C	ase 8:23-cv-01696-MRA-ADS	Document 56	Filed 06/12/24	Page 1 of 4	Page ID #:2928
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNI	FED STATES	S DISTRICT C	COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10				01/07/	
11	RENO MAY ET AL,		Case No.: 8:2	23-cv-01696-	MRA (ADSx)
12	P	REASSIGNMENT ORDER			
13	V.		KEASSIGNI	VIENI OKD	EK
14					
15	ROBERT BONTA ET AL,				
16	D	efendant/s.			
17					
18					
19					
20	Pursuant to the Order of the Chief Judge, this case has been reassigned to the				
21	calendar of the Honorable Mónica Ramírez Almadani. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED				
22	as follows:				
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28			1		

 All counsel shall familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules of the Central District of California, and all applicable standing orders.¹ "Counsel," as used in this order, includes parties appearing *pro se*.

2. This Court does not exempt parties appearing *pro se* from compliance with any of the Local Rules, including Local Rules 16 and 7-3.

3. All matters previously referred to a magistrate judge shall remain before that magistrate judge.

4. Cases previously referred to an Alternative Dispute Resolution ("ADR") process shall proceed under the terms of the applicable ADR local rules. Dates for previously scheduled ADR conferences shall remain in effect.

5. All discovery cutoff dates and other deadlines associated with this case, such as disclosure and expert deadlines, shall remain in effect.

6. Except for matters noticed before a magistrate judge, all currently pending law and motion hearing dates are vacated. However, the opposition and reply deadlines will remain based on the previously noticed hearing date or scheduling order. Unless otherwise ordered, the motion will be taken under submission without oral argument when briefing is complete.

7. All previously scheduled scheduling conferences are vacated. However, the deadline to file the parties' Joint Report pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f) will remain based on the previously scheduled scheduling conference. In addition, the parties may file a joint statement no later than seven (7) days from the date of this Order or with their Rule 26 Joint Report, whichever is earlier, stating (a) whether any party would like the Court to hold a telephonic scheduling conference hearing; and (b) if so, what issues they seek to have resolved at the hearing. If the

1

2

¹ The Local Rules are available on the Central District of California website at www.cacd.uscourts.gov and Judge Ramírez Almadani's procedures and standing orders are available at http://www.cacd.uscourts.gov/honorable-mónica-ramírezalmadani.

parties do not file a joint statement requesting a conference, the Court will likely issuea scheduling order without a hearing.

8. All pretrial conferences and trial dates currently set for dates earlier than August 26, 2024, are **vacated**.

9. All pretrial conferences and trial dates currently set for August 26, 2024, or thereafter, as well as other deadlines associated with the case, shall remain in effect.

10. Each party is expected to review and become familiar with all applicable standing orders, which are posted on the Court's website.

11. The parties shall file a Joint Case Management Statement within fifteen (15) days of the date of this Order. Separate statements are appropriate if any party is proceeding without counsel. The statement should not exceed 10 pages in length, should not contain attachments, and should address the following items in the following order:

a. The date the case was filed;

b. A list identifying or describing each party;

c. A brief summary of all claims, counter-claims, cross-claims, or third-party claims;

d. A brief description of the events underlying the action;

e. A description of the relief sought and the damages claimed with an explanation of how damages have been (or will be) computed;

f. The status of discovery, including any significant discovery management issues, as well the applicable cut-off dates;

g. A procedural history of the case, including any previous motions that were decided or submitted, any ADR proceedings or settlement conferences that have been scheduled or concluded, and any appellate proceedings that are pending or concluded;

h. A description of any other deadlines in place before reassignment;

i. Whether the parties will consent to a magistrate judge for trial²;

j. A statement from each parties' counsel indicating they have (1) discussed the magistrate judge consent program with their respective client(s), and (2) met and conferred to discuss the consent program and selection of a magistrate judge; and

12. If the parties wish to continue any pretrial dates or deadlines, they must file a joint stipulation or motion requesting a modification of the scheduling order. Any request for a continuance must be serially numbered to differentiate it from previous requests and supported by a declaration that contains a detailed factual showing of good cause and due diligence demonstrating the necessity for the continuance and a description of the parties' efforts taken to advance the litigation. General statements are insufficient to establish good cause, and the fact that the case was recently reassigned to Judge Ramírez Almadani is also insufficient.

The Court thanks the parties and their counsel for their anticipated cooperation in complying with these requirements.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 12, 2024

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Amica R. Al-

HON. MÓNICA RAMÍREZ ALMADANI UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

² Under 28 U.S.C. § 636, the parties may consent to have a magistrate judge preside over all proceedings, including trial. The magistrate judges who accept those designations are identified on the Central District's website at

http://www.cacd.uscourts.gov/judges-requirements/court-programs/voluntary-consent magistrate-judges, which also contains a link to the consent form CV-11D.

4