

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

Form 7. Mediation Questionnaire

Instructions for this form: <https://www.ca9.uscourts.gov/forms/form07instructions.pdf>

9th Cir. Case Number(s)	24-542
Case Name	Rhode, et al. v. Bonta
Counsel submitting this form	Christina R.B. Lopez
Represented party/parties	Appellant Rob Bonta

Briefly describe the dispute that gave rise to this lawsuit.

This case presents constitutional challenges to California's ammunition background check and face-to-face ammunition purchase requirements, including California Penal Code §§ 30352, 30370, 30312, 30314, and 30365, as well as a claim that § 30314 is preempted by federal law.

Briefly describe the result below and the main issues on appeal.

The district court issued a decision declaring the challenged ammunition laws unconstitutional and permanently enjoined their enforcement.

The main issue on appeal is whether the challenged ammunition laws are constitutional or preempted by federal law.

Describe any proceedings remaining below or any related proceedings in other tribunals.

The district court's decision fully disposes of the case below. The only potential issue remaining in the district court relates to attorneys' fees and costs.

The matter below was transferred to the district court as a case related to Duncan v. Bonta, now pending in this Court (Case No. 23-55805, en banc), at plaintiffs' request.

Signature **Date**

(use "s/[typed name]" to sign electronically-filed documents)