ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Robert J. Nelson (State Bar No. 132797)	
Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP	
275 Battery Street, 29th Floor. San Francisco, CA 94111-3339	
TELEPHONE NO.: 415.956.1000 FAX NO. (Optional): 415.956.1008	
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): rnelson@lchb.com	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Kelley and Denis O'Sullivan	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Sacramento	
STREET ADDRESS: 720 9th Street, room 101	
MAILING ADDRESS: 720 9th Street	
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Sacramento, CA 95814	
BRANCH NAME: Gordon D. Schaber Courthouse	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Kelley and Denis O'Sullivan	
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Ghost Gunner, Inc., et al.	
CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT	CASE NUMBER:
(Check one): UNLIMITED CASE LIMITED CASE	34-2021-00302934
(Amount demanded (Amount demanded is \$25,000	
exceeds \$25,000) or less)	
A CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE is scheduled as	
follows: Date: 12/14/2023 Time: 1:30 PM Dept.: 27	Div.: Room:
·	1.00
Address of court (if different from the address above):	
Notice of Intent to Appear by Telephone, by (name): Robert J. Nelson; Cait	lin M. Noloon
Notice of intent to Appear by Telephone, by (name). Robert J. Nelson, Can	iii ivi. Neisori
INSTRUCTIONS: All applicable boxes must be checked, and the specified	d information must be provided.
1. Party or parties (answer one):	
a. This statement is submitted by party (name):	
b. This statement is submitted jointly by parties (names): See attachment	1(b)
zi vino cuito ne cui in cui joining sy partico (maines). Coo ditao initioni	.(2)
2. Complaint and cross-complaint (to be answered by plaintiffs and cross-complainan	ts only)
a. The complaint was filed on (date): June 17, 2021	
b. The cross-complaint, if any, was filed on <i>(date):</i>	
3. Service (to be answered by plaintiffs and cross-complainants only)	
a. All parties named in the complaint and cross-complaint have been served,	have anneared or have been dismissed
 b. The following parties named in the complaint or cross-complaint 	have appeared, of have been dismissed.
(1) have not been served (specify names and explain why not):	
(1) Last not been served (specify hames and explain why not).	
(2) Analog have been served but have not appeared and have not been	dismissed (specify names):
See attachment 3(b)(2)	,
(3) have had a default entered against them (specify names):	
c. The following additional parties may be added (specify names, nature of ir	volvement in case, and date by which
they may be served):	
4. Description of case	
	ncluding causes of action):
Personal injury case alleging: (1) negligence; (2) negligence per se; (3)	
	negligent entrustment; (4) public

CM-110

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Kelley and Denis O'Sullivan	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Ghost Gunner, Inc., et al.	34-2021-00302934
4. b. Provide a brief statement of the case, including any damages. (If personal injury damages claimed, including medical expenses to date [indicate source and amou earnings to date, and estimated future lost earnings. If equitable relief is sought, a See attachment 4(b)	unt], estimated future medical expenses, lost
(If more space is needed, check this box and attach a page designated as Atta	achment 4b.)
5. Jury or nonjury trial The party or parties request	nan one party, provide the name of each party
 6. Trial date a. The trial has been set for (date): b. No trial date has been set. This case will be ready for trial within 12 months not, explain): Related criminal prosecution will be tried in April 2024. Critical disconsistency. c. Dates on which parties or attorneys will not be available for trial (specify dates and parties). 	overy cannot commence until that time.
 7. Estimated length of trial The party or parties estimate that the trial will take (check one): a.	
 8. Trial representation (to be answered for each party) The party or parties will be represented at trial by the attorney or party listed a. Attorney: b. Firm: c. Address: 	in the caption by the following:
d. Telephone number: f. Fax nu	
e. E-mail address: g. Party ı ✓ Additional representation is described in Attachment 8.	represented:
9. Preference This case is entitled to preference (specify code section):	
10. Alternative dispute resolution (ADR)	
a. ADR information package. Please note that different ADR processes are available the ADR information package provided by the court under rule 3.221 for informatic court and community programs in this case.	
(1) For parties represented by counsel: Counsel has has not pro in rule 3.221 to the client and reviewed ADR options with the client.	ovided the ADR information package identified
(2) For self-represented parties: Party has has not reviewed the AD	OR information package identified in rule 3.221
 b. Referral to judicial arbitration or civil action mediation (if available). (1) This matter is subject to mandatory judicial arbitration under Code of Cimediation under Code of Civil Procedure section 1775.3 because the a statutory limit. 	ivil Procedure section 1141.11 or to civil action mount in controversy does not exceed the
(2) Plaintiff elects to refer this case to judicial arbitration and agrees to limit Civil Procedure section 1141.11.	t recovery to the amount specified in Code of
(3) This case is exempt from judicial arbitration under rule 3.811 of the Cali mediation under Code of Civil Procedure section 1775 et seq. (specify	ifornia Rules of Court or from civil action exemption):

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Kelley and Denis O'Sullivan	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Ghost Gunner, Inc., et al.	34-2021-00302934

10. c. Indicate the ADR process or processes that the party or parties are willing to participate in, have agreed to participate in, or have already participated in *(check all that apply and provide the specified information):*

	The party or parties completing this form are willing to participate in the following ADR processes (check all that apply):	If the party or parties completing this form in the case have agreed to participate in or have already completed an ADR process or processes, indicate the status of the processes (attach a copy of the parties' ADR stipulation):	
(1) Mediation		 Mediation session not yet scheduled Mediation session scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete mediation by (date): Mediation completed on (date): 	
(2) Settlement conference		Settlement conference not yet scheduled Settlement conference scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete settlement conference by (date): Settlement conference completed on (date):	
(3) Neutral evaluation		Neutral evaluation not yet scheduled Neutral evaluation scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete neutral evaluation by (date): Neutral evaluation completed on (date):	
(4) Nonbinding judicial arbitration		Judicial arbitration not yet scheduled Judicial arbitration scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete judicial arbitration by (date): Judicial arbitration completed on (date):	
(5) Binding private arbitration		Private arbitration not yet scheduled Private arbitration scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete private arbitration by (date): Private arbitration completed on (date):	
(6) Other (specify):		ADR session not yet scheduled ADR session scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete ADR session by (date): ADR completed on (date):	

CM-110 CASE NUMBER: PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Kelley and Denis O'Sullivan 34-2021-00302934 Ghost Gunner, Inc., et al. DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: 11. Insurance Insurance carrier, if any, for party filing this statement (name): a. I Reservation of rights: Yes Coverage issues will significantly affect resolution of this case (explain): 12. Jurisdiction Indicate any matters that may affect the court's jurisdiction or processing of this case and describe the status. Bankruptcy Other (specify): Status: 13. Related cases, consolidation, and coordination There are companion, underlying, or related cases. (1) Name of case: California v. Adel Sambrano Ramos (2) Name of court: Sacramento Superior Court (3) Case number: 19FE011057 (4) Status: Pending; see 16(c) Additional cases are described in Attachment 13a. A motion to consolidate coordinate will be filed by (name party): 14. Bifurcation The party or parties intend to file a motion for an order bifurcating, severing, or coordinating the following issues or causes of action (specify moving party, type of motion, and reasons): 15. Other motions The party or parties expect to file the following motions before trial (specify moving party, type of motion, and issues): 16. Discovery The party or parties have completed all discovery. \square The following discovery will be completed by the date specified (describe all anticipated discovery): Party Description Date c. The following discovery issues, including issues regarding the discovery of electronically stored information, are anticipated (specify): As detailed in the stipulation filed on November 6, 2023*, the related criminal trial has been reset for April 2024, with an intellectual disability hearing in January 2024. Because law enforcement will not allow inspection of the recovered evidence until the trial has concluded, the signing parties propose that the Court postpone this CMC to February 1, 2024, at which point the parties will have more information about the anticipated conclusion of the criminal matter. The signing parties agree that inspection of the firearms is an important threshold issue which may potentially exculpate certain defendants and/or establish which, if any, is the manufacturer. *Since filing, 8 additional Defendants--Ghost Gunner, Ryan and Bob Beezley, Thunder Guns, Matrix Arms, Blackhawk

Defendant has lodged an objection regarding the proposed stay.

Manufacturing, James Madison Tactical, JSD Supply, and MFY Technical Solutions--have consented to the stipulation; no

CM-110

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER:	Kelley and Denis O'Sullivan		CASE NUMBER:
— DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT:	Ghost Gunner, Inc., et al.		34-2021-00302934
of Civil Procedure b. This is a limited of	e sections 90-98 will apply to this case. civil case and a motion to withdraw the ca filed (if checked, explain specifically why	ase from the economic	
18. Other issues The party or parties conference (specify,	request that the following additional mati	ters be considered or o	determined at the case management
See 16(c). The sig	gning parties request that the Court p	postpone this CMC t	o February 1, 2024.
of Court (if not, e	ies have met and conferred with all partic xplain): nferring as required by rule 3.724 of the 0		
<i>(specify):</i> See 16(c).			
20. Total number of pages att			
as well as other issues raised	his case and will be fully prepared to disc by this statement, and will possess the a ence, including the written authority of the	authority to enter into s	tipulations on these issues at the time of
Date: 11/29/23			
Robert J. Nelson	R PRINT NAME)	False (SI	GNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)
Caitlin M. Noloon		· mi+1	m) mollom,
Caitlin M. Nelson	R PRINT NAME)	F COLUMN	GNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)
		Additional sig	gnatures are attached.

CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT FORM: ATTACHMENT 1(b)

This statement is submitted jointly by the parties listed below:

- KELLEY AND DENIS O'SULLIVAN;
- GHOST GUNNER INC., d/b/a GHOSTGUNNER.NET, (DEFENSE DISTRIBUTED);
- JAMES TROMBLEE, JR., d/b/a USPATRIOTARMORY.COM;
- GHOST FIREARMS LLC, d/b/a GRID DEFENSE and GHOSTRIFLES.COM;
- WM. C. ANDERSON, INC., d/b/a ANDERSONMANUFACTURING.COM;
- TACTICAL GEAR HEADS LLC, d/b/a 80-LOWER.COM, AR-15LOWERRECEIVERS.COM and 80LOWERJIG.COM;
- JUGGERNAUT TACTICAL, INC. d/b/a JTACTICAL.COM; and
- RYAN BEEZLEY AND BOB BEEZLEY d/b/a RBTACTICIALTOOLING.COM, THUNDER GUNS LLC, d/b/a THUNDERTACTICAL.COM; BLACKHAWK MANUFACTURING GROUP INC., d/b/a 80PERCENTARMS.COM; MATRIX ARMS, d/b/a MATRIXARMS.COM, JAMES MADISON TACTICAL LLC, d/b/a JAMESMADISONTACTICAL.COM, MFY TECHNICAL SOLUTIONS LLC, d/b/a 5DTACTICAL.COM, JSD SUPPLY, d/b/a JSDSUPPLY.COM and 80PERCENTGUYS.COM.

CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT FORM: ATTACHMENT 3(b)(2)

The following parties have been served but have not appeared and have not been dismissed:

- INDUSTRY ARMAMENT INC., d/b/a AMERICANWEAPONSCOMPONENTS.COM;
- M-16 PARTS SUPPLY LLC, d/b/a M-16PARTS.COM; and
- POLYMER80, INC., d/b/a POLYMER80.COM and P80 TACTICAL.

2378198.2 CASE NO. 34-2021-00302934

CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT FORM: ATTACHMENT 4(b)

The parties jointly submit this Attachment 4(b) to the Case Management Statement, as follows:

Plaintiffs' Statement

Plaintiffs' daughter, a Sacramento police officer, was killed in the line of duty by one or more ghost gun products. Plaintiffs seek damages for her pain and suffering, loss of property, medical expenses, funeral expenses, and future contributions. Plaintiffs further seek punitive damages and equitable relief, including implementation of responsible business practices and abatement of public nuisance relating to Defendants' ghost gun products. Market share liability allows a plaintiff to establish a prima facie case against a group of defendants for an injury caused by a harmful product when it cannot be determined from which defendant the product originated. Liability is apportioned according to each defendants' respective market share of sales of that product when the harm occurred. It is appropriately applied here, to the extent that the very nature of Defendants' ghost gun products renders them untraceable, and Defendants together comprise a substantial share of the ghost gun market.

Defendants' Statement

Plaintiffs allege that the shooting incident at issue was perpetrated using one or more semi-automatic rifles assembled using a variety of readily available component parts, including an unfinished lower receiver – or "receiver blank" – which was machined further to become an operable lower receiver incorporated into a functioning firearm. Plaintiffs seek to hold numerous manufacturers, distributors and retailers of unfinished lower receivers – which were legal to manufacture and sell – liable for the criminal misuse of their products by a third party. Plaintiffs, however, concede that they do not know which, if any, of the defendants named in this action manufactured the parts used by the shooter to assemble the firearms. Plaintiffs are pursuing a market share liability theory for this reason. Market share liability, however, is an alternative theory of liability applicable in a very narrow subset of product liability cases where a group of manufacturers produce from an identical formula a defective product that poses a singular, inherent risk of harm. It does not – and cannot – apply in this case.

2378881.1 CASE NO. 34-2021-00302934

CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT FORM: ATTACHMENT 8

Additional representation at trial will be as follows:

• Sean A. Brady

Michel & Associates, P.C. Attorneys at Law 180 East Ocean Boulevard, Suite 200

Long Beach, CA 90802

Email: sbrady@michellawyers.com

Telephone: 562-216-4444 Facsimile: 562-216-4445

Attorneys for Defendants RYAN BEEZLEY AND BOB BEEZLEY d/b/a
RBTACTICIALTOOLING.COM, THUNDER GUNS LLC, d/b/a
THUNDERTACTICAL.COM, BLACKHAWK MANUFACTURING GROUP INC., d/b/a
80PERCENTARMS.COM; MATRIX ARMS, d/b/a MATRIXARMS.COM, JAMES
MADISON TACTICAL LLC, d/b/a JAMESMADISONTACTICAL.COM, MFY
TECHNICAL SOLUTIONS LLC, d/b/a 5DTACTICAL.COM, JSD SUPPLY, d/b/a
JSDSUPPLY.COM and 80PERCENTGUYS.COM

David A. Melton

Kristin Du Poter Scott Law Firm 350 University Ave, Suite 200

Sacramento, CA

Email: dmelton@porterscott.com kdu@porterscott.com

Telephone: 916.929.1481 Facsimile: 916.927.3706

And

Anthony M. Pisciotti
Danny Lallis
Ryan Erdreich
Pisciotti Lallis Erdreich, P.C.
30 Columbia Turnpike, Suite 205

Florham Park, NJ 07932

Telephone: (973)245-8100 Facsimile: (973)245-8101

Email: apisciotti@pisciotti.com dlallis@pisciotti.com rerdreich@pisciotti.com

Attorneys for Defendant JAMES TROMBLEE, JR., d/b/a USPATRIOTARMORY.COM

Howard Schilsky

Christopher Renzulli

Renzulli Law Firm, LLP

One N. Broadway, Suite 1005

White Plains, NY 10601

Email: hschilsky@renzullilaw.com

Telephone: 914-285-0700 Facsimile: 914-285-1213

And

Richard Linkert **Madison Simmons** Matheny Sears Linkert & Jaime LLP 3638 American River Drive Sacramento, CA 95864-4711 rlinkert@mathenysears.com msimmons@mathenysears.com

Attorneys for Defendant JUGGERNAUT TACTICAL, INC. d/b/a JTACTICAL.COM

• Brian M. Sanders, Esq.

Mitchell R. Vanlandingham

Koeller Nebeker, Carlson & Haluck, LLP

1478 Stone Point Dr. Suite 435

Roseville, CA 95661

Telephone ((916) 724-5700

Facsimile: (916) 788-2850

Email: brian.sanders@knchlaw.com Mitchell.vanlandingham@knchlaw.com

Attorneys for Defendant WM. C. ANDERSON, INC., d/b/a ANDERSONMANUFACTURING.COM

David H. Pollock

Jacobsen & McElroy PC

2401 American River Drive, Suite 100

Sacramento, CA 95825

Email: dpollock@jacobsenmcelroy.com Telephone: (916) 971-4100 Ext. 231

Facsimile: (916) 971-4150

Attorneys for Defendants GHOST FIREARMS LLC, d/b/a GRID DEFENSE and GHOSTRIFLES.COM

• John W. Dillon

Dillon Lawn 2647 Gateway Rd Suite 105, No. 255 Carlsbad, CA 92009

Email: jdillon@dillonlawgp.com Telephone: (760) 642-7150

Attorneys for Defendant GHOST GUNNER INC., d/b/a GHOSTGUNNER.NET (DEFENSE DISTRIBUTED)

• Craig Livingston

Crystal Van Der Putten Livingston Law Firm 1600 South Main Street, Suite 280 Walnut Creek, CA 94596

Email: clivingston@livingstonlawyers.com cvanderputten@livingstonlawyers.com

Telephone: (925) 952-9880 Facsimile: (925) 952-9881

Attorneys for Defendants TACTICAL GEAR HEADS LLC, d/b/a 80-LOWER.COM; AR-15LOWERRECEIVERS.COM and 80LOWERJIG.COM

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (NAME, STATE BAR # AND ADDRESS):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Robert J. Nelson (State Bar No. 132797) Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP	
275 Battery Street, 29th Floor. San Francisco, CA 94111-3339	
TELEPHONE NO. 415.956.1000 FAX NO. (Optional) 415.956.1008	
EMAIL ADDRESS (Optional)rnelson@lchb.com	
ATTORNEY FOR (NAME): Kelley and Denis O'Sullivan Superior Court of California, County of	-
Sacramento 720 Ninth Street	
Sacramento, CA 95814-1380 (916) 874-5522—Website www.saccourt.ca.gov	
W. H I.D Old H.	1
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Kelley and Denis O'Sullivan	
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Ghost Gunner, Inc., et al.	
DEFENDANTALOS ONDENT.	CASE NUMBER: 34-2021-00302934
MEDIATION STATEMENT	
	ASSIGNED DEPT:27
A CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE is scheduled as follows:	
Date: 12/14/23 Time: 1:30PM Dept.: 27	
Address of court (if different from the address above):	
INCTRICTIONS. All applicable haves proof be shocked, and the speci	fielinfermentier mercet be annealded
INSTRUCTIONS: All applicable boxes must be checked, and the speci	ried information must be provided.
All parties have considered Mediation as a means to resolving this case and h	
	ave agreed: Ilation and Order for Mediation form or
All parties have considered Mediation as a means to resolving this case and have means to resolving this case and have submitted a <i>Stipu</i>	ave agreed: ulation and Order for Mediation form or Conference.
All parties have considered Mediation as a means to resolving this case and have means to resolving this case and have submitted a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management	ave agreed: Ilation and Order for Mediation form or Conference.
All parties have considered Mediation as a means to resolving this case and had been decided in the mean of the m	ave agreed: Ilation and Order for Mediation form or Conference. Vill reconsider whether mediation is
 All parties have considered Mediation as a means to resolving this case and had been decided in the mediation is appropriate for this case. Parties have submitted a Stipulation will submit a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management	ave agreed: Ilation and Order for Mediation form or Conference. Vill reconsider whether mediation is
All parties have considered Mediation as a means to resolving this case and had been made and the mediation is appropriate for this case. Parties have submitted a <i>Stipp</i> will submit a <i>Stipulation</i> within 14 days following the Case Management ✓ Mediation is not appropriate for this case for the following reasons: The parties concur that mediation is inappropriate at this early stage. The parties was appropriate following resolution of any demurrers and/or completion of certain creations.	ave agreed: Ilation and Order for Mediation form or Conference. Vill reconsider whether mediation is
All parties have considered Mediation as a means to resolving this case and he Mediation is appropriate for this case. Parties have submitted a Stippe will submit a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management Mediation is not appropriate for this case for the following reasons: The parties concur that mediation is inappropriate at this early stage. The parties wappropriate following resolution of any demurrers and/or completion of certain crewapons at issue. I am completely familiar with this case and will be fully prepared to discuss the status of Resolution (ADR), as well as other issues raised by this statement, and will possess the issues at the time of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the case Management Conference, including the written authority of the case Management Conference, including the written authority of the case Management Conference, including the written authority of the case Management Conference, including the written authority of the case Management Conference, including the written authority of the case Management Conference, including the written authority of the case Management Conference, including the written authority of the case Management Conference, including the written authority of the case Management Conference, including the written authority of the case Management Conference, including the written authority of the case Management Conference.	ave agreed: Ilation and Order for Mediation form or Conference. Vill reconsider whether mediation is tical discovery regarding the specific discovery and Alternative Dispute authority to enter into stipulation on these
All parties have considered Mediation as a means to resolving this case and had been made and the mediation is appropriate for this case. Parties have submitted a Stippe will submit a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management Mediation is not appropriate for this case for the following reasons: The parties concur that mediation is inappropriate at this early stage. The parties we appropriate following resolution of any demurrers and/or completion of certain crewapons at issue. I am completely familiar with this case and will be fully prepared to discuss the status of Resolution (ADR), as well as other issues raised by this statement, and will possess the	ave agreed: Ilation and Order for Mediation form or Conference. Vill reconsider whether mediation is tical discovery regarding the specific discovery and Alternative Dispute authority to enter into stipulation on these
All parties have considered Mediation as a means to resolving this case and he Mediation is appropriate for this case. Parties have submitted a Stipulation will submit a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management Mediation is not appropriate for this case for the following reasons: The parties concur that mediation is inappropriate at this early stage. The parties was appropriate following resolution of any demurrers and/or completion of certain crewapons at issue. I am completely familiar with this case and will be fully prepared to discuss the status of Resolution (ADR), as well as other issues raised by this statement, and will possess the issues at the time of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of Date:11/29/23	ave agreed: Ilation and Order for Mediation form or Conference. Vill reconsider whether mediation is tical discovery regarding the specific discovery and Alternative Dispute authority to enter into stipulation on these
All parties have considered Mediation as a means to resolving this case and have submitted a Stippe will submit a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management will submit a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management will submit a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management will submit a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management will be parties concur that mediation is inappropriate at this early stage. The parties wappropriate following resolution of any demurrers and/or completion of certain crewapons at issue. I am completely familiar with this case and will be fully prepared to discuss the status of Resolution (ADR), as well as other issues raised by this statement, and will possess the issues at the time of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of Date:11/29/23	ave agreed: Ilation and Order for Mediation form or Conference. Vill reconsider whether mediation is tical discovery regarding the specific discovery and Alternative Dispute authority to enter into stipulation on these of the party where required.
All parties have considered Mediation as a means to resolving this case and have submitted a Stippe will submit a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management will submit a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management will submit a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management will be parties concur that mediation is inappropriate at this early stage. The parties wappropriate following resolution of any demurrers and/or completion of certain crewapons at issue. I am completely familiar with this case and will be fully prepared to discuss the status of Resolution (ADR), as well as other issues raised by this statement, and will possess the issues at the time of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of Date:11/29/23	ave agreed: Ilation and Order for Mediation form or Conference. Vill reconsider whether mediation is tical discovery regarding the specific discovery and Alternative Dispute authority to enter into stipulation on these
All parties have considered Mediation as a means to resolving this case and have submitted a Stippe will submit a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management will submit a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management will submit a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management will submit a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management will be parties concur that mediation is inappropriate at this early stage. The parties wappropriate following resolution of any demurrers and/or completion of certain crewapons at issue. I am completely familiar with this case and will be fully prepared to discuss the status of Resolution (ADR), as well as other issues raised by this statement, and will possess the issues at the time of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of Date:11/29/23	ave agreed: Ilation and Order for Mediation form or Conference. Vill reconsider whether mediation is tical discovery regarding the specific discovery and Alternative Dispute authority to enter into stipulation on these of the party where required.
All parties have considered Mediation as a means to resolving this case and he will submit a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management will submit a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management will submit a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management will be parties concur that mediation is inappropriate at this early stage. The parties wappropriate following resolution of any demurrers and/or completion of certain crewapons at issue. I am completely familiar with this case and will be fully prepared to discuss the status of Resolution (ADR), as well as other issues raised by this statement, and will possess the issues at the time of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of Date:11/29/23	ave agreed: Ilation and Order for Mediation form or Conference. Vill reconsider whether mediation is tical discovery regarding the specific discovery and Alternative Dispute authority to enter into stipulation on these of the party where required.

1 2 3 4 5	Robert J. Nelson (State Bar No. 132797) Caitlin M. Nelson (State Bar No. 335601) Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339 Telephone: 415.956.1000 Facsimile: 415.956.1008 rnelson@lchb.com cnelson@lchb.com	Philip Bangle (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Brady: United Against Gun Violence 840 First Street, NE Suite 400 Washington, DC 20002 Telephone: 202-370-8100 Facsimile: 202-898-8100 pbangle@bradyunited.org
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO	
10		
11	KELLEY and DENIS O'SULLIVAN, in	Case No. 34-2021-00302934
12	their Individual Capacity and KELLY O'SULLIVAN as Administrator of the	PROOF OF SERVICE
13	Estate of TARA O'SULLIVAN, Deceased,	
14	Plaintiffs,	
15	V.	
16	GHOST GUNNER INC., d/b/a GHOSTGUNNER.NET; et al.	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19	PROOF	F OF SERVICE
20	I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County of Alameda, State of	
21	California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business mailing address is 275 Battery St, 29 th Floor, San Francisco, California 94111. I am familiar with	
22	this firm's practice whereby the mail, after being placed in a designated area, is given the	
23	appropriate postage and is deposited in a U.S. mailbox after the close of the day's business.	
24	XX On the date indicated below, I served the document(s) designated below on all parties in said action as set forth below:	
25		ved the document(s) designated below on all parties
26	in said action by placing a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope and mailed it via overnight mail with Federal Express addressed as set forth below:	
2728	-	sonally served the document(s) designated below by ectronic mail in .pdf format as an e-mail attachment
20	dansimung a duc copy dicteor via ci	oerome man mapor rorman as an e-man anacimient

2 __ On the date indicated below, I served the document(s) designated below by transmitting a true copy thereof via Fax to the person(s) and at the Fax number(s) set forth 3 below: 4 CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT FORM AND ATTACHMENTS 5 6 David A. Melton Sean A. Brady Michel & Associates, P.C. Attorneys at Law Kristin Du 7 Poter Scott Law Firm 180 East Ocean Boulevard, Suite 200 350 University Ave, Suite 200 Long Beach, CA 90802 8 Email: sbrady@michellawyers.com Sacramento, CA Telephone: 562-216-4444 Email: dmelton@porterscott.com 9 kdu@porterscott.com Facsimile: 562-216-4445 Telephone: 916.929.1481 10 Facsimile: 916.927.3706 Attorneys for Defendants, RYAN BEEZLEY AND BOB BEEZLEY d/b/a 11 Anthony M. Pisciotti RBTACTICIALTOOLING.COM. THUNDER Danny Lallis GUNS LLC, d/b/a THUNDERTACTICAL.COM, 12 Ryan Erdreich BLACKHAWK MANUFACTURING GROUP Pisciotti Lallis Erdreich INC., d/b/a 80PERCENTARMS.COM; MATRIX 13 30 Columbia Turnpike, Suite 205 ARMS, d/b/a MATRIXARMS, COM, JAMES Florham Park, NJ 07932 MADISON TACTICAL LLC, d/b/a 14 TEL: (973)245-8100 JAMESMADISONTACTICAL.COM, MFY FAX: (973)245-8101 TECHNICAL SOLUTIONS LLC, d/b/a 15 Email: apisciotti@pisciotti.com 5DTACTICAL.COM, JSD SUPPLY, d/b/a dlallis@pisciotti.com JSDSUPPLY.COM and 16 rerdreich@pisciotti.com 80PERCENTGUYS.COM (VIA EMAIL ONLY) 17 Attorneys for Defendants, JAMES TROMBLEE, JR., d/b/a 18 USPATRIOTARMORY.COM 19 (VIA EMAIL ONLY) 20 David H. Pollock Brian M. Sanders Jacobsen & McElroy PC Mitchell R. Vanlandingham 2.1 2401 American River Drive, Suite 100 Koeller Nebeker, Carlson & Haluck, LLP Sacramento, CA 95825 1478 Stone Point Dr. Suite 435 22 Email: dpollock@jacobsenmcelroy.com Roseville, CA 95661 Telephone: (916) 971-4100 Ext. 231 Telephone ((916) 724-5700 23 Facsimile: (916) 971-4150 Facsimile: (916) 788-2850 Email: brian.sanders@knchlaw.com 24 Attorneys for Defendant GHOST Mitchell.vanlandingham@knchlaw.com FIREARMS LLC, d/b/a GRID DEFENSE 25 and GHOSTRIFLES.COM Attorneys for Defendant WM. C. ANDERSON, *INC.*, *d/b/a* 26 ANDERSONMANUFACTURING.COM (VIA EMAIL ONLY) 27 (VIA EMAIL ONLY) 28

1

to each addressee set forth below:

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Craig Livingston Crystal Van Der Putten Livingston Law Firm 1600 South Main Street, Suite 280 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Email: clivingston@livingstonlawyers.com	John W. Dillon Dillon Lawn 2647 Gateway Rd Suite 105, No. 255 Carlsbad, CA 92009 Email: jdillon@dillonlawgp.com Telephone: (760) 642-7150 Facsimile: Attorneys for Defendant GHOST GUNNER INC., d/b/a GHOSTGUNNER.NET, (DEFENSE DISTRIBUTED) (VIA EMAIL ONLY)
10 11	Howard Schilsky Christopher Renzulli Renzulli Law Firm, LLP	INDUSTRY ARMAMENT INC., d/b/a AMERICANWEAPONSCOMPONENTS.COM CT Corp Trust Co
12	One N. Broadway, Suite 1005 White Plains, NY 10601	1209 N Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801-1120
13	Email: hschilsky@renzullilaw.com Telephone: 914-285-0700 Facsimile: 914-285-1213	(VIA REGULAR MAIL ONLY)
14 15	And	
16	Richard Linkert Madison Simmons	
17	Matheny Sears Linkert & Jaime LLP 3638 American River Drive Sacramento, CA 95864-4711	
18	rlinkert@mathenysears.com msimmons@mathenysears.com	
19 20	Attorneys for Defendant, JUGGERNAUT TACTICAL, INC. d/b/a JTACTICAL.COM	
21	(VIA EMAIL ONLY)	
22	POLYMER80, INC., d/b/a	M-16 PARTS SUPPLY LLC, d/b/a M-
2324	POLYMER80.COM and P80 TACTICAL 134 Lakes Blvd. Dayton, NV 89403	16PARTS.COM c/0 Terry J. Weisflog 5918 England Avenue
25	(VIA REGULAR MAIL ONLY)	Orlando, FL 32808
26		(VIA REGULAR MAIL ONLY)
27		

2897266.1 - 3 -

1	I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the
2	foregoing is true and correct. Executed November 29, 2023, at New York, NY.
3	
4	<u>/s/ Caitlin M. Nelson</u> CAITLIN M. NELSON
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

2897266.1 - 4 -