Case 3:18-cv-00802-BEN-JLB Document 107 Filed 01/31/24 PageID.3460 Page 1 of 3

Defendant Rob Bonta, in his official capacity as Attorney General of the State of California, requests that the Court stay the Decision dated January 30, 2024<sup>1</sup> enjoining implementation or enforcement of the ammunition sales background check provisions found in California Penal Code §§ 30352 and 30370(a) through (e); the ammunition anti-importation provisions found in §§ 30312(a) and (b) and 30314(a); and the criminal enforcement of California Penal Code §§ 30312(d), 30314(c), and 30365(a) ("Decision") pending appeal. ECF No. 105. If the Court is not inclined to grant a stay of the Decision pending appeal, the Attorney General requests a brief administrative stay of ten days, to seek relief from the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. *See, e.g., Miller v. Bonta*, Case No. 3:19-cv-01537-BEN-JLB, ECF No. 175 (Decision at 78); *Duncan v. Bonta*, Case No. 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB, ECF No. 149 (Decision at 70–71). The Attorney General requests a ruling on this request by no later than 2 p.m. today, January 31, 2024.

The Attorney General previously requested that the Court enter a stay pending appeal if it enjoined the ammunition laws in whole or in part. ECF No. 81 at 25 n.16; ECF No. 86 at 10 n.15. Although the Court granted (in part) the Attorney General's requests in its final orders in other recent cases involving Second Amendment challenges to California's public safety laws, *see Miller* and *Duncan*, *supra*, the Decision here makes no reference to the stay requests in this case.

Just as in *Miller* and *Duncan*, a stay pending appeal—and at a minimum a brief stay to seek relief from the Court of Appeals—is warranted in this case. If the Decision is allowed to stay in effect, it would irrevocably alter the status quo by enjoining enforcement of laws that have been in effect for over four years; allowing prohibited California residents to acquire ammunition during the appeal; and jeopardizing public safety. And, if the challenged provisions of the ammunition

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Although the Decision is dated January 30, 2024, it was not served on the parties via the CM/ECF system until 8:26 am today, January 31. The Attorney General promptly prepared this request upon service.

laws are later upheld, it would be difficult for the State to reverse those 1 transactions, identify prohibited persons who purchased ammunition during the 2 3 appeal in violation of the ammunition laws, and restore the status quo. 4 At an absolute minimum, if the Court is not inclined to stay the Decision pending appeal, the Attorney General requests an interim ten-day stay, just as the 5 Court granted in *Miller* and *Duncan*, to seek relief from the Ninth Circuit Court of 6 7 Appeals. 8 Dated: January 31, 2024 Respectfully submitted, 9 ROB BONTA Attorney General of California P. PATTY LI 10 Supervising Deputy Attorney General 11 JOHN D. ECHEVERRIA Deputy Attorney General 12 13 s/ Christina R.B. López 14 CHRISTINA R.B. LÓPEZ 15 Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Defendant Rob Bonta, 16 in his official capacity as California Attorney General 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28