

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**Form 7. Mediation Questionnaire**

*Instructions for this form: <https://www.ca9.uscourts.gov/forms/form07instructions.pdf>*

**9th Cir. Case Number(s)** 24-2583

**Case Name** Rupp, et al. v. Bonta

**Counsel submitting this form** Anna M. Barvir

**Represented party/parties** Appellants-Plaintiffs Steven Rupp, et al.

*Briefly describe the dispute that gave rise to this lawsuit.*

Plaintiffs challenged provisions of California's Assault Weapon Control Act, which generally prohibits the possession, acquisition, or transfer of certain rifles that the Plaintiffs contend are commonly possessed by Americans for lawful purposes and thus constitutionally protected.

Plaintiffs include: (1) individuals who lawfully own and possess such rifles and who wish to be free from the restrictions California places on the ownership of those rifles, including transferring them to family members or others; (2) individuals who wish to lawfully acquire and possess such rifles; and (3) a self-defense civil rights organization. Plaintiffs contend that the challenged provisions violate their rights under the Second Amendment, the Takings Clause, and the Due Process Clause.

Defendant disputes all claims.

*Briefly describe the result below and the main issues on appeal.*

This case is on appeal for a second time, having been remanded to the district court for further proceedings consistent with the Supreme Court's decision in *NYSRPA v. Bruen* on June 28, 2022. The first appeal concerned the district court's order granting the Attorney General's motion to dismiss Plaintiffs' Takings Clause and Due Process Clause claims and subsequent order granting the Attorney General's motion for summary judgment on the Second Amendment claim and denying Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment.

In an order dated March 15, 2024, the district court granted the Attorney General's motion for summary judgment on all three claims, and denied Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment. On March 25, 2024, the district court entered final judgment on all claims alleged in Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint.

This Court is again asked to decide whether California's Assault Weapon Control Act's general prohibition on the possession, acquisition, and transfer of certain rifles violates the Second Amendment, the Takings Clause, or the Due Process Clause.

*Describe any proceedings remaining below or any related proceedings in other tribunals.*

The parties have stipulated to stay litigation of costs in the district court pending resolution of this appeal.

**Signature**  **Date**   
(use "s/[typed name]" to sign electronically-filed documents)