bombshells; Dirk; Dagger	Repealed and replaced by 1923 Cal. Stat. 695 (1923)	
257	1917	California	1917 Cal. Stat. 221, § 5	Prohibited the use, or carrying or possession with the intent to use, any dagger, dirk, dangerous knife, razor, stiletto, loaded pistol, revolver, or other firearm, blackjack, slungshot, billy, sandclub, sandbag, metal knuckles, bomb, bombshell, or other "dangerous or deadly instrument or weapon."	Dagger; Dirk; Dangerous knife; Razor; Stiletto; Loaded pistol; Revolver; Other firearm; Blackjack; Slungshot; Billy; Sandclub; Sandbag; Metal knuckles; Bomb; Bombshell; Other deadly or dangerous weapon	Repealed and replaced by 1923 Cal. Stat. 695 (1923)	

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 264 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17986 Page 23 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
258	1917	Missouri – City of Joplin	Joplin Code of 1917, Art. 67, § 1201.	Prohibited the carrying of a concealed firearm, Bowie knife, spring-back knife, razor, knuckles, bill, sword cane, dirk, dagger, slungshot, or other similar deadly weapons in a church, school, election site, court, or other public setting. Also prohibits using the weapon in a threatening manner, using while intoxicated, or selling to a minor.	Firearms; Bowie knife; Spring-back knife; Razor; Knuckle; Billy; Sword cane; Dirk; Dagger; Slungshot; Other deadly weapons	Status	
259	1917	North Carolina – Harnett County	1917 N.C. Sess. Laws 309, Pub. Local Laws, An Act to Regulate the Hunting of Quail in Harnett County, ch. 209, § 1	Prohibited killing quail with a gun that shoots over two times before reloading.	Gun that shoots over two times before reloading (machine gun)		
260	1917	Oregon	1917 Or. Sess. Laws 804-08, An Act Prohibiting the manufacture, sale, possession, carrying, or use of any blackjack, slungshot, billy, sandclub, sandbag, metal knuckles, dirk, dagger or stiletto, and regulating the carrying and sale of certain firearms, and defining the duties of certain executive officers, and providing penalties for violation of the provisions of this Act, § 7	Prohibited the attempted use, or the carry and possession with the intent to use, any dagger, dirk, dangerous knife, razor, stiletto, loaded pistol, revolver, or other firearm, or any instrument or weapon of the kind commonly known as a blackjack, slungshot, billy, sandclub, sandbag, metal knuckles, bomb, bombshell, or any other "dangerous or deadly weapon." Punishable by a fine of \$50-500 or	Dagger; Dirk; Dangerous knife; Razor; Stiletto; Pistol; Revolver; Other Firearm; Blackjack; Slungshot; Billy; Sandclub; Sandbag; Metal knuckles; Bomb;		Oregon v. Blocker, 291 Or. 255 (1981) (struck down the ban on clubs as contrary to Oregon Constitution)

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 265 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17987 Page 24 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
				imprisonment for 1-6 months.	Bombshell; Other dangerous or deadly weapon		
261	1923	California	1923 Cal. Stat. 695, § 1	Prohibited the manufacture, importation, keeping for sale, offering or exposing for sale, giving, lending, or possession of any instrument or weapon commonly known as a blackjack, slungshot, billy, sandclub, sandbag, metal knuckles, and the concealed carrying of any dirk or dagger. Punishable by imprisonment for 1-5 years.	Blackjack; Slungshot; Billy; Sandclub; Sandbag; Metal knuckles; Dirk; Dagger	Replaced in 1953 with enactment of Cal. Penal Code § 12020	
262	1923	Missouri	1923 Mo. Laws 241-42, An Act to Provide the Exercise of the Police Powers of the State by and through Prohibiting the Manufacture, Possession, Transportation, Sale and Disposition of Intoxicating Liquors § 17	Prohibited the carrying, while a passenger or operating a moving vehicle, of a revolver, gun or other firearm, or explosive, any Bowie knife, or other knife having a blade of more than two and one-half inches in length, any slingshot, brass knucks, billy, club or other dangerous weapon. Punishable by imprisonment of minimum 2 years.	Revolver; Gun; Explosive; Bowie knife; Other knife having a blade of more than two and one- half inches in length; Slingshot; Metal knuckles; Billy; Club; Other dangerous weapon		
263	1923	South Carolina	1923 S.C. Acts 221	Prohibited the selling or giving to a minor a pistol or	Pistol cartridge;		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 266 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17988 Page 25 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
				pistol cartridge, brass knucks, Bowie knife, dirk, loaded cane or slingshot. Also prohibited a parent from giving such a weapon to their child under 12 years old. Punishable by fine up to \$50 or imprisonment up to 30 days.	Metal knuckles; Bowie knife; Dirk; Loaded cane; Slingshot		
264	1923	Vermont	1923 Vt. Acts and Resolves 127, An Act to Prohibit the Use of Machine Guns and Automatic Rifles in Hunting, § 1	Prohibited using, carrying, or possessing a machine gun or automatic rifle while hunting.	Machine gun; Automatic rifle		
265	1925		1925 Or. Laws 42, An Act Prohibiting the Placing of Spring-Guns or Set-Guns; and Providing a Penalty Therefor, ch. 31, §§ 1-2	Prohibited the setting of any loaded spring gun. Punishable by a fine of \$100-500 or imprisonment for 30 days to 6 months. Exception for setting of trap gun to destroy burrowing rodents.	Spring gun; Set gun		
266	1925	West Virginia	1925 W.Va. Acts 25-30, 1st Extraordinary Sess., An Act to Amend and Re-Enact Section Seven Relating to Offenses Against the Peace; Providing for the Granting and Revoking of Licenses and Permits Respecting the Use, Transportation and	Prohibited unlicensed carrying of a pistol, dirk, Bowie knife, slungshot, razor, billy, metallic or other false knuckles, or any other dangerous or deadly weapon. Punishable by imprisonment for 6-12 months for the first offense, and for 1-5 years for subsequent offenses, and in	Pistol; Dirk; Bowie knife; Razor; Slungshot; Billy; Metal knuckles; Other dangerous or deadly weapon		City Of Princeton v. Buckner, 180 W. Va. 457, 462 (1988) (held unconstitution al under state constitution); Application of Metheney, 182

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 267 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17989 Page 26 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
			Possession of Weapons and Fire Arms, ch. 3, § 7(a)	either case, a fine of \$50-200.			W. Va. 722 (1990)
267	1925	West Virginia	1925 W.Va. Acts 31-32, 1st Extraordinary Sess., An Act to Amend and Re-Enact Section Seven Relating to Offenses Against the Peace , ch. 3, § 7, pt. b	Prohibited publicly displaying for rent or sale any revolver, pistol, dirk, Bowie knife, slungshot, other dangerous weapon, machine gun, submachine gun, or high powered rifle. Requires dealers to keep a register. Prohibited selling, renting, giving, or lending any of these weapons to an unnaturalized person.	Revolver; Pistol; Dirk; Bowie knife; Slungshot; Machine gun; Other dangerous weapon; Submachine gun; High powered rifle		
268	1925	West Virginia	1925 W.Va. Acts 30-31, 1st Extraordinary Sess., An Act to Amend and Re-Enact Section Seven Relating to Offenses Against the Peace; Providing for the Granting and Revoking of Licenses and Permits Respecting the Use, Transportation and Possession of Weapons and Fire Arms , ch. 3, § 7, pt. b	Prohibited carrying, transporting, or possessing a machine gun, submachine gun, or high powered rifle except on their own premises and with a permit. Also provides guidelines for such a permit.	Machine gun; Submachine gun; High powered rifle		
269	1927	California	1927 Cal. Stat. 938, An Act to Prohibit the Possession of Machine Rifles, Machine Guns and Submachine Guns Capable of Automatically and Continuously	Prohibited a person, firm, or corporation possessing a machine gun. Punishable by imprisonment up to 3 years and/or fine up to \$5,000.	Machine gun		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 268 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17990 Page 27 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
			Discharging Loaded Ammunition of any Caliber in which the Ammunition is Fed to Such Guns from or by Means of Clips, Disks, Drums, Belts or other Seperable Mechanical Device, and Providing a		2		
270	1027	To dia na	Penalty for Violation Thereof, ch. 552, §§ 1 2	Duch thits descenting out	Mashingana		
270	1927	Indiana	1927 Ind. Acts 469, Public Offenses—Ownership, Possession or Control of Machine Guns or Bombs— Penalty, ch. 156, § 1	Prohibited owning or possessing a machine gun or bomb in an automobile. Punishable by imprisonment for 1-5 years.	Machine gun; Bomb		
271	1927	Indiana	1927 Ind. Acts 469, Operation of Machine Guns, Discharge of Bombs— Offense and Penalty:, ch. 156, § 2	Prohibited discharging a machine gun or bomb. Punishable by imprisonment for 2-10 years.	Machine gun; Bomb		
272	1927	Iowa	927 Iowa Acts 201, An Act to prohibit the Possession or Control of Machine Guns ., §§ 1 2	Prohibited possession of a machine gun.	Machine gun		
273	1927	Maryland	1927 Md. Laws 156, § 388- B	Prohibited possession of liquor in an automobile that also carries a gun, pistol, revolver, rifle machine gun, or other dangerous or deadly weapon.	Gun; Pistol; Revolver; Machine gun; Other dangerous or deadly weapon		
274	1927	Massachusetts	1927 Mass. Acts 416, An Act Relative to Machine	Prohibited the carrying of a pistol, revolver, machine gun, stiletto, dagger, dirk	Pistol; Revolver; Machine gun;		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 269 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17991 Page 28 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
			Guns and Other Firearms, ch. 326, § 5	knife, slungshot, metallic knuckles, or sawed off shotgun, billy, or dangerous weapon if arrested upon a warrant for an alleged crime. Punishable by imprisonment of 6 months to 2.5 years.	Stiletto; Dagger; Dirk; Slungshot; Metallic knuckles; Sawed-off shotgun; Billy; Dangerous weapon		
275	1927	Massachusetts	1927 Mass. Acts 413, An Act Relative to Machine Guns and Other Firearms, ch. 326, §§ 1-2 (amending §§ 121, 123)	Prohibited selling, renting, or leasing a pistol, revolver, or machine gun to a person without a license to possess the same.	Pistol; Revolver; Machine gun		
276	1927	Michigan	1927 Mich. Pub. Acts 888- 89, An Act to Regulate and License the Selling, Purchasing, Possessing and Carrying of Certain Firearms, § 3	Prohibited manufacturing, selling, or possessing a machine gun, silencer, bomb, bombshell, blackjack, slungshot, billy, metallic knuckles, sandclub, bludgeon. Punishable by fineup to \$1,000 or imprisonment.	Machine gun; Silencer; Bomb; Bombshell; Blackjack; Slungshot; Billy; Metallic knuckles; Sandclub; Bludgeon		
277	1927	Michigan	1927 Mich. Pub. Acts 888- 89, An Act to Regulate and License the Selling, Purchasing, Possessing and Carrying of Certain Firearms, § 3	Prohibited manufacturing, selling, or possessing a machine gun or firearm that can be fired more than 16 times without reloading. Also Prohibited the same for a muffler or silencer. Punishable by fine of \$1,000 and/or imprisonment up to 5 years.	Machine gun; Silencer		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 270 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17992 Page 29 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
278	1927	New Jersey	1927 N.J. Laws 742, A Further Supplement to an Act Entitled, "An Act for the Punishment of Crimes," ch. 321, § 1	Prohibited a pawnbroker from selling or possessing for sale, loan, or to give away a machine gun, automatic rifle, revolver, pistol, or other firearm, or other instrument of any kind known as a blackjack, slungshot, billy, sandclub, sandbag, bludgeon, metal knuckles, dagger, dirk, dangerous knife, stiletto, bomb or other high explosive. Punishable as a high misdemeanor.	Machine gun; Automatic rifle; Revolver; Pistol; Blackjack; Slungshot; Billy; Sandclub; Sandbag; Bludgeon; Metal knuckles; Dagger; Dirk; Dangerous knife; Stiletto; Bomb; Other high explosive		
279	1927	New Jersey	1927 N.J. Laws 180-81, A Supplement to an Act Entitled "An Act for the Punishment of Crimes," ch. 95, §§ 1-2	Prohibited selling, giving, loaning, delivering or furnishing, or possessing a machine gun or automatic rifle to another person without a license.	Machine gun; Automatic rifle		
280	1927	Rhode Island	1927 (January Session) R.I. Pub. Laws 256, An Act to Regulate the Possession of Firearms: §§ 1, 4, 5, 6	Prohibited carrying a concealed pistol and Prohibited manufacturing, selling, purchasing, or possessing a machine gun.	Pistol; Machine gun		
281	1927	Rhode Island	1927 R. I. Pub. Laws 256, An Act to Regulate the Possession of Firearms: §§ 1, 4, 7, 8.	Prohibited carrying a concealed pistol and Prohibited manufacturing, selling, purchasing, or	Pistol; Machine gun; Silencer		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 271 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17993 Page 30 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
				possessing a machine gun or silencer.			
282	1927	Rhode Island	1927 R.I. Pub. Laws 256, An Act to Regulate the Possession of Firearms, §§1, 3	Prohibited a person who has previously been convicted of a violent crime from owning, carrying, or possessing any firearm (including machine gun or pistol).	Machine gun; Pistol		
283	1929	Indiana	1929 Ind. Acts 139, Criminal Offenses— Commission of or Attempt to Commit Crime While Armed with Deadly Weapon, ch. 55, § 1	Prohibited being armed with a pistol, revolver, rifle shotgun, machine gun, or any other firearm or dangerous weapon while committing or attempting to commit a crime of rape, robbery, bank robbery, or larceny. Punishable by imprisonment for 10-20 years, in addition to the punishment for the original crime.	Pistol; Revolver; Rifle; Shotgun; Machine gun; Dangerous or deadly weapon		
284	1929	Michigan	1929 Mich. Pub. Acts 529, An Act to Regulate and License the Selling, Purchasing, Possessing and Carrying of Certain Firearms, § 3	Prohibited manufacturing, selling, or possessing a machine gun, silencer, bomb, bombshell, blackjack, slungshot, billy, metallic knuckles, sandclub, sandbag, bludgeon, or any gas ejecting device.	Machine gun; Silencer; Bomb; Bombshell; Blackjack; Slungshot; Billy; Metallic knuckles; Sandclub, Sandbag, Bludgeon, Gas ejecting device		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 272 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17994 Page 31 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
285	1929	Michigan	1929 Mich. Pub. Acts 529, An Act to Regulate and License the Selling, Purchasing, Possessing and Carrying of Certain Firearms, § 3	Prohibited manufacturing, selling, or possessing a machine gun or firearm that can be fired more than 16 times without reloading. Also Prohibited the same for a muffler or silencer.	Machine gun; Silencer		
286	1929	Missouri	1929 Mo. Laws 170, Crimes and Punishment, Prohibiting the Sale, Delivery, Transportation, Possession, or Control of Machine Rifles, Machine Guns and Sub-machine Guns, and Providing Penalty for Violation of Law, §§ 1-2	Prohibited selling, delivering, transporting, and possessing a machine gun. Punishable by imprisonment of 2-30 years and/or fine up to \$5,000.	Machine gun		
287	1929	Nebraska	1929 Neb. Laws 674, An Act Prohibiting the Sale, Possession and Transportation of Machine Guns within the State of Nebraska; and Prescribing Penalties for the Violation of the Provisions Hereof, ch. 190, §§ 1-2	Prohibited selling or otherwise disposing of a machine gun. Punishable by fine of \$1,000-\$10,000. Also Prohibited transporting or possessing a machine gun. Punishable by imprisonment for 1-10 years.	Machine gun		
288	1929	Pennsylvania	1929 Pa. Laws 777, An Act prohibiting the sale, giving away, transfer, purchasing, owning, possession and use of machine guns: §§1 4	Prohibited selling, giving, transferring, or possessing a machine gun. Punishable by fine up to \$1,000 and imprisonment by separate or solitary confinement at labor up to 5 years. Also Prohibited using a machine	Machine gun		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 273 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17995 Page 32 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
				gun during an attempted crime. Punishable by separate and solitary confinement at labor for up to 10 years.			
289	1929	Pennsylvania	1929 Pa. Laws 777, An Act prohibiting the sale, giving away, transfer, purchasing, owning, possession and use of machine guns, § 3	Prohibited being armed with a machine gun while committing a crime. Punishable by imprisonment with solitary confinement up to 10 years.	Machine gun		
290	1929	Wisconsin	1928-1929 Wis. Sess. Laws 157, An Act to Create the Statutes, Relating to Machine Guns and Providing a Penalty, ch. 132, § 1	Prohibited owning, using, or possession a machine gun. Punishable by imprisonment of 1-15 years.	Machine gun		
291	1931	Delaware	1931 Del. Laws 813, An Act Making it Unlawful for any Person or Persons Other than the State Military Forces or Duly Authorized Police Departments to have a Machine Gun in his or their Possession, and Prescribing a Penalty for Same, ch. 249, § 1	Prohibited a person from possessing a machine gun. Punishable by fine and/or imprisonment.	Machine gun		
292	1931	Illinois	1931 Ill. Laws 452-53, An Act to Regulate the Sale, Possession and Transportation of Machine Guns, §§ 1-2	Prohibited selling, loaning, or giving away, purchasing, possessing, carrying, or transporting any machine gun.	Machine gun		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 274 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17996 Page 33 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
293	1931	Illinois	1931 Ill. Laws 454, An Act to Regulate the Sale, Possession and Transportation of Machine Guns, § 7	Prohibited being armed with a machine gun while committing arson, assault, burglary, kidnapping, larceny, rioting, or robbery. Punishable by imprisonment for 5 years to life.	Machine gun		
294	1931	Michigan	1931 Mich. Pub. Acts 671, The Michigan Penal Code, ch. 37, § 236	Prohibited the setting of any spring or trap gun.	Spring gun; Trap gun		
295	1931	New York	1931 N.Y. Laws 1033, An Act to Amend the Penal Law in Relation to Carrying and Use of Glass Pistols, ch. 435, § 1	Prohibited using an imitation pistol and carrying or possessing a black-jack, slungshot, billy, sandclub, sandbag, metal knuckles, bludgeon, dagger, dirk, dangerous knife, razor, stiletto, imitation pistol, machine gun, sawed off shot-gun, or ay other dangerous or deadly weapon.	Imitation pistol; Blackjack; Slungshot; Metal knuckles; Bludgeon; Dagger; Dirk; Dangerous knife; Razor; Stiletto; Machine gun; Sawed-off shot gun; Other dangerous or deadly weapon		
296	1931	North Dakota	1931 N.D. Laws 305-06, An Act to Prohibit the Possession, Sale and Use of Machine Guns, Sub- Machine Guns, or Automatic Rifles and Defining the Same , ch. 178, §§ 1-2	Prohibited selling, giving, loaning, furnishing, or delivering a machine gun, submachine gun, automatic rifle, or bomb (without a license). Punishable by imprisonment up to 10 years and/or fine up to \$3,000.	Machine gun; Submachine gun; Automatic rifle; Bomb		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 275 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17997 Page 34 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
297	1931	South Carolina	1931 S.C. Acts 78, An Act Declaring it unlawful for any person, firm, or corporation to place a loaded trap gun, spring gun, or any like devise in any building, or in any place, and providing punishment for the violation thereof: § 1	Prohibited the setting of any loaded trap gun or spring gun. Punishable by a fine of \$100-500 or imprisonment of 30 days to 1 year.	Trap gun; Spring gun	Status	Review
298	1932	District of Columbia	District of Columbia 1932: 1932, Public-No. 275-72D Congress, ch. 465	Prohibited being armed with or having readily available any pistol or other firearm while committing a violent crime. In addition to being punished for the crime, will also be punished with imprisonment (various terms depending on the number of previous convictions). Additionally, Prohibited people convicted of violent crimes from owning or possessing a pistol. Prohibited carrying a concealed deadly or dangerous weapon. Regulates the sale and transfer of pistols.	Pistol; Deadly or dangerous weapon		
299	1932	Louisiana	1932 La. Acts 337-38, An Act to Regulate the Sale, Possession and Transportation of Machine Guns, and Providing a	Prohibited selling, loaning, giving, purchasing, possession, carrying, or transporting a machine gun.	Machine gun		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 276 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17998 Page 35 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
			Penalty for a Violation Hereof , §§ 1 2				
300	1933	California	1933 Cal. Stat. 1169	Prohibited a person, firm, or corporation from selling, possessing or transporting a machine gun. Punishable by imprisonment up to 3 years and/or fine up to \$5,000.	Machine gun		
301	1933	Florida	1933 Fla. Laws 623, An Act to Prevent Throwing of Bombs and the Discharge of Machine Guns Upon, or Across Any Public Road in the State of Florida, ch. 16111, § 1	Prohibited throwing a bomb or shooting a machine gun across or along a street or highway, any public park or place where people assemble with the intent to do bodily harm. Punishable by death.	Bomb; Machine gun		
302	1933	Hawaii	1933 Haw. Special Sess. Laws 117, An Act Regulating The Sale, Transfer And Possession Of Certain Firearms, Tear Gas And Ammunition: § 2	Prohibited a person, firm, or corporation from owning, possessing, selling, or transporting a machine gun, shell cartridge, or bomb containing or capable of emitting tear gas or other noxious gas.	Machine gun; Shell cartridge; Bomb		
303	1933	Kansas	1933 Kan. Sess. Laws 76, An Act Relating to Machine Guns and Other Firearms Making the Transportation or Possession Thereof Unlawful in Certain Cases, Providing for Search, Seizure and Confiscation Thereof in Certain Cases, Relating to the Ownership	Prohibited possession of a machine rifle, machine gun, or submachine gun.	Machine gun		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 277 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.17999 Page 36 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
			and Registration of Certain Firearms, and Providing Penalties for the Violation of this Act, ch. 62, §§ 1 3				
304	1933	Minnesota	1933 Minn. Laws 231-33, An Act Making It Unlawful to Use, Own, Possess, Sell, Control or Transport a "Machine Gun", as Hereinafter Defined, and Providing a Penalty for the Violation Thereof, ch. 190, §§ 1-3	Prohibited owning, controlling, using, possessing, selling, or transporting a machine gun.	Machine gun		
305	1933	New York	1933 N.Y. Laws 1639, An Act to Amend the Penal Law, in Relation to the Sale, Possession and Use of Sub- Machine Guns, ch. 805, §§ 1, 3	Prohibited selling, giving, disposing of, transporting, or possessing a machine gun or submachine gun to a person guilty of a felony.	Machine gun		
306	1933	Ohio	1933 Ohio Laws 189-90, Reg. Sess., An Act Relative to the Sale and Possession of Machine Guns, § 1	Prohibited owning, possessing, and transporting a machine gun, light machine gun, or submachine gun without a permit. Punishable by imprisonment of 1-10 years.	Machine gun; Light machine gun; Submachine gun		
307	1933	Oregon	1933 Or. Laws 489, An Act to Amend Sections 72-201, 72-202, 72-207, Oregon Code 1930, ch. 315, §§ 3-4	Prohibited possession of a machine gun. Also Prohibited carrying a concealed machine gun, pistol, revolver, or other firearm.	Machine gun; Pistol; Revolver; Other firearm		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 278 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.18000 Page 37 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
308	1933	Oregon	1933 Or. Laws 488, An Act to Amend Sections 72-201, 72-202, 72-207, Oregon Code 1930, § 2	Prohibited a unnaturalized person and person convicted of a felony against another person or the government from owning or possessing a pistol, revolver, other firearm, or machine gun. Punishable by imprisonment for 1-5 years.	Pistol; Revolver; Other firearm; Machine gun		
309	1933	South Dakota	1933 S.D. Sess. Laws 245- 47, An Act Relating to Machine Guns, and to Make Uniform the Law with Reference Thereto, ch. 206, §§ 1-8	Prohibited possession of a machine gun during a violent crime. Punishable by imprisonment up to 15 years. Prohibited using a machine gun offensively or aggressively; punishable by imprisonment up to 15 years. Requires manufacturers to keep a register of machine guns and for owners to converted their machine guns to pistols to register the weapon.	Machine gun		
310	1933	Texas	1933 Tex. Gen. Laws 219- 20, 1st Called Sess., An Act Defining "Machine Gun" and "Person"; Making It an Offense to Possess or Use Machine Guns , ch. 82, §§ 1-4, 6	Prohibited possession of a machine gun; punishable by imprisonment up to 10 years. Prohibited selling, leasing, giving, bartering, exchanging, or trading a machine gun; punishable by imprisonment for 2 months to 10 years.	Machine gun		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 279 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.18001 Page 38 of 39

No.	Year of Enactment	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Regulation	Repeal Status	Judicial Review
311	1933	Washington	1933 Wash. Sess. Laws 335- 36, An Act Relating to Machine Guns, Regulating the Manufacture, Possession, Sale of Machine Guns and Parts, and Providing Penalty for the Violation Thereof, and Declaring an Emergency, ch. 64, §§ 1-5	Prohibited manufacturing, owning, buying, selling, loaning, furnishing, transporting, or possessing a machine gun.	Machine gun		
312	1934	New Jersey	1934 N.J. Laws 394-95, A Further Supplement to an Act Entitled "An Act for the Punishment of Crimes," ch. 155, §§ 1-5	Declares a person who possesses a machine gun or submachine gun a "gangster" and therefore, enemy of the state. Also declares a person who carries a deadly weapon without a permit a "gangster." If convicted a "gangster," punishable by fine up to \$10,000 and/or imprisonment up to 20 years.	Machine gun; Submachine gun; Deadly weapon		
313	1934	South Carolina	1934 S.C. Acts 1288, An Act regulating the use and possession of Machine Guns: §§ 1 to 6	Prohibited transporting, possessing, selling, renting, or giving a firearm or machine gun. Punishable by fine up to \$1,000 and imprisonment with solitary confinement up to 20 years.	Firearm; Machine gun		
314	1934	Virginia	1934 Va. Acts 137-39, An Act to define the term "machine gun"; to declare the use and possession of a machine gun for certain	Prohibited possession or use of a machine gun during a violent crime; punishable by death or imprisonment for a minimum of 20 years.	Machine gun		

Case: 23-55805, 11/21/2023, ID: 12827648, DktEntry: 15-3, Page 280 of 280

Case 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 139-2 Filed 01/11/23 PageID.18002 Page 39 of 39

No.	Year of	Jurisdiction	Citation	Description of Regulation	Subject of Degulation	Repeal	Judicial
	Enactment			Prohibited unlawful	Regulation	Status	Review
			purposes a crime and to				
			prescribe the punishment	possession or use of a			
			therefor, ch. 96, §§ 1-7	machine gun for offensive or			
				aggressive purposes;			
				punishable by imprisonment			
				for a minimum of 10 years.			
				Requires manufacturers to			
				keep a register of machine			
215	1021 1022	W/:	1021 1022 W/s Same Larra	guns.	Maala'n a araa		
315	1931-1933	Wisconsin	1931-1933 Wis. Sess. Laws	Prohibited using or	Machine gun		
			245-47, An Act Relating	possessing a machine gun			
			to Machine Guns and to	during an attempted violent			
			Make Uniform the Law with	crime; punishable by			
			Reference Thereto, ch. 76, §	imprisonment of minimum			
			1, pt. 164.01-164.06	20 years. Prohibited use of a			
				machine gun for offensive or			
				aggressive purposes;			
				punishable by imprisonment			
216	1021 1022	***	1021 1022 W/: G I	of minimum 10 years.	N 1'		
316	1931-1933	Wisconsin	1931-1933 Wis. Sess. Laws	Prohibited selling,	Machine gun;		
			778, An Act Relating to	possessing, using, or	Automatic firearm;		
			the Sale, Possession,	transporting a machine gun,	Bomb;		
			Transportation and Use of	automatic firearm, bomb,	Hand grenade;		
			Machine Guns and Other	hand grenade, projectile,	Projectile;		
			Weapons in Certain Cases,	shell, or other container that	Shell;		
			and Providing a Penalty, ch.	can contain tear or other gas.	Other container that		
			359, § 1	Punishable by imprisonment	can contain gas		
				for 1-3 years.	<u> </u>		