```
Page 1
              IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
 2
                 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
 3
     CALEB BARNETT, et al.,
 4
                                  )
 5
                   Plaintiffs,
                                  )
6
                                  ) No. 3:23-cv-209-SPM
              -vs-
7
     KWAME RAOUL, et al.,
                                   )
8
                   Defendant.
                                   )
9
              The deposition of JIM CURCURUTO, called
10
11
     for examination pursuant to the Rules of Civil
     Procedure for the United States District Courts
12
13
    pertaining to the taking of depositions, taken
14
     before Raelene Stamm, a Certified Shorthand
15
     Reporter licensed by the State of Illinois, at
16
     330 North Wabash Street, Suite 3300, Chicago,
17
     Illinois, on the 13th day of June, 2024, at the
    hour of 10:00 a.m.
18
19
20
21
22
23
     Reported by: RAELENE STAMM, CSR
     License No.: 084-004445
24
```

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	SWANSON MARTIN & BELL, LLP
4	BY: MR. ANDREW A. LOTHSON
5	MR. JAMES B. VOGTS
6	330 North Wabash Avenue
7	Suite 3300
8	Chicago, Illinois 60611
9	(312) 321-9100
10	alothson@smbtrials.com
11	jvogts@smbtrials.com
12	On behalf of the Plaintiff;
13	
14	STATE OF ILLINOIS
15	OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL
16	BY: MR. CHRISTOPHER G. WELLS
17	MR. JOHN HAZINSKI
18	115 South LaSalle Street
19	Chicago, Illinois 60603
20	(312) 814-8570
21	christopher.wells@ilag.gov
22	john.hazinski@ilag.gov
23	On behalf of the Defendants.
24	

		Page 3
1	ALSO	PRESENT:
2		
3		SHELBY BAIRD SMITH, ESQ., NSSF
4		
5	ALSO	PRESENT: (Via video conference)
6		
7		DAVID G. SIGALE
8		THOMAS G. MAAG
9		T. ADAM HOERNER
10		KERRY BANAHAN
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

			Page 4
1		I N D E X	
2	WITNESS		EXAMINATION
3	JIM CURCURUTO		
4	By Mr. Hazinski		6
5	By Mr. Lothson		180
6	By Mr. Hazinski	(Further)	182
7	By Mr. Maag		190
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

								Page 5
1	E	х н	I	В	I	Т	S	
2	NUMBER							IDENTIFICATION
3	Curcuruto Deposition	<u>!</u>						
4	Exhibit 1							38
5	Exhibit 2							61
6	Exhibit 3							66
7	Exhibit 4							99
8	Exhibit 5							115
9	Exhibit 6							117
10	Exhibit 7							154
11	Exhibit 8							161
12	Exhibit 9							166
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								

Page 6 (WHEREUPON, the witness was 1 2 duly sworn.) 3 JIM CURCURUTO, called as a witness herein, having been first duly 4 sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 5 EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. HAZINSKI: 7 Good morning, Mr. Curcuruto. My name is 8 9 John Hazinski. I'm an attorney for the defendants 10 in the Barnett case, Attorney General Kwame Raoul, 11 Illinois State Police Director Brendan Kelly. 12 You've been deposed before, correct? 13 Α. Yes. 14 About how many times? Ο. 15 Α. Seven, I believe. You're a bit of an old hand at this, but 16 0. I'll go over some ground rules just to make sure 17 18 everything runs smoothly today. 19 Α. Sure. 20 Today you were just sworn in. Ο. 21 Do you understand that you're under oath 22 the same as if you were testifying in court? 2.3 Α. Yes. 2.4 Since the court reporter here is taking Q.

down everything we say, I'll try to do my best to avoid talking over you, and I ask that you try to do the same, okay?

A. Yes.

- Q. If I ask a question that you don't understand, please ask me to clarify. Because if you do answer the question, I'll assume that you understood it; is that fair?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. From time to time the lawyers may interpose objections which is all right. You should answer the question even if there is an objection. The exception to that is only if you're expressly instructed not to answer.

Does that make sense?

- A. Yes.
- Q. You are welcome to take a break whenever you'd like, but I just ask that you not take a break while I have a question pending; is that fair?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you have any medical conditions or are you on any medication that could interfere with your ability to give truthful testimony today?

Page 8 1 Α. No. 2 Ο. Could you please state your full name for 3 the record? 4 Α. James Curcuruto. 5 Could you spell your last name, please? Ο. C-U-R-C-U-R-U-T-O. 6 Α. 7 What did you do to prepare for they Ο. deposition? 8 9 Α. Received a call from Larry Keen from NSSF, 10 oh, a month or so ago. (Reporter clarification.) 11 12 THE WITNESS: Received a call from Larry Keen 13 about a month or so ago asking if I was available 14 to do this. I said yes, and he introduced me to 15 Jim Boats who I had worked with previously. 16 And Jim sent me, I believe, three 17 different documents to review. One was some old 18 emails while I was employed at NSSF. Another was a 19 deposition that I had done, a transcript of it, 20 from I believe 2013, I believe Cook County. And 21 the third was a copy of a deposition that was just 22 done of Salam from NSSF. And I reviewed those documents. 23 2.4 Q. Just those three documents in total that

Page 9 you reviewed? 1 2 Α. I had also taken up -- well -- I know we 3 had a phone call to discuss procedure and along the lines there, but I believe those are the three main 4 5 documents. I can't recall if I looked at anything else in detail. 6 7 MR. VOGTS: Could I interrupt? An attorney, apparently David Sigale, wants to get in. He says 8 9 he has to be admitted. (WHEREUPON, a discussion was had 10 11 off the record.) BY MR. HAZINSKI: 12 13 So you mentioned talking to Jim? Ο. 14 Correct. Α. 15 And is he representing you today for the purposes of this deposition? 16 I believe Andrew is. 17 Α. 18 MR. LOTHSON: I am. We are, yes. 19 BY MR. HAZINSKI: 20 Okay. Now, I don't want to know anything Ο. 21 you spoke about with the attorneys that are 22 representing you because that's privileged, but can 23 you tell me how many times you met with them or 24 spoke with them to prepare?

- A. One, maybe two phone calls with Jim, and then yesterday I met with Jim and Andrew here.
  - Q. How long was that meeting yesterday?
  - A. About an hour.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. And you mentioned reviewing three documents, the transcript of the deposition of Salam Fatohi, a transcript from a Cook County case you estimated in 2013, and what was the other document?
- A. Let's see. I got some emails that I was part of prior to my departure from NSSF were the three that were sent to me via email, and then yesterday we did briefly review or talk about, not in detail, a firearm retailer survey and a modern sporting rifle study that I had worked on previously, and a magazine chart as well.
- Q. Other than those did you review any other documents in preparation for the deposition?
  - A. I don't believe so.
- Q. You mentioned a phone call with Larry Keen. Who is Larry Keen?
- A. Larry Keen is general counsel at National Shooting Sports Foundation.
  - Q. Other than Larry Keen and your attorneys,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

Page 11 did you discuss this deposition with anybody else? Just my wife to let her know I'd be down here. Ο. Are you being compensated for your testimony here? Α. I am. NSSF is gonna be covering my travel costs and hourly rate. And what's your hourly rate? Ο. Α. \$150 per hour. Where are you currently employed? Q. I am executive director of Outdoor Stewards of Conservation Foundation. What are your responsibilities in the role Ο. of executive director?

- A. I run the entire organization. It's a nonprofit organization.
  - Q. What is the purpose of that organization?
- A. Our mission is to work with all groups to help support recruitment of new hunters, anglers, trappers and shooters, and promote the positive contributions of what we call HATS, H-A-T-S, which stands for hunters, anglers, trappers and shooters.
- Q. Do you have any employment other than your role at Outdoor Stewards of Conservation?

- A. I also have a LLC for some consulting called Outdoor Insights, LLC.
  - Q. What's the purpose of that LLC?

2.4

A. Just to -- if I have somebody that is looking to hire somebody for support on research on the hunting industry or outdoor industry, that's kind of my arm for that as well.

So, for example, if somebody needed me for a place like today, I could help out through the Outdoor Insights as well or -- you know, with this instance I'll probably just bill under Jim Curcuruto as a personal one.

- Q. So who are your typical clients for your consulting work?
- A. It's been a while. You know, when I first left NSSF I did some research projects for some manufacturers within the firearms and ammunition industry. And I also use that to consult for some grant work on conservation focused grant work, wild life conservation.
- Q. Which firearms manufacturers were your consulting clients?
- A. I prefer not to answer just out of confidentiality.

- Q. Did you -- did you reach agreements with those clients to keep the nature of your relationships confidential?
  - A. No, more of a personal business decision.
- Q. How many firearms manufacturers have you had as clients?
  - A. More ammunition manufacturers. One firearm, one ammunition.
  - Q. So you had one client who is a firearm manufacturer, one client that was an ammunition manufacturer and no others; is that fair?
  - A. Correct. Other than the grant work that I did for conservation.
  - Q. The firearms manufacturer that was your client, can you describe in general terms the kinds of things they manufactured?
    - A. Handguns and long guns.
  - Q. In the past have you stated that you were available to testify as an expert witness on behalf of the firearms industry?
    - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.4

- Q. Have you ever done so?
- 23 A. Through the depositions.
  - Q. Were you retained by the parties in those

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

Page 14 cases to provide that testimony, meaning paid for that testimony? Α. I believe so in 2021, maybe January or February, one case I did get paid. Did you prepare written reports in any of those cases? I don't recall. Α. Have you prepared a written report in this case? I don't believe I did. Α. And just for context or clarity, this is a Ο.

case concerning a law called the Illinois -Protect Illinois Communities Act regulating assault
weapons and large capacity magazines in the State
of Illinois.

Before you worked at Outdoor Stewards of Conservation where did you work?

- A. I had the consulting business that I started right after I left National Shooting Sports Foundation, and prior to that I was with National Shooting Sports Foundation for 11 years.
- Q. I want to the circle back briefly on the consulting work.

What was the nature of the research

Page 15 projects that you conducted for firearms 1 2 manufacturers? 3 Α. Firearms and ammunition industry research. When you say industry research, can you 4 Ο. 5 clarify what that means? Α. Market on firearms and ammunition. 6 Does that refer to the consumer market? 7 0. Consumer market, yes. 8 Α. 9 Ο. And approximately when did you engage in 10 those research projects? 11 Α. Early 2021. Both of them? 12 Q. 13 Α. Correct. 14 What was your first role at NSSF? Ο. 15 Α. Director of industry research and analysis I believe was my original title. 16 17 What were your responsibilities in that Ο. 18 role? I directed most of the consumer related 19 20 research and business related research for National 21 Shooting Sports Foundation. I managed one direct 22 report as well as several relationships we had with 23 outside researching agencies. 2.4 Who was the direct report you managed? Q.

Page 16 Dianne Vrablic. 1 Α. 2 Ο. Did you have any other roles at NSSF? 3 Α. I did switch titles maybe -- trying to -- 2017, '18, approximately to director of 4 research and market development. 5 How did your responsibilities change, if 6 7 at all, when you made that transition? Α. I added -- kept what I had and added 8 9 responsibilities for what is called recruit, retain, reactivate, or what we called R3, new 10 11 customers to the market, keep the ones that you 12 have and reactivate lapsed participants. 13 In your lateral role at NSSF, did you O. 14 supervise anyone other than Dianne Vrablic? 15 Α. Not directly. 16 0. Was that a department of its own within 17 NSSF? 18 A team of two. 19 A team of two. Ο. 20 Was that team of two responsible for 21 conducting all of NSSF's research endeavors? 22 The vast majority consumer. They also had Α. 23 a legal team that did more of the legislative

2.4

research.

- Q. Did anyone at NSSF ever express any concerns about the overall quality of your work?
  - A. At NSSF, no.
- Q. Did anyone at NSSF ever express any concerns that the results of your research or analysis were not accurate?
  - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. These days are you in touch with anyone at NSSF on a regular basis?
  - A. You want to define regular?
- Q. Do you speak to anyone at NSSF more than once a year?
- A. Yes.
- 14 O. Who?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- A. Dianne Vrablic, catch up with her and see how the family's doing on a quarterly basis. And then I consult for NSSF on a project with the team lead being John McNamara.
  - Q. What's the nature of that project?
- A. It's regarding building shooting ranges with excised tax dollars for state wild life agencies to have more recreational opportunities for folks to go target shooting.
  - Q. Other than that project, do you have any

Page 18 1 other -- strike that. 2 Other than that project, since you left 3 NSSF have you had any other business or professional relationship with that organization? 4 I believe that's it. 5 Your relationship with Dianne Vrablic, is 6 Ο. 7 that strictly personal or is it also an ongoing professional relationship? 8 9 95 percent personal just to catch up with her family and kids and hear her stories. Every 10 11 once in a while we might talk about the latest 12 research project or something along the lines 13 there. 14 Does she still work at NSSF? Ο. 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. In a research capacity? I believe so. 17 Α. 18 Do you ever discuss the research that Ο. 19 they're doing? 20 Very top level, not in detail. I'd rather Α. 21 hear about her kids. 22 Since you left NSSF has anyone at that 23 organization, other than Larry Keen when he called 24 you recently, contacted you to specifically discuss

Page 19 1 your work there? 2 I don't believe so. It's been about four 3 years. So there may have been a call along the lines, but nothing that I can recall. 4 Did anyone ever -- from NSSF ever call you 5 expressing any concerns about your work or your 6 7 research there in the time since you left? Α. No. 8 9 Ο. What's your highest level of education? Bachelor of Science in 1993 in business 10 Α. 11 management. 12 Ο. Did you also receive a bachelor's in business administration? 13 14 I believe it was associate's from SUNY 15 Cobleskill, and then a bachelor's from University of North Carolina, Wilmington. 16 17 Other than those programs do you have any Ο. 18 other college or university level education? 19 I do not. Α. 20 Did you take any statistics classes for Ο. 21 your degrees? 22 I believe I took statistics somewhere Α. 23 along my college career.

Do you know what the nature of that

2.4

Q.

coursework was?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

- A. Just part of the requirements to get a business degree.
- Q. Have you received any other formal education in statistics apart from that?
- A. I don't believe so. I did some continuing education at places like Merrick of Management Association, Burke Institute, primarily more research focused.
  - Q. Can you describe that work?
- A. I believe one of the classes I took with Burke Institute was focus group moderation, and another one may have been survey questionnaire development.
  - Q. When did you take those courses?
  - A. Approximately 2012 to 2015.
- Q. Through your professional experience and your coursework have you developed any familiarity with statistical or research methods?
- A. I worked very closely with several Ph.D.s and statisticians for 11 years. And I learned, you know, from them as I was going, but nothing formal.
- Q. When you were acting as a director of research at NSSF, did you feel that you had an

Page 21 understanding of basic statistic principles? 1 2 I left that up to the folks that we 3 contracted. Did you contract for all of NSSF research 4 5 or just for some of it? 6 Α. Some. 7 In cases where the research was being done Ο. by NSSF and not a contracted organization, who was 8 responsible for overseeing the statistical aspects 9 of that research? 10 11 We did internally several simple, not very 12 statistically heavy oriented projects such as 13 surveys to our membership and creating Excel type 14 of documents that were simple math, not statistical 15 analysis. 16 Fair to say that the research that NSSF Ο. 17 was conducting in-house was of a nature that didn't 18 require in your view a high degree of statistical organization? 19 20 Α. Correct. 21 Q. Do you have an understanding of the term 22 sample size? 23 Α. I do.

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

Generally speaking, what's that?

2.4

Q.

- A. When you send out a survey, for example, you want to reach a minimum requirement sample size of people that have completed that study to receive, what we always used, a 95 percent confidence and 5 percent margin of error at a minimum on the stuff that we did. Whether that was internally or externally, that was what we strived to accomplish.
  - Q. You used the term 95 percent confidence.

    Can you explain what that means?
- A. Sure. You have a hundred answers -- or if the answer to a question is a hundred, 95 percent of the time it's gonna be within 5 percent of that hundred.
- Q. Do you have an understanding of how to calculate the level of confidence associated with the sample?
- A. Yeah, there's formulas for that. You can just plug it in. We use the online formula. If your sample audience was a thousand, you plug that in. And to get a 95 and 5 confidence level, it would spit out, you need an N of X to meet that requirement. So that was what we tried to obtain.
  - Q. And those were the standards you were

applying in the research done at NSSF?

A. The minimum, yeah.

2.4

- Q. When you were going through the hiring process at NSSF, do you recall whether anyone asked you about your knowledge of statistics or data analysis?
- A. I'm sure the topic came up, but that was in 2009, so it's been quite sometime.
  - O. Fair enough.

Generally speaking, could you describe the purpose of the research that NSSF conducted while you were there?

- A. Sure. NSSF is a trade association for the firearms and ammunition industry. So one of the services or member benefits that we provided was industry research so our members would be more informed of current market decisions so they could make better business decisions.
- Q. Are there any other purposes of the research that NSSF was conducting?
- A. That was the primary one at the time. One of the other purposes was to use the findings, the insights we gained to then build programs to help with what we call the R3, you know, the recruit,

retain, reactivate more participants in target and hunting shooting.

- Q. Were you aware when you worked at NSSF that any of their research or reports were being used in litigation?
- A. When I first started there was not a lot, if any, when I originally started discussion about litigation cases. It wasn't until several years into the employment that it seemed like that became more prevalent within the organization.
- Q. Approximately what year would that have been?
- A. Approximately, ballpark because it's been a while, 2012. So three years in maybe. I believe that was that first case in Cook County that kind of brought it to my attention anyways.
- Q. Do you recall what aspect of NSSF's research or analysis was at issue there?
- A. Sure. The primary -- the two primary parts that stand out are commonality of AR or AK platform firearms which we called modern sporting rifles, and the second was the magazine capacity handguns and long guns.
  - Q. Did you have an understanding at the time

of how NSSF's research would be relevant to those questions?

2.4

- A. Not specific to litigation, but certainly they were questions that our membership had questions about. And we had a long list of research topics that we needed to conduct to fill a lot of voids, and those were on the list.
- Q. Can you explain what you mean when you say that they were topics that your membership had questions about?
- A. Sure. So being the director of research and being a member-based organization, I would ask our members what -- you know, what would you like to know on the topics of the industry to help you better understand conditions and make better decisions.

And I had a long list of everything from first-time gun buyers to female participation to market segmentation to economics to handgun market, modern sporting rifle market, those type of things.

So I tried to check them off one at a time as what I thought was important, but modern sporting rifles was certainly a topic that a lot of our members had interest in.

- Q. How did you find out from membership that they were interested in those topics? Was it through any kind of formal survey or through informal conversations?
  - A. Informal conversations.

- Q. Did you keep an actual written list of those topics?
- A. I would imagine I did. I just don't recall.
- Q. And since it was a long list, it sounds like you had to make judgments about which topics to prioritize?
  - A. Correct, yeah.
- Q. Was anybody else besides you responsible for deciding on which topic NSSF would study next?
- A. You know, I had supervisors that I reported to, but -- maybe one or two times they may have suggested a topic, but they left it up to me which was kind of nice to have that freedom to go my own direction.
  - Q. Why did you stop working at NSSF?
- A. I believe in January 2021 the SHOT show,
  which is shooting hunting outdoor trade show,
  S-H-O-T, is owned and operated by NSSF, and that is

a large chunk of their revenue, and with COVID they did not have that SHOT show.

So they had to make cuts, and my position was involved in the cuts. So I believe it was early January 2021 when I was let go.

- Q. So it sounds like it was a budgetary rationale?
  - A. Correct.

- Q. Did anyone at NSSF ever tell you that you were being let go for reasons related to your performance?
  - A. No, strictly financial decision.
  - O. Who made the decision to let you go?
  - A. I assume it's the executive team.
- 15 O. Who was on the executive team at the time?
  - A. I believe Larry Keen, Joe Bartozzi who's the president, Deb Kenny who was vice president of HR, and Chris Dolnack and John -- sorry, John our CFO at the time. I don't recall his last name at this time. Sorry.
  - Q. Do you know whether anyone replaced you in your role once you left?
  - A. I don't know if they did immediately, but there was somebody that had worked -- Salam, who

Page 28 had worked on the legislative side in research, I 1 2 believe he then -- all responsibilities got moved 3 over to him which he was in for a big surprise because I did a lot there. 4 5 And you read his deposition in Ο. preparation --6 7 I did. Α. -- to testify today? 8 0. 9 Α. Yes. Sorry about that. 10 Q. No problem. 11 Based on reviewing his deposition, do you 12 have an understanding of whether his 13 responsibilities are the same as the ones you had 14 or whether they differ in any important way? I don't -- I believe they differ. Key 15 difference is he's more legislation or litigation. 16 17 I tried not to, you know, delve into that because 18 they had that department. And I did more of the conservation and 19 20 participation. I worked with the state wild life 21 agencies on that R3. And I don't know who handles that now, if anybody. 22 23 And it sounds like that aligns more with 0. 24 your interests as well?

Page 29 1 You got it. Α. 2 When you say Salam Fatohi is more focused Ο. 3 on legislation, can you explain that? MR. LOTHSON: And I'll object to the form of 4 5 the question. Salam's testimony speaks for itself. 6 Jim, you can answer if you know. We had different 7 THE WITNESS: Sure. departments within NSSF, a media department, a 8 9 government relations department and a member services. I was part of the member services with 10 11 the research we did, and he was part of the government relations with the research he did. 12 BY MR. HAZINSKI: 13 14 From your understanding just based on what 15 you reviewed, it struck you that that legislation 16 or litigation aspect was more relevant to his work 17 than it was to your work? 18 Α. Correct. We mentioned earlier your role as a 19 Ο. 20 witness in a number of lawsuits. 21 Do you recall that? 22 Α. Correct. Were those lawsuits all cases involving 2.3 Ο.

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

challenges to regulations of firearms or magazines?

2.4

- A. I believe so, yes. Main topics of, you know, AR platform, modern supporting rifles or capacity of magazines.
- Q. Based on what you remember about those cases, do you know whether the reliability or accuracy of any of the research that you conducted was a contested issue in those cases?
- A. The opposing lawyers certainly asked a lot of questions about how that research was done, but we were very confident in providing the best available information to our membership at the time.
- Q. Do you recall which pieces of your research were at issue?
- A. I believe everything that we submitted, you know, they had questions on primarily, you know, our modern sporting rifle consumer study and the magazine chart. And I believe several doc -- or reports were included. One that comes to mind I believe was the annual consumer -- annual retailer survey as well.
- Q. Did anything that you learned or were shown in those cases when you were a witness change your own view about the reliability or accuracy of

any research you had conducted?

A. I was very comfortable with how I had always conducted research. You know, I felt like I was supporting our membership, and the last thing I wanted to do was provide them with misinformation.

They make a business decision that doesn't turn out well, and they're gonna be mad at me, right? So I want to make sure that whatever I put out was to the highest standard that I could.

- Q. Do you know whether any courts have found that any research you helped conduct at NSSF was unreliable?
- A. I don't recall. You know, I recall with the six or seven different cases that some court cases moved forward and some didn't, but I don't know if it was due to my research.
- Q. Would it be a fair summary of your testimony to say that your role as a witness in these cases didn't do anything to kind of undermine your confidence in the work that you had done?
- A. Correct, yeah. Like I said, I was confident in what I did. And certainly, you know, there were a lot of questions that came that made me realize that I wanted to even put out a better

Page 32

product so there would be less questions, you know, not only from -- you know, mainly from our members, right? We don't want them to question anything that we're putting out.

So I already had a high standard. I just made sure to keep that and maybe even get it better if possible.

- Q. Can you give me an example of any areas where you saw room to do a better version?
- A. I recall a specific example in a report I had, and I don't remember the report that was an exhibit, but on one page -- and I'm making up the number. It was like 17.1000, and on another page it just said 17,000. And the opposing lawyer's like what's the difference. I must have rounded down. So like it wasn't major, but it was still something that I could improve upon moving forward.
- Q. Any other examples of issues like that come to mind?
  - A. No. That's the one that stands out, yeah.
- Q. So I want to ask some terminology questions to make sure we're on the same page before we go forward. And to the extent possible, I'd like you to answer based on your understanding

during the time that you worked at NSSF, not based on your understanding now if it happens to be different.

Does that make sense?

A. Sure.

2.4

- Q. And to the extent that your understanding of any terms changed or evolved while you were at NSSF, please tell me that, okay?
  - A. Okay.
- Q. Do you have an understanding of the definition of a pistol versus a handgun?
- A. So thinking back, you know, at NSSF when I originally started, which I believe you wanted me to do, there's a report that the ATF put out on annual firearm production in the U.S., and in that it had, I believe, a heading pistols, and under pistols, you know, there's two types of hand -- like a semi-automatic handgun and a revolver.

So I believe both of those would be considered pistols, but there's -- you know, under the category pistol you could have handgun or a revolver.

Q. And those data also differentiated between

pistols and rifles, correct?

- A. That -- yeah, the ATF, what we'll call AFMER, A-F-M-E-R, it had information on pistols and long guns, but long guns broken down between rifles and shotguns.
- Q. Okay. As you understand the terms, are there any pistols that are also rifles?
  - A. When I originally started, no.
  - Q. Did that change while you worked there?
- A. Correct, yeah. So you said, you know, to try to remember or recall when I was at NSSF, but now, you know, as markets evolve and consumer demands evolve and new products come out, I believe there is a category of a handgun that looks more like a longer gun, but I am not familiar with that category at all.
- Q. Would it be fair to say that that category wasn't something you were aware of or thinking about while you were still at NSSF?
- A. Correct. It seemed to evolve toward the end of my career there.
- Q. In general, what does the term modern sporting rifle mean?
  - A. Modern sporting rifle at NSSF defined it

2.4

Page 35

as a semi-automatic rifle capable of the -- holding a detachable magazine. And it would fire one round with every pull of the trigger and particularly -- or specifically AR and AK platform.

- Q. Baseline definition would be a semi-automatic rifle with detachable magazine and specifically focused on AR and AK platform rifles?
- A. And one pull of the trigger equals one round fired.
- Q. And that would be -- my understanding is that's typical of a semi-automatic firearm, right?
- A. Correct, whether traditional which is not, you know, a semi-automatic -- or not a modern sporting rifle or the modern sporting rifle.

  Semi-automatic rifle is both traditional and modern sporting rifle.
- Q. Did the term modern sporting rifle encompass any rifles that were not on the AR or AK platforms?
- A. When I was originally with NSSF that was our primary definition.
- Q. Did the term modern sporting rifle include any pistols?
  - A. Not when I originally started at NSSF.

- Q. At the time you finished working at NSSF did it include any pistols?
- A. I'm trying to remember, you know, when they kind of became prevalent, and it wasn't anything I focused on. I would imagine some other -- maybe internally there might have been some discussion about it, but not under, you know, my responsibilities.
- Q. For the purposes of your own work did you ever count pistols within the category of modern sporting rifle?
  - A. I did not.

Q. For the purposes of your own work did you ever count -- strike that.

For the purposes of your own work at NSSF did you ever count any shotguns as part of the category modern sporting rifles?

A. I did not. You know, there's -- again, some manufacturers may have had small production numbers that I didn't go out of my way looking for them to include in that, not the handguns or the shotguns.

But they started to become you know, more available later, you know, in my career at NSSF,

but I didn't go out of my way looking to form a list of them. We just focused primarily on AR and AK rifles.

- Q. Are you familiar with types of rifles that are chambered for 50 caliber BMG ammunition?
- A. Most of that came from reading Salam's deposition, and it confused me.
  - Q. Fair enough. I can relate.

Did the your definition of modern sporting rifles that you used in your work at NSSF include any rifles chambered for 50 caliber BMG ammunition as far as you know?

- A. Not as far as I know.
- Q. When did you first hear the term modern sporting rifle?
- A. When I started in 2009 it was already being used by NSSF.
- Q. Through your work at NSSF did you have any understanding of where that term originated?
  - A. I believe it originated within NSSF.
- Q. Thorough your work at NSSF did you develop any understanding of why NSSF developed that term?
- A. I think there was a lot of definitions or people calling it different things, so they wanted

Page 38 to have a common term for it. So they came up with 1 2 modern sporting rifle. And again that encompassed 3 AR and AKs, and I don't know if it's expanded since 4 then. 5 (Reporter requests a break.) MR. HAZINSKI: Of course. Let's take a break. 6 7 (WHEREUPON, a short recess was taken.) 8 9 MR. HAZINSKI: We're back on the record. It is 10:55 by my watch. I would like to show you an 10 11 exhibit. I have copies for those in the room. And 12 for the record it's with the Bates range NSSF 17 13 through 34. 14 (WHEREUPON, Curcuruto Deposition 15 Exhibit No. 1 was marked for 16 identification.) BY MR. HAZINSKI: 17 18 Mr. Curcuruto, have you seen this Ο. 19 document? 20 Let me take a quick look through it. I Α. 21 don't know if I've seen this exact document. 22 Ο. Take as much time as you need to review it. 23 24 MR. LOTHSON: We may be here for 20 minutes.

THE WITNESS: Okay. It looks familiar. I
don't know if it's an exact report I worked on, but
I worked on several iterations of a similar report.
BY MR. HAZINSKI:

- Q. Have you had a chance to look through every page of it?
  - A. Briefly, yes.

2.3

- Q. What type of report is this?
- A. We call this an Industry Intelligent
  Report, and this one's titled Firearm Production in
  the U.S. With Firearm Import and Export Data.
- Q. Is this report of the type that NSSF regularly published while you worked there?
- A. One of many. We had several IIRs,
  Industry Intelligence Reports, on several different
  topics. We had one on hunting licenses and how
  much it costs to hunt in each state. One on
  sporting clays and clay sports. One on safety,
  national safety statistics. So we had at least
  five or six IIRs, different topics.
- Q. In your role at NSSF were you responsible for overseeing the creation of IIRs?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And who else was involved in that process?

- A. Myself did most of the heavy lifting, our research associate Dianne Vrablic. And then we worked with our immediate team, particularly graphics, to make the numbers look as pretty as possible, and then also the media team to communicate the results to our members.
- Q. Do you have any reason to believe that you were not involved in helping create this version of this report?
- A. It's been four years, you know, since I've looked at a report like this. So it looks very familiar, but there's a chance it's not one I worked on. But it does look like one I worked on.
- Q. Can you explain why you think there's a chance you might not have worked on it?
- A. Well, there's -- let's see. I don't know -- 18, 19 pages and hundreds of numbers in here. So I don't remember them all.
- Q. Well, I'll start asking some more specific questions and maybe drill down.

Could you turn to Page 7 of the report which has the stamp NSSF 23?

A. Got it.

2.3

Q. I'd like to direct your attention to the

Page 41 top half of the page under the heading Modern 1 2 Sporting Rifle Production Plus Imports Less 3 Exports, 1990 through 2018. 4 Do you see that? 5 Α. Yes. So this chart has information up through 6 Ο. 7 data year 2018, correct? Α. Correct. 8 9 And that was within the period of time that you were still at NSSF overseeing the creation 10 11 of these reports, right? 12 Α. Correct, yeah. 13 So it makes sense if you were the one who Ο. 14 was responsible for assembling this data and 15 putting it in the report, right? There's a good chance. 16 Α. 17 Is there anybody else at NSSF who would Ο. 18 have done that instead of you? 19 Potentially Salam or Dianne after I left 20 if they had used the same data. I'm not sure what 21 the update schedule would have been on this report. 22 We had so many reports that not all of them got

Veritext Legal Solutions

Do you recognize this chart?

Q.

updated on an annual basis.

23

2.4

A. I do.

2.4

- Q. What does it show?
- A. This is a chart that shows between 1990 and 2018 on an annual basis the number of U.S. produced modern sporting rifles minus exports, as well as U.S. imported modern sporting rifles less exports.
  - Q. Were you involved in creating this chart?
- A. I was or one like it. Again, all questions pertaining to this report, you know, have that caveat that may have been done by somebody else.
- Q. Could you briefly flip to the very last page which is stamped NSSF 34?
  - A. Okay.
- Q. Do you see the copyright mark at the bottom that says copyright 2020 National Sports Shooting Foundation, Inc.?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Does that indicate to you that the report was prepared in 2020?
- A. There's a good chance unless it wasn't updated which would slip by potentially on occasion that we didn't update the copyright.

- Q. And you were with NSSF until 2021, correct?
  - A. January of 2021, correct.
- Q. So if this was issued in 2020, it would have been under your oversight; is that fair?
- A. Yes. If this report was, it would have been under my oversight.
- Q. Okay. I'll ask you now to turn back, if you're not already there, to NSSF 23, and the chart on the top half of the page.

Are you familiar with the underlying data sources and methodologies that NSSF used to create these types of charts?

- A. Yes. I was the originator of that.
- Q. Okay. The heading on this page uses the phrase modern sporting rifle, correct?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. As used here does that term have the same meaning you described earlier in your testimony?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. So to summarize, as used here, the term modern sporting rifle would exclude all pistols and shotguns, for example?
  - A. The vast majority of them. Like I said,

maybe one had slipped in there, I did not look for them, but a manufacturer that made modern sporting rifles. And I checked their website. I didn't see any AR pistols or shotgun MSRs. Not saying that a small number didn't slip in here, but if it did it would be very, very small.

- Q. Do you see at the bottom of the page where it says, source ATF AFMER, U.S. ITC, industry estimates?
  - A. Yes.

2.4

- Q. Can you explain what that means?
- A. Sure. We like to, you know, provide as many sources throughout our documents as possible so if a member is reading those they know where that information came from.

The first source ATF AFMER stands for the Alcohol Tobacco -- Bureau of Alcohol Tobacco Firearms, Annual Firearm Manufacturing and Export Report.

The second source for information on this page was the United States International Trade

Commission listed as U.S. ITC.

And the third is industry estimates, and that's kind of my knowledge as well as -- at the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

Page 45 time of creating this my president was Steve Sanetti at the time when I first created this. I picked his brain on helping start the original graph or original chart. Does this language -- it says source, and it identifies those sources. Does that correspond to both of the charts that appear on this page or just to one of them? Α. I believe to both. I'm not a hundred percent confident at this time. It's been several years as I stated. Q. Were you the person with the responsibility of identifying those sources for the purposes of this report? Α. For both charts on the page or --Q. Well, let me phrase it differently. Sure. Α. In terms of literally just typing out what Ο. are the sources for that information, was that your responsibility or someone else's? Α. Primarily would have been my responsibility. Okay. Can you explain more what is meant Ο.

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

by the phrase industry estimates?

A. Sure. That kind of covers my knowledge of the industry as well as -- I mentioned Steve Sanetti. He had a lot of knowledge, too, and he was somebody that I, you know, looked up to as very knowledgeable in the industry. And when I had some questions he was one of my go-to guys, and in particular for developing these type of charts I would ask him about them.

- Q. Does the phrase industry estimates as used here refer to anything other than consultation you had with Steve Sanetti?
- A. Sure. And estimates -- I think even on the top, I mean, you can see these are all rounded numbers. So, you know, they're estimates. They're not exact to the number. So the charts -- it's pretty easy to see that they're estimated charts.

Again, you know, this is new data that the industry never had. We were asked, you know, for it from our members. We wanted to provide them something that was useful to them that, you know, we could believe was gonna be something, if they spent money and made a decision on it, that we'd be confident that, you know, they can rely on this data to make those decisions.

2.4

There's been other times when I've been asked to find the answer to something. We didn't have it. So we didn't just make it up so they could, you know, answer somebody's question. And if we put something out that was wrong and they made a bad decision, they wouldn't have been happy with their trade association.

- Q. Do you recall which members asked for NSSF to compile this information?
- A. Mostly manufacturers that made modern sporting rifles.
- Q. Do you have an understanding of why those manufacturers wanted this information?
- A. It wasn't available elsewhere, so they -- my assumption is they wanted it for market share purposes. If they knew what they -- you know, what they produced and they knew the overall number on an annual basis, they could understand market share, and then over time they could trend analysis to see if they're gaining or losing market share. My assumption, but makes sense.
- Q. Could you generally describe the methodology that was used to create this chart by walking through the steps that were used to create

2.4

it?

A. Sure. Sure. So we had some what we consider very reliable sources in the AFMER data and the ITC data. So I would go back and get all the AFMER reports go through them line by line. Every U.S. manufacturer is required to report firearms as required to report their U.S. production numbers.

And as we mentioned earlier, there's different categories, handgun, you know, revolver, rifle, shotgun, miscellaneous. There's five main categories. There may be some others I'm forgetting.

So I would go through there and, you know, identify just from personal knowledge which ones made a modern sporting rifle, or I considered to make a modern sporting rifle, and then painstakingly, you know, fact check each one.

If there were ones that I had questions on, you know, go to their website or contact somebody to see if they would be a company that I would add to this list. And I had a list of -- again, it's been a while since I think I first created this, you know, at least ten years ago. So

I'm thinking there was about somewhere between 30-plus companies, you know, that produced modern sporting rifles at the time.

2.4

So I have that list in an Excel document. And then we would say, okay, from the AFMER data here's the production numbers from the U.S. That's how we got one column. Then we do the same thing with the U.S. ITC data which was more import related. And they had a really solid query system that you could just go in, plug in different codes and pull up rifles imported and then apply a percentage of that to the third column here, U.S. imports less exports.

- Q. Does the AFMER data that you relied on to prepare this chart separate out the production of modern sporting rifles specifically?
- A. The AFMER data did not. There's one column for rifles. So I had to make the determination. You know, Rock River Arms, for example, is a company that produced only modern sporting rifles. And that was the case for the vast majority of U.S. manufacturers listed in that AFMER.

There's a handful of companies that

Page 50 produce both traditional rifles as well as modern sporting rifles. And that's where I would -- with personal contacts I would call up a company, for example, Remington, that made, you know, your traditional Bolt-X rifle and your R15 which was a modern sporting rifle, but that number was lumped together as one. So I'd call them up and say, hey, you know, I'm doing this, you know, on an aggregated basis. It'll be confidential. I just -- you're listed as, I'm making the number up, a million rifles produced. About how many of them are AR versus -- or MSR versus traditional. And then I would put those numbers in. We didn't want to over count anything.

- Q. So you had to contact each manufacturer to request that they would give you an estimate of how many modern sporting rifles were being produced, is that --
- MR. LOTHSON: Objection, form, misstates his testimony.
- 22 BY MR. HAZINSKI:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

- Q. Well, help me understand.
- So were you individually -- you were

individually contacting each manufacturer as part of the process for creating this chart, right?

- A. Not each one, but out of that list of approximately 30 there was a handful, maybe 4 or 5, that I would call up individually with a question and ask them for more specifics.
  - Q. Okay. And why only contact four or five?
- A. Because those were the companies that made both traditional rifles and modern sporting rifles.
- Q. Could you give me an example of one of those companies?
  - A. Sure. Remington.
  - O. You mentioned it.
  - A. No problem.
  - Q. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- So the remaining manufacturers whose data you considered in creating this chart all exclusively manufactured modern sporting rifles among the rifles that they manufactured?
- A. So according to my analysis going through each line -- again, Rock River Arms, I knew with personal knowledge they were, you know, focused on modern sporting rifles. So if their number was 10,000, I'd put 10,000 in there.

And then I would look if I didn't know a company -- and, you know, with 30 companies I knew most of them, but if there was a company I wasn't sure of, I would just go to their website and check their product line. And if it was a hundred percent AR15s or -- you know, I would put it under that modern sporting rifle category.

- Q. So for -- would it be a fair description to say that for approximately 25 of the approximately 30 manufacturers whose manufacturing data contributed to this chart, the only types of rifles they manufactured based on your review were modern sporting rifles?
- MR. LOTHSON: Objection, form, compound, somewhat confusing, a lot going on there, incomplete hypothetical.
- 17 BY MR. HAZINSKI:

- O. Please.
- A. Okay. So -- and I'll answer in a way -- you can tell me if I'm answering correctly, but I believe you were asking if about 25 of the 30 only made modern sporting rifles. You know, it's been a lots of years.
  - So ballpark, the majority of them I didn't

Page 53

have to do further analysis, just my personal knowledge I knew. The ones that I didn't know, and I'm not sure exactly what percentage that was, I'd do further analysis on.

Q. This is a hypothetical. Hopefully it'll be a complete one.

If you were looking at a particular manufacturer's rifles they offered for sale, and a vast majority of them you determined were modern sporting rifles, and a small number were not -- were rifles other than modern sporting rifles, would it be your practice to always contact that manufacturer for further information?

A. Yeah, hypothetically or not hypothetically that was my practice. Yeah, if I had a question, I wasn't guessing. You know, I would call for more information. Or if I couldn't find more information, you know, I would more than likely just leave them off of that report for a given year.

Because, you know, over time there could have been instances one year I called somebody, next year I didn't, that type of thing. You know, I got in touch with somebody. The following year I

Page 54 1 didn't. 2 0. I apologize if you already answered this 3 and I missed it. You said there's a list of approximately 4 5 30 companies whose manufacturing data contributed to this chart. How did you settle on that 6 7 particular list of 30 companies? Α. Sure. So the AFMER data has every U.S. 8 9 manufacturer. I'm guessing it's over a hundred. 10 And, you know, I would just look through that one 11 line at a time and check them off, you know, 12 whether, okay, they don't produce MSRs or they do 13 produce MSRs. Unsure, do further analysis on it, 14 and then fill in the holes there that way. 15 I got a little off track. Is that what 16 you were looking for? 17 Ο. Well, there were more than 30 companies 18 listed in total, correct? 19 Α. Correct. 20 So what was your process for paring that Q. 21 down to a list of about 30? 22 Right. So, again, going through that Α. 23 AFMER data line by line, I would just analyze each 24 company. If they didn't produce any modern

Page 55

sporting rifles, cross them off. If they did, look at that number, confirm that out of that number all or a percentage of those were modern sporting rifles. And when I was confident I had the correct number, I would plug it into that Excel spreadsheet for the aggregated data.

- Q. The figure in the charts that's on the bottom right that says 19,797,000, what does that number reflect?
- A. That should be the total of all U.S. produced and imported modern sporting rifles less exports from 1990 through 2018.
- Q. Does this -- does that number correspond to NSSF's estimate of how many modern sporting rifles were owned in the United States?
- A. Well, this particular number is a production number. I don't know what NSSF's current number of MSRs are.
- Q. But at the time it was issued was it NSSF's estimate of the number of MSRs owned in the U.S.?
- A. It was an estimate. This particular -that 19 million 797 million was the number of MSRs
  produced or imported within that time period.

Page 56

Q. You can't tell from this data, for example, whether any of these modern sporting rifles were owned outside of the U.S., for example?

A. You know, when I created the report, the assumption was a vast majority of them were for the U.S. civilian market.

Thinking now hypothetically could somebody have bought one in the U.S. and transferred it out of state or out of the country, I don't know. I'm not -- I don't know the rules and regulations of what you can and can't do.

Q. And you said for the civilian market.

Did your data disaggregate ownership by civilians versus ownership, for example, by law enforcement organizations?

- A. I believe, you know, the AFMER data when a company -- a U.S. manufacturer would produce that, it was strictly for -- it wasn't for military purposes. So civilian or law enforcement and a law enforcement officer could use that firearm for both job and for personal use or the same firearm.
- Q. So to be clear. Your understanding is that the AFMER data did not reflect any manufacturing for military use, but it would

encompass manufacturing for use, for example, by police departments or sheriff's offices or similar law enforcement agencies?

- A. Correct, yeah. We knew that, you know, out of that 19,700,000 estimate that those were not used for military purposes. Right.
- Q. Do you have any way of estimating out of this approximately 20 million number what proportion of those belonged to law enforcement agencies?
- A. As I'm sitting here now, obviously I'm gonna have to go off recollection because it's been four, five years, but we would have several different points of data, right?

And what you could do to get an estimate of something like that, we'd take this 19.7 million, go to another data source, for example, that modern sporting rifle consumer study where we asked a series of questions to owners of modern sporting rifles. And one of those questions I believe had to do with are you law enforcement as well.

So I'm sure we could apply a percentage to find out how many people that own these are law

2.4

Page 58

enforcement. And then, again, the assumption is that law enforcement can own that firearm for as strictly law enforcement purposes or can they use that firearm for civilian purposes as well. I don't think we ever delved into that detail.

- Q. As far as you know, did NSSF ever do that analysis to determine the proportion of the total MSR figure that is owned by law enforcement?
- A. I don't recall. It might have been something somebody asked, and just because it came to mind that modern sporting rifle one, it could have been a simple formula, 19.7 million times whatever that number was, here's a ballpark. But, you know, it was something that -- it wasn't any detailed analysis or a special report that I recall.
- Q. I want to direct you now to the magazine chart on the bottom of this page. Are you generally familiar with this chart?
- A. Yes. It's a report that I originally created as well.
  - O. What does it show?
- A. So the title of it, NSSF Magazine Chart estimated 304 million detachable pistol and rifle

Page 59

magazines in U.S. consumer possession 1990 through 2018. So it's got really five bar charts that make up a total number.

So there's two charts for pistol magazines that one is for 10 rounds or less. The other pistol magazines that hold 11 or more rounds. And then there's three charts for magazine rifles broken down by 10 or less, 11 to 29, and 30 plus. And then the last is just a total of all five of them.

- Q. In general terms what was the methodology you used to create this chart?
- A. Again, this was something that was asked from our members, how many magazines are out there. Never been done before. There wasn't -- we didn't have sales data, you know, very specific sales data like they do in other industries, apparel or grocery stores, where you can find out how many cans of peas were sold yesterday, right? And have an exact number.

We didn't have a retail sales platform for the outdoor industry. So the best way we could do this was to use similar data like the production charts from the AFMER and the U.S. ITC.

And again I'd talk to Steve Sanetti about, you know, what are good breakdowns for these, and we came up with those five different categories.

And then we applied whatever data we had on handy that we felt was the most reliable, a number, and calculated them out, and then felt comfortable enough to provide it to our members as, you know, a starting point for people to have an understanding of what the size of the magazine market was like.

- Q. Similar to my question about the chart on the top of the page, were you able to estimate what proportion of the magazines produced or owned by law enforcement agencies as opposed to civilians?
- A. No. It wasn't something that we were asked about I don't think on enough basis to break that out.

But, again, going back to how I described how I would now look at doing that, I would say, okay, whatever the case was, 304 million, it would be different than what I described before because that was specific to modern sporting rifles where this is now all encompassing of every firearm that is able to accept a detachable magazine. And that includes your Ruger 10/22s.

Page 61 1 Now, does a law enforcement own a 2 Ruger 10/22, I can guarantee they do. But, you 3 know, they're using them to hunt squirrels or shoot tin cans with, right? 4 So I don't know how I would go about 5 6 figuring that out at this point. 7 Having discussed the data on this page Ο. with me today and spent some time with it, do you 8 9 have any specific reason to believe that someone 10 other than you oversaw or was responsible for putting together this part of the report? 11 12 Α. No. This was, you know, a 13 report that -- or this magazine chart was 14 something, you know, myself was a lead on, and 15 folks like Steve Sanetti helped. And again, you know, with that caveat that I'm not sure if this 16 17 report was a hundred percent me, but it looks very 18 much like a report that I had created, yeah. 19 MR. HAZINSKI: I want to show you the next 20 exhibit which will be Number 2. 21 (WHEREUPON, Curcuruto Deposition Exhibit No. 2 was marked for 22 23 identification.) 2.4

Page 62 1 BY MR. HAZINSKI: 2 Ο. This resembles the document we just looked 3 at in Exhibit 1. Α. 4 Okay. Have you seen this one before? 5 Ο. Give me a minute to just look through it. 6 Α. 7 Of course. 0. Okay. So your question was have I seen 8 Α. 9 this report before? 10 Q. That's the question. 11 The answer is I'm not sure because this 12 was seemingly produced after my tenure at NSSF. 13 However, I'm still a member of NSSF, and I have 14 access to the research. And on occasion over the 15 last four years I would go in and -- if I needed a question answered, I'd like through NSSF research. 16 I don't know if I've ever seen this 17 18 particular report before, though. 19 You didn't specifically review this 20 document in preparation for your deposition today, 21 correct? 22 Α. Correct. Would it be fair to say that this is a 23 Ο. 2.4 2023 version of the Industry Intelligence Report we

Page 63 were just looking at? 1 2 And I would direct you, if it's easier, to 3 the title page where it says 2023 Edition. Yes, it does look like that. It looks Α. 4 5 like it's got at least three more years worth of data from the original document that we talked 6 about earlier. 7 Did you participate in creating this 8 9 updated version of this report? I don't believe so. 10 Α. 11 Ο. Do you --12 Α. Since I left in January of '21, it looks 13 like this report came out well after that. 14 So since you were not at NSSF, do you have 15 any personal knowledge of the methodology used to create this specific version of the report? 16 17 Α. I do not. The previous version of the report had a 18 Ο. 19 date of 2020. This has a date of 2023. 20 Other than the Industry Intelligence 21 Reports created in those years, did you work on any 22 other versions of this Industry Intelligence 23 Report? 2.4 Α. So prior to 2020?

- O. Prior to 2020 or between 2020 and 2023.
- 2 A. It would have been, if anything, prior to
- 3 2020, not after 2020 because I left in January of
- 4 | 2021. So prior to it I'm sure I had worked on
- 5 other iterations of this firearm production in the
- 6 U.S. report.

1

- 7 Q. All right. Could you please turn to
- 8 Page 7 of the 2023 version? And that will be at
- 9 Bates stamp NSSF 41.
- 10 A. Okay.
- 11 Q. Do you recognize this chart as similar to
- 12 | the one we were looking at on Page 7 of the prior
- 13 exhibit?
- 14 A. It does look similar.
- 15 Q. Different data years?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. The sources at the bottom say, source
- 18 ATF AFMER, U.S. ITC, industry reporting.
- 19 Do you see that?
- 20 A. I do.
- 21 Q. Do you recall that in the previous version
- 22 | that was phrased as industry estimates?
- A. Yep. I actually have them both open, and
- 24 | that's -- yeah, industry reporting versus industry

estimates.

- Q. Do you have any personal knowledge as to why that was changed in this version of the report?
- A. I hadn't prior to a couple -- yesterday when I read through the deposition of Salam. And I did notice that there was a line of questioning regarding the difference between reporting and estimates.
- Q. Do you believe the term that you used, industry estimates, was inaccurate?
  - A. No.
- Q. The magazine chart is not present on this page either, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Do you have any personal knowledge about why it was not included in the 2023 version of the report?
  - A. It being the magazine chart, I do not.
- Q. Do you personally know whether NSSF currently stands by your magazine estimates?
- A. I don't know personally if they stand by what I had originally developed, but I did see they came out with an updated report maybe about a month or so ago.

Page 66 MR. HAZINSKI: So we can set these two exhibits 1 2 to the side for a moment. I'll hand out another. 3 This will be Number 3. 4 (WHEREUPON, Curcuruto Deposition 5 Exhibit No. 3 was marked for 6 identification.) 7 BY MR. HAZINSKI: For the record this is a printout of a 8 9 document that was produced in an Excel format with the Bates stamp NSSF 2351, this printout including 10 11 only the Columns A through AI of that spreadsheet. 12 Α. Okay. 13 Apologies, A through AF of the O. 14 spreadsheet. 15 Mr. Curcuruto, have you seen this spreadsheet or a version of this spreadsheet 16 17 before? 18 I don't believe I've seen this exact 19 version the way you have it laid out in front of me 20 with a lot of blanks in it, but it's similar to a 21 document I originally created at NSSF probably 22 ten years ago. And what was the nature of that document 23

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

24

that you created?

- A. This actually helped me develop that number for the amount of modern sporting rifles in the United States, and this is from the AFMER data primarily.
- Q. To prepare for your deposition today did you review that document or any version of that document?
  - A. Such as this?

2.4

- Q. Such as this spreadsheet?
- A. I did not. Bringing back memories. I haven't seen it in a while.
  - Q. And you were the person who created an earlier version of this document in the first instance?
  - A. Yeah, created a one that looks a lot like this.
  - Q. So I'll represent to you that this is data corresponding to the 2023 version of the report that we looked at in Exhibit 2.

You testified that this sheet reflects information gathered from AFMER?

- A. Correct. Yeah, AFMER reports. That's what it looks like for me, yeah.
  - Q. You mentioned it looked different because

of blanks. What did you mean by that?

- A. For example, Column A is blank. So the one that I created, obviously I had more information in there so I knew what I was looking at.
  - Q. What kind of information was in there?
- A. Column A to the best of my recollection would have been the name of the U.S. manufacturer.
- Q. Okay. And each row would correspond to a different manufacturer?
  - A. Correct.

2.4

Q. When you testified earlier about the AFMER data, we discussed at times communications you had with manufacturers to adjust the rifle production estimates because they don't correspond exactly to the modern sporting rifle category.

Do you recall that?

- A. It may -- yeah, it was to get more specific response to have more accurate data to plug into a chart similar to this just specific to modern sporting rifles.
- Q. Because for some manufacturers if you just reported the AFMER data in the rifle category, that could result in an overcount of MSRs, right?

Page 69 1 Correct. Very good. Α. 2 Ο. I'm learning. 3 So I'll direct you to a specific cell, Column AB, Row 23. Let me know when you're there. 4 5 Α. I believe it says 108. 108,000, right. 6 Q. 7 Α. Okay. That 108,000 figure reflects your 8 9 determination or -- strike that. The 108,000 number reflects that the 10 11 manufacturer of Row 23 produced 108,000 modern 12 sporting rifles for the year 2016, correct? 13 Α. What we considered modern sporting rifles 14 through that AFMER data, it was either the rifle 15 category or the miscellaneous category as well. 16 Okay. So I'd like you to turn to the Q. 17 fourth page of this document. They're not 18 numbered. 19 Α. Okay. 20 But if you count -- apologies, not, to the Ο. 21 fourth page, but to the seventh page. I apologize. 22 I think I'm following you. Α. Is it Cell AC 23? 2.3 It's AB 23. But it's at the very top of 2.4 Q.

Page 70 that page and maybe hidden under the staple in the 1 2 document a little. 3 Α. Okay. So if you pull back the document, you see 4 where it says Cell AB 23? 5 Α. Correct. 6 7 So I'll represent to you that this list Ο. here corresponds to comments that were --8 9 Α. Sure. 10 Q. -- added to the Excel boxes. 11 Do you recall whether you or your 12 colleagues at NSSF used the comment function in 13 Excel to record any information for this 14 spreadsheet? 15 Making me go back in time, but yeah. To the best of my recollection I would have utilized 16 17 the comment section there. As you can see there's a lot of columns 18 19 and a lot of rows. So, you know, my memory's okay, 20 but it's not that good so I would utilize that 21 comment section as I was filling out the report on 22 occasion. 23 The comment corresponding to Cell AB 23, Ο.

says Jim Curcuruto, which is you, right?

24

Page 71 1 Α. Correct. 2 Ο. And it reads, 75 percent of total 3 R -- MSR slash AK made in U.S., but not sure if all you are AK, need to contact. 4 5 Did I read that right so far? Looks good. 6 Α. Then it says, 90,000 rifles plus 7 0. 54,000 misc, M-I-S-C, equals 144,000. Going to use 8 9 90K to be safe as no response from CO. Did I read that right? 10 11 Α. Correct. 12 Q. And CO means company? 13 The entire thing is cryptic, and Α. 14 apparently I wrote it. So I'm not sure. I would 15 assume CO meant company. 16 MR. LOTHSON: Where are we? 17 MR. HAZINSKI: Very top line of the seventh 18 page of the printout. 19 BY MR. HAZINSKI: 20 The beginning of this comment refers to Ο. 21 75 percent of the total. 22 Α. Okay. Can you tell me what that refers to? 23 Ο. 24 I can't, unfortunately, specifically tell Α.

Page 72

you what it refers to since it was so long ago, and there's just hundreds of, you know, I'm sure, comments along the way as I filled out this report on an annual basis. So I don't recall specifically what that would mean.

- Q. Do you have a general understanding of at the time you were working on preparing this spreadsheet what the 75 percent estimate might have been used for?
- A. Sure. So we talked a little bit earlier about out of that number of companies -- and I think I estimated 30 earlier which apparently is incorrect estimate because there looks to be a lot more than 30. So, again, my recollection of course is a little bit fuzzy. Sorry about that.

But so there's several instances as I was creating this report on an annual basis where a company, whatever number, 108, you know, thousand that was listed in AFMER. And I would not know enough information about that company, so I'd go to their product. And if I was confident it was all MSRs, I would put that 108 in there.

If it had a product mix that I wasn't sure of, I would then contact that company. If I got an

Page 73

answer from that company, fill it in, feel confident about it. If I didn't get an answer from them, this comment section was kind of a placeholder until I did.

- Q. Do you have an independent recollection as you sit here today of the of the source of the 75 percent number that you noted for this cell?
- A. For this specific one, unfortunately, I do not.
- Q. Do you have a general understanding based on your recollection of your typical practice of where you would and how you would generate that 70 -- a number like 75 percent for use in a context like this?
- A. Going back in time as I'm sitting here today, I'll give you my best thought process. So if I went to that website, and let's say they had ten products, and seven and a half of them -- seven of them were MSRs, I'd say, okay, in my thought process I'd think about 70 percent of these are modern sporting rifles.

But if I wasn't convinced that that was the answer because maybe they had a hundred products, and I was like, let me call for more

Page 74 1 information. That probably was the case. It could 2 have been. 3 O. The next part of the comment says 90,000 rifles plus 54,000 misc. 4 5 Do you see that? Correct. 6 Α. 7 And rifles and misc are categories that Ο. corresponded to AFMER data, right? 8 9 Α. Correct. I believe there's at least five 10 categories -- columns, the handguns, the 11 revolvers -- or the pistols, revolvers, the rifles, 12 the shotguns, and then the misc -- and I believe it 13 was M-I-S-C. It might have been spelled out, 14 miscellaneous, but I think it was just M-I-S-C. 15 And that stands for miscellaneous. 16 So some companies, like a big company, could produce, you know, a handgun, a 17 18 semi-automatic handgun. They could produce a 19 rifle. They could produce a revolver, and they 20 could produce a shotgun. So they're filling out 21 all columns. Most companies aren't like that. 22 They're specific to a product line. 23 So when I would get into that situation

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

where, well, this company produced rifles and

2.4

miscellaneous category, that's where I would kind of make sure I was gonna put the correct number. I didn't want to overcount anything because then I'm not putting out the best product I could, you know.

- Q. Is it your understanding that the misc or miscellaneous category for AFMER corresponds to firearm frames and receivers?
- A. I believe that's the case for miscellaneous. And I don't know the specific definition, but I believe the bulk of items listed under that miscellaneous are stamped blowers which is basically, you know, the part that the ATF tracks. And that'll be the part that's made into the full firearm.

But you don't need to stamp a barrel. You don't need to stamp the upper. You don't need to stamp the trigger. It's just that one piece.

That's how ATF can then track how many firearms are produced in the U.S. through a report like this.

- Q. According to this comment 90,000 was added to 54,000 for a total 1414,000?
  - A. Correct.

2.4

Q. The next part of the comment reads, going to use 90K to be safe as no response from company.

Can you explain what that means?

A. You know, for my comment, again, not a hundred percent accurate, just to the best of my recollection, right? After reviewing that website and miscellaneous category and rifles produced, my best assumption was 90,000.

Hadn't heard from the company as of yet, was still going to follow up. And that, you know, comment was a placeholder because I wouldn't have remembered. Who was I supposed to call? What was my thing? So this is just notes to myself, but as I'm looking at it now, a little confusing.

- Q. If the company had responded, based on your usual practice where would their response have been documented?
- A. 99 percent are phone calls. I did a lot of phone calls to -- John, looking at the AFMER data. I got these numbers. Can you tell me how many of the 54,000 miscellaneous were stamped blowers that were gonna be made into a final product, and can you tell me how many of the 90,000 rifle categories are what we consider modern sporting rifles?

And they would say, sure, I think,

whatever, 108,000 of them. And then I plug in 108,000.

Q. And when you say plug it in -- scratch that.

If you have a phone call with a manufacturer providing an estimate, do I understand you to be saying any estimates they gave you about MSR production would be recorded directly in this spreadsheet?

- A. Correct. So I think we were talking about this AB, 23B, 108,000. So after all the discussions I had, that would have been the best number to plug into that report.
- Q. Did you keep any separate records or documentations outside of this spreadsheet of MSR estimates that you received from manufacturers?
- A. No. This is pretty comprehensive. This was -- I spent a lot of time with this guy over the years, my friend.
- Q. I hope it's bringing back pleasant memories.
- A. I like numbers. It's weird, but I enjoy looking at Excel spreadsheets.
  - Q. Would it be safe to say that if this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

Page 78 company corresponding to Row 23 in the spreadsheet had given you an MSR estimate by responding to you, it would have been recorded in this spreadsheet? Correct, yeah. In that corresponding Α. column, yeah. So in the case of this cell, the company Q. didn't respond, but you decided to include the data in the estimates anyway, right? MR. LOTHSON: Objection, misstates his testimony. THE WITNESS: Correct. MR. LOTHSON: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Yeah, according to the comment, the company hadn't responded to that estimate that

THE WITNESS: Yeah, according to the comment, the company hadn't responded to that estimate that I thought was 90,000. It appears, again, now that I'm looking at it, that the company did respond and gave me the 108,000. So I wouldn't have just made up a number. If I did, it would have been the 90,000 for everything.

So I always err on the side of caution.

So my estimation out of that 155,000 was 90,000.

After I heard back from them, they gave me the 108.

So I was close, but, you know, I'll always go low if I don't know.

BY MR. HAZINSKI:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

- Q. So you started with the 75 percent estimate that you generated by determining approximately how many of their firearms counted as MSRs, right?
- MR. LOTHSON: Objection, misstates his testimony, calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: Correct. Yeah, speculation or going back in time -- I think we discussed it, too, right? I would have looked at their website a lot of times. Identify I think about 75 percent of the product mix would be considered modern sporting rifle. Wait to hear from them. Hear from them. Plug it in. Didn't hear from them, make a comment. Did hear from them, fill it in. I didn't just pull numbers out of, you know, nowhere.

- 17 BY MR. HAZINSKI:
  - Q. And then as best as you understand based on your typical practice at the time, the 144,000 total is the sum of numbers that you would have pulled from these AFMER categories directly from that company in that year?
    - A. Correct.
      - Q. But then 108,000 --

A. I'm sorry. I'll caveat that. As they're -- 90,000 even and 54,000 even, they're estimates. They're not exact. If you look back at AFMER data, it was probably nothing that said 90 -- it might have said 90,116, so estimates.

Q. Rounded to the nearest thousands.

And then the number that ultimately got recorded here in the original cell was 108,000, right?

- A. Yeah, I believe 23 AB, correct.
- Q. And that's 75 percent of 144,000, right?
- A. I don't know. Do you have a calculator?
- Q. I can ask you to trust me.
- 14 A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

19

- Q. Cell AB 24, on the first page has a zero in it, and it's highlighted in yellow.
- Do you see that?
- 18 | A. I do.
  - Q. Do you have any personal knowledge as to why there's yellow highlighting in that cell?
- A. I do not. You know, I highlighted a lot of different cells for a lot of different reasons.

  As you see, there were a lot of different comments,
- and since it was so long ago I couldn't tell you

specifically what each highlight meant.

- Q. Was yellow highlighting a tool that you used in your own work with this spreadsheet?
- A. I believe -- I had access to this report as well as the research associate, Dianne. I'm kind of looking for a key right now of what color coding meant, but I don't see it on here.

So most likely it would have been myself or Dianne that added comments or color-coded things. I even see we have different color ink, you know, reds and blacks.

- Q. As you sit here today do you have an understanding of what the yellow highlighting means or reflects?
- A. As I sit here today, unfortunately, I do not. As you can see, it was a pretty complex and intricate process. Well, maybe not complex, time consuming.

So, again, with our end goal making sure we had a product that our members could make decisions off of, that's why you see all the notes and all the boxes and all the color coordination to make sure that we were doing everything we could to produce the best product that we could.

Page 82

Q. I'll ask you to turn back to the seventh page that we were just looking at a few moments ago to look at the comment corresponding to Cell AB 24.

Let me know when you get there.

- A. AB 24, note, Jim Curcuruto, no website, no answer to call, 40,000.
- Q. And there's a phone number there, but we won't worry about that.

Can you explain what this comment means?

- A. So this -- whatever the company was -- and I'm assuming going, back in time. It looks like potentially this company in the AFMER category, whether rifles or miscellaneous, had a number 40,000. There was no website to confirm what that product was, and then nobody answered my call.
- So, again, to err on the side of caution, instead of plugging in 40,000, it looks like I just plugged in zero. Now, is there a chance that those were modern sporting rifles and I undercounted, sure. But, again, I'd rather undercount than overcount.
- Q. Would it be fair to say that based on your understanding of your usual practice at the time, the fact that they didn't have a website prevented

you from estimating what proportion of that 40,000 were modern sporting rifles?

A. Correct. It was like -- as you can see, it was kind of like a puzzle. So I'm trying to fill in all the pieces to complete the puzzle, but if I -- if a piece was unavailable, you know, I just would kind of err on the side of caution and put the zero in.

I don't think I -- I don't think there's AR ton of holes like that. I think it's a pretty comprehensive report or at least the most comprehensive report that's available out there using those sources that we had.

Q. The absence of a website that would let you actually look at the different firearms prevented you from using that data.

As far as you understand, is that why the number reported zero corresponding to the cell?

MR. LOTHSON: I'll object to the form. I think it misstates his testimony. You talked about the phone number there. Are you -- I'm confused by your question.

MR. HAZINSKI: Let me ask it another way.

BY MR. HAZINSKI:

2.3

Q. So no data reported for this cell.

There's no website. The absence of a website contributed to the fact that you weren't able to have any reportable data for that company for that year.

Is that a fair summary?

A. Yeah, so what I would do is -- there are at least three things I would look at. Personal knowledge, I know who Rock River is, right? I didn't know who this company was. Go to the website. Most of the time companies have a website. This one I couldn't find a website for. And then, you know, somehow it looks like I found a phone number, whether Google search or whatever the case may be, but nobody answered the phone.

So three strikes and you're out. I mean, I can only do so much. I only have a limited amount of time. As you probably saw, we developed a lot of materials for our members. So, you know, as thorough as I want to be and fill in all those zeros, I couldn't get every single piece.

Q. I think I understand.

So if you can't look at product listings

Page 85 1 on a website and you don't get a response from 2 them, then you're not in a position to make an estimate of the number of MSRs for that year? 3 On top of it, I didn't know who that 4 Α. 5 company was. For that particular company I would just err on the side of caution and put in the zero 6 7 instead of the 40,000 or a different number. Returning to the spreadsheet which will be 8 Ο. 9 on the second page, could you please look at Cell AB 69? 10 11 Α. Okay. 10,000 highlighted in yellow. 12 Q. Yeah, another one in yellow highlighting. 13 And the figure is 10,000, right? 14 Correct. Α. 15 Ο. Now I'd like to flip to the comment corresponding to that. I think it's on the ninth 16 page of the document. 17 18 Are you there? 19 I believe so, AB 68? Α. 20 69. Ο. 21 Α. Oh, okay. 22 The comment for AB 69 says, Jim Curcuruto, 0. 23 colon, 13,340, but some bolt action. 24 Do you see that?

A. Correct.

- Q. Can you explain what that comment means?
- A. Sure. So again going back to not a hundred percent recollection, but the same process. Did I know who the company was? You know, go to their website.

Looks like apparently in this case I went to their website, and they had more than one type of product there, bolt action and modern sporting rifles. And assuming that 13,400 -- 340 was the number that was in the AFMER category either -- oh, it doesn't state whether it was miscellaneous or rifle. I'm assuming it was rifle since it says bolt action on it.

I would have wanted to apply a percentage from the website. It looks like 70 percent of them are modern sporting rifles. So either I would apply that number if I was very comfortable with that or call up the company and say, hey, 13,380, do you have a mix of products? How many of those are modern sporting rifles? Then I plug in an estimate. I believe you said it was 10,000 in this case.

Q. Based on your usual practice at the time,

Page 87 do you have an understanding of how mathematically 1 2 you would get from this 13,340 number to the 3 specific number of 10,000 that's reported? Objection, form, asked and 4 MR. LOTHSON: 5 answered. THE WITNESS: So not mathematically, but most 6 7 likely it was a phone call, and somebody returned that call. Out of that 13,000, about 10,000 are 8 9 modern sporting rifles. It's very rarely did I not get one of 10 11 those three. I either knew them, website was 12 enough information or they got back to me with an estimate. 13 14 BY MR. HAZINSKI: 15 0. Other comments on this spreadsheet are 16 written by Dianne Vrablic, right? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Or Vrablic (pronunciation). Ο. 19 Very good. Α. 20 Thank you. Q. 21 Α. Between Vrablic and Curcuruto you had to 22 practice. And I still made a mistake. 23 Ο.

And at the time that you were working on

2.4

Page 88 1 the spreadsheet she reported directly to you, 2 correct? 3 Α. Correct. And she collaborated with you to help 4 Ο. 5 prepare the overall MSR production estimates? Yeah, over the years she had some 6 7 involvement. This, as you can see, is a long one. So I handled the vast majority of the number 8 9 collection, and then she would make the report look pretty, right? With our graphics team and that 10 sort of stuff. 11 12 But on occasion I'd say, hey, I got ten I 13 can't figure out. If she had the time, she would 14 help me track down those answers. We were both 15 puzzle makers. 16 Ο. As part of your work did you have to 17 routinely discuss the data gathering process with her? 18 19 For this particular --Α. 20 For this particular project. Ο. 21 Α. -- project? 22 It's pretty self-explanatory, right? 23 not routinely, not much to it. 24 Based on your work with her, did you have Q.

an understanding about whether the methods she was using were the same as the methods you were using or different ones?

A. It's been so long. And, like I said, the vast majority of this was all Jim, you know. But if Dianne did help, it would probably be a follow-up to a phone call, you know. And then, hey, I got somebody and they give me an answer, type of thing. You know, like we're happy, excited to get numbers. I know, nerdy, but . . .

Q. You've never -- strike that.

Did you ever become aware or learn that
Dianne Vrablic was using a significantly different
methodology to collect any of the data that went
into this spreadsheet than the methodology that you
were using?

- A. I don't believe so because, like I said, it wasn't very complex. And Dianne and I work closely together. So our offices were right next door. I don't see how there would be a different way to do this right now.
- Q. So I want to ask you now more about the outreach you did to manufacturers --
  - A. Okay.

Q. -- when necessary.

2.4

You testified that in some cases you conducted that outreach in order to generate estimates of their production of modern sporting rifles, correct?

- A. So I had a little mind -- so you had stated that I testified before that I would -- I'm sorry. Just repeat that. I had a lapse.
- Q. Not a problem. I'm not trying to trip you up, just orient you to the topic.

So in some cases to get this data in an accurate form you would do the outreach to the manufacturers and request that they give you an estimate of their modern sporting rifle production for a particular year, right?

A. Correct. In instances where a manufacturer had a rifles category, I go to their website and say, well, Remington, you make traditional rifles like a bolt action rifle or a semiautomatic that's not AR platform, and you make an AR platform rifle. The number is X.

I call them up and say out of that number how many of them can we plug in as modern sporting rifles.

Q. And you were involved in creating production estimates not just for one year like 2016, but across multiple different data years; is that fair?

A. Correct, yeah. I've been -- to the best of my recollection, I'm not sure what year I started this. It might have been -- let's just say 2013, but I went backwards, filled in everything I could, and then, you know, went forward.

I don't know if we updated every year or every other year. We were very well-worked, you know, at NSSF. We had so many things that we wanted to do. Sometimes we couldn't update everything on an annual basis.

- Q. Was it your usual practice when conducting that outreach to request information from manufacturers about their production of MSRs for each year individually or to get an overall estimate that you would apply over several years?
- A. Since there was so few from what I recall, it would have been, you know, a handful of phone calls. And so I would have checked every year for, you know, the vast majority of them.

You know, again, use that Remington as an

example because that was one they had, you know, so many different product lines, just make the call.

- Q. When you requested that information from the manufacturers, was it your practice to use the term modern sporting rifle or some other term?
- A. From what I recall, the vast majority of our manufacturers were very aware of modern sporting rifles. But if I was talking to, you know, a production guy -- clarify, this is what a modern sporting rifle is, AR, AK platform.

And, again, you know, with a Remington, say, we're not talking about, you know, your traditional bolt action or lever action or whatever, you know. We're talking about the AR platform, so we'd clarify if I thought there was any way that they could misconstrue what we were looking for.

- Q. And other than identifying AR or

  AK platform rifles, was there any other standard

  language that you were in the practice of using to

  define the category of modern sporting rifle in

  these conversations?
- A. You said other than AR or AK, so the other language is modern sporting rifle.

2.4

Page 93

Q. I see. No other terms used to define the scope that you would in your usual practice use to explain that to the manufacturers?

A. For this particular chart, no. I mean, like I said, when we first -- when I first got to NSSF, the term modern sporting rifle was relatively new. So it was AR, AK. There was, you know, black rifles or whatever the case may be.

But as -- I hate to say I was well-known, but I knew a lot of people, and they knew me. And we all kind of used the same jargon. So modern sporting rifle was a pretty common term within the group of folks that I worked with.

- Q. Did you ever have to encourage or persuade manufacturers to participate in giving you information for this data?
- A. For this particular data, I had very little resistance. I had a really good reputation, not tooting my own horn, but the fact was I knew a lot of people. We provided a lot of valuable information to them. So when I called for something, thankfully a lot of people returned my call.

MR. LOTHSON: Why don't we take a break? I

Page 94 1 need to step out for a second. 2 MR. HAZINSKI: Yeah. Well, it's 12:05. Is it 3 an appropriate time for --MR. LOTHSON: You tell me. How much longer you 4 5 got to go? MR. HAZINSKI: There's more. We're going to 6 7 take a lunch break. 8 We can go off the record. 9 (WHEREUPON, a recess was taken at 10 12:05 p.m.) 11 MR. HAZINSKI: All right. We're going back on the record. Just a few minutes before 1:00. 12 13 BY MR. HAZINSKI: 14 Did you have a chance to eat lunch? 0. 15 I did. Thank you. 16 Q. Did you talk to anyone other than your attorneys during the break? 17 18 Α. I did not. 19 You understand that you're still under 0. 20 oath? 21 Α. Correct. 22 I have a few more questions about the 23 estimates from the modern sporting rifle production 2.4 numbers.

2.4

Page 95

So we were discussing earlier your request to manufacturers about the number of their firearms that produced that were MSRs.

When you -- was it your practice when you asked manufacturers for that information to ask them about the total number of firearms they produced that were MSRs or the proportion of their firearm models that were MSRs?

A. So to the best of my recollection I would have used the number that was stated in the AFMER for a given year and said out of this number how many of those would be considered the modern sporting rifle.

As we discussed, if somebody needed a definition, the AR, AK platform, so it would be about -- specifically about the modern sporting rifles, and a number out of that, whatever, let's just say it's a hundred thousand that's listed, how many of those would be modern sporting rifles. And then that's the number I would plug in as an estimate like, you know, a zero in everything.

You know, in estimate cases as you can see throughout the report, there might be a lot of zeroed ones.

Q. Did you have an understanding of how manufacturers arrived at those estimates typically?

- A. It was my understanding that the manufacturer has detailed production reports on each and every model. They would go and say, okay, we consider these models modern sporting rifles.

  They add up to be this number. Here's that number.
- Q. So would it be fair to say that typically your data entered into the cells in the spreadsheet we were discussing reflected specific production numbers that were given to you by the manufacturers directly?
- A. In some cases, from what I recall, those cases where I needed to find out more information. The other cases where, for example, if it was an AR manufacturer that all they produced was ARs, and that number was 21,167, usually that's the number I plugged right in there.

And if it was -- you'll see some of these numbers. I think we discussed one that was, you know, the even thousand or something like that.

Those were the ones where that manufacturer would have got back to me and said, oh, about a thousand of those, or something along those lines. The vast

majority of them were, if not perfectly accurate, you know, very accurate.

- Q. To the best of your recollection, did manufacturers ever give you approximate estimates like 50 percent or 75 percent of their production was made up of MSRs as opposed to a particular total number?
- A. Yeah, to the best of my recollection, you know, I think the vast majority of them were very detailed and specific numbers, but there most likely were cases where they would say, you know, approximately 75 percent of those, you know, give or take are what they would consider modern sporting rifles.
- Q. And did -- in those cases did the manufacturers always tell you exactly how they arrived at that approximation?
- A. From what I remember, again, long time ago, if it was something I questioned, if they said zero percent or a hundred percent, well, you know, some of them are. Some of them can't be, right? According to the product mix online. But very few instances where I had to question somebody.

I felt pretty confident for the most part

that an answer I got made sense to me, and I didn't need to bug them further about specifics.

Q. I want to direct you back to the spreadsheet, Exhibit 3, with one more question on the first page.

Do you see the block of cells highlighted in orange corresponding to the years 1995 to 2004?

A. Correct.

- Q. Do you have an understanding of why those cells are highlighted?
- A. Well, first of all, I think you said '94 to '04, but I think it's highlighted '95 to '04, the ones I'm looking at here.
  - Q. You're right. Thank you.
- A. And just not a hundred percent sure, but it would make sense -- and I don't know why only a certain block of them are. But that time period other than the 94 kind of corresponds with the assault weapons ban.
- Q. Are you referring to the federal assault weapons ban?
- A. Yeah, so it is that ten-year time period. But, again, looking at the chart, I don't know why just however many rows are blocked out in that.

Q. Did you ever -- strike that.

In situations where you were seeking specific information from manufacturers about MSR production, did you ever form an opinion that the estimate they gave you, the number of MSRs that they produced was not accurate?

A. Not to my recollection. Like I said, vast majority of them made sense. There might have been an instant or two where somebody said, oh, well, all the things that we produce are MSRs. And I said, well, looking at your website that's not the case. But very rarely, you know, would that happen. And I don't really ever recall that happening. It could have happened.

MR. HAZINSKI: You can set this document to a side, and I'll give you another. This will be -- I think we're up to Number 4.

(WHEREUPON, Curcuruto Deposition Exhibit No. 4 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. HAZINSKI:

Q. You see this document is titled

NSSF Report 2021 Edition Firearms Retailer Survey
Report?

A. Correct.

2.4

- Q. Are you familiar with what kind of document this is?
- A. As far as what kind of document, I'm familiar that this is a product very similar to a product that I had oversaw for several years at NSSF. I don't know if it was this particular version that I had oversight on but similar ones.
- Q. You mentioned reviewing -- I believe you mentioned reviewing a firearms retailer survey report in preparation for your deposition; is that right?
- A. So yesterday we briefly touched on this one, but not in any detail or not this particular one, one that looked like this.
- Q. For the 2021 edition of this -- well, let me take a step back.

Can you briefly describe during your work at NSSF the purpose of this kind of report?

A. Sure. So NSSF being a trade association for the firearms industry, they were a member-based organization. At one point they had approximately 10,000 members. A large percentage of those members were firearm retailers which are the people

Page 101

that sell the guns and ammo and all the things that go in and around a firearm or hunting and the shooting sports.

So in an effort to provide resources to a big chunk of our membership, we would produce reports such as this firearm retailer report. And it was a survey of firearm retailers. We'd aggregate their responses, put it in this report, and then provide it to our membership.

I believe anybody that took the survey got a complete response back. And then, you know, I think we sold it to different levels of membership. Some people got it for free. Some people might have been be a 50-dollar or a-hundred-dollar price on it just to generate a little revenue internally.

- Q. Do you recall if you were specifically involved in any aspect of the preparation of the 2021 edition of this report?
- A. Going back, I'll say potentially I was.

  Because if they fielded this in 2021 and calling it
  the '21, then I wouldn't have been directly
  involved in it. I can check.

Sometimes they would put when it was actually fielded, but, you know, the date on the

Page 102 back corner of the -- item number on the bottom 1 2 right, it says 3-21. That's how we kind of coded 3 it, March '21. So that would have been after the fact after I left. So more than likely I did not, 4 5 you know, oversee this particular report. Could you turn to Page 1 that has the 6 7 stamp NSSF 56, please? I've got 55 and 57. You shorted me. 8 Α. 9 Ο. I'll pass you my copy here. 10 MR. LOTHSON: I object to this exhibit. 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I got odd numbers. MR. HAZINSKI: Printer error I suppose. 12 13 BY MR. HAZINSKI: 14 Can you see there a Number 56? Ο. 15 Α. Okay. 16 Ο. If you review the section under the term 17 overview, does that give you any indication of when 18 it was fielded? 19 I'll read this. Overview of the report is 20 the result of an in-depth analysis of U.S. firearm 21 retailer industry sponsored by the National Shooting Sports Foundation. The information for 22 23 this report was collected in an online survey 24 retailers conducted February through March 2020.

The survey response is 313 retail establishments across all the states.

So if this indeed was fielded in 2020, the data -- I don't know why it would have taken so long, March 2021, to get out. But potentially then I did oversee this one because I was still at -- employed at NSSF during the time of this one here.

- Q. Do you think it would be fair to say you may have been involved during an earlier stage of the data-gathering process, but not necessarily as it was finalized?
- A. Looking back, yeah. I don't recall if, you know, I was around when the final version went to print. Just looking at the March 21st date, if that's when this went to -- was sent out to everybody, then I wouldn't have been involved with the final, final product. But it appears as though I would have been involved in the development of it, maybe just not the final product.
- Q. The portion that you read on Page 56, internal Page 1, said that the survey responses came from 313 retailers; is that right?
  - A. Correct. You want to share this one?

Q. That's all right.

Do you know how many total firearm retailers existed in the United States at the time this survey was fielded?

A. I don't recall how many were in existence at the time when this one was studied. I know ballpark 60-ish, 70 percent of our membership was made up of firearm retailers, maybe that number. So we felt like our membership was, I guess you would say, the cream of the crop on what -- the number of retailers that were out there.

There's a lot of FFLs that are mom-and-pop shops that just -- we call them basement folks that might have just been an individual that didn't have a brick and mortar store, but we were more focused on learning about the larger manufacturers -- larger retailers when it came to a report like this.

- Q. Are you aware of any steps that were taken to ensure that the set of 313 respondents was a statistically sufficient sample size for reliable analysis?
- A. You know, again, we talked a little bit about going back to my recollection of that 95 and

Page 105 5 percent confident level. If our sample was, for 1 2 example, we had 5,000 NSSF members that were retailers and we sent this out to them, we would 3 have plugged that 5,000 into the online formula. 4 5 We want a 95, 5. And it would have spit out a number saying, okay, you need 300 responses to get 6 7 that 95, 5. I don't see anywhere in this report where 8 9 we have that confidence level, so -- and, unfortunately, I'm not sure what the margin of 10 error and confidence level is in this one. 11 12 (Reporter clarification.) 13 BY MR. HAZINSKI: 14 Would you agree as a general proposition 15 that the number of survey respondents that you would need to reach that confidence level and 16 17 margin of error would be larger if the total number 18 of retailers was 50,000 rather than 5,000? 19 MR. LOTHSON: Objection, incomplete 20 hypothetical. 21 THE WITNESS: Yeah, to the best of my 22 knowledge, again, plugging in that formula, the -- I think it was harder to get to a higher 23 24 confidence level with a lower number of sample that

you had.

So, for example, if you only had a hundred or ten people that you were gonna send the survey to, you'd need, you know, nine out of ten of them, for example, to get a high confidence level.

If you had a thousand, you wouldn't need that same nine hundred, that same percentage. But, again, that's just off the top of my head. I have to go back and look at that formula that we used. BY MR. HAZINSKI:

- Q. In conducting surveys of retailers how did NSSF typically promote those surveys to garner responses?
- A. Sure. So we had obviously a very good name recognition with NSSF. They're already most likely member paying -- member dues paying folks that were getting the survey. We also had some probably lapsed retailers still in our database that still got the survey.

But the incentive was if you filled it out, you got a free copy of the full report. So you would know your individual responses to a question, and then you get to see the aggregate of 313. So pretty valuable, right? Resource to a

Page 107 firearm retailer. 1 2 And then on occasion we would also 3 incentivize surveys to hopefully increase response, and that could be a chance to win an optic or 4 5 something along the lines there. That's pretty standard procedure. 6 7 Aside from soliciting responses from Ο. NSSF's own members, did -- are you aware of any 8 9 efforts that NSSF typically engaged in to solicit 10 survey responses from other groups or other lists 11 of contacts? 12 Α. For this particular one, I'm not aware of 13 I believe we strictly focused on our own 14 database. That's from what I recall. 15 Would you agree that that method of 16 contacting potential survey respondents would be 17 likely to result in a disproportionate number of 18 respondents who were already members of NSSF? 19 Run that by me one more time. 20 NSSF promoted this survey to its own Ο. 21 membership primarily.

Is that what your testimony is today?

A. Correct.

22

23

2.4

Q. You agree that that way of promoting the

survey means that the survey respondents will be disproportionately NSSF members as opposed to the proportion of retailers that are NSSF members in the population as a whole?

MR. LOTHSON: Objection, form argumentative.

THE WITNESS: Sure.

2.4

MR. LOTHSON: Go ahead if you know.

THE WITNESS: So I think I get what you're saying. So basically, again, we realize that our members were the cream of the crop retailers, right? Those are the ones we want to get responses from. We didn't want to get a response from one guy that has an FFL that, you know, doesn't really do e-commerce, right? They might have it for their own personal reasons or for their friends to transfer firearms, but that's not the person that we wanted to respond to this survey.

So we felt the sample that we had of our internal database was the best one to use especially because this was really a report going back to our members. So it was actually stronger to have a response from just our members or primarily our members when we're giving back the data to our members so they can match themselves up

Page 109 to very similar retailers out there. 1 2 BY MR. HAZINSKI: 3 Ο. Do you know whether NSSF ever did any analysis to determine what proportion of the total 4 5 number of modern sporting rifle sold in the relevant time period were sold by these 6 7 313 retailers in specific? I am -- you know, I'm a little bit fuzzy 8 Α. 9 on going back that far. I have no recollection 10 that we ever tried to identify among NSSF members 11 what percentage of all MSR sales were conducted by NSSF member retailers. 12 13 What steps did NSSF take to ensure that Ο. 14 the survey respondents who filled out the 15 information used to make this report were 16 representative of the total population of firearm 17 retailers more broadly? 18 MR. LOTHSON: Objection, form, foundation. 19 THE WITNESS: So what we tried to do -- in this 20 project here I think we had the support of 21 Southwick Associates to help us administer the 22 survey. 23 And what we would do is once the responses 2.4 came in, you know, we would do quality control

checks, both at Southwick and internally at NSSF, but we were more concerned about getting quality responses. And our members are nationwide. NSSF, you know, has members in all 50 states.

So we're happy with the representation of the 313 that were in this sample here. Happy enough for sure to put this product out so our retailers could make business decisions off of it.

BY MR. HAZINSKI:

- Q. Are you aware of any statistical weighting that was done, W-E-I-G-H-T-I-N-G, that was done to the survey results?
- A. Again, going back to my knowledge, when we did weight some surveys, we would typically mention that in here. I don't see any reference to weighting in this report. So for the most part there was probably not weighting for this particular study.
- Q. Do you know how the term modern sporting rifle was defined for the purposes of this report?
- A. I would assume, going back, that when we did identify a modern sporting rifle it would be that same terminology that we talked about before, semi-automatic rifle capable of holding a

detachable magazine AR, AK platform, semi-automatic one-pull trigger.

And, you know, it became a very common term because NSSF used it so often among our members that they understood what that was. And if there were questions -- I don't recall ever fielding too many questions, you know, what is a modern sporting rifle, but to the best of my knowledge that's how we would have identified it.

- Q. Could you please look at Page 6 of the report which is NSSF 61?
  - A. Okay.

- Q. Do you see that one of the data categories is AR style slash modern sporting rifles?
  - A. Correct. Yep.
- Q. Does that indicate to you whether modern sporting rifles for the purposes of this report is a term used synonymously with AR style firearms?
- A. Correct. We typically uses AR platform -AR, AK platform. It looks like due to the amount
  of space there. And I think we started doing these
  surveys probably back 2010 or so. So at the time
  we probably wanted to have as much of a definition
  as possible, AR, AK platform, modern sporting

Page 112 rifles. 1 2 I would have -- I would recommend that 3 moving forward they probably just could use modern sporting rifles from now on, but . . . 4 5 Do you know whether survey respondents for the purposes of this survey were told whether to 6 7 include or exclude AR style pistols, for example? Α. To the best of my recollection they were 8 9 not told to exclude them. Again, it was not really 10 a category that we tracked during my tenure there. 11 Maybe toward the tail end it was a topic of 12 discussion, but nothing that we looked at 13 specifically in detail. 14 I want to ask you now some questions about magazines and NSSF's estimates around those. 15 16 Could you please look again at what we marked as Exhibit 1 which was the firearm 17 18 production in the United States report? 18 or 23 or 20 or 23? 19 Α. 20 23, please. Ο. 21 Α. Go ahead. 22 If you have that, I'd ask you to look at Ο. 23 the page marked NSSF 23, the internal Page 7 for 2.4 the magazine chart?

A. I have it.

2.4

- Q. Do you recall I showed you this earlier and asked about the methodology that you used for it?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Your conclusion based on that methodology was that there were about 300,000,000 magazines in the possession of consumers in the U.S. from 1990 through 2018.

Is that a fair description?

- A. Correct. From the magazine chart, yeah, looks like about 304,000,000 total, yep.
- Q. Does that 300,000,000 number as you understand it reflect the number of magazines actually existing and possessed by consumers at the time the report was issued?
- A. The NSSF magazine chart from how I originally -- I think we talked about the methodology or how we -- there wasn't a chart available out there. So we used the AFMER data and some other pieces to fill it in. You know, that was our initial understanding of that.

I think as -- we didn't have any other resources available to add to that. I know there

Page 114 was a huge aftermarket for magazines, but that was not factored in heavily in the study that I had

As a general matter, magazines once Ο. they're manufactured and sold are often used by the buyer and then likely discarded.

Would that be fair to say?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

done.

MR. LOTHSON: Objection, form, calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: I don't know why anybody would throw away a perfectly good magazine, but if it malfunctioned, they're not expensive to replace. But I think they last quite sometime, so I don't see why anybody would discard them. BY MR. HAZINSKI:

- Ο. As you sit here today do you believe that these data and this chart provide a reasonable approximation of the number of magazines produced for U.S. consumers within that data range?
- Yeah, sitting here today I feel confident Α. in the way -- when I conducted the research with the sources that I had available to me, I feel confident that that number was a good representation.

Page 115 Again, we didn't want to put something out 1 2 that was not reliable enough for somebody to make a 3 decision on. MR. HAZINSKI: I'm going to show you another 4 document. This will be Exhibit 5. 5 6 (WHEREUPON, Curcuruto Deposition 7 Exhibit No. 5 was marked for identification.) 8 9 BY MR. HAZINSKI: This is entitled Detachable Magazine 10 Ο. 11 Report 1990 to 2021, correct? 12 Α. Correct. 13 For the record it's NSSF 1994 through O. 14 1997. 15 Was this one of the documents you reviewed 16 in preparation for your deposition today? 17 I did not review it in preparation. Α. 18 However, I did look at it when NSSF first put it 19 out because I had read a release about it and it piqued my curiosity. 20 21 Ο. Did you have any involvement in the preparation of this report? 22 23 Α. I did not. 2.4 Do you have any personal knowledge of the Q.

methodology used to prepare this report?

- A. The only knowledge I have is from kind of the methodology that's in here. And I believe there was some discussion in the deposition that I read with Salam about this as well, but I don't remember the particulars that were in there.
- Q. Would it be fair to say you don't -- you didn't have any hand in developing the methodology directly that was used in this report?
- A. Correct. I did not, yeah, have any knowledge of what was produced here. This was completely done after my time.
- Q. As you sit here today, can you from your personal knowledge vouch for the accuracy or reliability of any findings in this report?
- A. I have not really dug too deep in it.

  It's not a very high -- you know, not a lot in this report. So I haven't looked at it enough to look at the methodology in detail to see how accurate or not accurate it would be in my opinion.
- Q. Could you please turn to the third page of the document that has the NSSF 1995 stamp, please?
  - A. Okay.
  - Q. This reflects a grand total firearm

Page 117 1 magazine estimate for the years 1990 through 2021 2 of 963,772,000, correct? 3 Α. Correct. Now, this includes three additional data 4 Ο. 5 years beyond the scope of the magazine chart that you prepared, right? 6 7 I believe that one was through '18. this includes '19, '20, '21, correct? Yep, three 8 9 more years. 10 Ο. Do you agree that through whatever 11 methodology NSSF used to generate these numbers it 12 resulted in a far higher estimate of magazines than 13 the estimate you had produced a few years earlier? 14 Correct, yeah. 963 versus 304 million, 15 yep. 16 MR. HAZINSKI: Well, let's set that to the side 17 for a moment. 18 I want to show you another document. 19 of these seem to have the similar printing error, but I'm going to give you one that's formatted 20 21 correctly. This will be Exhibit 6, I believe. 22 (WHEREUPON, Curcuruto Deposition 23 Exhibit No. 6 was marked for 2.4 identification.)

Page 118 1 BY MR. HAZINSKI: 2 Ο. Have you seen this document before? 3 Α. Without reviewing it thoroughly, I believe this is a report that I produced back in 4 5 approximately 2013. So I have seen it, yes. For the record I'll note the Bates range 6 7 of this production is NSSF 5902 through 5987. 8 And this is a report called Modern 9 Sporting Rifle Comprehensive Consumer Report 2013, 10 correct? 11 Α. Correct. 12 Ο. Toward the bottom of the cover page it 13 says Sports Marketing Surveys USA. 14 Do you see that? 15 Α. I do. 16 0. What is Sports Marketing Surveys USA? 17 They are a nationwide research firm based Α. 18 out of Florida, and we contracted them to do some 19 work for NSSF. This is one of the projects they 20 did for us. 21 Q. How were they selected to work on this 22 project? I received a lot of calls from vendors 23 2.4 saying, oh, you know, we can help you with your

services. And I vetted them pretty heavily.

When I first started at NSSF we primarily used two sources, Responsive Management and Southwick Associates, to do the bulk of our outside work, outside contract work. But I wanted to expand the amount of companies that we worked with.

So Sports Marketing Surveys, you know, had reached out to me, and I vetted them and felt comfortable with their methods and history and experience. So we ended up contracting them to help us with the report like this.

- Q. Before working on this report, did Sports
  Marketing Surveys work on any other reports for
  NSSF?
- A. To the best of my recollection, I know they -- I believe they also conducted this report in 2010 for us. I don't know if they did any other for us. None are coming to mind. But I believe they helped us with a similar report prior to this one.
- Q. Who at Sports Marketing Surveys was involved in creating the 2013 edition?
- A. My two primary contacts, from what I recall, Keith Story and Neil Schwartz.

- Q. Based on your work with Sports Marketing Surveys, did you form an opinion that Sports Marketing Surveys used a reliable methodology?
- A. Yeah, going back ten years ago I don't believe I would have contracted them if I didn't believe that they had a good methodology and a good product.
- Q. Since they conducted a consumer survey in 2010 and were brought back to conduct one in 2013, would it be fair to say that you felt based on their work on the 2010 report that they did a high quality of work?
- A. I believe that would have been part of my line of reasoning to bring them back a second time. Just now, you know, thinking about my work with them, I remember they were impressed with the response range that we got. I think 7,000, I just noticed, on the first time around and 21,000 responses the second time around which are, you know, a pretty high number for an online survey at the time.
- Q. When you contracted with Sports Marketing Surveys for the 2013 report, did you anticipate that they would use a substantially similar

methodology to the one they employed in the 2010 report or a different one?

2.4

A. When I contracted them in '13, going back, you know, best of my recollection, I believe I would have wanted a very similar methodology so that we could have established trend data.

And I believe throughout this report most instances we provide trend data from here is what the response was in 2010 to a question and here's what the response was to that same question or very similar question in 2023. So that way the folks using this report, you know, primarily would be our NSSF members, could see maybe opportunities or, you know, which way the market was shifting.

Q. So this was the context in which NSSF published a report, but the data was gathered by an outside organization, in this case Sports Marketing Surveys.

In that situation -- I'm sorry. Go ahead.

- A. No, go ahead.
- Q. In that situation were you still responsible in your role at NSSF for making sure that the report had reliable and accurate methodology?

Page 122

A. Correct. So basically, you know, the buck stopped with me, right? If a report was good, it was a team effort. If the report was bad, it's -- I take the credit for something like that. So I wanted to make sure, you know, I didn't have to be stuck with that.

So when I would work with companies I wanted to make sure they were vetted correctly.

And, you know, Sports Marketing Surveys, I believe from my recollection worked with other sporting goods within their market, so they were familiar with the outdoor customer. And, again, I think they put together a pretty good product with a good methodology.

- Q. Can you describe the steps that you took to vet the reliability of the information produced by Sports Marketing Surveys for NSSF?
- A. Sure. One thing I recall doing with pretty much all my vendors that I would bring on if I wasn't familiar with them was to call an existing client of theirs and say, hey, you know, I notice that Sports Marketing Surveys did this for you; is it somebody that you would continue to work for.

And there were certainly instances people

said they would shy away from them, and that helped me, you know, make a decision against somebody maybe. But in the case of Sports Marketing Surveys they had some pretty good recommendations from what I recall.

Again, you know, we wanted to put out that product that would help our members make a better decision, and the modern sporting rifle topic was a pretty prevalent topic among our membership at the time in 2013.

So pretty proud of a product like this that has, as you can see, a lot of information in there. It's got some trend data as well. So yeah, we were happy with the work they did.

- Q. Other than calling references who had worked with Sports Marketing Surveys in the past, were there other steps that you took to check or vet the reliability of the information they were providing you?
- A. To the best of my recollection, it was somewhat feel, right? When I was talking to the people, Keith and Neil, did they understand the consumer that we were looking to get. Did they understand the barriers to, you know, reach that

Page 124 customer and best ways to obtain a quality response 1 2 from them. So in addition to, you know, 3 references, I would call it a personal feel on, you know, how I talk to people. 4 There were instances, again, when I would 5 talk to somebody, and I'd ask them what NSSF stands 6 7 for. If they didn't know the answer, they weren't getting our business, right? 8 9 I mean, we'd get cold calls all the time. 10 Hey, we can do this survey for you. Okay. does NSSF stand for? Oh, I don't know. So they 11 obviously weren't that -- you know, they were just 12 13 doing cold calls. But I recall Keith had a 14 personal interest in, you know, what NSSF was 15 about. Did checking the reliability of the 16 Ο. information -- strike that. 17 18 When you checked the reliability of 19 information provided by Sports Marketing Surveys to 20 NSSF, did you confirm any of their mathematical or 21 statistical calculations to make sure they were 22 done correctly? 23 So prior to hiring them I don't believe I 24 would have checked any of their mathematics, you

know, from existing reports, but that's where I would, you know, get the references. And the references were happy with how they conducted the study, including their methodology and their many statistics involved.

- Q. To put it maybe colloquially, NSSF wasn't rerunning all the numbers they were getting from Sports Marketing Surveys to make sure that they were right; is that fair?
- A. Certainly not previous to any work, you know, that wasn't NSSF's work, but there was a certain amount -- again, this is just general going back. There's a certain amount of features or fail-safes that you can put into surveys when you're conducting them. You know, we could call them gotcha questions.

For example, we'd say, hey, do you use your modern sporting rifle for any of these purposes, and you list the purposes. And one of those purposes might have been something ridiculous that you would never use a modern sporting rifle for, for example, sporting clays. And if somebody checked the box, I use my modern sporting rifle for sporting clays, which is nothing that anybody would

ever do, we would get rid of their responses entirely, wipe them out of the survey.

There's -- we know that the average response time would be 10 minutes, 15 minutes to take this study. And we would get responses, and you could sort them in from this guy did this survey in a minute. This guy did it in, you know, two days or something like that. So we would get rid of those people that we call speeders that are just taking that survey just to maybe potentially have a chance to win something if there was an incentive on it.

So I know we had -- in addition to the fail -- the gotcha questions and the speeders, we also had some things called patterning or straightlining. And so straightlining might be the answer is C, C, C, C, C. Like, you know, some kid did it in SATs in high school who didn't know how to take a test or, you know, that type of thing. So there were some fail-safes.

The last thing I remember that we did was looking at -- sometimes throughout a survey we had open-ended questions. Hey, John, or, you know, tell us what you think about -- what features do

Page 127

you like the most about this, and open-ended response. So we'd read through all those open-ended responses, and, you know, if something made no sense or something like that, again, I use this gun for sporting clays purpose, you know, we would delete those.

So it was a painstaking process, but again we wanted to have that best product for our end user. And luckily, you know, I was never under pressure. Get stuff done. You have to have this report out by this date. Never had that pressure. So I had the luxury of taking my time going through all those steps making sure I put out the best product I could.

- Q. Do you know whether any gotcha questions were used to assess the survey respondents in the preparation of this report?
- A. Unfortunately, I can't recall. I know we did it in several instances. I don't know if this was one of those instances.
- Q. Okay. How did you decide whether or not to include those types of questions?
- A. It was, I guess, kind of standard practice to go through some of those. Certainly the

speeders was an easy one because that was an easy one to look at.

But, you know, it was brought to my attention about those gotcha questions by a vendor. I don't know if it was Sports Marketing Surveys or another vendor that introduced that to me. But I thought it was pretty cool, and I tried to include that in a lot of the stuff I did moving forward.

- Q. In terms of weeding out surveys responses based on the time taken to complete the survey, for this report who would have been responsible for weeding out those responses, Sports Marketing Surveys or NSSF?
- A. To the best of my recollection, again, you know, ten years ago, I've had a -- I'm very comfortable with Keith and Neil's knowledge of how to do surveys, and they would have been primarily responsible. Would I have reminded them or asked them, hey, did can you take out the speeders? Probably. But I just don't recall exactly at this time.
- Q. As you sit here today, do you have an independent recollection of whether that strategy, taking out the speeders, was used as part of the

preparation of this report?

2.4

- A. Again, I don't recall. I assume we would have done stuff like that, but I don't recall.
- Q. For the purposes of this report, what does the phrase modern sporting rifle mean?
- A. Well, for this report in 2013, I believe we had a pretty clear definition on the intro of this survey. So like prior to taking the survey there was a definition of it.

I know for the 2010 survey and I believe for the 2013 survey as well, we actually had a video introduction that explained what a modern sporting rifle was. It was me at the range, and here's an R15. This is the type of firearm we're looking for you to respond.

Because, again, in 2010, 2011, that term modern sporting rifle was probably not very familiar with a lot of AR, AK owners. So we wanted to make sure we explained it in very good detail so there couldn't be any misunderstandings of what we were looking for.

- Q. Could you look at Page 5 of the report which is NSSF 15 -- excuse me, NSSF 5908, please?
  - A. I have it open.

Q. I want to direct your attention to a sentence in the first paragraph that says, in the 2013 survey MSRs were specified as either an AR platform, AK platform or other semi-automatic rifle with a detachable magazine.

Did I read that correctly?

2.4

- A. AR, AK or other semi -- okay. That looks correct.
- Q. The definition of MSRs in this sentence, is that definition the same as or different from the definition used in the Firearm Production in The United States report that you worked on?
- A. So the firearm production report in the U.S., you know, primarily is AR, AK platforms for the modern sporting rifles. This has or other automatic rifle with detachable magazine, which I believe was explained a little bit more in detail again in that introductory video to clarify, you know, we're looking for AR platform, AK platform.

But the other automatic rifle with detachable magazine is a little bit different than the standard definition we've been talking about for the previous examples.

MR. LOTHSON: Just for the record, it says

Page 131 1 semi-automatic, not automatic. 2 THE WITNESS: Did I -- okay. If I misspoke, 3 I'll clarify. I'll read, in the 2023 -- 2013 survey, MSRs were specified as either an 4 5 AR platform, AK platform or other semi-automatic rifle with a detachable magazine. 6 BY MR. HAZINSKI: 7 Do you agree that this definition of MSR 8 9 would exclude pistols? At the time that we did this, and we're 10 11 going back ten years, again, AR-15 pistols I don't think were prevalent at all. Certainly I don't 12 have much recollection for them. So we didn't have 13 14 much of a definition or disclaimer to include them 15 or not exclude them. Do you agree that this definition of MSRs 16 0. 17 would also exclude shotguns? 18 Yeah, I would agree that this definition 19 of MSRs would exclude shotguns. 20 Do you know why or could you explain Ο. 21 further why NSSF used a definition of MSR in this 22 report that encompassed other semi-automatic rifles

with a detachable magazine whereas in other reports

that category was not part of the definition that

23

24

was given?

A. I'm actually trying to think of that while you were talking about that because it is a little bit different. And, you know, going back ten years and again don't know this for sure, but potentially as markets shift and new products come to market, there might have been, you know, a new category or, you know, a new rifle that may not have been exactly an AR-15 or an AR, AK.

So it may be an AR-10 or something like that. It could have been in that definition. But, unfortunately, I don't know specifically why we did it. I wish I did.

- Q. During the period you worked at NSSF, was modern sporting rifle a term whose meaning shifted over time?
- A. I believe it shifted somewhat with the advent of new products to market kind of. I had mentioned the AR-10, but primarily modern sporting rifles during my tenure there were AR, AK platform. That was the very much bulk of them.
- Q. I want to ask you about a previous page of this document which is Page 4, NSSF 5907.
  - A. Okay.

- Q. Do you see this page with the word methodology at the top?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Do you know whether the language on this page was drafted by someone at NSSF or drafted by someone at Sports Marketing Surveys?
  - A. Just give me one minute to read it.
  - Q. Take your time.
- A. Okay. So you had asked if I knew the methodology was written by NSSF or Sports Marketing Surveys. So to the best of my recollection it's something that we both would have wrote together. You know, I was heavily involved in finding the organizations to help us place the banner ads to reach places that we thought modern sporting rifle owners would be.

For example, the last paragraph there, the very high level of confidence with the plus, minus 0.29 percent and 0.68 percent not confidence level may have been duly created by me and the folks at Sports Marketing Surveys.

Q. I want to direct your attention to the part on this page in the middle paragraph that says, to further pair down response.

Do you see that?

A. Okay.

Q. To further pair down response to those that would correctly complete the survey, the survey's initial question asked, do you own at least one modern sporting rifle. If you do not own an MSR, but would still like to be entered into the contest, select no. These safeguards narrowed feasible responses from 26,719 to 21,942.

Did I read that all correctly?

- A. Correct.
- Q. That means that the report analyzed responses only if the respondents reported that they owned at least one MSR; is that right?
- A. Correct. Yeah, and that was kind of another one of those safeguards. And I think this was -- again, we're going back, to my recollection, ten years ago -- something that Sports Marketing Surveys may have suggested including.

Because sometimes -- okay. Well, I want to -- maybe I don't own one, but I want a chance to win a \$500 gift card. So this was one of those fail-safes that allowed people to self-select and say, all right, I'll just get a chance to win that

rather than taking that survey providing this information that we would try to have to weed out.

2.4

So another -- I appreciate you bringing that up because I think it's another safeguard that make the results even stronger.

- Q. Limiting the data in display means that all of the data generated was from responses by MSR owners or individuals who report owning MSRs rather than from a broader swath of gun owners more generally, right?
  - A. That was certainly the hope of it, yes.
- Q. Is it fair to say that this is not a survey of the overall consumer market for firearms?
- A. This survey specifically was delving into the topic of modern sporting rifles, not all firearms. I don't know if there's some questions in here, there may be, about what other firearms do you own.

But the bulk of it was to determine things like, you know, ownership and usage and features and accessories and costs. And I think that's all laid out throughout the report.

Q. You agree that the scope of this survey is designed such that there would not be any counted

Page 136 survey responses from individuals who chose not to buy any modern sporting rifles at all? Α. What we tried to do was certainly weed out anybody that didn't own a modern sporting rifle. We wanted responses from just those that at least owned one modern sporting rifle under the definition. So hypothetically if there were a potential survey respondent who chose not to buy any MSRs at any point in their life because, for example, they believed they weren't useful for self-defense, their responses would not be counted within these results; is that right? Okay. So hypothetically and then you went into something about self-defense. Clarify that for me. I'm sorry. Sure. So let's take a hypothetical gun Ο.

- 17 18 owner --
- 19 Α. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

- -- who doesn't own my modern sporting Ο. rifles because, just to take an example, they don't believe that they're a good self-defense tool.
- 2.3 Are you with me so far?
- 2.4 Α. Sure.

Q. If that person -- a person in that category, a non-MSR owner, their opinions about MSRs would not be counted among the survey results reported in this document, correct?

MR. LOTHSON: Objection, incomplete hypothetical.

THE WITNESS: So from what I understand about the question, you know, our goal for this was to have as many of these 21,942 responses come from people that owned at least one modern sporting rifle.

## BY MR. HAZINSKI:

- Q. And part of the purpose of this survey was to get an understanding of the purposes for which modern sporting rifles are popular; is that fair?
- A. It was very broad ranging. Again, well, at the time we did the first one in 2011 or 2010, there was like almost no information on the modern sporting rifle consumer.

So we did that first one, and then they were probably becoming more popular, and that's probably why we came back and did the study again in 2013.

So as you can tell -- I mean, there's a

lot of information in here. So the purpose of doing it was to provide as much information as accurately as possible to our NSSF members so they could make that business decision.

For example, if they're a manufacturer that wasn't producing them and they saw this and say, oh, there's an opportunity, they, you know, might spend some resources to then have a product line developing modern sporting rifles.

So we didn't want one of our members, you know, spending a lot of money on something that, you know, we didn't believe putting out there because we wouldn't want them to put all their money into making these and then all of a sudden nobody buys them, right? And put them out of business. It doesn't help NSSF to lose members that way.

- Q. I want to talk about how NSSF promoted participation in this survey.
  - A. Sure.

Q. On this page it says at the top, with no database available of known MSR owners,

NSSF promoted participation in this study via online banner ads, on various websites, blogs and

Page 139 e-newsletters geared towards firearm ownership and 1 2 hunting, followed by a list. 3 Do you see that? I do. Α. 4 5 And you were involved in the process that's described in that sentence, right? 6 Α. Yes. The first example listed is the AR15.com 8 9 e-newsletter. What is AR15.com? So at the time -- and I'm not sure if they 10 11 even still exist today, but at the time AR15.com 12 e-newsletter was a very popular newsletter. And I 13 don't recall how many subscribers they had, but 14 that was a place where people would go for 15 information on modern sporting rifles. And assuming that with the name AR15.com, 16 17 that looked like a really good place for us to 18 reach MSR owners to help take our survey and build 19 the responses so we could have a really reliable 20 data source for our members to use. 21 Ο. At the time the survey was conducted did 22 AR15.com host forums for people to discuss MSR 23 ownership?

To the best of my knowledge, at one point

2.4

Α.

I believe AR15 did have forums that discussed it. But, again, ten years ago I couldn't tell you for sure, but I do believe so.

Q. Do you know one way or the other whether the population that visits AR15.com is representative of the overall population of modern sporting rifle owners in terms of their opinions about those weapons?

MR. LOTHSON: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: So one of the things that I somewhat recall, again, going back ten years, was working with Keith Story and Neil Schwartz of Sports Marketing Surveys and saying we need to have as well-rounded a sample as possible.

First of all, you can't go to like these big conglomerates like a Dynata, now they're called Experion, back in the day where you would, you know, say I need a thousand completes of people that have gone fishing. And they say okay. It's not a high -- a low incident rate, so \$5 a complete. It cost you \$5,000 to get it done.

There was -- we couldn't go to them and say give us modern sporting rifles. It wasn't a category.

So we had to be a little on avant garde or, you know, think out of the box. How are we gonna get this? And it can't just come from AR15.com because that is a skewed sample because that is one mind set of people that go there. Now it's a nationwide group of people. It's not regionalized or only available in one state. I think AR15 was a nationwide website.

So we -- that's why we -- I think we have a list of a dozen or so. You can see the other organizations that we had there. So we felt really comfortable. And I remember Neil and Keith saying 21,000 responses, I mean, it was -- they were impressed. And these guys had done surveys a lot. So that kind of helped me feel comfortable that what we were producing was a very valuable document.

## BY MR. HAZINSKI:

2.4

- Q. Do you know whether either Sports

  Marketing Surveys or NSSF conducted any statistical weighting to ensure that the survey respondents were generally representative of the overall population of MSR owners in the United States?
  - A. Not to my recollection. You know, I

talked about before I know on several of our surveys we had done weighting. And I tried to be very clear about when weighting was done, we put that in the methodology. I don't see it here, so I would assume that we did not weight that.

Q. I want to ask about another part of Page NSSF 5907. The final full paragraph says, this gives a very high confidence level. The confidence interval for the full MSR owner sample ranges from plus or minus 0.29 percentage points to plus or minus 0.68 percentage points at the 95 percent confidence level.

Did I read that correctly?

A. Correct.

2.4

- Q. So you used the term confidence level before. So you have a familiarity with that term?
- A. Correct. And I think the next sentence or two kind of takes it a step further.
- Q. And that provides an explanation of what the application of that concept is with an example?
- A. Correct. And, you know, I think I talked about earlier the minimum confidence level we tried to strive for was a 95 and 5, but as you can see this was a 95 and 0.5 which is tight which means

it's very solid.

And, again, ten years ago I remember those guys being impressed, and that's what they did for a living. They're like that's pretty solid stuff. So I was happy to put this report out.

- Q. Do you know how the confidence level and this margin of error were specifically calculated for this report?
- A. So it either would have been that same formula -- and I had just a website. You plug in, you know, sent to whatever X amount of people. But I'm assuming under this one since I contracted out this job that Keith and Neil would have been the ones to supply that information.
- Q. Confidence levels in statistics are determined in part by comparing the size of the sample to the size of the overall population from which that sample is taken, right?
- A. Yeah, there's several different variations of confidence level, but that's one understanding of it. You know, there's no -- unfortunately, no survey, even the U.S. Census, you now, hundred-million-dollar surveys that are going to get you a hundred percent confidence and zero

Page 144 1 margin of error, right? 2 So there's always some sort of confidence 3 level, but pretty much across the board -- and I used to go to, you know, Quirks which is direct 4 5 marketing, you know, conferences. Across the board from Google to Apple to Microsoft, 95 and 5 was a 6 7 pretty standard confidence level to get. For the purposes of this report did either 8 Ο. 9 NSSF or Sports Marketing Surveys estimate the total number of modern sporting rifle owners in the 10 11 United States? 12 Α. Not to my recollection. We may have done 13 so. I know we had the numbers there that we could have identified that, but I don't recall. 14 15 MR. LOTHSON: Why don't we take a break for a 16 seconds? 17 MR. HAZINSKI: Sure. 18 (WHEREUPON, a short recess was 19 taken.) 20 MR. HAZINSKI: We're back on the record. It's 21 a few minutes shy of 2:15 p.m. 22 BY MR. HAZINSKI: 23 Mr. Curcuruto, we were talking about 24 NSSF 5907 and the 95 percent confidence level and

that margin of error, those figures there.

Do you agree that in order to assess the confidence level associated with a particular sample that you need to know or accurately estimate the size of the underlying population from which the sample is taken?

MR. LOTHSON: Objection, form, incomplete hypothetical.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, there's -- from my understanding the confidence level can be looked at a couple different ways. One, with a known quantity of, okay, we're gonna send this out to a thousand retailers or we're gonna send this out to the general population without knowing that.

So either way, you know, you can still form a pretty solid confidence level on either way you do that. And I believe in this case here, like I recall, the folks at Sports Marketing Surveys were impressed with how strong a confidence there was in this report.

## BY MR. HAZINSKI:

2.4

Q. Did anyone at Sports Marketing Surveys in the course of the preparation of this report ever inform you that they were relying on an estimate of

Page 146 the total number of MSR owners in the United 1 2 States? 3 Α. Unfortunately, I don't recall. I'm not sure how, you know, that final 95 and 0.29 was 4 calculated. 5 Hypothetically, do you agree that a sample 6 Ο. 7 size of 2,000 -- 21,942 would generate a different confidence interval if the underlying total 8 9 population of MSR owners was 500,000 versus 10 50,000,000? 11 MR. LOTHSON: Objection, form, compound, 12 confusing. THE WITNESS: So kind of what we talked about 13 14 before, the smaller the sample size, you need a 15 higher completeness, right? So once you get out past -- it could be 320,000,000 people that live in 16 the United States. There's a number that 17 doesn't -- you know, once I get to 10,000, making 18 19 this up, it doesn't matter if you get to 20 300,000,000. There's not gonna be a change in the 21 data. 22 I've actually seen it firsthand. Because 23 what we do is print out reports. Say after a 24 thousand responses, here's the answers. After

10,000 responses, here's the answers. And there's not much fluctuation. So that's where that, you know, 0.29 comes into play. So, you know, my experience is very, very happy with this study of 21,000 responses.

## BY MR. HAZINSKI:

2.4

- Q. Is it your opinion that the sample size of over 21,000 responses reported here is sufficiently large that no matter what the underlying total population of MSR owners is would not affect the confidence level?
- A. So, again, to the best of my knowledge whether it was 7,000 responses in the first one, the 20,000 responses, both I felt very confident in putting a report out to our members so they could make that well-informed business decision.
- Q. You expressed that you felt positively about the small margin of error, 0.29 percent and 0.68 percent, estimated here.

Do you believe that those numbers could vary depending on the size of the underlying population of total MSR owners?

A. Kind of what -- the last answer we talked about there. It's my belief that there's not gonna

be that much fluctuation. Again, I've seen it firsthand several times about. Once you get to a certain number, you know, the percentages of change in that overall response is minimal.

- Q. I'd like you to turn to the next page which is Page 5, NSSF 5908, and specifically to the third to final paragraph.
  - A. Okay.

Q. Begins, 35 percent reported, referring to the survey respondents, 35 percent reported having either military or law enforcement background.

This is down from the 44 percent reported in 2010.

Although the veteran status has increased slightly,

Did I read that correctly?

the 2013 survey seemed to tap more into the

A. Correct, yes.

civilian MSR population.

- Q. What does it mean that the 2013 survey tapped more into the civilian MSR population?
- A. So going back, you know, ten years ago, to the best of my recollection one of the questions that we wanted to ask to get a better feel for who owned modern sporting rifles was did they have military background, did they have a law

enforcement background.

2.4

We felt that was very valuable to our NSSF members to know, for example, for marketing purposes or what not. They, you know, may be able to generate different ads specific to what the average consumer looks like.

So I think that's an important to ask -- a question to ask there to have that knowledge of who owns the modern sporting rifles at that snapshot in time.

And according to that response there, it looks like there was a sift in the marketplace a little bit. So, again, if you're a marketer, you might be able to use that for better marketing purposes.

Q. So I'm not going to have this formulated as a very clear question, so please bear with me as I try to articulate it. I guess I want to understand what this sentence is actually saying.

It sounds from your last answer that you interpret this to say that this is reflecting a change in the composition of who actually owns MSRs in the market, right?

A. I'm gonna read it myself, sorry.

So from my recollection of reading it now, what I assume, you know, that we were trying to get out was this was a point, because it is in the executive summary, that stood out as important to know.

So as you saw, there was a lot of information within this report, but the executive summary maybe points out some of the key things to take a look at in more detail as the readers review in the report.

Q. The contrast I'm trying to draw out in my question is whether this difference of 44 percent down to 35 percent as a reduction according to the findings of this survey, whether that reduction reflects, according to the findings, a change in the proportion of veteran MSR ownership or a change in survey response rates, in other words, a change in who happened to be answering the questions.

MR. LOTHSON: Objection, compound, confusing.

THE WITNESS: Okay. So I'll try to answer that
the best I can. So, again, with high confidence
levels, you know, both ways around, we kind of

Do you understand what I'm asking?

talked about how my -- I feel that it's a very

well-represented sample and so did Sports Marketing Surveys at the time.

So this particular data point, one of many within the report, stood out as we were writing this executive report, something to let the reader know about. So as I think about it now, if the report says in 2010, 44 percent of people responding had a military or law enforcement background and now three or four years later 35 percent of them do, that could tell me, okay, so the market has potentially shifted to more consumers, non-law enforcement veterans that now own these.

So if I were to, you know, look to where to market a product, I might say, okay, I'll focus now on the growing consumer, nonmilitary, non-law enforcement background as a potential way to advertise.

## BY MR. HAZINSKI:

Q. How would you know on the basis of these survey results whether the change from 44 percent to 35 percent was attributable to an actual change in market conditions as opposed to a change in survey response rates from certain groups?

Page 152

A. So, again, I believe with a strong confidence level in the 2010 and the 2013, it's very reasonable for myself or an NSSF member to use this data to make business decisions.

And, you know, what I get from reading that there is that it looks like over the course of between 2010 and 2013, more consumers were purchasing modern sporting rifle that did not have a law enforcement or military background.

- Q. Are you aware of any statistical weighting techniques that were used in the preparation of this report specifically with respect to the prevalence of firearm ownership among veterans?
- A. Again, going back ten years, I don't recall if we put any weighting on this. As I stated before, when we typically weight, we try to put it somewhere within the report.

So I don't see it, but it may be in here.

But if it's not in here, we most likely did not weight.

Q. At the time this report was finalized and sent to you by Sports Marketing Surveys, did you express any concerns about any of the methodologies that Sports Marketing Surveys had used?

- A. I don't recall doing that. I recall being pretty darn happy with the product we created, yeah.
- Q. Do you recall expressing any concerns about the accuracy of any of Sports Marketing Surveys findings?
  - A. Within this report?
  - Q. With respect to this report.
- A. I mean, there's so many -- you know, because it's so comprehensive, and let's say there was dozens of questions, there may have been something that I questioned and said, hey, you know, the trend data in 2010 to '13, that doesn't make sense. Let's look into that further. But I can't recall if that was the case in any of these.
- Q. After this 2013 report was finalized, did you ever express to anyone at Sports Marketing Surveys or alternatively to anyone at NSSF that methodologies for future consumer surveys should be modified?
- A. To the best of my recollection, at the time I would have been very happy with everything that happened in this. So there was probably no concerns about how we should make an immediate

2.4

Page 154 shift to a different methodology, you know. 1 2 And some of our vendors used different 3 methodologies, and, you know, everybody says their own methodology is the best. But, you know, we 4 5 wanted to use this particular methodology with this survey, and we were happy with the results. 6 7 MR. HAZINSKI: Okay. You can set this exhibit to the side for now, please. I will distribute 8 Exhibit 7. 9 (WHEREUPON, Curcuruto Deposition 10 11 Exhibit No. 7 was marked for identification.) 12 13 BY MR. HAZINSKI: 14 This is a document with the Bates range 15 NSSF 100 through 180, and this is a document called Modern Sporting Rifle Consumer Comprehensive 16 17 Report, correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 The last -- the very last page of this 20 document, NSSF 180, has a copyright of the year 21 2022. Do you see that? 22 A. Correct. 23 O. So I might call this the 2022 consumer 24 survey --

Page 155 Okay. 1 Α. 2 Q. -- in comparison to the 2013. 3 Do you understand when I say that? Α. Sure. 4 5 Have you seen this 2022 consumer survey Ο. report before? 6 7 I recall hearing -- so I believe one of the things I worked on prior to my departure was 8 9 the development of this, but I don't think it ever got in the field and certainly not the report 10 created when I was still there. 11 12 And I believe after I left NSSF, I 13 remember seeing a press release that the study was 14 out, but I was knee deep in, you know, getting Outdoor Stewards of Conservations off the ground. 15 So I never took a detailed look at this study 16 17 unfortunately. 18 Did NSSF ever issue any other versions of 19 its consumer survey report between the years 2013 20 and 2022? 21 Α. On the modern sporting rifle? 22 0. Right. 23 I don't believe so through Sports 24 Marketing Surveys. I know, you know, throughout

the years we had updated that chart on the number of MSRs and probably put out bits of information here and there.

But I believe when I was there it only had been conducted in '10 and '13, and then I recall doing it again to have that, oh, ten years has passed by, let's see where we are type of thing.

But it wasn't completed during my tenure there.

- Q. Do you know whether Sports Marketing Surveys also conducted this report?
- A. I believe so. I see, you know, potentially Page 2 or NSSF 101, it does have the Sports Marketing Surveys' information. So I'm assuming that that's who conducted it.
- Q. Were you responsible for the decision to bring them on to do the 2022 version of this report?
- A. I was responsible to bring them back to do a version -- were probably going to do it during 2020, but I -- from what I recall it was delayed most likely due to COVID. Because there was crazy buying at the time, and there was like -- it wouldn't have been like a true representation of what's going on in the market. Everybody's buying

everything during COVID, right? Guns couldn't be kept on the shelves.

So I think one of the reasons why it didn't go out in 2020 while I was there was because the market was kind of crazy, and it wouldn't have been a representative snapshot in my opinion. So we delayed it. And then of course, you know, after I left is when -- it looks like it went out in potentially July of '22. This looks like the stamp on the back page.

- Q. When you contacted Sports Marketing
  Surveys to conduct this updated survey, was it your
  intention for them to apply essentially the same
  methodology they used in the 2010 and 2013 reports?
- A. Right. And so ten years had passed.

  Methodologies changed slightly. But from my recollection, I wanted -- you know, we had discussions or at least from my recollection we had discussions about making sure the trend analysis could be true.

Like even if they changed the methodology a little bit, we wanted to make sure they tested that change between what they used to do and what they did do if they made a change. So that way the

Page 158 responses from '10 and '13 could be then used as 1 2 trend data from '22 or whatever the case was. 3 So that was very important to be able to have trend data that was reliable no matter the 4 5 methodology. So in the 2013 report -- well, let me ask 6 Q. 7 this with respect to a specific page. Could you turn to Page 10 of the report 8 which is NSSF 109? 9 10 Α. Okay. 11 Could you read this page to yourself and Ο. 12 just let me know when you're done? 13 Α. Okay. I'm done. 14 Based on this description of the 15 methodology, do you perceive any differences in the way this survey was conducted compared with the 16 17 survey underlying the 2013 report? 18 So the first thing that stands out to me 19 is it looks like, if my memory serves me right, 20 they didn't put it out there due to COVID, which is 21 good, and personnel changes.

the first sentence of the second paragraph, the

online survey covered various aspect of MSR

22

23

24

Second thing that stands out to me is in

Page 159 ownership behaviors and attitudes. 1 The NSSF 2 promoted survey via a partner email distribution 3 list. So I think that differs a little bit 4 5 about, you know, the methodology we had done ten years ago. And, again, methodologies change 6 7 over time. So I'm not saying there's anything wrong with this at all, but it is -- looks 8 9 different than what we had done ten years prior. 10 Okay. The bottom of this page has some 11 information that says, completed surveys 2,421. Below that it says, usable responses for analysis, 12 2,185. 13 14 Do you see that? 15 Α. Correct. That's significantly less than the number 16 Ο. 17 of usable responses for the 2013 report, correct? 18 Α. Correct. 19 This methodology states that the aim is to 20 provide the NSSF and manufacturer's insights on

Q. This methodology states that the aim is to provide the NSSF and manufacturer's insights on current consumer needs and the uses of MSRs as well as educate those influencing public policy in the effort to preserve our constitutional rights.

Do you see that there?

21

22

23

2.4

A. Correct. Yep.

- Q. The stated purpose of gathering information about consumer needs and uses of modern sporting rifles, was that also a purpose underlying the 2013 report?
- A. I don't believe that was the exact purpose of the other one. So you had said -- so the purpose -- okay. The first part of it, NSSF and manufacturer insights on current consumer needs and uses, that was a big function of us in 2013 as well as educate those influenced by public policy.

So that is different, you know, than what I had done in 2013 probably due to, you know, shift in the marketplace.

- O. So I want to drill down on that.
- A. But I -- I'm sorry. I've cut you off.

  But I did not have anything to do with writing of that.
- Q. Understood. And my question is really about the 2013 report. I just want to use this as a comparison point.

So the part where it says the aim is to, ellipses, educate those influencing public policy in the effort to improve our constitutional rights,

Page 161 was that also a purpose underlying the 2013 1 2 consumer survey report? 3 Α. Going back ten years, I know our primary purpose -- our main focus was to provide 4 information to NSSF members. 5 Now, are some NSSF members -- were some 6 7 NSSF members policy folks at the time? Probably. But my individual focus -- my personal focus was to 8 9 have that for our members. 10 Again, back then there wasn't as much 11 public policy that was being done at NSSF in 2013 12 that there's now being done there, so there may 13 just be an internal shift to that and maybe that's 14 why they added that. 15 MR. HAZINSKI: You can set this exhibit aside. 16 I'll give you the next exhibit which is 17 Number 8. 18 (WHEREUPON, Curcuruto Deposition 19 Exhibit No. 8 was marked for 20 identification.) 21 MR. HAZINSKI: This is a document titled 22 National Shooting Sports Foundation Modern Sporting 23 Rifle Online Study, for the record Bates range NSSF 2.4 181 through 197.

BY MR. HAZINSKI:

- Q. Now, you may not have seen this specific document before, but are you generally familiar with what type of document this is?
- A. Give me one minute to review it real quick. Sorry.
- Q. So have you had a chance to flip through it?
  - A. I have. Thank you.

So this type of document here is just a Word format of a survey questionnaire. I don't know if this is final or not. But sometimes with -- many times with surveys if you have an online link and you take -- a respondent takes the survey questionnaire, if they answer a certain question one way, they'll be redirected to one answer. If they answer a question another way, they'll be redirected to another way.

Having a paper survey is one way to easily track the survey questions. We call it logic where if you said yes to question one, you get question two. If you said no to question one, you get question three. Sometimes it's easier to look on paper than it is on . . .

Q. Does the final version of this type of document list all of the questions that could potentially be posed to survey respondents for purposes of consumer surveys?

A. So I wouldn't know about this particular document, but documents similar to this that I worked on had a lot of iterations. So much so that sometimes my client would get -- or my vendor would get mad at me for making changes. You know, like just pick the thing.

So, for example, when we had a final version, 7.6, Version 7.6, when it was final, that's what then went into the online survey questionnaire and then out to folks.

So I don't know again if this is the final, you know, version that they used for the '21, '22 survey.

Q. The first page of this document on NSSF 181 includes a few paragraphs of text at the beginning.

Is this text typically statements or information that is shared with survey respondents that they can see as they begin filling out the survey?

A. I'm just gonna take a look through it real quick.

Okay. So after taking a quick look at the introductory on Page 1, NSSF 181, it looks to me like this would be the introductory copy or text that a respondent would get or a potential respondent would get.

Sometimes we create a landing page that would -- you know, if you click on a banner, it would bring you to a landing page with entry text like this explaining what the survey was.

As time passed on and you have direct emails, somebody gets an email. They click on that email. This would be kind of like a landing page. It's the first thing they'd see before taking the survey to determine, okay, that's me. I'll take this. I have time. That type of thing.

Q. I would like to direct your attention to the second paragraph. The second sentence says, insights will be used to help educate those influencing public policy in the effort to preserve our constitutional rights.

Do you see that?

A. I do.

Q. Was that statement or any statement similar to that made to survey respondents for the 2013 consumer survey report?

A. To the best of my recollection, I do not believe so. Again, our focus back 2013, ten years ago, was to make sure our members could have this data and use it as they see fit.

Again, were there politically insight folks that used that data in 2013? Probably, but I don't recall ever putting anything specific in the language to our introduction to the 2013 survey.

- Q. From your time working at NSSF, do you have an understanding of how NSSF could use survey results to influence public policy?
- A. So just repeating that. Do I have an understanding of how NSSF would use survey results to influence public policy? So, again, to my recollection I never personally, you know, got involved in that, putting, you know, instructions on consumer surveys like that.

Anecdotally or just in my mind, so for example, here we are today, right? So potentially this data is now being used in a case to -- let me get the verbiage here -- to educate those

Page 166 influencing public policy, does that make sense? 1 2 Ο. From the perspective of ensuring that 3 surveys have a reliable methodology, do you have any concerns about including this or similar 4 5 language at the beginning of a survey? 6 MR. LOTHSON: I'll object just to the extent 7 he's not an NSSF 30(b)(6) witness. He's here to testify to his individual capacity. This is a 2021 8 9 survey. I think it's beyond the scope of this 10 deposition in his individual capacity. 11 MR. HAZINSKI: You can set this exhibit to the side. This will be Exhibit 9. 12 13 (WHEREUPON, Curcuruto Deposition 14 Exhibit No. 9 was marked for 15 identification.) 16 MR. HAZINSKI: For the record, Bates stamp NSSF 3967 through 3985. 17 18 BY MR. HAZINSKI: 19 Mr. Curcuruto, have you seen this document O. 20 before? 21 So I believe Mr. Vogts had sent me an 22 email with several NSSF emails like this, but I 23 assume that this is pretty much what was sent to me 2.4 in one of those emails.

- Q. This appears to be an email from someone named Adam Hile, H-I-L-E, to you from -- dated November 20, 2022, correct?
  - A. Correct.

- O. And who is Adam Hile?
- A. It looks like he's an employee of Sports Marketing Surveys USA. And I see that Keith was copied on this as well. I recall Keith being my primary contact, and I'm sure I had worked with Adam in some capacity as well.
- Q. Do you know what Adam Hile's role was at Sports Marketing Surveys?
- A. Off the top of my head I would have never been able to tell you, but just looking at the email I see his title is senior research analyst.
- Q. Do you have any recollection of what his involvement was in the process for creating the 2022 consumer survey report to the extent you were involved in that as well?
- A. So to the best of my recollection, what makes sense is Adam -- seems to be he would have been a programmer. I think as you in the attachment it says, NSSF survey V5. So we're already on V, Version, 5. And there was probably

Page 168 several other versions after this before it went to 1 2 the field. 3 Ο. So I want to direct your attention to the attachment to this document. It starts on the 4 5 second page, NSSF 3968. Do you see that? 6 7 Correct. Α. And this is the attachment to the email 8 Ο. 9 that was addressed to you, right? 10 Α. Correct. 11 And this attachment on the first page Ο. 12 includes language in the second paragraph that 13 says, insights will also be used to help educate 14 those influencing public policy in the effort to 15 improve our constitutional rights, correct? 16 Α. Second paragraph, last sentence? 17 Ο. Right. 18 Α. Correct. 19 Was it your typical practice at NSSF to 20 review drafts of documents like these prepared by 21 Sports Marketing Surveys? 22 It was, yeah. Certainly before a final Α.

Q. Do you recall telling anybody, whether at

23

2.4

document went out.

NSSF or Sports Marketing Surveys, about any concerns regarding the sentence, insights will also be used to help educate those influencing public policy in the effort to preserve our constitutional rights?

- A. Unfortunately, I don't have any recollection of that. It doesn't stand out as I sit here today as anything alarming. So I'm not sure I would have been alarmed if reading it during this Version 5.
- Q. As you sit here today, do you believe that telling survey respondents that their results might be used to influence firearm policies could affect the reliability of the survey results?
- A. I don't. I don't see how a respondent would answer a question if they own, you know, such as if they own a modern sporting rifle or, you know, how much they bought it for, I don't see how they would shift their responses because it says, you know, that sentence on there.
- Q. After reviewing this language did you take any additional steps to ensure that the inclusion of this language would not skew or bias the data?
  - A. After reviewing it, you know, back in

Page 170

November 2020, I knew it wasn't a complete version. So obviously I don't see any notes on it. It wasn't something that we had thought was an issue or to change.

Q. The language in this paragraph -- the organization of the language in this paragraph we've been discussing actually differs slightly in this version as compared with the final version of the -- I shouldn't say final. Let me strike that question and ask it a new way.

The organization of the language in the second paragraph on NSSF 3968 differs somewhat from the organization of the language in the second paragraph on NSSF 181, correct?

A. Yeah. For example, the second paragraph on 181, it says, insights will be used. And on that second sentence, second paragraph, on 3968, the results of the study will help.

So, yeah, there appears to be some differences. And I'm not sure. Is 181 Version 6? Version 5? Version 4? Are you aware of that? I'm not sure.

Q. Well, the footer on NSSF 3968 says
NSSF MSR 2020 survey, compared to the footer on

Page 171 1 NSSF 181, for example, says NSSF MSR 2021 survey, 2 right? 3 Α. Correct, which would indicate that it's a later version and potentially one -- most likely 4 5 one that I was not involved with, I believe. And comparing the second paragraph on each 6 7 of these pages in what seems to be the earlier version of this document, the sentence regarding 8 9 the effort to preserve our constitutional rights is 10 later, whereas on NSSF 181 it's put before the 11 following sentence about consumer wants, needs and uses, right? 12 Correct. On 968, insights will also be 13 14 used comes after the results of the study, whereas 15 on 181, insights will be used comes before the 16 results in the study. 17 Do you know who made the decision to Ο. switch that order? 18 19 I do not. Α. I want to direct your attention to Page 18 20 Ο. 21 of this exhibit, NSSF 3985. At the top of this page it says feedback for Jim to consider. 22 23 Do you see that? 24 Α. Correct.

Page 172 Jim is you? 1 Q. 2 Α. Yes. Yes, sir. 3 Ο. Do you know who wrote the feedback for you to consider? 4 5 Just give me one second to read it. So just after reviewing it, my assumption 6 7 is the feedback came from Adam or Keith from Sports Marketing Surveys. 8 9 I want to direct your attention to about 10 halfway down the page. Do you see where it says, 11 getting back to the self-defense issue? Correct. 12 Α. 13 I'll read there. Ο. 14 Getting back to the self-defense issue 15 concerning MSRs, here are a couple questions we would really like to see added. 16 17 And then there are some questions listed 18 below. Are you with me? 19 Α. Okay. 20 The first question asks, if you awoke to a Ο. 21 home invasion in the middle of the night, which 22 type of firearm would you prefer to have available 23 to effectively address the threat. 2.4 Did I read that correctly?

A. Correct.

Q. The third question says, what type of firearm do you most commonly keep available for home defense.

Did I read that correctly?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Do you see that there's a marginal comment on the right-hand side that says, will not be added?
- 10 | A. I do.

- Q. Do you know who wrote that comment?
- A. I'm not sure. And this is kind of -- none of this rings a bell because it's a couple years -- four years old. But I see in the comments it says, parentheses, AH 34. So AH seems to be the initials of Adam Hile. So I would assume Adam wrote that comment.
- Q. In the course of your typical practices at NSSF, would you have been involved in making the decisions about whether to incorporate this type of feedback?
- A. Yep. For surveys like this, you know, I did the heavy lifting on it. When I was at the point we were at, you know, Version 6, 7 or 8, we

Page 174

were near the final version that I was comfortable with and ready to go with, we would -- I would do an internal review among some people, potentially like Larry Keen would take a look at this or somebody on the relations team. Because these were starting to be used more in court cases, so I wanted to make sure we had everything covered correctly.

So, again, it appears that this version is not the completed version that went to field.

Seems like potentially changes were made by somebody other than myself before it went into the field.

- Q. Do you recall who made the decision not to include the three questions listed on this page?
- A. Unfortunately, I don't recall. It may have been me. It may have been, you know, somebody else that I showed it to that said we don't need that question.

One of the things that, you know, we were very aware of is survey fatigue. So, you know, back in 2013 you could get away with a 18 to 20-minute survey that took that long to respond to. Ten years later you want to be more like, you know,

8 to 10 or 15 max. And you want to shorten that because apparently people's attention spans have lowered over the past decade or so.

2.4

So that was one of the reasons why. You know, we would love to ask every question we could, but just due do time constraints, you know, a lot of times we cut those out.

- Q. As you sit here today, do you have an independent recollection that the reason these questions were not included in the survey was to avoid survey fatigue?
- A. As I sit here today, that is the primary reason that comes to me because in all the surveys we did, we cut just about every single one of them down. We always had more questions to ask than we had time for.

So that may have been a reason why some of these questions weren't added. And, again, I don't know if any of these questions made the final cut, you know, after I left there.

Q. So when I ask you if you have an independent recollection, what I specifically want to know is whether you have a specific memory associated with the decision to include or exclude

Page 176 these questions rather than more generalized 1 2 understanding of what you might have done or would 3 have done. So phrased slightly differently, do you 4 5 independently recall whether these questions were excluded from the survey specifically for the 6 7 reason of avoiding survey fatigue? Objection, asked and answered 8 MR. LOTHSON: 9 and to the extent there was a question at the very 10 end. If you have a different answer, go ahead. 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. So I don't specifically 12 recall why questions were kept out of the final 13 draft, if they were kept out. I'm not sure, to be 14 honest with you, if this question made it in the 15 final survey. BY MR. HAZINSKI: 16 17 In the middle of the page, the beginning Ο. 18 of the part that I read says, getting back to the 19 self-defense issue concerning MSRs. 20 Do you see that part? 21 Α. Correct. 22 What was the self-defense issue concerning 23 MSRs? 24 So I don't recall specifically four years Α.

Page 177

ago this sentence out of the many things that was going on. But just sitting here today looking at it, it makes sense that the self-defense issue concerning MSRs would have most likely been about people -- consumers using a modern sporting rifle for self-defense.

Kind of one of the things that we established in the 2010 and 2013 survey from my recollection was modern sporting rifles were used for a lot of different purposes from hunting big game, small game, varmint, to collecting, to target shooting, recreationally, competitive. And home defense I think was one of the primary reasons why people -- so it would make sense if that was a primary reason to kind of dig further into that topic.

- Q. Was NSSF interested -- as far as your own understanding, was NSSF interested in determining whether MSRs were commonly used for self-defense in the home?
- A. To the best of my recollection, I would think that NSSF would like to know as much as possible, as well as our members, what all the uses were for MSRs including home and self-protection.

- Q. Reading the first question listed on this sheet on NSSF 3985, and take a moment to review it if you like, do you believe that including this question would have provided NSSF with information about the -- about consumers' preferences for using modern sporting rifles for home defense?
- A. So I believe, you know, having this question asked in a survey -- and, again, I'm not sure if this one made it to the final version or not -- it would give another data point to NSSF members using the insights gain, as well as NSSF staff to use to learn more about modern sporting rifle owners.
- Q. Do you agree that survey responses to this question or a similar question might indicate whether MSRs are a preferred weapon for home defense in comparison to the other listed categories of weapons?
- A. Yeah. So the way I read it, you know, I have a marketing background as well as some research and sales. So, for example, if the majority of responses came in that the primary firearm that I would use in a home invasion and 2,000 people responded that it would be a shotgun

or an AR, you can develop marketing campaigns and say, hey, protect yourself in home defense.

2.4

And whether that person has a shotgun or has a modern sporting rifle, you're using insights gained to have better marketing campaigns to help relate to your customers.

So if a customer's using that product, you want to -- you don't want to have a marketing campaign that says, you know, use your modern sporting rifle for, you know, protecting your boat or something like that when nobody says they're protecting their boat with a modern sporting rifle, but they're protecting their home. You could have that in your marketing campaign, if that makes sense.

Q. Is the reason that NSSF decided to exclude the first and third questions on this page from its consumers survey that the answers might have suggested that modern sporting rifles are not in fact the weapon most -- the category of weapon most commonly preferred for home self-defense?

MR. LOTHSON: I'll object. Again, he's not a 30(b)(6). That deposition was a couple weeks ago, and he can answer in his own individual capacity.

THE WITNESS: Sure. So, again, I don't know if these questions were or weren't included, but being an insights guy I want to ask a thousand questions, right? I want to have as much data as I can so that way I can make a great decision later.

So if it was up to me, I'd ask a lot more questions than, you know, we were able to in the time, but we knew that we couldn't ask that many questions.

MR. HAZINSKI: Give me just a moment here.

THE WITNESS: Of course, yeah, yeah.

12 MR. HAZINSKI: All right. Thank you,

13 Mr. Curcuruto. I don't have any other questions

for you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. LOTHSON: I just have a couple.

EXAMINATION

17 BY MR. LOTHSON:

Q. Back to Exhibit -- the large exhibit of the spreadsheet, AB 23, NSSF 2351. I'm not sure what number that was. Exhibit 3, if you return to that, either seventh or eight page where there was a comment box discussed, keep going. That page right there.

It's a comment from you, Jim Curcuruto,

Page 181 wherein it talks about 75 percent of total MSRs 1 2 slash AK made in U.S., but not sure if all are AK. 3 Need to contact. 4 Do you see that? 5 Α. Correct. Okay. Did the 75 percent number come from 6 Q. 7 the manufacturer? Α. I think we discussed this earlier. The 8 9 process was, yeah, to get as many responses as 10 possible from the manufacturer. 11 So to the best of my recollection, you 12 know, I had saw the 90 and 45, wasn't sure of it. 13 Contacted the manufacturer, and they gave me the 14 75 percent, which I believe John -- Mr. John had 15 said was the 108,000. That's what's plugged in. forgot what number that was in. 16 17 AB 23, I believe. Ο. 18 So 75 percent of 144,000 was represented 19 to be 108,000; is that right? 20 Oh, is 108 -- again, I don't have a 21 calculator, but that would make sense if I had 22 144,000 total. I called up the manufacturer. They 23 said you can apply 75 percent to that. 144,000 24 times 75 percent is 108. If that's what's plugged

Page 182 in, so kind of corroborates I think what we talked 1 2 about earlier. 3 MR. LOTHSON: That's all the questions I have for now. 4 5 MR. HAZINSKI: Just a little follow-up on that. FURTHER EXAMINATION 6 7 BY MR. HAZINSKI: How do you know the 75 percent number came 8 9 from a manufacturer? You know, like we talked about earlier, to 10 11 the best of my recollection -- and I did this 12 report over a lot of years. Unfortunately, I haven't done it -- well, fortunately, I haven't 13 14 done it over the past several years. 15 But the method I used was, you know, either knowing the manufacturer and knowing that 16 17 their entire product mix was MSR, plugging that 18 number in. The second thing would be to check out 19 the website, and then third thing to contact them. 20 According to this note, it looked like I 21 need to contact them. And then 75 percent, high probability that the manufacturer told me that 22 23 that's the number. I wouldn't have made up 24 75 percent.

Page 183

Q. What you documented in this comment box, and for the record we're back at the comment for Cell AB 23. At the end you documented going to use 90K to be safe as no response from company, right?

A. Correct. And, you know, the way I read that when we talked about that before, it almost looks like, you know, that was a comment in there. And then the most recent comment, 75 percent are total.

So in my mind it would have been, okay, I didn't know. I found out it was 75 percent, plugged that note in. And then that's kind of shown by plugging in the 108 in the chart there.

I just wouldn't have plugged in a number that I didn't get from the manufacturer in the chart. We kind of referenced that before. If I didn't know it, I'd put a zero in.

Q. You used the phrase in your last answer, the most recent comment.

What did you mean by that?

A. So I'm going to read that entire comment. So the very first part of the comment seems like it would have -- you know, I might have made the comment, 75 percent of total MSRs made in U.S., but

Page 184 not sure if all are AK, need to contact 1 2 manufacturer. 3 So I would think the most recent portion of that is the 75 percent are total MSRs. Again, 4 when that comment was made 2016, I believe. Again, 5 from what I can recall, I'm not gonna plug in a 6 number unless the manufacturer told me that. 7 So as I'm sitting here today, I would say 8 9 the high probability the manufacturer gave me that 10 75 percent. 11 So your testimony is that because it Ο. 12 appears first in the comment, the 75 percent number 13 is the last information that you entered in the 14 comment box? 15 MR. LOTHSON: Objection, asked and answered. BY MR. HAZINSKI: 16 17 Just want to be clear. Ο. 18 So going back to my previous Sure. 19 response and to clarify, I wouldn't have plugged in any number without getting a solid response from 20 21 the manufacturer. 22 So as I'm sitting here today, the 108,000 that we plugged into AB 23 in my opinion would have 23

come directly from the manufacturer, and that's

24

that 75 percent that's in the comment box.

- Q. The second sentence or clause in this comment says, need to contact?
  - A. Correct.

- Q. When relatively to the other language in this comment was that written?
- A. Again, we're talking about, you know,

  2016. So to the best of my recollection, I would
  have made a note, need to contact. Contacted them,
  found out, put the 108 in. There's no other
  process that, you know, that existed. I need to
  contact, and then I put it without contacting them.
  I would never put in the 108. It just makes no
  sense.

As we discussed before, we want to make sure that we're putting out a product that is something that our members could use and also err on the side of caution. We don't want to inflate the number to have manufacturers, you know, think differently. We would rather have it err on the side of caution in most cases.

Q. The part of this comment that says, no response from company, is that accurate today?

MR. LOTHSON: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: So I wouldn't have wrote it if I didn't get a response. So to me that means in 2016 I saw the numbers. I wanted to clarify. I originally called them. I got no response from them. You know, originally made that comment, went back.

We didn't just say, oh, we tried once and we gave up. We kept trying and then finally heard from somebody that said 75 percent, 144 times 75 percent, that 108 which is the number that we plugged in.

## BY MR. HAZINSKI:

- Q. Are you aware of any documents or other records reflecting or supporting your claim that the 75 percent number came from the manufacturer directly?
  - A. I am not aware of any others, no.
- Q. So the only indication that that would be true would be based on your interpretation of what's recorded in this box; is that fair?
- A. On top of the comments also my process. I mean, I know my process. As you can see I did this report for many years, and the process, you know, is not a complex one. There's no way I would have

entered a number without having a very reliable response on it.

- Q. And part of that process involved, at least in some cases, looking at manufacturer websites to generate an estimate of what proportion of firearms that they offered for sale were in the category of modern sporting rifles, right?
  - A. Correct.

- Q. Why was that part of your process?
- A. So if I did not know a manufacturer's product line, I'd go to their website. If their website was a hundred percent AR-15s, what we defined at the time as modern sporting rifles, I could take that number and plug it into the chart.

If it wasn't, I would call the manufacturer and say, hey, you've got this number from the app or data. I see you've got a mix of product. Can you tell me what that percentage is?

When I heard from that manufacturer, I plug it in. If I didn't hear from them as we talked about before, I'd err on the side of caution and plug in that zero.

- Q. Just one moment, please.
- A. Of course.

Q. Is it your testimony that it was not your practice to examine manufacturer websites to arrive at initial estimates of what proportion of their rifles counted as MSRs to generate a preliminary estimate of MSR production?

MR. LOTHSON: Objection, he's testified on this four different times. His testimony is what it is. Asked and answered. And you can have him read back his prior answers if you want. He can go ahead and answer it again for a fifth time if you want to.

MR. HAZINSKI: We can go back to the first testimony or you can just answer.

THE WITNESS: If you want to restate that because you kind of lost me, I do apologize. It was a long question that took a second to get out, so I kind of lost it.

- BY MR. HAZINSKI:
- 18 Q. Strictly for my clarification.
- 19 A. Sure.

- Q. I realize this was a long time ago, and I'm asking you to recall details from an earlier period.
- 23 A. Sure.
- MR. LOTHSON: About something that happened

Page 189 1 ten years ago. 2 MR. HAZINSKI: I'd ask you just to keep it to 3 form. We're almost done. BY MR. HAZINSKI: 4 I understood your initial testimony on 5 0. this subject that you gave today to be that you 6 could examine manufacturer websites to determine 7 whether 100 hundred percent or something less than 8 9 100 percent of the rifles produced were in the 10 category of modern sporting rifles; is that 11 accurate? 12 Α. Correct. That was one of the criteria we 13 used to plug in some numbers into the chart. 14 Is it your testimony that if there were 15 fewer than 100 percent of modern sporting 16 rifles -- strike that question. 17 Is it your testimony that if fewer than 100 percent of the rifles produced by a given 18 19 manufacturer were not modern sporting rifles, that 20 you would not document that information in this 21 spreadsheet? 22 Objection, form, asked and MR. LOTHSON: 23 answered. 2.4 THE WITNESS: So --

Page 190 MR. LOTHSON: Confusing, compound. 1 2 THE WITNESS: I think I understand it. 3 that's not my testimony. So my testimony would be if I went to a website and not all products listed 4 are what I considered under our definition of a 5 modern sporting rifle, then I would contact that 6 manufacturer with a number from the AFMER. 7 I have 2300 as the number. Can you 8 9 tell me how many of those 2300 are what you consider modern sporting rifle under this 10 11 definition. They would say 2,000. I plug in 12 2,000. But I wouldn't plug in a number without, 13 you know, cooperation from a manufacturer if there 14 was a mix of products that were both traditional 15 and modern sporting rifle. MR. HAZINSKI: I have no further questions. 16 MR. LOTHSON: Nor do I at the moment. And we 17 18 will reserve signature. 19 MR. MAAG: I actually do have a couple 20 questions, very few, just to clarify some things. 21 EXAMINATION BY MR. MAAG: 22 Sir, when you say modern sporting rifles, 23 Ο. 2.4 does that mean anything other than an AR-15 variant

Page 191 or an AK-47 variant? 1 2 Α. Did you just join? I've been on for hours. I've just 3 Ο. No. been keeping my mouth shut? 4 You'd have to listen to all the previous 5 responses. We covered that pretty substantially. 6 7 Well, for instance, would an M1 carbine be Ο. a modern sporting rifle? 8 9 Α. I'm sorry. What was the question? Would an M1 carbine beam be a modern 10 Ο. 11 sporting rifle? 12 Α. I would have to do some research on that. 13 Would an Uzi variant be a modern sporting Ο. 14 rifle? 15 Α. I would have to do some research on that. All right. Well, the ultimate point of my 16 Q. 17 question is, there's probably lots of models of semi-automatic firearms that are not included in 18 19 the totals for modern sporting rifles; is that 20 accurate? 21 MR. HAZINSKI: Object to the form. 22 THE WITNESS: Go ahead and ask that again. 23 missing a little something that came through. 2.4

MR. MAAG:

2.4

- Q. Sure. Is it fair to say that there are probably numerous makes and models of firearms, rifles, semi-automatic rifles that are not included in the definition of quote, unquote, modern sporting rifles?
- A. So to the best of my recollection, using the definition of modern sporting rifle in we've been talking about today, semi-automatic rifle, AR, AK platform capable of a detachable magazine, one-pull of the triggers, one round exposed, you know, that -- there is a specific group of firearms that would fall under that category, and there are plenty of other semi-automatic rifles that do not fall under that definition.
- Q. And as you used the definition today, you're referring to the AR-15 and AK-47 platform as modern sporting rifles?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And none of the firearms you're referring to as a modern sporting rifles are machine guns as defined by federal law?
- A. So, you know, without looking up the federal law, in my opinion a machine gun -- the

Page 193 1 difference between a machine gun and a 2 semi-automatic, machine gun you can depress the 3 trigger and rounds will fire until the magazine is 4 empty or until you've release your pressure from 5 that. 6 So there are no machine guns counted in 7 the modern sporting rifle chart that we were talking about today. 8 9 MR. MAAG: I have no further questions. Thank 10 you very much. 11 MR. LOTHSON: That's it. We will reserve 12 signature and take a copy. He'll read it. 13 (WHEREUPON, the deposition 14 concluded at 3:18 p.m.) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

Page 194

I, RAELENE STAMM, Certified Shorthand
Reporter, licensed by the State of Illinois, do
hereby certify that heretofore, to-wit, on the
13th day of June, 2024, personally appeared before
me JIM CURCURUTO, a witness in a certain cause now
pending and undetermined in the United States
District Court, Southern District of Illinois,
wherein CALEB BARNETT, et al., are the Plaintiffs
and KWAME RAOUL, et al., are the Defendants.

I further certify that the said

JIM CURCURUTO was by me first duly sworn to testify
the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
truth in the cause aforesaid; that the testimony
then given by said witness was reported
stenographically by me in the presence of said
witness and afterwards reduced to typewriting by
Computer-Aided Transcription, and the foregoing is
a true and correct transcript of the testimony so
given by said witness as aforesaid.

I further certify that the signature to the foregoing deposition was not waived by counsel for the respective parties.

I further certify that the taking of this deposition was pursuant to Notice and that there

Page 195 were present at the deposition the attorneys hereinbefore mentioned. I further certify that I am not counsel for nor in any way related to the parties to this suit, nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF: I have hereunto set my hand this 5th day of June, 2024. CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 

```
Page 196
1
                              Veritext Legal Solutions
                                  1100 Superior Ave
 2
                                     Suite 1820
                          Cleveland, Ohio 44114
                                 Phone: 216-523-1313
 3
 4
      July 5, 2024
 5
      To: ANDREW A. LOTHSON
 6
      Case Name: Barnett, Caleb, Et Al. v. Raoul, Kwame, Et Al.
7
      Veritext Reference Number: 6751326
8
      Witness: Jim Curcuruto
                                     Deposition Date: 6/13/2024
9
10
      Dear Sir/Madam:
11
      Enclosed please find a deposition transcript. Please have the witness
12
      review the transcript and note any changes or corrections on the
13
      included errata sheet, indicating the page, line number, change, and
14
      the reason for the change. Have the witness' signature notarized and
15
      forward the completed page(s) back to us at the Production address
16
      shown
17
      above, or email to production-midwest@veritext.com.
18
      If the errata is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of
19
      this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived.
20
21
      Sincerely,
22
      Production Department
23
24
      NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA
```

		Page	197
1	DEPOSITION REVIEW		
	CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS		
2			
	ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 6751326		
3	CASE NAME: Barnett, Caleb, Et Al. v. Raoul,	Kwame,	Et Al.
	DATE OF DEPOSITION: 6/13/2024		
4	WITNESS' NAME: Jim Curcuruto		
5	In accordance with the Rules of Civil		
	Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of		
6	my testimony or it has been read to me.		
7	I have made no changes to the testimony		
	as transcribed by the court reporter.		
8			
9	Date Jim Curcuruto		
10	Sworn to and subscribed before me, a		
	Notary Public in and for the State and County,		
11	the referenced witness did personally appear		
	and acknowledge that:		
12			
	They have read the transcript;		
13	They signed the foregoing Sworn		
	Statement; and		
14	Their execution of this Statement is of		
	their free act and deed.		
15			
	I have affixed my name and official seal		
16			
	this, day of, 20		
17			
18	Notary Public		
19			
	Commission Expiration Date		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Veritext Legal Solutions 888-391-3376 www.veritext.com

	Page 198
1	DEPOSITION REVIEW
	CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS
2	
	ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 6751326
3	CASE NAME: Barnett, Caleb, Et Al. v. Raoul, Kwame, Et Al.
	DATE OF DEPOSITION: 6/13/2024
4	WITNESS' NAME: Jim Curcuruto
5	In accordance with the Rules of Civil
	Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of
6	my testimony or it has been read to me.
7	I have listed my changes on the attached
	Errata Sheet, listing page and line numbers as
8	well as the reason(s) for the change(s).
9	I request that these changes be entered
	as part of the record of my testimony.
10	
	I have executed the Errata Sheet, as well
11	as this Certificate, and request and authorize
	that both be appended to the transcript of my
12	testimony and be incorporated therein.
13	
	Date Jim Curcuruto
14	
	Sworn to and subscribed before me, a
15	Notary Public in and for the State and County,
	the referenced witness did personally appear
16	and acknowledge that:
17	They have read the transcript;
	They have listed all of their corrections
18	in the appended Errata Sheet;
	They signed the foregoing Sworn
19	Statement; and
	Their execution of this Statement is of
20	their free act and deed.
21	I have affixed my name and official seal
22	this day of, 20
23	
	Notary Public
24	
25	Commission Expiration Date

			Page 19
	E	RRATA SHEET	
V	ERITEXT L	EGAL SOLUTION	S MIDWEST
	ASSIGN	MENT NO: 6751	326
PAGE/LINE(	S) /	CHANGE	/REASON
Date		Jim Curcu	
	AND SWOR		E THIS
DIII 01			
	Notary		
	1.0001		

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

[**& - 2013**] Page 1

	105 10 10 10	450 110	400= 00=
&	185:13 186:10	<b>150</b> 11:9	<b>1995</b> 98:7
<b>&amp;</b> 2:3	<b>108,000</b> 69:6,8	<b>154</b> 5:10	116:22
0	69:10,11 77:1	<b>155,000</b> 78:21	<b>1997</b> 115:14
	77:2,11 78:17	<b>15s</b> 187:12	<b>1:00</b> 94:12
<b>0.29</b> 133:19	79:24 80:8	<b>161</b> 5:11	2
142:10 146:4	181:15,19	<b>166</b> 5:12	<b>2</b> 5:5 61:20,22
147:3,18	184:22	<b>17</b> 38:12	67:19 156:12
<b>0.5</b> 142:24	<b>109</b> 158:9	<b>17,000</b> 32:14	<b>2,000</b> 146:7
<b>0.68</b> 133:19	<b>10:00</b> 1:18	<b>17.1000</b> 32:13	'
142:11 147:19	<b>10:55</b> 38:10	<b>18</b> 16:4 40:17	178:24 190:11
<b>04</b> 98:12,12	<b>11</b> 14:21 20:21	112:19 117:7	190:12
084-004445	59:6,8	171:20 174:22	<b>2,185</b> 159:13
1:24	<b>1100</b> 196:1	<b>180</b> 4:5 154:15	<b>2,421</b> 159:11
1	<b>115</b> 2:18 5:8	154:20	<b>20</b> 38:24 57:8
<b>1</b> 5:4 38:15	<b>117</b> 5:9	<b>181</b> 161:24	112:19 117:8
62:3 102:6	<b>12:05</b> 94:2,10	163:19 164:4	167:3 174:23
103:22 112:17	<b>13</b> 121:3	170:14,16,20	197:16 198:22
	153:13 156:5	171:1,10,15	199:22
164:4	158:1	<b>182</b> 4:6	<b>20,000</b> 147:14
10 59:5,8 126:4	<b>13,000</b> 87:8	<b>1820</b> 196:2	<b>2004</b> 98:7
132:10,19	<b>13,340</b> 85:23	<b>19</b> 40:17 55:23	<b>2009</b> 23:8
156:5 158:1,8	87:2	117:8	37:16
175:1	<b>13,380</b> 86:19	<b>19,700,000</b> 57:5	<b>2010</b> 111:22
<b>10,000</b> 51:24,24	<b>13,400</b> 86:10	<b>19,797,000</b> 55:8	119:17 120:9
85:11,13 86:22		' '	120:11 121:1,9
87:3,8 100:23	13th 1:17 194:4	<b>19.7</b> 57:16	129:10,16
146:18 147:1	<b>1414,000</b> 75:21	58:12	137:17 148:12
<b>10/22</b> 61:2	<b>144</b> 186:9	190 4:7	151:7 152:2,7
<b>10/22s</b> 60:24	<b>144,000</b> 71:8	<b>197</b> 161:24	153:13 157:14
<b>100</b> 154:15	79:19 80:11	<b>1990</b> 41:3 42:3	177:8
189:8,9,15,18	181:18,22,23	55:12 59:1	<b>2011</b> 129:16
<b>101</b> 156:12	<b>15</b> 126:4	113:8 115:11	137:17
<b>108</b> 69:5 72:18	129:23 131:11	117:1	<b>2012</b> 20:16
72:22 78:22	132:9 175:1	<b>1993</b> 19:10	24:14
181:20,24	190:24 192:17	<b>1994</b> 115:13	<b>2013</b> 8:20 10:8
183:13 185:10			91:8 118:5,9
			71.0 110.0,7

[**2013 - 5**] Page 2

119:22 120:9	<b>2022</b> 154:21,23	<b>2351</b> 66:10	<b>34</b> 38:13 42:14
120:23 123:10	155:5,20	180:19	173:15
129:6,11 130:3	156:16 167:3	<b>23b</b> 77:11	<b>340</b> 86:10
131:3 137:23	167:18	<b>24</b> 80:15 82:3,5	<b>35</b> 148:9,10
148:14,18	<b>2023</b> 62:24	<b>25</b> 52:9,21	150:13 151:10
152:2,7 153:16	63:3,19 64:1,8	<b>26,719</b> 134:9	151:22
155:2,19	65:16 67:18	<b>29</b> 59:8	<b>38</b> 5:4
157:14 158:6	121:11 131:3	<b>2:15</b> 144:21	<b>3967</b> 166:17
158:17 159:17	<b>2024</b> 1:17	3	<b>3968</b> 168:5
160:5,10,13,20	194:4 195:8	<b>3</b> 5:6 66:3,5	170:12,17,23
161:1,11 165:3	196:4	98:4 180:20	<b>3985</b> 166:17
165:5,9,11	<b>209</b> 1:6	<b>3-21</b> 102:2	171:21 178:2
174:22 177:8	<b>21</b> 63:12	<b>30</b> 49:2 51:4	<b>3:18</b> 193:14
<b>2015</b> 20:16	101:21 102:3	52:2,10,21	<b>3:23</b> 1:6
<b>2016</b> 69:12	117:8 163:17	54:5,7,17,21	4
91:3 184:5	<b>21,000</b> 120:18	59:8 72:12,14	<b>4</b> 5:7 51:4
185:8 186:2	141:13 147:5,8	166:7 179:23	99:17,19
<b>2017</b> 16:4	<b>21,167</b> 96:17	<b>300</b> 105:6	132:23 170:21
<b>2018</b> 41:3,7	<b>21,942</b> 134:9	300,000,000	<b>40,000</b> 82:6,14
42:4 55:12	137:9 146:7	113:7,13	82:17 83:1
59:2 113:9	<b>2131</b> 195:12	146:20	85:7
<b>2020</b> 42:17,21	216-523-1313	<b>304</b> 58:24	<b>41</b> 64:9
43:4 63:19,24	196:3	60:19 117:14	<b>44</b> 148:12
64:1,1,3,3	<b>21st</b> 103:15	304,000,000	150:12 151:7
102:24 103:3	<b>22</b> 157:9 158:2	113:12	150.12 151.7
156:20 157:4	163:17	<b>312</b> 2:9,20	<b>44114</b> 196:2
170:1,24	<b>23</b> 40:22 43:9	<b>312</b> 2.9,20 <b>313</b> 103:1,23	<b>44114</b> 190.2 <b>45</b> 181:12
<b>2021</b> 14:3	69:4,11,23,24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
15:11 26:22	70:5,23 78:1	104:20 106:24 109:7 110:6	<b>47</b> 191:1 192:17
27:5 43:1,3	80:10 112:19		
64:4 99:23	112:19,20,23	320,000,000	5
100:16 101:18	180:19 181:17	146:16	<b>5</b> 5:8 22:5,13
101:20 103:5	183:3 184:23	<b>321-9100</b> 2:9	22:21 51:4
115:11 117:1	<b>2300</b> 190:8,9	<b>330</b> 1:16 2:6	105:1,5,7
166:8 171:1	·	<b>3300</b> 1:16 2:7	115:5,7 129:22

[5 - act] Page 3

140:20 142:23	198:3	8	181:17 183:3
144:6 148:6	<b>60</b> 104:7	<b>8</b> 5:11 161:17	184:23
167:24 169:10	<b>60603</b> 2:19	161:19 173:24	ability 7:24
170:21 196:4	<b>60611</b> 2:8	175:1	<b>able</b> 60:11,23
<b>5,000</b> 105:2,4	<b>61</b> 5:5 111:11	<b>814-8570</b> 2:20	84:4 149:4,14
105:18 140:21	<b>66</b> 5:6		158:3 167:14
<b>50</b> 37:5,11 97:5	<b>6751326</b> 196:7	9	180:7
101:14 110:4	197:2 198:2	<b>9</b> 5:12 166:12	<b>above</b> 196:17
<b>50,000</b> 105:18	199:2	166:14	absence 83:14
50,000,000	<b>68</b> 85:19	<b>90</b> 80:4 181:12	84:3
146:10	<b>69</b> 85:10,20,22	<b>90,000</b> 71:7	ac 69:23
<b>500</b> 134:22	7	74:4 75:20	accept 60:23
<b>500,000</b> 146:9	<b>7</b> 5:10 40:21	76:6,22 78:15	access 62:14
<b>54,000</b> 71:8		78:19,21 80:2	81:4
74:4 75:21	64:8,12 112:23 154:9,11	<b>90,116</b> 80:5	accessories
76:19 80:2	173:24	<b>90k</b> 71:9 75:24	135:21
<b>55</b> 102:8		183:4	accomplish
<b>56</b> 102:7,14	<b>7,000</b> 120:17	<b>94</b> 98:11,18	22:8
103:21	147:13	<b>95</b> 18:9 22:4,9	accordance
<b>57</b> 102:8	<b>7.6</b> 163:12,12	22:12,21 98:12	197:5 198:5
<b>5902</b> 118:7	<b>70</b> 73:13,20	104:24 105:5,7	accuracy 30:6
<b>5907</b> 132:23	86:16 104:7	142:12,23,24	30:24 116:14
142:7 144:24	<b>75</b> 71:2,21 72:8	144:6,24 146:4	153:5
<b>5908</b> 129:23	73:7,13 79:2	<b>963</b> 117:14	accurate 17:6
148:6	79:11 80:11	963,772,000	68:19 76:3
<b>5987</b> 118:7	97:5,12 181:1	117:2	90:12 97:1,2
<b>5th</b> 195:8	181:6,14,18,23	<b>968</b> 171:13	99:6 116:19,20
6	181:24 182:8 182:21,24	<b>99</b> 5:7 76:16	121:23 185:23
<b>6</b> 4:4 5:9	183:8,11,24	a	189:11 191:20
111:10 117:21	184:4,10,12	<b>a.m.</b> 1:18	accurately
117:23 166:7	185:1 186:9,10	<b>ab</b> 69:4,24 70:5	138:3 145:4
170:20 173:24	186:15	70:23 77:11	acknowledge
179:23	<b>797</b> 55:23	80:10,15 82:3	197:11 198:16
6/13/2024	33.23	82:5 85:10,19	<b>act</b> 14:13
196:8 197:3		85:22 180:19	197:14 198:20

# [acting - analysis]

Page 4

<b>acting</b> 20:23	administration	aggregated	111:24 129:18
<b>action</b> 85:23	19:13	50:9 55:6	130:4,7,14,19
86:9,14 90:19	admitted 9:9	<b>ago</b> 8:10,13	131:5 132:9,20
92:13,13	<b>ads</b> 133:14	48:24 65:24	181:2,2 184:1
actual 26:6	138:24 149:5	66:22 72:1	191:1 192:10
151:22	<b>advent</b> 132:18	80:24 82:2	192:17
actually 64:23	advertise	97:19 120:4	aks 38:3
67:1 83:15	151:18	128:15 134:18	<b>al</b> 1:4,7 194:8,9
101:24 108:21	<b>af</b> 66:13	140:2 143:2	196:6,6 197:3
113:15 129:11	<b>affect</b> 147:10	148:20 159:6	197:3 198:3,3
132:2 146:22	169:13	165:6 177:1	alarmed 169:9
149:19,22	<b>affixed</b> 197:15	179:23 188:20	alarming 169:8
170:7 190:19	198:21	189:1	alcohol 44:17
<b>adam</b> 3:9 167:2	<b>afmer</b> 34:3	<b>agree</b> 105:14	44:17
167:5,10,11,21	44:8,16 48:3,5	107:15,24	<b>aligns</b> 28:23
172:7 173:16	49:5,14,17,23	117:10 131:8	<b>allowed</b> 134:23
173:16	54:8,23 56:16	131:16,18	alothson 2:10
add 48:22 96:7	56:23 59:24	135:23 145:2	alternatively
113:24	64:18 67:3,21	146:6 178:14	153:18
<b>added</b> 16:8,8	67:22 68:12,23	agreements	<b>ammo</b> 101:1
70:10 75:20	69:14 72:19	13:1	ammunition
81:9 161:14	74:8 75:6	<b>ah</b> 173:15,15	12:17 13:7,8
172:16 173:9	76:17 79:21	<b>ahead</b> 108:7	13:10 15:3,6
175:18	80:4 82:12	112:21 121:19	23:14 37:5,11
addition 124:2	86:11 95:10	121:20 176:10	amount 67:2
126:13	113:20 190:7	188:9 191:22	84:19 111:20
additional	aforesaid	<b>ai</b> 66:11	119:6 125:12
117:4 169:22	194:13,19	<b>aided</b> 194:17	125:13 143:11
address 172:23	aftermarket	<b>aim</b> 159:19	analysis 15:15
196:15	114:1	160:22	17:6 21:15
addressed	agencies 15:23	<b>ak</b> 24:20 35:4,7	23:6 24:18
168:9	17:22 28:21	35:19 37:3	47:20 51:20
adjust 68:14	57:3,10 60:13	71:3,4 92:10	53:1,4 54:13
administer	aggregate	92:19,23 93:7	58:7,15 102:20
109:21	101:8 106:23	95:15 111:1,20	104:22 109:4

# [analysis - asked]

Page 5

	1		
157:19 159:12	188:8 189:23	184:12	111:18,19,20
analyst 167:15	answering	appended	111:24 112:7
analyze 54:23	52:20 150:18	198:11,18	129:18 130:3,7
analyzed	answers 22:11	<b>apple</b> 144:6	130:14,19
134:12	88:14 146:24	application	131:5,11 132:9
andrew 2:4	147:1 179:18	142:20	132:9,10,19,20
9:17 10:2	188:9	applied 60:4	179:1 187:12
196:5	anticipate	<b>apply</b> 49:11	190:24 192:9
anecdotally	120:23	57:23 86:15,18	192:17
165:21	anybody 11:1	91:19 157:13	<b>ar15</b> 140:1
anglers 11:19	26:14 28:22	181:23	141:8
11:22	41:17 101:10	applying 23:1	<b>ar15.com</b> 139:8
<b>annual</b> 30:20	114:10,14	appreciate	139:9,11,16,22
30:20 33:15	125:24 136:4	135:3	140:5 141:4
41:23 42:4	168:24	appropriate	<b>ar15</b> s 52:6
44:18 47:18	anyway 78:8	94:3	areas 32:8
72:4,17 91:14	anyways 24:16	approximate	argumentative
<b>answer</b> 7:7,12	apart 20:5	97:4	108:5
7:14 12:23	apologies 66:13	approximately	<b>arm</b> 12:7
22:12 29:6	69:20	15:9 16:4	<b>arms</b> 49:19
32:24 47:2,4	apologize 54:2	20:16 24:11,13	51:21
52:19 62:11	69:21 188:14	51:4 52:9,10	arrive 188:2
73:1,2,23 82:6	<b>app</b> 187:17	54:4 57:8 79:4	arrived 96:2
89:8 98:1	apparel 59:17	97:12 100:22	97:17
124:7 126:17	apparently 9:8	118:5	<b>ars</b> 96:16
147:23 149:20	71:14 72:12	approximation	articulate
150:21 162:15	86:7 175:2	97:17 114:18	149:18
162:17,17	appear 45:8	<b>ar</b> 24:20 30:2	<b>aside</b> 107:7
169:16 176:10	197:11 198:15	35:4,7,18 37:2	161:15
179:24 183:18	appearances	38:3 44:4	<b>asked</b> 23:4 30:8
179:24 183:18 188:10,12	appearances 2:1	38:3 44:4 50:13 83:10	<b>asked</b> 23:4 30:8 46:18 47:2,8
188:10,12	2:1	50:13 83:10	46:18 47:2,8
188:10,12 answered 54:2	2:1 <b>appeared</b> 194:4	50:13 83:10 90:20,21 92:10	46:18 47:2,8 57:19 58:10
188:10,12 answered 54:2 62:16 82:15	2:1 <b>appeared</b> 194:4 <b>appears</b> 78:15	50:13 83:10 90:20,21 92:10 92:15,18,23	46:18 47:2,8 57:19 58:10 59:13 60:15

[asked - back] Page 6

133:9 134:5	110:21 129:2	automatic	b
176:8 178:8	142:5 150:2	33:18 35:1,6	<b>b</b> 2:5 5:1 166:7
184:15 188:8	166:23 173:16	35:11,13,15	179:23
189:22	assuming 82:11	74:18 110:24	bachelor 19:10
asking 8:13	86:10,13	111:1 130:4,16	bachelor's
40:19 52:21	139:16 143:12	130:20 131:1,1	19:12,15
150:19 188:21	156:14	131:5,22	back 14:22
asks 172:20	assumption	191:18 192:4,9	33:12 38:9
aspect 24:17	47:15,21 56:5	192:14 193:2	43:8 48:4
29:16 101:17	58:1 76:6	available 8:13	60:17 67:10
158:24	172:6	13:19 30:11	70:4,15 73:15
aspects 21:9	atf 33:14 34:2	36:24 47:14	77:20 78:22
assault 14:13	44:8,16 64:18	83:12 113:20	79:9 80:3 82:1
98:19,20	75:12,18	113:24 114:22	82:11 86:3
assembling	attached 198:7	138:22 141:7	87:12 94:11
41:14	attachment	172:22 173:3	96:23 98:3
assess 127:16	167:23 168:4,8	<b>avant</b> 141:1	100:17 101:11
145:2	168:11	<b>ave</b> 196:1	101:19 102:1
assignment	attention 24:16	avenue 2:6	103:13 104:24
197:2 198:2	40:24 128:4	average 126:3	106:9 108:21
199:2	130:1 133:22	149:6	108:23 109:9
associate 40:2	164:18 168:3	avoid 7:2	110:13,21
81:5	171:20 172:9	175:11	111:22 118:4
associate's	175:2	avoiding 176:7	120:4,9,14
19:14	attitudes 159:1	aware 24:3	121:3 125:13
associated	attorney 2:15	34:18 89:12	131:11 132:4
22:16 145:3	6:9,10 9:7	92:7 104:19	134:17 137:22
175:24	attorneys 9:21	107:8,12	140:11,17
associates	10:24 94:17	110:10 152:10	144:20 148:20
109:21 119:4	195:1	170:21 174:21	152:14 156:18
association	attributable	186:13,17	157:10 161:3
20:8 23:13	151:22	<b>awoke</b> 172:20	161:10 165:5
47:7 100:20	audience 22:20		169:24 172:11
assume 7:7	authorize		172:14 174:22
27:14 71:15	198:11		176:18 180:18

[back - big] Page 7

	T		
183:2 184:18	120:10 128:10	19:14,22 20:6	<b>believed</b> 136:11
186:6 188:8,11	158:14 186:19	20:11 24:14	<b>bell</b> 2:3 173:13
196:15	baseline 35:5	26:22 27:4,16	<b>belonged</b> 57:9
background	basement	28:2,15 30:1	benefits 23:15
148:11,24	104:13	30:15,18,20	<b>best</b> 7:1 30:10
149:1 151:9,17	basic 21:1	33:13,16,20	59:22 68:7
152:9 178:20	basically 75:12	34:13 37:20	70:16 73:16
backwards	108:9 122:1	40:7 45:9	75:4 76:3,6
91:8	<b>basis</b> 17:9,16	46:21 52:21	77:12 79:18
<b>bad</b> 47:6 122:3	41:23 42:4	56:16 57:21	81:24 91:5
baird 3:3	47:18 50:10	61:9 63:10	95:9 97:3,8
ballpark 24:13	60:15 72:4,17	65:9 66:18	105:21 108:19
52:24 58:13	91:14 151:20	69:5 74:9,12	111:8 112:8
104:7	<b>bates</b> 38:12	75:8,10 80:10	119:15 121:4
<b>ban</b> 98:19,21	64:9 66:10	81:4 85:19	123:20 124:1
banahan 3:10	118:6 154:14	86:22 89:17	127:8,13
<b>banner</b> 133:14	161:23 166:16	100:9 101:10	128:14 133:11
138:24 164:9	<b>beam</b> 191:10	107:13 114:16	139:24 147:12
<b>bar</b> 59:2	<b>bear</b> 149:17	116:3 117:7,21	148:21 150:22
barnett 1:4	becoming	118:3 119:16	153:21 154:4
6:10 194:8	137:21	119:18 120:5,6	165:4 167:20
196:6 197:3	beginning	120:13 121:4,7	177:21 181:11
198:3	71:20 163:20	122:9 124:23	182:11 185:8
<b>barrel</b> 75:15	166:5 176:17	129:6,10	192:7
barriers 123:24	<b>begins</b> 148:9	130:17 132:17	<b>better</b> 23:18
bartozzi 27:16	<b>behalf</b> 2:12,23	136:22 138:12	25:15,15 31:24
<b>based</b> 25:12	13:19	140:1,3 145:17	32:6,9 123:7
28:11 29:14	behaviors	147:20 152:1	148:22 149:14
30:4 32:24	159:1	155:7,12,23	179:5
33:1 52:12	<b>belief</b> 147:24	156:4,11 160:6	beyond 117:5
73:10 76:13	believe 6:15	165:5 166:21	166:9
79:18 82:22	8:16,20,20 9:4	169:11 171:5	<b>bias</b> 169:23
86:24 88:24	9:17 10:19	178:3,7 181:14	<b>big</b> 28:3 74:16
100:21 113:6	14:3,10 15:16	181:17 184:5	101:5 140:16
118:17 120:1	18:5,17 19:2		160:10 177:10

# [bill - campaigns]

Page 8

			_
<b>bill</b> 12:11	185:1 186:20	<b>bulk</b> 75:10	<b>caliber</b> 37:5,11
<b>bit</b> 6:16 72:10	<b>boxes</b> 70:10	119:4 132:21	<b>call</b> 8:9,12 9:3
72:15 104:23	81:22	135:19	10:20 11:21
109:8 130:17	<b>brain</b> 45:3	bureau 44:17	19:3,5 23:24
130:21 132:4	<b>break</b> 7:17,19	<b>burke</b> 20:8,12	34:2 39:9 50:3
149:13 157:22	38:5,6 60:15	business 13:4	50:8 51:5
159:4	93:24 94:7,17	14:18 15:20	53:16 73:24
<b>bits</b> 156:2	144:15	18:3 19:10,13	76:10 77:5
<b>black</b> 93:7	breakdowns	20:3 23:18	82:6,15 86:19
blacks 81:11	60:2	31:6 110:8	87:7,8 89:7
<b>blank</b> 68:2	<b>brendan</b> 6:11	124:8 138:4,16	90:22 92:2
<b>blanks</b> 66:20	<b>brick</b> 104:15	147:16 152:4	93:23 104:13
68:1	<b>briefly</b> 10:13	<b>buy</b> 136:2,9	122:20 124:3
<b>block</b> 98:6,17	14:22 39:7	<b>buyer</b> 114:6	125:15 126:9
blocked 98:24	42:13 100:13	<b>buyers</b> 25:18	154:23 162:20
<b>blogs</b> 138:24	100:18	<b>buying</b> 156:22	187:15
blowers 75:11	<b>bring</b> 120:14	156:24	<b>called</b> 1:10 6:4
76:20	122:19 156:16	<b>buys</b> 138:15	12:2 14:12
<b>bmg</b> 37:5,11	156:18 164:10	c	16:9,10 18:23
<b>board</b> 144:3,5	<b>bringing</b> 67:10	<b>c</b> 8:6,6 71:8	24:21 53:22
<b>boat</b> 179:10,12	77:20 135:3	74:13,14	93:21 118:8
boats 8:15	<b>broad</b> 137:16	126:17,17,17	126:15 140:16
<b>bolt</b> 50:5 85:23	broader 135:9	126:17,17	154:15 181:22
86:9,14 90:19	<b>broadly</b> 109:17	ca 196:24	186:4
92:13	broken 34:4	calculate 22:16	calling 37:24
<b>bottom</b> 42:17	59:8	calculated 60:6	101:20 123:15
44:7 55:8	brought 24:16	143:7 146:5	<b>calls</b> 10:1 76:16
58:18 64:17	120:9 128:3	calculations	76:17 78:12
102:1 118:12	<b>buck</b> 122:1	124:21	79:7 91:22
159:10	<b>budgetary</b> 27:6	calculator	114:8 118:23
bought 56:8	<b>bug</b> 98:2	80:12 181:21	124:9,13
169:18	<b>build</b> 23:23	caleb 1:4 194:8	campaign
<b>box</b> 125:23	139:18	196:6 197:3	179:9,14
141:2 180:22	<b>building</b> 17:20	198:3	campaigns
183:1 184:14		170.3	179:1,5

[cans - charts] Page 9

	1	1	
cans 59:19 61:4	<b>catch</b> 17:15	census 143:22	149:22 150:15
capable 35:1	18:9	certain 98:17	150:16,17
110:24 192:10	categories	125:12,13	151:21,22,23
capacity 14:14	48:10,12 60:3	148:3 151:24	157:23,24
18:16 24:22	74:7,10 76:22	162:15 194:5	159:6 170:4
30:3 166:8,10	79:21 111:13	certainly 25:3	196:13,14
167:10 179:24	178:18	25:23 30:8	198:8 199:3
carbine 191:7	category 33:22	31:22 122:24	changed 33:7
191:10	34:14,16,17	125:10 127:24	65:3 157:16,21
<b>card</b> 134:22	36:10,17 52:7	131:12 135:11	changes 158:21
career 19:23	68:16,23 69:15	136:3 155:10	163:9 174:11
34:21 36:24	69:15 75:1,6	168:22	196:12 197:7
carolina 19:16	76:5 82:12	certificate	198:7,9
<b>case</b> 6:10 10:7	86:11 90:17	198:11	<b>chart</b> 10:16
14:4,9,12	92:21 112:10	certification	30:18 41:6,24
24:15 49:21	131:24 132:8	197:1 198:1	42:3,8 43:9
60:19 74:1	137:2 140:24	certified 1:14	45:4 47:23
75:8 78:6	179:20 187:7	194:1 195:13	49:15 51:2,17
84:16 86:7,23	189:10 192:13	<b>certify</b> 194:3,10	52:11 54:6
93:8 99:12	cause 194:5,13	194:20,23	58:18,19,23
121:17 123:3	caution 78:20	195:3	59:12 60:10
145:17 153:15	82:16 83:7	<b>cfo</b> 27:19	61:13 64:11
158:2 165:23	85:6 185:18,21	challenges	65:12,18 68:20
196:6 197:3	187:21	29:24	93:4 98:23
198:3	caveat 42:11	chambered	112:24 113:11
<b>cases</b> 14:1,6	61:16 80:1	37:5,11	113:17,19
21:7 24:8	<b>cell</b> 69:3,23	chance 39:5	114:17 117:5
29:23 30:5,7	70:5,23 73:7	40:12,15 41:16	156:1 183:13
30:23 31:14,15	78:6 80:8,15	42:22 82:18	183:16 187:14
31:19 90:2,11	80:20 82:3	94:14 107:4	189:13 193:7
95:22 96:13,14	83:18 84:2	126:11 134:21	<b>charts</b> 43:13
96:15 97:11,15	85:10 183:3	134:24 162:7	45:7,15 46:7
174:6 185:21	<b>cells</b> 80:22 96:9	change 16:6	46:15,16 55:7
187:4	98:6,10	30:23 34:9	59:2,4,7,24
		146:20 148:3	

# [check - companies]

Page 10

_			
<b>check</b> 25:21	184:19 186:3	collected	79:14 82:3,9
48:18 52:4	190:20	102:23	85:15,22 86:2
54:11 101:22	clarity 14:11	collecting	173:7,11,17
123:17 182:18	classes 19:20	177:11	180:22,24
checked 44:3	20:11	collection 88:9	183:1,2,7,8,19
91:22 124:18	clause 185:2	college 19:18	183:21,22,24
124:24 125:23	<b>clay</b> 39:18	19:23	184:5,12,14
checking	<b>clays</b> 39:18	colloquially	185:1,3,6,22
124:16	125:22,24	125:6	186:5
checks 110:1	127:5	<b>colon</b> 85:23	comments 70:8
chicago 1:16	<b>clear</b> 56:22	<b>color</b> 81:6,9,10	72:3 80:23
2:8,19	129:7 142:3	81:22	81:9 87:15
<b>chose</b> 136:1,9	149:17 184:17	<b>column</b> 49:7,12	173:14 186:21
<b>chris</b> 27:18	cleveland 196:2	49:18 68:2,7	commerce
christopher	<b>click</b> 164:9,13	69:4 78:5	108:14
2:16	<b>client</b> 13:9,10	columns 66:11	commission
christopher	13:15 122:21	70:18 74:10,21	44:22 197:19
2:21	163:8	<b>come</b> 32:19	198:25 199:25
<b>chunk</b> 27:1	clients 12:13,22	34:13 132:6	common 38:1
101:5	13:2,6	137:9 141:3	93:12 111:3
circle 14:22	<b>close</b> 78:23	181:6 184:24	commonality
<b>civil</b> 1:11 197:5	closely 20:20	<b>comes</b> 30:19	24:20
198:5	89:19	147:3 171:14	commonly
<b>civilian</b> 56:6,12	cobleskill 19:15	171:15 175:13	173:3 177:19
56:19 58:4	<b>coded</b> 81:9	comfortable	179:21
148:15,19	102:2	31:2 60:6	communicate
civilians 56:14	<b>codes</b> 49:10	86:18 119:9	40:6
60:13	coding 81:7	128:16 141:12	communicati
<b>claim</b> 186:14	<b>cold</b> 124:9,13	141:15 174:1	68:13
clarification	collaborated	<b>coming</b> 119:18	communities
8:11 105:12	88:4	<b>comment</b> 70:12	14:13
188:18	colleagues	70:17,21,23	companies 49:2
<b>clarify</b> 7:6 15:5	70:12	71:20 73:3	49:24 51:8,11
92:9,15 130:18	collect 89:14	74:3 75:20,23	52:2 54:5,7,17
131:3 136:15		76:2,9 78:13	72:11 74:16,21

# [companies - considered]

Page 11

84:12 119:6	completely	conditions 7:22	46:23 55:4
122:7	116:12	25:15 151:23	72:21 73:2
company 48:21	completeness	conduct 25:6	97:24 105:1
49:20 50:3	146:15	31:11 120:9	114:20,23
52:2,3 54:24	completes	157:12	147:14
56:17 71:12,15	140:18	conducted 15:1	confidential
72:18,20,24	complex 81:16	23:11 30:6	13:3 50:10
73:1 74:16,24	81:17 89:18	31:1,3 90:3	confidentiality
75:24 76:7,13	186:24	102:24 109:11	12:24
78:1,6,14,16	composition	114:21 119:16	confirm 55:2
79:22 82:10,12	149:22	120:8 125:3	82:14 124:20
84:5,11 85:5,5	compound	139:21 141:20	confused 37:7
86:5,19 183:4	52:14 146:11	156:5,10,14	83:21
185:23	150:20 190:1	158:16	confusing
compared	comprehensive	conducting	52:15 76:12
158:16 170:8	77:17 83:11,12	16:21 21:17	146:12 150:20
170:24	118:9 153:10	23:20 91:15	190:1
comparing	154:16	106:11 125:15	conglomerates
143:16 171:6	computer	conference 3:5	140:16
comparison	194:17	conferences	conservation
155:2 160:21	<b>concept</b> 142:20	144:5	11:12,24 12:19
178:17	concerned	confidence	12:20 13:13
compensated	110:2	22:5,9,16,21	14:17 28:19
11:4	concerning	31:20 105:9,11	conservations
competitive	14:12 172:15	105:16,24	155:15
177:12	176:19,22	106:5 133:18	consider 48:3
compile 47:9	177:4	133:19 142:8,9	76:22 96:6
complete 53:6	concerns 17:2,5	142:12,15,22	97:13 171:22
83:5 101:11	19:6 152:23	143:6,15,20,24	172:4 190:10
128:10 134:4	153:4,24 166:4	144:2,7,24	considered
140:21 170:1	169:2	145:3,10,16,19	33:21 48:16
completed 22:3	concluded	146:8 147:11	51:17 69:13
156:8 159:11	193:14	150:22 152:2	79:12 95:12
174:10 196:15	conclusion	confident 30:10	190:5
	113:6	31:22 45:10	

# [constitutional - corrections]

Page 12

constitutional	contact 48:20	contributions	67:22 68:11
159:23 160:24	50:16 51:7	11:21	69:1,12 70:6
164:22 168:15	53:12 71:4	<b>control</b> 109:24	71:1,11 74:6,9
169:4 171:9	72:24 167:9	conversations	75:2,22 77:10
constraints	181:3 182:19	26:4,5 92:22	78:4,11 79:8
175:6	182:21 184:1	convinced	79:23 80:10
consult 12:18	185:3,9,12	73:22	83:3 85:14
17:17	190:6	<b>cook</b> 8:20 10:7	86:1 88:2,3
consultation	contacted	24:15	90:5,16 91:5
46:10	18:24 157:11	<b>cool</b> 128:7	94:21 98:8
consulting 12:1	181:13 185:9	cooperation	100:1 103:24
12:14,22 14:18	contacting 51:1	190:13	107:23 111:15
14:23	107:16 185:12	coordination	111:19 113:5
consumer 15:7	contacts 50:3	81:22	113:11 115:11
15:8,19 16:22	107:11 119:23	copied 167:8	115:12 116:10
30:17,20 34:12	contest 134:8	<b>copies</b> 38:11	117:2,3,8,14
57:18 59:1	contested 30:7	<b>copy</b> 8:21	118:10,11
118:9 120:8	context 14:11	102:9 106:21	122:1 130:8
123:23 135:13	73:13 121:15	164:5 193:12	134:11,15
137:19 149:6	continue	copyright	137:4 142:14
151:16 153:19	122:23	42:16,17,24	142:17,21
154:16,23	continuing	154:20	148:17 154:17
155:5,19	20:6	corner 102:1	154:18,22
159:21 160:3,9	contract 21:4	correct 6:12	159:15,17,18
161:2 163:4	119:5	9:14 13:12,21	160:1 167:3,4
165:3,20	contracted	15:13 21:20	168:7,10,15,18
167:18 171:11	21:3,8 118:18	26:13 27:8	170:14 171:3
consumers	120:5,22 121:3	29:18,22 31:21	171:13,24
113:8,15	143:12	34:1,10,20	172:12 173:1
114:19 151:12	contracting	35:12 41:7,8	176:21 181:5
152:7 177:5	119:10	41:12 42:19	183:5 185:4
178:5 179:18	contrast 150:11	43:2,3,16,20	187:8 189:12
consuming	contributed	54:18,19 55:4	192:19 194:18
81:18	52:11 54:5	57:4 62:21,22	corrections
	84:4	64:16 65:14	196:12 198:17

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

# [correctly - data]

Page 13

correctly 52:20	country 56:9	create 40:8	166:19 180:13
117:21 122:8	county 8:20	43:12 47:23,24	180:24 194:5
124:22 130:6	10:7 24:15	59:12 63:16	194:11 196:8
134:4,10	197:10 198:15	164:8	197:4,9 198:4
142:13 148:16	couple 65:4	created 45:2	198:13 199:20
172:24 173:5	145:11 172:15	48:24 56:4	curiosity
174:8	173:13 179:23	58:21 61:18	115:20
correspond	180:15 190:19	63:21 66:21,24	current 23:17
45:7 55:13	course 38:6	67:12,15 68:3	55:18 159:21
68:9,15	62:7 72:14	133:20 153:2	160:9
corresponded	145:23 152:6	155:11	currently 11:10
74:8	157:7 173:18	creating 21:13	65:20
corresponding	180:11 187:24	42:8 45:1 51:2	customer
67:18 70:23	courses 20:15	51:17 63:8	122:12 124:1
78:1,4 82:3	coursework	72:17 91:1	customer's
83:18 85:16	20:1,18	119:22 167:17	179:7
98:7	court 1:1 6:22	creation 39:22	customers
corresponds	6:24 31:14	41:10	16:11 179:6
70:8 75:6	174:6 194:7	credit 122:4	<b>cut</b> 160:16
98:18	197:7	criteria 189:12	175:7,14,19
corroborates	courts 1:12	<b>crop</b> 104:10	<b>cuts</b> 27:3,4
182:1	31:10	108:10	<b>cv</b> 1:6
<b>cost</b> 140:21	<b>cover</b> 118:12	<b>cross</b> 55:1	d
<b>costs</b> 11:7	covered 158:24	cryptic 71:13	
39:17 135:21	174:7 191:6	csr 1:23	d 4:1 darn 153:2
counsel 10:22	covering 11:6	curcuruto 1:10	data 23:5 33:24
194:21 195:3	covers 46:1	4:3 5:3 6:3,8	39:11 41:7,14
<b>count</b> 36:10,14	<b>covid</b> 27:1	8:4 12:12	41:20 43:11
36:16 50:15	156:21 157:1	38:14,18 61:21	46:17,24 48:3
69:20	158:20	66:4,15 70:24	48:4 49:5,8,14
counted 79:4	<b>crazy</b> 156:21	82:5 85:22	49:17 51:16
135:24 136:12	157:5	87:21 99:18	
137:3 188:4	<b>cream</b> 104:10	115:6 117:22	52:11 54:5,8 54:23 55:6
193:6	108:10	144:23 154:10	54:23 55:6
		161:18 166:13	56:1,13,16,23
		101.16 100.13	57:14,17 59:16

# [data - described]

Page 14

59:16,23 60:4	195:8 197:16	173:4 176:19	29:8,9 196:22
61:7 63:6	198:22 199:22	176:22 177:3,6	departments
64:15 67:3,17	days 17:8 126:8	177:13,19	29:8 57:2
68:13,19,23	196:18	178:6,17 179:2	departure
69:14 74:8	<b>dear</b> 196:10	179:21	10:11 155:8
76:18 78:7	<b>deb</b> 27:17	<b>define</b> 17:10	depending
80:4 83:16	decade 175:3	92:21 93:1	147:21
84:2,5 88:17	<b>decide</b> 127:21	defined 34:24	deposed 6:12
89:14 90:11	decided 78:7	110:20 187:13	deposition 1:10
91:3 93:16,17	179:16	192:22	5:3 8:8,19,21
96:9 103:4,11	deciding 26:15	definition	9:16 10:6,18
108:24 111:13	decision 13:4	33:11 35:5,21	11:1 28:5,11
113:20 114:17	27:12,13 31:6	37:9 75:10	37:7 38:14
114:19 117:4	46:22 47:6	95:15 111:23	61:21 62:20
121:6,8,16	115:3 123:2,8	129:7,9 130:9	65:5 66:4 67:5
123:13 135:6,7	138:4 147:16	130:10,11,22	99:18 100:11
139:20 146:21	156:15 171:17	131:8,14,16,18	115:6,16 116:4
151:3 152:4	174:14 175:24	131:21,24	117:22 154:10
153:13 158:2,4	180:5	132:11 136:7	161:18 166:10
165:7,9,23	decisions 23:17	190:5,11 192:5	166:13 179:23
169:23 178:10	23:18 25:16	192:8,15,16	193:13 194:21
180:4 187:17	46:24 81:21	definitions	194:24 195:1
database	110:8 152:4	37:23	196:8,11 197:1
106:18 107:14	173:20	degree 20:3	197:3 198:1,3
108:19 138:22	<b>deed</b> 197:14	21:18	depositions
<b>date</b> 63:19,19	198:20	degrees 19:21	1:13 13:23
101:24 103:15	<b>deemed</b> 196:19	delayed 156:20	depress 193:2
127:11 196:8	<b>deep</b> 116:16	157:7	<b>depth</b> 102:20
197:3,9,19	155:14	<b>delete</b> 127:6	describe 13:15
198:3,13,25	defendant 1:8	<b>delve</b> 28:17	20:10 23:10
199:20,25	defendants	delved 58:5	47:22 100:18
<b>dated</b> 167:2	2:23 6:9 194:9	<b>delving</b> 135:14	122:15
<b>david</b> 3:7 9:8	<b>defense</b> 136:12	demands 34:13	described
<b>day</b> 1:17	136:15,22	department	43:19 60:17,20
140:17 194:4	172:11,14	16:16 28:18	139:6
		1	

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

# [description - document]

Page 15

description	developing	160:12 176:10	discuss 9:3
52:8 113:10	46:7 116:8	177:10 188:7	11:1 18:18,24
158:14	138:9	differentiated	88:17 139:22
designed	development	33:24	discussed 61:7
135:24	16:5 20:14	differently	68:13 79:9
detachable	103:19 155:9	45:16 176:4	95:14 96:20
35:2,6 58:24	<b>dianne</b> 16:1,14	185:20	140:1 180:22
60:23 111:1	17:15 18:6	differs 159:4	181:8 185:15
115:10 130:5	40:2 41:19	170:7,12	discussing 95:1
130:16,21	81:5,9 87:16	<b>dig</b> 177:15	96:10 170:7
131:6,23	89:6,13,18	<b>direct</b> 15:21,24	discussion 9:10
192:10	<b>differ</b> 28:14,15	40:24 58:17	24:7 36:7
<b>detail</b> 9:6 10:14	difference	63:2 69:3 98:3	112:12 116:4
18:20 58:5	28:16 32:15	130:1 133:22	discussions
100:14 112:13	65:7 150:12	144:4 164:12	77:12 157:18
116:19 129:19	193:1	164:18 168:3	157:19
130:17 150:9	differences	171:20 172:9	display 135:6
detailed 58:15	158:15 170:20	directed 15:19	disproportion
96:4 97:10	different 8:17	direction 26:20	107:17
155:16	29:7 31:14	directly 16:15	disproportion
details 188:21	33:3 37:24	77:8 79:21	108:2
determination	39:15,20 48:10	88:1 96:12	distribute
49:19 69:9	49:10 57:14	101:21 116:9	154:8
determine 58:7	60:3,20 64:15	184:24 186:16	distribution
109:4 135:19	67:24 68:10	director 6:11	159:2
164:16 189:7	80:22,22,23	11:11,14 15:15	district 1:1,2
determined	81:10 83:15	16:4 20:23	1:12 194:7,7
53:9 143:16	85:7 89:3,13	25:11	<b>doc</b> 30:19
determining	89:20 91:3	disaggregate	document 10:9
79:3 177:18	92:2 101:12	56:13	38:19,21 49:4
develop 37:21	121:2 130:10	discard 114:14	62:2,20 63:6
67:1 179:1	130:21 132:4	discarded	66:9,21,23
developed	143:19 145:11	114:6	67:6,7,13
20:18 37:22	146:7 149:5	disclaimer	69:17 70:2,4
65:22 84:19	154:1,2 159:9	131:14	85:17 99:15,22

# [document - engaged]

Page 16

100:3,4 115:5	<b>drafted</b> 133:5,5	<b>eat</b> 94:14	196:17
116:22 117:18	<b>drafts</b> 168:20	economics	emails 8:18
118:2 132:23	<b>draw</b> 150:11	25:19	10:10 164:13
137:4 141:17	<b>drill</b> 40:20	edition 63:3	166:22,24
154:14,15,20	160:15	99:23 100:16	employed 8:18
161:21 162:3,4	<b>due</b> 31:16	101:18 119:22	11:10 103:7
162:10 163:2,6	111:20 156:21	educate 159:22	121:1
163:18 166:19	158:20 160:13	160:11,23	employee 167:6
168:4,23 171:8	175:6	164:20 165:24	employment
189:20	dues 106:16	168:13 169:3	11:23 24:9
documentatio	<b>dug</b> 116:16	education 19:9	<b>empty</b> 193:4
77:15	<b>duly</b> 6:2,4	19:18 20:5,7	enclosed
documented	133:20 194:11	effectively	196:11
76:15 183:1,3	<b>dynata</b> 140:16	172:23	encompass
documents	e	<b>effort</b> 101:4	35:18 57:1
8:17,23,24 9:5	e 4:1 5:1 34:3	122:3 159:23	encompassed
10:6,18 21:14	108:14 110:11	160:24 164:21	38:2 131:22
44:13 115:15		168:14 169:4	encompassing
163:6 168:20	139:1,9,12 167:2	171:9	60:22
186:13	earlier 29:19	<b>efforts</b> 107:9	encourage
<b>doing</b> 17:16	43:19 48:9	<b>eight</b> 180:21	93:14
18:19 50:9	63:7 67:13	<b>either</b> 65:13	endeavors
60:18 81:23	68:12 72:10,12	69:14 86:11,17	16:21
111:21 122:18	95:1 103:10	87:11 130:3	<b>ended</b> 119:10
124:13 138:2	113:2 117:13	131:4 141:19	126:23 127:1,3
153:1 156:6	142:22 171:7	143:9 144:8	enforcement
<b>dollar</b> 101:14	181:8 182:2,10	145:15,16	56:15,19,20
101:14 143:23	188:21	148:11 180:21	57:3,9,21 58:1
dollars 17:21		182:16	58:2,3,8 60:13
dolnack 27:18	early 15:11 27:5	ellipses 160:23	61:1 148:11
<b>door</b> 89:20	easier 63:2	else's 45:20	149:1 151:8,12
<b>dozen</b> 141:10	162:23	<b>email</b> 10:12	151:17 152:9
<b>dozens</b> 153:11		159:2 164:13	engage 15:9
<b>draft</b> 176:13	easily 162:19 easy 46:16	164:14 166:22	engaged 107:9
		167:1,15 168:8	
	128:1,1		

[enjoy - exhibit] Page 17

<b>enjoy</b> 77:22	established	<b>et</b> 1:4,7 194:8,9	138:5 139:8
<b>ensure</b> 104:20	121:6 177:8	196:6,6 197:3	142:20 149:3
109:13 141:21	establishments	197:3 198:3,3	163:11 165:22
169:22	103:1	everybody	170:15 171:1
ensuring 166:2	estimate 50:17	103:17 154:3	178:21
entered 96:9	55:14,20,22	everybody's	examples 32:18
134:7 184:13	57:5,15 60:11	156:24	130:23
187:1 198:9	72:8,13 77:6	<b>evolve</b> 34:12,13	<b>excel</b> 21:13
entire 11:15	78:2,14 79:3	34:20	49:4 55:5 66:9
71:13 182:17	85:3 86:22	evolved 33:7	70:10,13 77:23
183:21 197:5	87:13 90:14	<b>exact</b> 38:21	exception 7:13
198:5	91:19 95:21,22	39:2 46:15	excised 17:21
entirely 126:2	99:5 117:1,12	59:20 66:18	excited 89:9
entitled 115:10	117:13 144:9	80:3 160:6	exclude 43:22
<b>entry</b> 164:10	145:4,24 187:5	exactly 53:3	112:7,9 131:9
equals 35:8	188:5	68:15 97:16	131:15,17,19
71:8	estimated 10:8	128:20 132:9	175:24 179:16
<b>err</b> 78:20 82:16	46:16 58:24	examination	excluded 176:6
83:7 85:6	72:12 147:19	1:11 4:2 6:6	exclusively
185:17,20	estimates 44:9	180:16 182:6	51:18
187:21	44:23 45:24	190:21	<b>excuse</b> 129:23
<b>errata</b> 196:13	46:9,12,14	examine 188:2	executed
196:18 198:7	64:22 65:1,8	189:7	198:10
198:10,18	65:10,20 68:15	examined 6:5	execution
199:1	77:7,16 78:8	example 12:8	197:14 198:19
error 22:5	80:3,5 88:5	22:1 32:8,10	executive 11:11
102:12 105:11	90:4 91:2	43:23 49:20	11:14 27:14,15
105:17 117:19	94:23 96:2	50:4 51:10	150:4,7 151:5
143:7 144:1	97:4 112:15	56:2,3,14 57:1	<b>exhibit</b> 5:4,5,6
145:1 147:18	188:3	57:17 68:2	5:7,8,9,10,11
especially	estimating 57:7	92:1 96:15	5:12 32:12
108:20	83:1	105:2 106:2,5	38:11,15 61:20
<b>esq</b> 3:3	estimation	112:7 125:17	61:22 62:3
essentially	78:21	125:22 133:17	64:13 66:5
157:13		136:11,21	67:19 98:4

[exhibit - figure] Page 18

99:19 102:10	explained	134:23	features 125:13
112:17 115:5,7	129:12,19	<b>fair</b> 7:8,20	126:24 135:20
117:21,23	130:17	13:11 21:16	february 14:4
154:7,9,11	explaining	23:9 31:17	102:24
161:15,16,19	164:11	34:17 37:8	federal 98:20
166:11,12,14	explanation	43:5 52:8	192:22,24
171:21 180:18	142:19	62:23 82:22	feedback
180:18,20	explanatory	84:7 91:4 96:8	171:22 172:3,7
exhibits 66:1	88:22	103:9 113:10	173:21
<b>exist</b> 139:11	<b>export</b> 39:11	114:7 116:7	<b>feel</b> 20:24 73:1
existed 104:3	44:18	120:10 125:9	114:20,22
185:11	exports 41:3	135:12 137:15	123:21 124:3
existence 104:5	42:5,7 49:13	186:20 192:2	141:15 148:22
existing 113:15	55:12	<b>fall</b> 192:13,15	150:24
122:20 125:1	<b>exposed</b> 192:11	familiar 34:15	<b>felt</b> 31:3 60:5,6
<b>expand</b> 119:6	<b>express</b> 17:1,4	37:4 39:1	97:24 104:9
expanded 38:3	152:23 153:17	40:12 43:11	108:18 119:8
expensive	expressed	58:19 100:2,5	120:10 141:11
114:12	147:17	122:11,20	147:14,17
experience	expressing 19:6	129:18 162:3	149:2
20:17 119:10	153:4	familiarity	female 25:18
147:4	expressly 7:14	20:18 142:16	<b>fewer</b> 189:15
experion	<b>extent</b> 32:23	<b>family</b> 18:10	189:17
140:17	33:6 166:6	<b>family's</b> 17:16	<b>ff1</b> 108:13
<b>expert</b> 13:19	167:18 176:9	<b>far</b> 37:12,13	<b>ffls</b> 104:12
expiration	externally 22:7	58:6 71:5	<b>field</b> 155:10
197:19 198:25	$\mathbf{f}$	83:17 100:4	168:2 174:10
199:25	<b>f</b> 34:3	109:9 117:12	174:13
explain 22:10	<b>fact</b> 48:18	136:23 177:17	<b>fielded</b> 101:20
25:8 29:3	82:24 84:4	fatigue 174:21	101:24 102:18
40:14 44:11	93:19 102:4	175:11 176:7	103:3 104:4
45:23 76:1	179:20	<b>fatohi</b> 10:7	fielding 111:7
82:9 86:2 93:3	factored 114:2	29:2	<b>fifth</b> 188:10
131:20	fail 125:14	feasible 134:9	figure 55:7
	126:14,20		58:8 69:8
	Veritext Leg	ral Solutions	

[figure - formal] Page 19

	1		
85:13 88:13	<b>finished</b> 36:1	25:18 37:14	51:22 104:15
<b>figures</b> 145:1	<b>fire</b> 35:2 193:3	44:16 45:2	107:13
<b>figuring</b> 61:6	firearm 10:14	48:23 67:13	<b>folks</b> 17:23
<b>fill</b> 25:6 54:14	13:8,9 33:15	80:15 93:5,5	21:2 61:15
73:1 79:15	35:11 39:10,11	98:5,11 115:18	93:13 104:13
83:5 84:21	44:18 56:20,21	119:2 120:18	106:16 121:11
113:21	58:2,4 60:22	130:2 137:17	133:20 145:18
<b>filled</b> 72:3 91:8	64:5 75:7,14	137:20 139:8	161:7 163:14
106:20 109:14	95:8 100:24	140:15 147:13	165:9
<b>filling</b> 70:21	101:2,6,7	158:18,23	<b>follow</b> 76:8
74:20 163:23	102:20 104:2,8	160:8 163:18	89:7 182:5
<b>final</b> 76:20	107:1 109:16	164:15 168:11	followed 139:2
103:14,18,18	112:17 116:24	172:20 178:1	following 53:24
103:20 142:7	129:14 130:11	179:17 183:22	69:22 171:11
146:4 148:7	130:13 139:1	184:12 188:11	follows 6:5
162:12 163:1	152:13 169:13	194:11	<b>footer</b> 170:23
163:11,12,16	172:22 173:3	firsthand	170:24
168:22 170:8,9	178:23	146:22 148:2	foregoing
174:1 175:19	firearms 12:17	<b>fishing</b> 140:19	194:17,21
176:12,15	12:21 13:5,14	<b>fit</b> 165:7	197:13 198:18
178:9	13:20 15:1,3,6	<b>five</b> 39:20	forgetting
finalized	23:14 24:21	48:11 51:7	48:13
103:12 152:21	29:24 44:18	57:13 59:2,9	<b>forgot</b> 181:16
153:16	48:7 75:18	60:3 74:9	<b>form</b> 29:4 37:1
finally 186:8	79:4 83:15	<b>flip</b> 42:13 85:15	50:20 52:14
financial 27:12	95:2,6 99:23	162:7	83:19 87:4
<b>find</b> 26:1 47:2	100:10,21	<b>florida</b> 118:18	90:12 99:4
53:17 57:24	108:16 111:18	fluctuation	108:5 109:18
59:18 84:13	135:13,16,17	147:2 148:1	114:8 120:2
96:14 196:11	187:6 191:18	<b>focus</b> 20:12	140:9 145:7,16
<b>finding</b> 133:13	192:3,12,20	151:15 161:4,8	146:11 185:24
findings 23:22	<b>fired</b> 35:9	161:8 165:5	189:3,22
116:15 150:14	<b>firm</b> 118:17	focused 12:19	191:21
150:15 153:6	<b>first</b> 6:4 12:15	20:9 29:2 35:7	<b>formal</b> 20:4,22
	15:14 24:6,15	36:5 37:2	26:3
	1	1	

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

[format - go] Page 20

format 66:9	198:20	gathering	102:17 117:20
162:11	freedom 26:19	88:17 103:11	133:7 140:23
formatted	<b>friend</b> 77:19	160:2	161:16 162:5
117:20	<b>friends</b> 108:15	geared 139:1	172:5 178:10
formula 22:19	<b>front</b> 66:19	general 2:15	180:10
58:12 105:4,22	<b>full</b> 8:2 75:14	6:10 10:22	<b>given</b> 53:19
106:9 143:10	106:21 142:7,9	13:15 34:22	78:2 95:11
formulas 22:18	function 70:12	59:11 72:6	96:11 132:1
formulated	160:10	73:10 105:14	189:18 194:14
149:16	<b>further</b> 4:6	114:4 125:12	194:19
fortunately	53:1,4,13	145:14	<b>gives</b> 142:8
182:13	54:13 98:2	generalized	<b>giving</b> 93:15
<b>forums</b> 139:22	131:21 133:24	176:1	108:23
140:1	134:3 142:18	generally 21:24	<b>go</b> 6:17 17:23
forward 31:15	153:14 177:15	23:10 47:22	26:19 27:5,10
32:17,23 91:9	182:6 190:16	58:19 135:10	27:13 32:23
112:3 128:8	193:9 194:10	141:22 162:3	36:20 37:1
196:15	194:20,23	generate 73:12	46:6 48:4,5,14
<b>found</b> 31:10	195:3	90:3 101:15	48:20 49:10
84:14 183:11	<b>future</b> 153:19	117:11 146:7	52:4 57:12,17
185:10	<b>fuzzy</b> 72:15	149:5 187:5	61:5 62:15
foundation	109:8	188:4	70:15 72:20
10:23 11:12	g	generated 79:3	78:23 84:11
14:20,21 15:21	<b>g</b> 2:16 3:7,8	135:7	86:5 90:17
42:18 102:22	110:11,11	<b>getting</b> 106:17	94:5,8 96:5
109:18 161:22	gain 178:11	110:2 124:8	101:2 106:9
<b>four</b> 19:2 40:10	gained 23:23	125:7 155:14	108:7 112:21
51:7 57:13	179:5	172:11,14	121:19,20
62:15 151:9	gaining 47:20	176:18 184:20	127:24 139:14
173:14 176:24	game 177:11,11	<b>gift</b> 134:22	140:15,22
188:7	garde 141:1	<b>give</b> 7:24 32:8	141:5 144:4
<b>fourth</b> 69:17,21	garner 106:12	50:17 51:10	157:4 174:2
frames 75:7	gathered 67:21	62:6 73:16	176:10 187:11
<b>free</b> 101:13	121:16	89:8 90:13	188:9,11
106:21 197:14	121.10	97:4,12 99:16	191:22

[goal - hazinski] Page 21

	I		
<b>goal</b> 81:19	69:1 70:20	<b>guess</b> 104:9	handguns
137:8	71:6 87:19	127:23 149:18	13:17 24:23
<b>going</b> 20:22	93:18 106:14	guessing 53:16	36:21 74:10
23:3 51:20	114:11,23	54:9	handled 88:8
52:15 54:22	120:6,6 122:2	<b>gun</b> 25:18	handles 28:21
60:17 71:8	122:13,13	34:15 127:5	<b>handy</b> 60:4
73:15 75:23	123:4 129:19	135:9 136:17	<b>happen</b> 99:13
76:8 79:9	136:22 139:17	192:24 193:1,2	happened
82:11 86:3	158:21	<b>guns</b> 13:17	99:14 150:18
94:6,11 101:19	<b>goods</b> 122:11	24:23 34:4,4	153:23 188:24
104:24 108:20	google 84:15	101:1 157:1	happening
109:9 110:13	144:6	192:22 193:6	99:14
110:21 115:4	<b>gotcha</b> 125:16	<b>guy</b> 77:18 92:9	happens 33:2
117:20 120:4	126:14 127:15	108:13 126:6,7	<b>happy</b> 47:6
121:3 125:12	128:4	180:3	89:9 110:5,6
127:12 131:11	government	<b>guys</b> 46:6	123:14 125:3
132:4 134:17	29:9,12	141:14 143:3	143:5 147:4
140:11 143:23	<b>grand</b> 116:24	h	153:2,22 154:6
148:20 149:16	<b>grant</b> 12:19,19	<b>h</b> 5:1 11:21	<b>harder</b> 105:23
152:14 156:19	13:12	26:24 110:11	<b>hate</b> 93:9
156:24 161:3	<b>graph</b> 45:4	167:2	<b>hats</b> 11:21
177:2 180:22	graphics 40:4	half 41:1 43:10	hazinski 2:17
183:3,21	88:10	73:18	4:4,6 6:7,9
184:18	<b>great</b> 180:5	halfway 172:10	9:12,19 29:13
<b>gonna</b> 11:6	grocery 59:18	hand 6:16	38:6,9,17 39:4
22:13 31:7	<b>ground</b> 6:17	33:18 66:2	50:22 52:17
46:21 57:12	155:15	116:8 173:8	61:19 62:1
75:2 76:20	<b>group</b> 20:12		66:1,7 71:17
106:3 141:3	93:13 141:6	195:8 <b>handful</b> 49:24	71:19 79:1,17
145:12,13	192:12	51:4 91:21	83:23 84:1
146:20 147:24	<b>groups</b> 11:18		87:14 94:2,6
149:24 164:1	107:10 151:24	handgun 25:19	94:11,13 99:15
184:6	<b>growing</b> 151:16	33:11,18,22	99:21 102:12
<b>good</b> 6:8 41:16	guarantee 61:2	34:14 48:10	102:13 105:13
42:22 60:2		74:17,18	106:10 109:2

## [hazinski - hypothetically]

Page 22

_	•		
110:9 114:15	50:23 88:4,14	highest 19:9	<b>hour</b> 1:18 10:4
115:4,9 117:16	89:6 109:21	31:9	11:9
118:1 131:7	118:24 119:11	highlight 81:1	<b>hourly</b> 11:7,8
137:12 141:18	123:7 133:14	highlighted	hours 191:3
144:17,20,22	138:16 139:18	80:16,21 85:11	house 21:17
145:21 147:6	164:20 168:13	98:6,10,12	<b>hr</b> 27:18
151:19 154:7	169:3 170:18	highlighting	<b>huge</b> 114:1
154:13 161:15	179:5	80:20 81:2,13	hundred 22:11
161:21 162:1	<b>helped</b> 31:11	85:12	22:12,14 45:9
166:11,16,18	61:15 67:1	hile 167:2,5	52:5 54:9
176:16 180:10	119:19 123:1	173:16	61:17 73:23
180:12 182:5,7	141:15	<b>hile's</b> 167:11	76:3 86:4
184:16 186:12	helping 40:8	hire 12:5	95:18 97:20
188:11,17	45:3	hiring 23:3	98:15 101:14
189:2,4 190:16	hereinbefore	124:23	106:2,7 143:23
191:21	195:2	history 119:9	143:24 187:12
<b>he'll</b> 193:12	heretofore	hoerner 3:9	189:8
<b>head</b> 106:8	194:3	<b>hold</b> 59:6	hundreds
167:13	hereunto 195:7	holding 35:1	40:17 72:2
heading 33:16	hey 50:8 86:19	110:24	<b>hunt</b> 39:17
41:1 43:15	88:12 89:8	<b>holes</b> 54:14	61:3
hear 18:10,21	122:21 124:10	83:10	hunters 11:19
37:14 79:13,13	125:17 126:23	<b>home</b> 172:21	11:22
79:14,15	128:19 153:12	173:4 177:12	hunting 12:6
187:20	179:2 187:16	177:20,24	24:2 26:23
<b>heard</b> 76:7	<b>hidden</b> 70:1	178:6,16,23	39:16 101:2
78:22 186:8	<b>high</b> 21:18 32:5	179:2,13,21	139:2 177:10
187:19	106:5 116:17	<b>honest</b> 176:14	hypothetical
hearing 155:7	120:11,20	<b>hope</b> 77:20	52:16 53:5
heavily 114:2	126:18 133:18	135:11	105:20 136:17
119:1 133:13	140:20 142:8	hopefully 53:5	137:6 145:8
heavy 21:12	150:22 182:21	107:3	hypothetically
40:1 173:23	184:9	<b>horn</b> 93:19	53:14,14 56:7
<b>help</b> 11:19 12:9	<b>higher</b> 105:23	host 139:22	136:8,14 146:6
23:23 25:14	117:12 146:15		

## [identification - information]

Page 23

	• 42.6	: 1 - 4 -	
i	imported 42:6	incomplete	industry 12:6,6
identification	49:11 55:11,24	52:16 105:19	12:18 13:20
5:2 38:16	imports 41:2	137:5 145:7	15:3,4,15
61:23 66:6	49:13	incorporate	23:14,16 25:14
99:20 115:8	impressed	173:20	39:9,15 44:8
117:24 154:12	120:16 141:14	incorporated	44:23 45:24
161:20 166:15	143:3 145:19	198:12	46:2,5,9,18
identified	improve 32:17	incorrect 72:13	59:22 62:24
111:9 144:14	160:24 168:15	increase 107:3	63:20,22 64:18
identifies 45:6	inaccurate	increased	64:22,24,24
identify 48:15	65:10	148:13	65:10 100:21
79:11 109:10	incentive	independent	102:21
110:22	106:20 126:12	73:5 128:23	inflate 185:18
identifying	incentivize	175:9,22	influence
45:13 92:18	107:3	independently	165:14,17
iirs 39:14,20,22	incident 140:20	176:5	169:13
ilag.gov 2:21	include 35:22	indicate 42:20	influenced
2:22	36:2,21 37:10	111:16 171:3	160:11
<b>illinois</b> 1:2,15	78:7 112:7	178:15	influencing
1:17 2:8,14,19	127:22 128:7	indicating	159:22 160:23
6:11 14:12,13	131:14 174:15	196:13	164:21 166:1
14:15 194:2,7	175:24	indication	168:14 169:3
imagine 26:8	included 30:19	102:17 186:18	<b>inform</b> 145:24
36:5	65:16 175:10	individual	<b>informal</b> 26:4,5
immediate 40:3	180:2 191:18	104:14 106:22	information
153:24	192:4 196:13	161:8 166:8,10	30:11 34:3
immediately	includes 60:24	179:24	41:6 44:15,20
27:23	117:4,8 163:19	individually	45:19 47:9,13
<b>import</b> 39:11	168:12	50:24 51:1,5	53:13,17,18
49:8	including 66:10	91:18	67:21 68:4,6
important	125:4 134:19	individuals	70:13 72:20
25:22 28:14	166:4 177:24	135:8 136:1	74:1 87:12
149:7 150:4	178:3	industries	91:16 92:3
	inclusion	59:17	93:16,21 95:5
158:3	169:22		96:14 99:3

## [information - john.hazinski]

Page 24

		1	
102:22 109:15	instant 99:9	interval 142:9	<b>issues</b> 32:18
122:16 123:12	institute 20:8	146:8	<b>it'll</b> 50:10 53:5
123:18 124:17	20:12	intricate 81:17	itc 44:8,22 48:4
124:19 135:2	instructed 7:14	<b>intro</b> 129:7	49:8 59:24
137:18 138:1,2	instructions	introduced	64:18
139:15 143:14	165:19	8:14 128:6	<b>item</b> 102:1
150:7 156:2,13	intelligence	introduction	items 75:10
159:11 160:3	39:15 62:24	129:12 165:11	iterations 39:3
161:5 163:22	63:20,22	introductory	64:5 163:7
178:4 184:13	intelligent 39:9	130:18 164:4,5	j
189:20	intention	invasion	james 2:5 8:4
informed 23:17	157:13	172:21 178:23	january 14:3
147:16	interest 25:24	involved 27:4	26:22 27:5
<b>initial</b> 113:22	124:14	39:24 40:8	43:3 63:12
134:5 188:3	interested 26:2	42:8 91:1	64:3
189:5	177:17,18	101:17,22	
initials 173:15	195:5	103:10,17,19	jargon 93:11 jim 1:10 4:3 6:3
ink 81:10	interests 28:24	119:22 125:5	8:15,16 9:13
insight 165:8	interfere 7:23	133:13 139:5	10:1,2 12:12
insights 12:2	internal 103:22	165:19 167:19	29:6 70:24
12:10 23:23	108:19 112:23	171:5 173:19	82:5 85:22
159:20 160:9	161:13 174:3	187:3	89:5 171:22
164:20 168:13	internally	involvement	172:1 180:24
169:2 170:16	21:11 22:7	88:7 115:21	194:5,11 196:8
171:13,15	36:6 101:15	167:17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
178:11 179:4	110:1	involving 29:23	197:4,9 198:4
180:3	international	<b>ish</b> 104:7	198:13 199:20
instance 12:11	44:21	<b>issue</b> 24:18	<b>job</b> 56:21
67:14 191:7	interpose 7:11	30:7,14 155:18	143:13
instances 53:22	interpret	170:3 172:11	<b>joe</b> 27:16
72:16 90:16	149:21	172:14 176:19	<b>john</b> 2:17 6:9
97:23 121:8	interpretation	176:22 177:3	17:18 27:18,18
122:24 124:5	186:19	issued 43:4	76:17 126:23
127:19,20	interrupt 9:7	55:19 113:16	181:14,14
	_		john.hazinski
			2:22

[join - know] Page 25

<b>join</b> 191:2	36:4 44:24	37:12,13 38:3	100:7 101:11
judgments	46:1 68:6 73:3	38:21 39:2	101:24 102:5
26:11	75:1 81:6 83:4	40:10,17 42:10	103:4,14 104:2
<b>july</b> 157:9	83:7 93:11	44:12,14 46:4	104:6,23 106:4
196:4	98:18 100:2,4	46:14,17,18,20	106:22 108:7
<b>june</b> 1:17 194:4	100:19 102:2	46:23 47:4,17	108:13 109:3,8
195:8	116:2 127:23	48:10,14,18,20	109:24 110:4
<b>jvogts</b> 2:11	132:18 134:15	48:24 49:2,19	110:19 111:3,7
k	141:15 142:18	50:4,9,9 51:22	112:5 113:21
keen 8:9,12	146:13 147:23	52:1,2,6,22	113:24 114:10
10:21,21,22,24	150:23 157:5	53:2,16,18,21	116:17 118:24
18:23 27:16	164:14 173:12	53:23 54:10,11	119:7,15,17
174:4	177:7,15 182:1	55:17 56:4,9	120:15,20
<b>keep</b> 13:2 16:11	183:12,16	56:10,16 57:4	121:4,12,14
26:6 32:6	188:14,16	58:6,14 59:16	122:1,5,9,21
77:14 173:3	<b>kinds</b> 13:15	60:2,7 61:3,5	123:2,6,24
180:22 189:2	knee 155:14	61:12,14,16	124:2,4,7,11,12
keeping 191:4	knew 47:16,17	62:17 65:19,21	124:14 125:1,2
keith 119:24	51:21 52:2	69:4 70:19	125:11,15
123:22 124:13	53:2 57:4 68:4	72:2,18,19	126:3,7,13,17
128:16 140:12	87:11 93:10,10	74:17 75:4,9	126:18,19,23
141:12 143:13	93:19 133:9	75:12 76:2,8	127:3,5,9,15,18
167:7,8 172:7	170:1 180:8	78:23,24 79:16	127:19 128:3,5
kelly 6:11	know 9:2,20	80:12,21 81:11	128:15 129:10
kenny 27:17	11:2 12:10,15	82:4 83:6	130:14,19
<b>kept</b> 16:8 157:2	19:24 20:22	84:10,11,14,20	131:20 132:4,5
176:12,13	23:24 25:13,14	85:4 86:5,5	132:7,8,12
186:8	26:16 27:21,23	89:5,7,9,10	133:4,13
kerry 3:10	28:17,21 29:6	91:9,10,12,21	135:16,20
key 28:15 81:6	30:2,5,16,17	91:23,24 92:1	137:8 138:7,11
150:8	31:3,10,13,16	92:9,11,12,14	138:12 140:4
<b>kid</b> 126:17	31:22 32:1,2	93:7 95:21,22	140:18 141:2
kids 18:10,21	33:12,17,21	96:21 97:2,9	141:19,24
<b>kind</b> 12:7 24:15	34:10,12 35:13	97:11,12,20	142:1,21 143:6
26:3,19 31:19	36:3,7,18,23,24	98:16,23 99:12	143:11,21

[know - licenses] Page 26

			•
144:4,5,13	192:12,23	185:5	learning 69:2
145:4,15 146:4	knowing	<b>lapse</b> 90:8	104:16
146:18 147:3,3	145:14 182:16	<b>lapsed</b> 16:12	<b>leave</b> 53:19
148:3,20 149:3	182:16	106:18	<b>left</b> 12:16 14:19
149:4 150:2,5	knowledge	<b>large</b> 14:14	18:2,22 19:7
150:23 151:6	23:5 44:24	27:1 100:23	21:2 26:18
151:14,20	46:1,3 48:15	147:9 180:18	27:22 41:19
152:5 153:9,13	51:22 53:2	<b>larger</b> 104:16	63:12 64:3
154:1,3,4	63:15 65:2,15	104:17 105:17	102:4 155:12
155:14,24,24	80:19 84:10	larry 8:9,12	157:8 175:20
156:9,11 157:7	105:22 110:13	10:20,21,22,24	<b>legal</b> 16:23
157:17 158:12	111:9 115:24	18:23 27:16	196:1 199:1
159:5 160:12	116:2,11,14	174:4	legislation
160:13 161:3	128:16 139:24	lasalle 2:18	28:16 29:3,15
162:12 163:5,9	147:12 149:8	lateral 16:13	legislative
163:15,16	knowledgeable	<b>latest</b> 18:11	16:23 28:1
164:9 165:18	46:5	<b>law</b> 14:12	<b>letter</b> 196:19
165:19 167:11	<b>known</b> 93:9	56:14,19,19	<b>level</b> 18:20 19:9
169:16,18,20	138:22 145:11	57:3,9,21,24	19:18 22:16,21
169:24 171:17	kwame 1:7	58:2,3,8 60:13	105:1,9,11,16
172:3 173:11	6:10 194:9	61:1 148:11,24	105:24 106:5
173:22,24	196:6 197:3	151:8,12,17	133:18,19
174:17,20,21	198:3	152:9 192:22	142:8,12,15,22
174:24 175:5,6	1	192:24	143:6,20 144:3
175:19,20,23	l 167:2	lawsuits 29:20	144:7,24 145:3
177:22 178:7	laid 66:19	29:23	145:10,16
178:19 179:9	135:22	<b>lawyer's</b> 32:14	147:11 152:2
179:10 180:1,7	<b>landing</b> 164:8	lawyers 7:10	levels 101:12
181:12 182:8	164:10,14	30:8	143:15 150:23
182:10,15	language 45:5	<b>lead</b> 17:18	<b>lever</b> 92:13
183:5,7,11,17	92:20,24 133:4	61:14	license 1:24
183:23 185:7	165:11 166:5	<b>learn</b> 89:12	licensed 1:15
185:11,19	168:12 169:21	178:12	194:2
186:5,22,23	169:23 170:5,6	learned 20:21	licenses 39:16
187:10 190:13	170:11,13	30:22	
	1,0,11,10		

[life - lot] Page 27

<b>life</b> 12:20 17:21	75:10 95:18	<b>longer</b> 34:15	126:22 129:15
28:20 136:10	139:8 172:17	94:4	129:21 130:19
lifting 40:1	174:15 178:1	look 38:20 39:5	167:14 177:2
173:23	178:17 190:4	40:4,13 44:1	187:4 192:23
<b>likely</b> 53:18	198:7,17	52:1 54:10	looks 34:14
81:8 87:7	<b>listen</b> 191:5	55:1 60:18	39:1 40:11
97:11 102:4	listing 198:7	62:6 63:4	61:17 63:4,12
106:16 107:17	listings 84:24	64:14 80:3	67:15,23 71:6
114:6 152:19	<b>lists</b> 107:10	82:3 83:15	72:13 82:11,17
156:21 171:4	literally 45:18	84:9,24 85:9	84:14 86:7,16
177:4	litigation 24:5	88:9 106:9	111:20 113:12
limited 84:18	24:8 25:3	111:10 112:16	130:7 149:6,12
limiting 135:6	28:16 29:16	112:22 115:18	152:6 157:8,9
line 48:5,5	<b>little</b> 54:15 70:2	116:18 128:2	158:19 159:8
51:21 52:5	72:10,15 76:12	129:22 150:9	164:4 167:6
54:11,23,23	90:6 93:18	151:14 153:14	183:7
65:6 71:17	101:15 104:23	155:16 162:23	<b>lose</b> 138:16
74:22 120:14	109:8 130:17	164:1,3 174:4	<b>losing</b> 47:20
138:9 187:11	130:21 132:3	looked 9:5	<b>lost</b> 188:14,16
196:13 198:7	141:1 149:13	40:11 46:4	<b>lot</b> 24:6 25:7,23
199:3	157:22 159:4	62:2 67:19,24	28:4 30:8
<b>lines</b> 9:4 18:12	182:5 191:23	79:10 100:15	31:23 37:23
19:4 92:2	<b>live</b> 146:16	112:12 116:18	46:3 52:15
96:24 107:5	<b>living</b> 143:4	139:17 145:10	66:20 67:15
<b>link</b> 162:14	<b>llc</b> 12:1,2,3	182:20	70:18,19 72:13
list 25:5,7,17	<b>llp</b> 2:3	looking 12:5	76:16 77:18
26:6,10 37:2	<b>logic</b> 162:20	36:20 37:1	79:10 80:21,22
48:22,22 49:4	<b>long</b> 10:3 13:17	53:7 54:16	80:23 84:20
51:3 54:4,7,21	24:23 25:5,17	63:1 64:12	93:10,20,20,22
70:7 125:19	26:10 34:4,4	68:4 76:12,17	95:23 104:12
139:2 141:10	72:1 80:24	77:23 78:16	116:17 118:23
159:3 163:2	88:7 89:4	81:6 82:2	123:12 128:8
<b>listed</b> 44:22	97:18 103:5	92:17 98:13,23	129:18 138:1
49:22 50:11	174:23 188:15	99:11 103:13	138:11 141:14
54:18 72:19	188:20	103:15 123:23	150:6 163:7
	1	I .	

## [lot - manufactured]

Page 28

175:6 177:10	m	60:9,23 61:13	85:2 88:9
180:6 182:12	<b>m</b> 34:3 71:8	65:12,18,20	90:18,20 92:2
<b>lothson</b> 2:4 4:5	74:13,14	111:1 112:24	98:16 109:15
9:18 29:4	<b>m1</b> 191:7,10	113:11,17	110:8 115:2
38:24 50:20	maag 3:8 4:7	114:11 115:10	122:5,8 123:2
52:14 71:16	190:19,22	117:1,5 130:5	123:7 124:21
78:9,12 79:6	192:1 193:9	130:16,21	125:8 129:19
83:19 87:4	machine	131:6,23	135:5 138:4
93:24 94:4	192:22,24	192:10 193:3	147:16 152:4
102:10 105:19	193:1,2,6	magazines	153:14,24
108:5,7 109:18	mad 31:7 163:9	14:14 29:24	157:22 165:6
114:8 130:24	madam 196:10	30:3 59:1,4,6	166:1 174:7
137:5 140:9	<b>made</b> 16:7	59:14 60:12	177:14 180:5
144:15 145:7	27:13 31:23	112:15 113:7	181:21 185:15
146:11 150:20	32:6 44:2	113:14 114:1,4	makers 88:15
166:6 176:8	46:22 47:6,10	114:18 117:12	<b>makes</b> 41:13
179:22 180:15	48:16 50:4	<b>main</b> 9:4 30:1	47:21 167:21
180:17 182:3	51:8 52:22	48:11 161:4	177:3 179:14
184:15 185:24	71:3 75:13	<b>major</b> 32:16	185:13 192:3
188:6,24	76:20 78:17	majority 16:22	<b>making</b> 32:12
189:22 190:1	87:23 97:6	43:24 49:22	50:11 70:15
190:17 193:11	98:1 99:8	52:24 53:9	81:19 121:22
196:5	104:8 127:4	56:5 88:8 89:5	127:13 138:14
lots 52:23	157:24 165:2	91:23 92:6	146:18 157:19
191:17	171:17 174:11	97:1,9 99:8	163:9 173:19
<b>love</b> 175:5	174:14 175:19	178:22	malfunctioned
low 78:23	176:14 178:9	<b>make</b> 6:17 7:15	114:12
140:20	181:2 182:23	23:18 25:15	managed 15:21
<b>lower</b> 105:24	183:23,24	26:11 27:3	15:24
lowered 175:3	184:5 185:9	31:6,8 32:22	management
luckily 127:9	186:5 197:7	33:4 40:4	19:11 20:7
lumped 50:6	magazine	46:24 47:3	119:3
<b>lunch</b> 94:7,14	10:16 24:22	48:17 49:18	manufactured
luxury 127:12	30:18 35:2,6	59:2 75:2	13:16 51:18,19
	58:17,23 59:7	79:14 81:20,23	52:12 114:5
	1		

## [manufacturer - member]

Page 29

manufacturer	54:5 56:24	123:3,16	mcnamara
13:10,11,14	57:1	124:19 125:8	17:18
44:2 48:6	march 102:3,24	128:5,12 133:6	mean 25:8
50:16 51:1	103:5,15	133:10,21	34:23 46:13
53:13 54:9	margin 22:5	134:18 140:13	68:1 72:5
56:17 68:8,10	105:10,17	141:20 144:5,9	84:17 93:4
69:11 77:6	143:7 144:1	145:18,22	124:9 129:5
90:17 96:4,16	145:1 147:18	149:3,14 151:1	137:24 141:13
96:22 138:5	marginal 173:7	152:22,24	148:18 153:9
160:9 181:7,10	mark 42:16	153:5,17	183:20 186:22
181:13,22	marked 38:15	155:24 156:9	190:24
182:9,16,22	61:22 66:5	156:13 157:11	meaning 14:1
183:15 184:2,7	99:19 112:17	167:7,12	43:19 132:15
184:9,21,24	112:23 115:7	168:21 169:1	means 15:5
186:15 187:4	117:23 154:11	172:8 178:20	22:10 44:11
187:16,19	161:19 166:14	179:1,5,8,14	71:12 76:1
188:2 189:7,19	market 15:6,7	marketplace	81:13 82:9
190:7,13	15:8 16:5,11	149:12 160:14	86:2 108:1
manufacturer's	23:17 25:19,19	markets 34:12	134:12 135:6
53:8 159:20	25:20 47:15,19	132:6	142:24 186:2
187:10	47:20 56:6,12	martin 2:3	meant 45:23
manufacturers	60:9 121:14	<b>match</b> 108:24	71:15 81:1,7
12:17,21 13:5	122:11 132:7	materials 84:20	media 29:8
13:7 15:2	132:18 135:13	<b>math</b> 21:14	40:5
36:19 47:10,13	149:23 151:11	mathematical	medical 7:22
49:22 51:16	151:15,23	124:20	medication
52:10 68:14,22	156:24 157:5	mathematically	7:23
77:16 89:23	marketer	87:1,6	meet 22:22
90:13 91:17	149:13	mathematics	meeting 10:3
92:4,7 93:3,15	marketing	124:24	<b>member</b> 23:15
95:2,5 96:2,11	118:13,16	matter 114:4	25:12 29:9,10
97:4,16 99:3	119:7,13,21	146:19 147:9	44:14 62:13
104:17 185:19	120:1,3,22	158:4	100:21 106:16
manufacturing	121:17 122:9	<b>max</b> 175:1	106:16 109:12
44:18 52:10	122:17,22		152:3

## [members - modern]

Page 30

_	_		G
members 23:16	100:9,10	<b>million</b> 50:11	missed 54:3
25:13,24 32:2	132:19 195:2	55:23,23 57:8	<b>missing</b> 191:23
40:6 46:19	merrick 20:7	57:17 58:12,24	mission 11:18
47:8 59:14	met 9:23 10:2	60:19 117:14	misspoke 131:2
60:7 81:20	<b>method</b> 107:15	143:23	misstates 50:20
84:20 100:23	182:15	<b>mind</b> 30:20	78:9 79:6
100:24 105:2	methodologies	32:19 58:11	83:20
107:8,18 108:2	43:12 152:23	90:6 119:18	mistake 87:23
108:3,10,21,22	153:19 154:3	141:5 165:21	misunderstan
108:23,24	157:16 159:6	183:10	129:20
109:10 110:3,4	methodology	minimal 148:4	mix 72:23
111:5 121:13	47:23 59:11	minimum 22:2	79:12 86:20
123:7 138:3,10	63:15 89:14,15	22:6 23:2	97:22 182:17
138:16 139:20	113:3,6,19	142:22	187:17 190:14
147:15 149:3	116:1,3,8,19	minus 42:5	<b>model</b> 96:5
161:5,6,7,9	117:11 120:3,6	133:18 142:10	models 95:8
165:6 177:23	121:1,5,24	142:11	96:6 191:17
178:11 185:17	122:14 125:4	minute 62:6	192:3
membership	133:2,10 142:4	126:7 133:7	moderation
21:13 25:4,9	154:1,4,5	162:5 174:23	20:12
26:1 30:11	157:14,21	minutes 38:24	<b>modern</b> 10:14
31:4 101:5,9	158:5,15 159:5	94:12 126:4,4	24:21 25:20,22
101:12 104:7,9	159:19 166:3	144:21	30:2,17 34:22
107:21 123:9	methods 20:19	misc 71:8 74:4	34:24 35:13,14
memories	89:1,2 119:9	74:7,12 75:5	35:15,17,22
67:10 77:21	microsoft	miscellaneous	36:10,17 37:9
<b>memory</b> 158:19	144:6	48:11 69:15	37:14 38:2
175:23	<b>middle</b> 133:23	74:14,15 75:1	41:1 42:5,6
memory's	172:21 176:17	75:6,9,11 76:5	43:16,22 44:2
70:19	midwest	76:19 82:13	47:10 48:16,17
<b>mention</b> 110:14	196:17 199:1	86:12	49:2,16,20
mentioned 9:13	military 56:18	misconstrue	50:1,6,18 51:9
10:5,20 29:19	56:24 57:6	92:16	51:18,23 52:7
46:2 48:9	148:11,24	misinformation	52:13,22 53:9
51:13 67:24	151:8 152:9	31:5	53:11 54:24

## [modern - needs]

Page 31

_			
55:3,11,14	187:7,13	147:22 148:15	narrowed
56:2 57:18,19	189:10,15,19	148:19 150:16	134:8
58:11 60:21	190:6,10,15,23	158:24 170:24	national 10:22
67:2 68:16,21	191:8,10,13,19	171:1 182:17	14:19,20 15:20
69:11,13 73:21	192:5,8,18,21	188:5	39:19 42:17
76:22 79:12	193:7	msrs 44:4	102:21 161:22
82:19 83:2	modified	54:12,13 55:18	nationwide
86:9,17,21	153:20	55:20,23 68:24	110:3 118:17
87:9 90:4,14	<b>mom</b> 104:12	72:22 73:19	141:6,8
90:23 92:5,7	moment 66:2	79:5 85:3	nature 13:2
92:10,21,24	117:17 178:2	91:17 95:3,7,8	14:24 17:19
93:6,11 94:23	180:10 187:23	97:6 99:5,10	19:24 21:17
95:12,16,19	190:17	130:3,9 131:4	66:23
96:6 97:13	moments 82:2	131:16,19	<b>near</b> 174:1
109:5 110:19	<b>money</b> 46:22	135:8 136:10	nearest 80:6
110:22 111:8	138:11,14	137:3 149:22	necessarily
111:14,16,24	<b>month</b> 8:10,13	156:2 159:21	103:11
112:3 118:8	65:23	172:15 176:19	necessary 90:1
123:8 125:18	morning 6:8	176:23 177:4	need 22:22
125:21,23	<b>mortar</b> 104:15	177:19,24	38:22 71:4
129:5,12,17	<b>mouth</b> 191:4	178:16 181:1	75:15,16,16
130:15 132:15	<b>moved</b> 28:2	183:24 184:4	94:1 98:2
132:19 133:15	31:15	188:4	105:6,16 106:4
134:6 135:15	<b>moving</b> 32:17	multiple 91:3	106:6 140:13
136:2,4,6,20	112:3 128:8	n	140:18 145:4
137:10,15,18	msr 50:13 58:8	n 4:1 22:22	146:14 174:18
138:9 139:15	71:3 77:8,15	110:11	181:3 182:21
140:6,23	78:2 88:5 99:3	name 6:8 8:2,5	184:1 185:3,9
144:10 148:23	109:11 131:8	27:19 68:8	185:11
149:9 152:8	131:21 134:7	106:15 139:16	needed 12:8
154:16 155:21	134:14 135:7	196:6 197:3,4	25:6 62:15
160:3 161:22	137:2 138:22	197:15 198:3,4	95:14 96:14
169:17 177:5,9	139:18,22	198:21	needs 159:21
178:6,12 179:4	141:23 142:9	<b>named</b> 167:2	160:3,9 171:11
179:9,12,19	146:1,9 147:10		

[neil - number] Page 32

neil 119:24	<b>notary</b> 196:24	41:10,17 42:14	155:18 156:12
123:22 140:12	197:10,18	43:1,9,12 47:8	158:9 159:1,20
141:12 143:13	198:15,23	58:6,23 62:12	160:8 161:5,6
neil's 128:16	199:23	62:13,16 63:14	161:7,11,23
<b>nerdy</b> 89:10	<b>note</b> 82:5 118:6	64:9 65:19	163:19 164:4
<b>never</b> 46:18	182:20 183:12	66:10,21 70:12	165:12,13,16
59:15 89:11	185:9 196:12	91:12 93:6	166:7,17,22
125:21 127:9	<b>noted</b> 73:7	99:23 100:7,19	167:23 168:5
127:11 155:16	<b>notes</b> 76:11	100:20 102:7	168:19 169:1
165:18 167:13	81:21 170:2	103:7 105:2	170:12,14,23
185:13	notice 65:6	106:12,15	170:24 171:1,1
<b>new</b> 11:19	122:21 194:24	107:9,18,20	171:10,21
16:10 34:13	<b>noticed</b> 120:18	108:2,3 109:3	173:19 177:17
46:17 93:7	november	109:10,12,13	177:18,22
132:6,7,8,18	167:3 170:1	110:1,3 111:4	178:2,4,10,11
170:10	<b>nssf</b> 3:3 8:9,18	111:11 112:23	179:16 180:19
newsletter	8:22 10:11	113:17 115:13	<b>nssf's</b> 16:21
139:9,12,12	11:6 12:16	115:18 116:22	24:17 25:1
newsletters	15:14 16:2,13	117:11 118:7	55:14,17,20
139:1	16:17 17:1,3,4	118:19 119:2	107:8 112:15
<b>nice</b> 26:19	17:9,11,17	119:14 121:13	125:11
<b>night</b> 172:21	18:3,14,22	121:15,22	number 5:2
<b>nine</b> 106:4,7	19:5 20:24	122:17 124:6	29:20 32:13
<b>ninth</b> 85:16	21:4,8,16 23:1	124:11,14,20	42:4 44:5
<b>non</b> 137:2	23:4,11,13,20	125:6 128:13	46:15 47:18
151:12,17	24:3 26:15,21	129:23,23	50:6,11 51:23
nonmilitary	26:24 27:9	131:21 132:14	53:10 55:2,2,5
151:16	29:8 31:11	132:23 133:5	55:9,13,16,17
nonprofit	33:1,8,12	133:10 138:3	55:18,20,23
11:16	34:11,19,24	138:16,18,23	57:8 58:13
<b>north</b> 1:16 2:6	35:20,24 36:1	141:20 142:7	59:3,20 60:5
19:16	36:15,24 37:10	144:9,24 148:6	61:20 66:3
notarized	37:17,18,20,21	149:2 152:3	67:2 69:10
196:14	37:22 38:12	153:18 154:15	72:11,18 73:7
	39:12,21 40:22	154:20 155:12	73:13 75:2
			1

[number - open] Page 33

77:13 78:18	77:22 79:16,20	88:12 107:2	134:2,20
80:7 82:7,13	89:10 94:24	<b>odd</b> 102:11	136:14,19
83:18,21 84:15	96:11,20 97:10	offered 53:8	140:19 145:12
85:3,7 86:11	102:11 117:11	187:6	148:8 150:21
86:18 87:2,3	125:7 144:13	office 2:15	151:10,15
88:8 90:21,22	147:20 186:3	<b>officer</b> 56:20	154:7 155:1
95:2,6,10,11,17	189:13 198:7	offices 57:2	158:10,13
95:20 96:7,7	numerous	89:19	159:10 160:8
96:17,17 97:7	192:3	official 197:15	164:3,16
99:5,17 102:1	0	198:21	172:19 176:11
102:14 104:8	o 8:6 26:24	<b>oh</b> 8:10 85:21	181:6 183:10
104:11 105:6	oath 6:21 94:20	86:11 96:23	190:8 192:20
105:15,17,24	object 29:4	99:9 118:24	<b>old</b> 6:16 8:17
107:17 109:5	83:19 102:10	124:11 138:7	173:14
113:13,14	166:6 179:22	156:6 181:20	once 17:12
114:18,23	191:21	186:7	18:11 27:22
120:20 144:10	objection 7:13	<b>ohio</b> 196:2	109:23 114:4
146:1,17 148:3	50:20 52:14	okay 7:3 9:20	146:15,18
156:1 159:16	78:9 79:6 87:4	33:8,9 34:6	148:2 186:7
161:17 180:20	105:19 108:5	39:1 42:15	one's 39:10
181:6,16 182:8	109:18 114:8	43:8,15 45:23	ones 16:11
182:18,23	137:5 140:9	49:5 51:7	28:13 48:15,19
183:14 184:7	145:7 146:11	52:19 54:12	53:2 89:3
184:12,20	150:20 176:8	60:19 62:4,8	95:24 96:22
185:19 186:10	184:15 185:24	64:10 66:12	98:13 100:8
186:15 187:1	188:6 189:22	68:9 69:7,16	108:11 143:14
187:14,16	<b>objections</b> 7:11	69:19 70:3,19	ongoing 18:7
190:7,8,12	obtain 22:23	71:22 73:19	<b>online</b> 22:19
196:7,13	124:1	80:14 85:11,21	97:22 102:23
numbered	obviously	89:24 96:5	105:4 120:20
69:18	57:11 68:3	102:15 105:6	138:24 158:24
numbers 36:20	106:14 124:12	111:12 116:23	161:23 162:14
40:4,17 46:14	170:2	124:10 127:21	163:13
48:8 49:6	occasion 42:23	130:7 131:2	<b>open</b> 64:23
50:14 76:18	62:14 70:22	132:24 133:9	126:23 127:1,3

[open - page] Page 34

129:24		I		1
opinion         99:4         33:13 34:8         overview         owns 149:9,22           116:20 120:2         35:20,24 58:20         102:17,19         pmm. 94:10           140:7         65:22 66:21         113:18 186:4,5         36:9,13,15         pmm. 94:10           140:7         37:19,20         57:24 58:2         61:1 81:3           opportunities         17:22 121:13         43:14         93:19 107:8,13         40:21 41:1           opposed 60:13         43:14         93:19 107:8,13         42:14 43:10,15           opposed 60:13         11:24 12:2,6         135:18 136:4         60:11 61:7           opposing 30:8         12:10 14:16         136:20 151:13         60:11 61:7           32:14         26:23 59:22         154:4 169:16         65:13 69:17,21           opposing 30:8         122:12 155:15         169:17 177:17         69:21 70:1           order 90:3         56:3 77:15         58:8 60:12         71:18 80:15           order 90:3         56:3 77:15         58:8 60:12         135:14 136:6           11:15,16,17         47:17 88:5         91:18 135:13         137:2 142:9         118:12 129:22           12:12 17 170:6         170:11,13         68:24 75:3         139:18 140:7         148:5, 6154:19           25:12				
116:20 120:2	_	•		
147:7 157:6   184:23 192:24   113:18 186:4,5   26:20 30:24   36:9,13,15   37:19,20   57:24 58:2   40:21 41:1   42:14 43:10,15   43:14   93:19 107:8,13   42:14 43:10,15   42:14 43:10,15   42:14 43:10,15   44:7,21 45:8   45:15 58:18   60:11 61:7   63:3 64:8,12   65:13 69:17,21   69:21 70:1   71:18   69:21 70:1   71:18   69:21 70:1   71:18   69:21 70:1   71:18   69:21 70:1   71:18   69:21 70:1   71:18   69:21 70:1   71:18   69:21 70:1   71:18   69:21 70:1   71:18   69:21 70:1   71:18   69:21 70:1   71:18   69:21 70:1   71:18   69:21 70:1   71:18   69:21 70:1   71:18   69:21 70:1   71:18   69:21 70:1   71:18   69:21 70:1   71:18   69:21 70:1   71:18   71:19   71:1	_	33:13 34:8	overview	owns 149:9,22
147:7 157:6         65:22 66:21         own 16:16         p.m. 94:10           184:23 192:24         113:18 186:4,5         36:9,13,15         32:12,13           140:7         37:19,20         57:24 58:2         40:21 41:1           17:22 121:13         43:14         93:19 107:8,13         32:22 39:6           opportunity         outcome 195:5         107:20 108:15         40:21 41:1           opposed 60:13         11:24 12:2,6         135:18 136:4         45:15 58:18           97:6 108:2         12:10 14:16         136:20 151:13         63:3 64:8,12           opposing 30:8         122:12 155:15         169:17 177:17         63:3 64:8,12           opposing 30:8         122:12 155:15         179:24         65:13 69:17,21           optic 107:4         outside 15:23         56:3 77:15         58:8 60:12           11:15,16,17         47:17 88:5         58:8 60:12           11:15,16,17         47:17 88:5         owner 136:18           170:11,13         68:24 75:3         139:18 140:7           25:12 100:22         143:17 148:4         129:18 133:16           170:11,13         68:24 75:3         139:18 140:7           organizations         82:21         141:23 144:10           156:15 133:14         100:6 <td>116:20 120:2</td> <td>35:20,24 58:20</td> <td>ĺ ,</td> <td>p</td>	116:20 120:2	35:20,24 58:20	ĺ ,	p
184:23 192:24				_
opinions         137:2 140:7         originated 37:19,20         36:9,13,15 57:24 58:2         page 32:12,13 32:22 39:6 40:21 41:1           opportunities 17:22 121:13         originator 43:14         61:1 81:3 93:19 107:8,13 107:20 108:15 107:20 108:15 134:5,6,21 135:18 136:4 134:5,6,21 135:18 136:4 136:20 151:13 134:5,6,21 135:18 136:4 136:20 151:13 151:23 26:23 59:22 12:10 14:16 136:20 151:13 154:4 169:16 169:17 177:17 179:24 optic 107:4 outreach 89:23 90:3,12 91:16 outreach 89:23 90:3,12 91:16 outside 15:23 56:3 77:15 145:2 171:18 19:4,5 121:17 overall 17:2 134:14 136:6 137:10 148:23 145:2 171:18 17:2 17:17 188:5 owner 136:18 137:2 142:9 143:17 148:4 136:6 137:2 142:9 129:18 133:16 170:11,13 68:24 75:3 139:18 140:7 141:21 170:11,13 68:24 75:3 139:18 140:7 141:21 170:11,13 organizations 56:15 133:14 141:11 100:6 oversee 102:5 oversee 102:5 overship originator 13:10 103:6         36:9,13,15 57:24 58:2 61:18:13 32:22 39:6 40:21 41:1 42:14 43:10,15 44:7,21 45:8 45:15 58:18 60:11 60:11 61:7 63:3 64:8,12 60:1	184:23 192:24	113:18 186:4,5	26:20 30:24	-
140:7         37:19,20         57:24 58:2         32:22 39:6           opportunities         43:14         93:19 107:8,13         40:21 41:1         42:14 43:10,15           opportunity         outcome         195:5         107:20 108:15         44:7,21 45:8         45:15 58:18           opposed         60:13         11:24 12:2,6         135:18 136:4         45:15 58:18         45:15 58:18           opposing         30:8         12:10 14:16         136:20 151:13         60:11 61:7         63:3 64:8,12           opposing         30:8         122:12 155:15         169:17 177:17         63:3 64:8,12         65:13 69:17,21           opposing         30:8         122:12 155:15         owned         26:24         70:11         69:21 70:1         71:18 80:15         82:2 85:9,17         98:5 102:6         60:32:17:21         69:21 70:1         71:18 80:15         82:2 85:9,17         98:5 102:6         103:21,22         11:10 112:23         11:20 12:23         11:20 12:23         11:20 12:23         11:20 12:23         11:20 12:23         11:20 12:23         11:10 112:23         11:20 12:23         11:10 112:23         11:20 12:23         11:10 112:23         11:20 12:23         11:20 12:23         11:20 12:23         11:20 12:23         11:20 12:23         11:20 12:23         11:20 12:23 <t< td=""><td>opinions 137:2</td><td>originated</td><td>36:9,13,15</td><td></td></t<>	opinions 137:2	originated	36:9,13,15	
opportunities         originator         61:1 81:3         40:21 41:1           opportunity         outcome         195:5         107:20 108:15         42:14 43:10,15           opposed         60:13         11:24 12:2,6         134:5,6,21         45:15 58:18           opposing         30:8         12:10 14:16         136:20 151:13         45:15 58:18           opposing         30:8         122:12 155:15         169:17 177:17         63:3 64:8,12           opposing         30:8         122:12 155:15         169:17 177:17         63:3 64:8,12           opposing         30:8         122:12 155:15         169:17 177:17         63:3 64:8,12           opposing         30:8         122:12 155:15         owned         26:24           optic         107:4         90:3,12 91:16         owned         26:24           order         90:3         56:3 77:15         58:8 60:12         134:14 136:6         103:21,22         11:10 112:23           organization         47:17 88:5         owner 136:18         137:2 142:9         11:10 112:23         112:23 116:21         118:12 129:22         132:22,23         133:15,23         133:15,23         133:15,23         133:15,23         133:15,23         133:15,23         133:15,23         133:15,23 <th< td=""><td>140:7</td><td>37:19,20</td><td>57:24 58:2</td><td> </td></th<>	140:7	37:19,20	57:24 58:2	
17:22 121:13         43:14         93:19 107:8,13         42:14 43:10,15           opportunity         138:7         outdoor 11:11         134:5,6,21         44:7,21 45:8           opposed 60:13         11:24 12:2,6         135:18 136:4         45:15 58:18           97:6 108:2         12:10 14:16         136:20 151:13         60:11 61:7           151:23         26:23 59:22         154:4 169:16         60:11 61:7           opposing 30:8         122:12 155:15         169:17 177:17         63:3 64:8,12           optic 107:4         90:3,12 91:16         owned 26:24         69:21 70:1           order 90:3         56:3 77:15         58:8 60:12         79:5 20 56:3           order 90:3         11:15,16,17         17:18 88:5         91:18 135:13         137:10 148:23         112:23 116:21           18:4,23 21:8         91:18 135:13         137:2 142:9         12:23 116:21           170:11,13         68:24 75:3         139:18 140:7         148:5,6 154:19           15:15 133:14         100:6         147:22 178:13         158:21 14:10           orient 90:10         oversee 102:5         0wnership         164:4,8,10,14           168:5,11	opportunities	originator	61:1 81:3	
opportunity         outdoor         11:11         138:7         outdoor         11:11         134:5,6,21         44:7,21 45:8           opposed         60:13         11:24 12:2,6         135:18 136:4         45:15 58:18           97:6 108:2         12:10 14:16         136:20 151:13         60:11 61:7           151:23         26:23 59:22         154:4 169:16         60:11 61:7           opposing         30:8         122:12 155:15         169:17 177:17         63:3 64:8,12           opposing         30:8         122:12 155:15         169:17 177:17         63:3 64:8,12           opposing         30:8         122:12 155:15         owned         26:24           optic         107:4         outside         15:23         55:15,20 56:3         71:18 80:15           order         90:3         56:3 77:15         58:8 60:12         134:14 136:6         103:21,22           11:15,16,17         47:17 88:5         owner         135:18 136:18         137:2 142:9         112:23 116:21           18:4,23 21:8         91:18 135:13         137:2 142:9         133:1,5,23           25:12 100:22         143:17 148:4         129:18 133:16         138:21 142:7           170:11,13         68:24 75:3         139:18 140:7         146:1,9 147:10	17:22 121:13	43:14	93:19 107:8,13	
138:7         outdoor         11:11         134:5,6,21         45:15 58:18           opposed         60:13         11:24 12:2,6         135:18 136:4         45:15 58:18           97:6 108:2         12:10 14:16         136:20 151:13         60:11 61:7           151:23         26:23 59:22         154:4 169:16         60:11 61:7           opposing         30:8         122:12 155:15         169:17 177:17         63:3 64:8,12           opposing         30:8         122:12 155:15         169:17 177:17         63:3 64:8,12           opposing         30:8         122:12 155:15         owned         26:24           opposing         30:8         90:3,12 91:16         owned         26:24           opposing         98:7         outside         15:23         55:15,20 56:3         82:2 85:9,17           organization         overall         17:2         134:14 136:6         103:21,22           11:15,16,17         47:17 88:5         owner         136:18         137:2 142:9           18:4,23 21:8         91:18 135:13         137:2 142:9         133:1,5,23           25:12 100:22         143:17 148:4         135:8,9 138:22         133:15,23           170:11,13         68:24 75:3         139:18 140:7         146:1,9 1	opportunity	outcome 195:5	107:20 108:15	· 1
opposed         60:13         11:24 12:2,6         135:18 136:4         60:11 61:7           97:6 108:2         12:10 14:16         136:20 151:13         63:3 64:8,12           151:23         26:23 59:22         154:4 169:16         65:13 69:17,21           opposing         30:8         122:12 155:15         169:17 177:17         69:21 70:1           opposing         30:8         122:12 155:15         169:17 177:17         69:21 70:1           opposing         98:7         outreach         89:23         55:15,20 56:3         82:2 85:9,17           order         90:3         56:3 77:15         58:8 60:12         134:14 136:6         103:21,22           11:15,16,17         47:17 88:5         owner 136:18         137:2 142:9         112:23 116:21           18:4,23 21:8         91:18 135:13         137:2 142:9         132:22,23           21:19 24:10         140:6 141:22         owners 57:19         135:8,9 138:22           170:11,13         68:24 75:3         139:18 140:7         148:5,6 154:19           156:15 133:14         oversaw 61:10         146:1,9 147:10         158:7,8,11           159:10 163:18         164:4,8,10,14           168:5,11	138:7	outdoor 11:11	134:5,6,21	·
97:6 108:2       12:10 14:16       136:20 151:13       63:3 64:8,12         151:23       26:23 59:22       12:12 155:15       169:17 177:17       65:13 69:17,21         opposing 30:8       32:14       outreach 89:23       179:24       69:21 70:1         optic 107:4       90:3,12 91:16       owned 26:24       55:15,20 56:3       82:2 85:9,17         order 90:3       56:3 77:15       19:4,5 121:17       134:14 136:6       103:21,22         11:15,16,17       47:17 88:5       owner 136:18       137:20 148:23       112:23 116:21         18:4,23 21:8       91:18 135:13       137:2 142:9       129:18 133:16       138:21 142:7         25:12 100:22       143:17 148:4       135:8,9 138:22       138:21 142:7         170:11,13       68:24 75:3       139:18 140:7       146:1,9 147:10       156:12 157:10         158:7,8,11       159:10 163:18         169:21 70:1       169:21 70:1       71:18 80:15         82:2 85:9,17       98:5 102:6       103:21,22         111:10 112:23       112:23 116:21         112:23 116:21       118:12 129:22         133:1,5,23       133:1,5,23         138:21 142:7       146:1,9 147:10       156:12 157:10         158:7,8,11       159:10 163:18 <tr< td=""><td>opposed 60:13</td><td>11:24 12:2,6</td><td>135:18 136:4</td><td></td></tr<>	opposed 60:13	11:24 12:2,6	135:18 136:4	
151:23         26:23 59:22         154:4 169:16         65:13 69:17,21           opposing 30:8         32:14         outreach 89:23         179:24         69:21 70:1           optic 107:4         90:3,12 91:16         owned 26:24         55:15,20 56:3         82:2 85:9,17           order 90:3         56:3 77:15         19:4,5 121:17         134:14 136:6         103:21,22           11:15,16,17         47:17 88:5         owner 136:18         112:23 116:21           18:4,23 21:8         91:18 135:13         137:2 142:9         129:18 133:16           25:12 100:22         143:17 148:4         129:18 133:16         135:8,9 138:22           170:11,13         68:24 75:3         139:18 140:7         146:1,9 147:10           100:6         147:22 178:13         158:7,8,11           orient 90:10         oversee 102:5         ownership           oriented 21:12         103:6	97:6 108:2	12:10 14:16	136:20 151:13	
opposing         30:8         122:12 155:15         169:17 177:17         69:21 70:1           optic         107:4         outreach         89:23         179:24         69:21 70:1           optic         107:4         outside         15:23         owned         26:24         82:2 85:9,17           order         90:3         56:3 77:15         58:8 60:12         134:14 136:6         103:21,22           organization         overall         17:2         137:10 148:23         112:23 116:21           11:15,16,17         47:17 88:5         owner         136:18           18:4,23 21:8         91:18 135:13         137:2 142:9         137:2 142:9           25:12 100:22         143:17 148:4         129:18 133:16         135:8,9 138:22         138:21 142:7           17:18 80:15         82:2 85:9,17         98:5 102:6         103:21,22         111:10 112:23           11:10 112:23         112:23 116:21         118:12 129:22         133:1,5,23         133:1,5,23           13:17 170:6         0vercount         135:8,9 138:22         138:21 142:7         148:5,6 154:19           15:12 157:10         158:7,8,11         159:10 163:18           16:44,4,8,10,14         168:5,11		26:23 59:22		· /
32:14         optic 107:4         90:3,12 91:16         owned 26:24         71:18 80:15           orange 98:7         outside 15:23         55:15,20 56:3         98:5 102:6           order 90:3         145:2 171:18         119:4,5 121:17         134:14 136:6         103:21,22           organization         11:15,16,17         47:17 88:5         owner 136:18         112:23 116:21           18:4,23 21:8         91:18 135:13         137:2 142:9         132:22,23           21:19 24:10         140:6 141:22         owners 57:19         135:8,9 138:22           170:11,13         68:24 75:3         139:18 140:7         148:5,6 154:19           organizations         68:24 75:3         139:18 140:7         146:1,9 147:10         156:12 157:10           orient 90:10         oversaw 61:10         146:1,9 147:10         158:7,8,11           orient 90:10         oversee 102:5         ownership           orient 90:10         oversee 102:5         ownership	opposing 30:8	122:12 155:15	169:17 177:17	·
optic         107:4         90:3,12 91:16         owned         26:24         82:2 85:9,17           order         90:3         56:3 77:15         58:8 60:12         98:5 102:6           145:2 171:18         19:4,5 121:17         58:8 60:12         103:21,22           organization         overall         17:2         134:14 136:6         137:10 148:23         112:23 116:21           11:15,16,17         47:17 88:5         owner         136:18         137:2 142:9         owners         57:19           18:4,23 21:8         91:18 135:13         137:2 142:9         owners         57:19         133:15,23           25:12 100:22         143:17 148:4         overcount         135:8,9 138:22         138:21 142:7           170:11,13         68:24 75:3         82:21         141:23 144:10         156:12 157:10           organizations         82:21         141:23 144:10         158:7,8,11           orient         90:10         oversee         102:5         ownership           oriented         21:12         103:6         oversee	32:14	outreach 89:23	179:24	
orange         98:7         outside         15:23         55:15,20 56:3         98:5 102:6           order         90:3         145:2 171:18         56:3 77:15         134:14 136:6         103:21,22           organization         11:15,16,17         47:17 88:5         owner         136:18         11:23 116:21           18:4,23 21:8         91:18 135:13         140:6 141:22         owners         57:19         132:22,23           25:12 100:22         143:17 148:4         overcount         135:8,9 138:22         138:21 142:7           170:11,13         68:24 75:3         82:21         141:23 144:10         156:12 157:10           organizations         82:21         146:1,9 147:10         158:7,8,11           orient         90:10         ownership           orient         90:10         ownership           oriented         21:12	<b>optic</b> 107:4	90:3,12 91:16	<b>owned</b> 26:24	
order       90:3       56:3 77:15       58:8 60:12       103:21,22         145:2 171:18       overall       17:2       134:14 136:6       111:10 112:23         11:15,16,17       47:17 88:5       owner       136:18       112:23 116:21         18:4,23 21:8       91:18 135:13       137:2 142:9       132:22,23         21:19 24:10       140:6 141:22       owners       57:19       133:1,5,23         25:12 100:22       143:17 148:4       129:18 133:16       138:21 142:7         170:11,13       overcount       135:8,9 138:22       148:5,6 154:19         156:15 133:14       oversaw       61:10       146:1,9 147:10       156:12 157:10         158:7,8,11       159:10 163:18         164:4,8,10,14       168:5,11	orange 98:7	outside 15:23	55:15,20 56:3	· /
145:2 171:18         119:4,5 121:17         134:14 136:6         111:10 112:23           organization         47:17 88:5         owner 136:18         112:23 116:21           18:4,23 21:8         91:18 135:13         137:2 142:9         133:12:22,23           21:19 24:10         140:6 141:22         owners 57:19         133:15,23           25:12 100:22         143:17 148:4         129:18 133:16         133:1,5,23           170:11,13         overcount         135:8,9 138:22         148:5,6 154:19           156:15 133:14         oversaw 61:10         146:1,9 147:10         158:7,8,11           159:10 163:18         164:4,8,10,14           168:5,11	order 90:3	56:3 77:15	58:8 60:12	
organization         overall         17:2         137:10 148:23         112:23 116:21           11:15,16,17         47:17 88:5         owner         136:18         118:12 129:22           18:4,23 21:8         91:18 135:13         137:2 142:9         132:22,23           21:19 24:10         140:6 141:22         owners         57:19           25:12 100:22         143:17 148:4         129:18 133:16         135:8,9 138:22           170:11,13         68:24 75:3         139:18 140:7         148:5,6 154:19           156:15 133:14         oversaw         61:10         146:1,9 147:10         156:12 157:10           141:11         100:6         147:22 178:13         159:10 163:18           164:4,8,10,14         168:5,11	145:2 171:18	119:4,5 121:17	134:14 136:6	· /
11:15,16,17       47:17 88:5       owner 136:18         18:4,23 21:8       91:18 135:13       137:2 142:9         21:19 24:10       140:6 141:22       owners 57:19         25:12 100:22       143:17 148:4       129:18 133:16         170:11,13       overcount       135:8,9 138:22         170:11,13       68:24 75:3       139:18 140:7         14:23 144:10       156:12 157:10         156:15 133:14       oversaw 61:10       146:1,9 147:10         141:11       100:6       ownership         orient 90:10       oversee 102:5       ownership         orient 40 21:12       103:6	organization	overall 17:2	137:10 148:23	
18:4,23 21:8       91:18 135:13       137:2 142:9         21:19 24:10       140:6 141:22       owners 57:19         25:12 100:22       143:17 148:4       129:18 133:16         121:17 170:6       135:8,9 138:22         170:11,13       68:24 75:3       139:18 140:7         141:23 144:10       156:12 157:10         156:15 133:14       100:6       147:22 178:13         141:11       100:6       147:22 178:13         103:6       103:6       168:5,11	11:15,16,17	47:17 88:5	<b>owner</b> 136:18	
21:19 24:10       140:6 141:22       owners 57:19         25:12 100:22       143:17 148:4       129:18 133:16         121:17 170:6       135:8,9 138:22       138:21 142:7         170:11,13       68:24 75:3       139:18 140:7         141:23 144:10       156:12 157:10         156:15 133:14       100:6       146:1,9 147:10         141:11       100:6       147:22 178:13         103:6       ownership       168:5,11	·			
25:12 100:22       143:17 148:4       129:18 133:16         121:17 170:6       135:8,9 138:22         170:11,13       68:24 75:3       139:18 140:7         141:23 144:10       156:12 157:10         156:15 133:14       100:6       146:1,9 147:10         141:11       100:6       147:22 178:13         103:6       103:6       56:13 14	21:19 24:10	140:6 141:22	<b>owners</b> 57:19	· /
121:17 170:6       overcount       135:8,9 138:22         170:11,13       68:24 75:3       139:18 140:7         organizations       82:21       141:23 144:10         56:15 133:14       oversaw 61:10       146:1,9 147:10         141:11       100:6       147:22 178:13         orient 90:10       oversee 102:5       ownership         oriented 21:12       103:6	25:12 100:22	143:17 148:4	129:18 133:16	
170:11,13       68:24 75:3       139:18 140:7         organizations       82:21       141:23 144:10         56:15 133:14       oversaw 61:10       146:1,9 147:10         141:11       100:6       147:22 178:13         orient 90:10       oversee 102:5       ownership         oriented 21:12       103:6	121:17 170:6	overcount	135:8,9 138:22	
organizations         82:21         141:23 144:10         158:7,8,11           56:15 133:14         100:6         147:22 178:13         159:10 163:18           164:4,8,10,14         168:5,11	170:11,13	68:24 75:3	139:18 140:7	· /
56:15 133:14   oversaw 61:10   146:1,9 147:10   159:10 163:18   141:11   orient 90:10   oversee 102:5   ownership   168:5,11   168:5,11   oversee 102:5   over	organizations	82:21	141:23 144:10	
141:11 100:6 147:22 178:13 164:4,8,10,14 ownership 56:13 14	56:15 133:14	oversaw 61:10	146:1,9 147:10	·
orient 90:10 oversee 102:5 ownership 103:6 56:13.14	141:11	100:6	147:22 178:13	
oriented   21·12     102·6	<b>orient</b> 90:10	oversee 102:5	ownership	
	oriented 21:12	103:6	56:13,14	,
original         15:16         overseeing         21:9         135:20 139:1         172:10 174:15	original 15:16	overseeing 21:9	135:20 139:1	·
45:3,4 63:6 39:22 41:10 139:23 150:16 172:10 174:13	45:3,4 63:6	39:22 41:10	139:23 150:16	

[page - period] Page 35

180:21,22	137:13 142:6	<b>past</b> 13:18	97:12,20,20
196:13,15	143:16 160:8	123:16 146:16	98:15 104:7
198:7 199:3	160:22 176:18	175:3 182:14	105:1 133:19
<b>pages</b> 40:17	176:20 183:22	patterning	133:19 142:12
171:7	185:22 187:3,9	126:15	143:24 144:24
<b>paid</b> 14:1,4	198:9	<b>paying</b> 106:16	147:18,19
painstaking	participants	106:16	148:9,10,12
127:7	16:12 24:1	<b>peas</b> 59:19	150:12,13
painstakingly	participate	<b>pending</b> 7:19	151:7,10,21,22
48:18	63:8 93:15	194:6	181:1,6,14,18
<b>pair</b> 133:24	participation	people 22:3	181:23,24
134:3	25:18 28:20	37:24 57:24	182:8,21,24
<b>paper</b> 162:19	138:19,23	60:8 93:10,20	183:8,11,24
162:24	particular 46:7	93:22 100:24	184:4,10,12
paragraph	53:7 54:7	101:13,13	185:1 186:9,10
130:2 133:17	55:16,22 62:18	106:3 122:24	186:15 187:12
133:23 142:7	85:5 88:19,20	123:22 124:4	189:8,9,15,18
148:7 158:23	90:15 93:4,17	126:9 134:23	percentage
164:19 168:12	97:6 100:7,14	137:10 139:14	49:12 53:3
168:16 170:5,6	102:5 107:12	139:22 140:18	55:3 57:23
170:12,14,15	110:18 145:3	141:5,6 143:11	86:15 100:23
170:17 171:6	151:3 154:5	146:16 151:7	106:7 109:11
paragraphs	163:5	174:3 177:5,14	142:10,11
163:19	particularly	178:24	187:18
parentheses	35:3 40:3	people's 175:2	percentages
173:15	particulars	perceive	148:3
paring 54:20	116:6	158:15	perfectly 97:1
<b>part</b> 10:11 20:2	parties 13:24	percent 18:9	114:11
29:10,11 36:16	194:22 195:4	22:4,5,9,12,13	performance
51:1 61:11	partner 159:2	45:10 52:6	27:11
74:3 75:12,13	<b>parts</b> 24:20	61:17 71:2,21	period 41:9
75:23 88:16	<b>pass</b> 102:9	72:8 73:7,13	55:24 98:17,22
97:24 110:16	passed 156:7	73:20 76:3,16	109:6 132:14
120:13 128:24	157:15 164:12	79:2,11 80:11	188:22
131:24 133:23		86:4,16 97:5,5	
l			

[person - posed] Page 36

<b>person</b> 45:12	<b>pick</b> 163:10	platforms	133:18 142:10
67:12 108:16	picked 45:3	35:19 130:14	142:11
137:1,1 179:3	<b>piece</b> 75:17	<b>play</b> 147:3	<b>point</b> 60:8 61:6
personal 12:12	83:6 84:22	pleasant 77:20	100:22 136:10
13:4 18:7,9	<b>pieces</b> 30:13	<b>please</b> 7:6 8:2,5	139:24 150:3
48:15 50:3	83:5 113:21	33:8 52:18	151:3 160:21
51:22 53:1	<b>piqued</b> 115:20	64:7 85:9	173:24 178:10
56:21 63:15	<b>pistol</b> 33:11,22	102:7 111:10	191:16
65:2,15 80:19	58:24 59:4,6	112:16,20	<b>points</b> 57:14
84:9 108:15	<b>pistols</b> 33:16,17	116:21,22	142:10,11
115:24 116:14	33:21 34:1,3,7	129:23 149:17	150:8
124:3,14 161:8	35:23 36:2,10	154:8 187:23	<b>police</b> 6:11 57:2
personally	43:22 44:4	196:11,11	policies 169:13
65:19,21	74:11 112:7	<b>plenty</b> 192:14	<b>policy</b> 159:22
165:18 194:4	131:9,11	<b>plug</b> 22:19,20	160:11,23
197:11 198:15	place 12:9	49:10 55:5	161:7,11
personnel	133:14 139:14	68:20 77:1,3	164:21 165:14
158:21	139:17	77:13 79:14	165:17 166:1
perspective	placeholder	86:21 90:23	168:14 169:4
166:2	73:4 76:9	95:20 143:10	politically
persuade 93:14	places 20:7	184:6 187:14	165:8
pertaining 1:13	133:15	187:20,22	<b>pop</b> 104:12
42:10	plaintiff 2:12	189:13 190:11	<b>popular</b> 137:15
<b>ph.d.s</b> 20:20	plaintiffs 1:5	190:12	137:21 139:12
<b>phone</b> 9:3 10:1	194:8	plugged 82:18	population
10:20 76:16,17	platform 24:21	96:18 105:4	108:4 109:16
77:5 82:7	30:2 35:4,7	181:15,24	140:5,6 141:23
83:21 84:15,16	59:21 90:20,21	183:12,14	143:17 145:5
87:7 89:7	92:10,15,19	184:19,23	145:14 146:9
91:21 196:3	95:15 111:1,19	186:11	147:10,22
<b>phrase</b> 43:16	111:20,24	plugging 82:17	148:15,19
45:16,24 46:9	130:4,4,19,19	105:22 182:17	<b>portion</b> 103:21
129:5 183:18	131:5,5 132:20	183:13	184:3
phrased 64:22	192:10,17	<b>plus</b> 41:2 49:2	<b>posed</b> 163:3
176:4		59:8 71:7 74:4	

## [position - probably]

Page 37

position 27:3	<b>prefer</b> 12:23	193:4	primary 23:21
85:2	172:22	pretty 40:4	24:19,19 35:21
positive 11:20	preferences	46:16 77:17	119:23 161:3
positively	178:5	81:16 83:10	167:9 175:12
147:17	preferred	88:10,22 93:12	177:13,15
possessed	178:16 179:21	97:24 106:24	178:22
113:15	preliminary	107:5 119:1	principles 21:1
possession 59:1	188:4	120:20 122:13	<b>print</b> 103:15
113:8	preparation	122:19 123:4,9	146:23
possible 32:7	10:18 28:6	123:11 128:7	<b>printer</b> 102:12
32:23 40:5	62:20 100:11	129:7 143:4	<b>printing</b> 117:19
44:13 111:24	101:17 115:16	144:3,7 145:16	printout 66:8
138:3 140:14	115:17,22	153:2 166:23	66:10 71:18
177:23 181:10	127:17 129:1	191:6	<b>prior</b> 10:11
potential	145:23 152:11	prevalence	14:20 63:24
107:16 136:9	prepare 8:7	152:13	64:1,2,4,12
151:17 164:6	9:24 14:5	prevalent	65:4 119:19
potentially	49:15 67:5	24:10 36:4	124:23 129:8
41:19 42:23	88:5 116:1	123:9 131:12	155:8 159:9
82:12 101:19	prepared 14:8	prevented	188:9
103:5 126:10	42:21 117:6	82:24 83:16	prioritize 26:12
132:6 151:11	168:20	previous 63:18	privileged 9:22
156:12 157:9	preparing 72:7	64:21 125:10	probability
163:3 165:22	presence	130:23 132:22	182:22 184:9
171:4 174:3,11	194:15	184:18 191:5	probably 12:11
practice 53:12	present 3:1,5	previously 8:15	66:21 74:1
53:15 73:11	65:12 195:1	10:16	80:4 84:19
76:14 79:19	preserve	<b>price</b> 101:14	89:6 106:18
82:23 86:24	159:23 164:21	primarily 20:8	110:17 111:22
87:22 91:15	169:4 171:9	30:16 37:2	111:23 112:3
92:4,20 93:2	president 27:17	45:21 67:4	128:20 129:17
95:4 127:23	27:17 45:1	107:21 108:23	137:21,22
168:19 188:2	<b>press</b> 155:13	119:2 121:12	153:23 156:2
practices	pressure	128:17 130:14	156:19 160:13
173:18	127:10,11	132:19	161:7 165:9

## [probably - purchasing]

Page 38

167:24 191:17	producing	190:14	protection
192:3	138:6 141:16	professional	177:24
problem 28:10	product 32:1	18:4,8 20:17	<b>proud</b> 123:11
51:14 90:9	52:5 72:21,23	programmer	provide 14:1
procedure 1:12	74:22 75:4	167:22	31:5 44:12
9:3 107:6	76:21 79:12	programs	46:19 60:7
197:5 198:5	81:20,24 82:15	19:17 23:23	101:4,9 114:17
process 23:4	84:24 86:9	project 17:17	121:8 138:2
39:24 51:2	92:2 97:22	17:19,24 18:2	159:20 161:4
54:20 73:16,20	100:5,6 103:18	18:12 88:20,21	provided 23:15
81:17 86:4	103:20 110:7	109:20 118:22	93:20 124:19
88:17 103:11	120:7 122:13	projects 12:16	178:4
127:7 139:5	123:7,11 127:8	15:1,10 21:12	provides
167:17 181:9	127:14 138:8	118:19	142:19
185:11 186:21	151:15 153:2	promote 11:20	providing
186:22,23	179:7 182:17	106:12	30:10 77:6
187:3,9	185:16 187:11	promoted	123:19 135:1
produce 50:1	187:18	107:20 138:18	<b>public</b> 159:22
54:12,13,24	production	138:23 159:2	160:11,23
56:17 74:17,18	33:15 36:19	promoting	161:11 164:21
74:19,20 81:24	39:10 41:2	107:24	165:14,17
99:10 101:5	48:8 49:6,15	pronunciation	166:1 168:14
produced 42:5	55:17 59:23	87:18	169:3 197:10
47:17 49:2,20	64:5 68:14	proportion	197:18 198:15
50:12,18 55:11	77:8 88:5 90:4	57:9 58:7	198:23 199:23
55:24 60:12	90:14 91:2,17	60:12 83:1	published
62:12 66:9	92:9 94:23	95:7 108:3	39:13 121:16
69:11 74:24	96:4,10 97:5	109:4 150:16	<b>pull</b> 35:3,8
75:19 76:5	99:4 112:18	187:5 188:3	49:11 70:4
95:3,7 96:16	118:7 130:11	proposition	79:15 111:2
99:6 114:18	130:13 188:5	105:14	192:11
116:11 117:13	196:15,17,22	protect 14:13	<b>pulled</b> 79:21
118:4 122:16	products 34:13	179:2	purchasing
189:9,18	73:18,24 86:20	protecting	152:8
	132:6,18 190:4	179:10,12,13	

## [purpose - reached]

Page 39

		I	
purpose 11:17	putting 32:4	188:15 189:16	quote 192:5
12:3 23:11	41:15 61:11	191:9,17	r
100:19 127:5	75:4 138:12	questioned	r 8:6,6 34:3
137:13 138:1	147:15 165:10	97:19 153:12	71:3
160:2,4,6,8	165:19 185:16	questioning	<b>r15</b> 50:5 129:14
161:1,4	<b>puzzle</b> 83:4,5	65:6	<b>r3</b> 16:10 23:24
purposes 9:16	88:15	questionnaire	28:21
23:19,22 36:9	q	20:13 162:11	raelene 1:14,23
36:13,15 45:14	quality 17:2	162:15 163:14	194:1
47:16 56:19	109:24 110:2	questions 25:2	range 38:12
57:6 58:3,4	120:12 124:1	25:4,5,10 30:9	114:19 118:6
110:20 111:17	quantity	30:16 31:23	120:17 129:13
112:6 125:19	145:12	32:1,22 40:20	154:14 161:23
125:19,20		42:10 46:6	ranges 17:20
129:4 137:14	quarterly 17:16	48:19 57:19,20	142:10
144:8 149:4,15		94:22 111:6,7	
163:4 177:10	query 49:9	112:14 125:16	ranging 137:16 raoul 1:7 6:10
pursuant 1:11	question 7:5,7 7:12,19 22:12	126:14,23	194:9 196:6
194:24	29:5 32:3 47:4	127:15,22	194.9 190.0
<b>put</b> 31:8,24	51:5 53:15	128:4 135:16	
33:14 47:5		148:21 150:18	rarely 87:10 99:12
50:14 51:24	60:10 62:8,10	153:11 162:20	
52:6 72:22	62:16 83:22	163:2 172:15	rate 11:7,8
75:2 83:8 85:6	97:23 98:4	172:17 174:15	140:20
101:8,23 110:7	106:23 121:9	175:10,15,18	rates 150:17
115:1,18	121:10,11	175:19 176:1,5	151:24
122:13 123:6	134:5 137:8	176:12 179:17	rather 18:20
125:6,14	149:8,17	180:2,3,7,9,13	82:20 105:18
127:13 138:13	150:12 160:19	182:3 190:16	135:1,8 176:1
138:15 142:3	162:16,17,21	190:20 193:9	185:20
143:5 152:15	162:21,22,23	quick 38:20	rationale 27:7
152:17 156:2	169:16 170:10	162:6 164:2,3	reach 13:1 22:2
158:20 171:10	172:20 173:2	quirks 144:4	105:16 123:24
183:17 185:10	174:19 175:5	quite 23:8	133:15 139:18
185:12,13	176:9,14 178:1	114:13	reached 119:8
	178:4,8,15,15		

## [reactivate - recruit]

Page 40

-	-		C
reactivate	141:11 160:19	145:18 146:3	128:23 131:13
16:10,12 24:1	172:16	152:15 153:1,1	133:11 134:17
<b>read</b> 28:5 65:5	reason 40:7	153:4,15 155:7	141:24 144:12
71:5,10 102:19	61:9 175:9,13	156:5,20	148:21 150:1
103:21 115:19	175:17 176:7	165:10 167:8	153:21 157:17
116:5 127:2	177:15 179:16	168:24 174:14	157:18 165:4
130:6 131:3	196:14 198:8	174:16 176:5	165:18 167:16
133:7 134:10	199:3	176:12,24	167:20 169:7
142:13 148:16	reasonable	184:6 188:21	175:9,22 177:9
149:24 158:11	114:17 152:3	<b>receipt</b> 196:18	177:21 181:11
172:5,13,24	reasoning	receive 19:12	182:11 185:8
173:5 176:18	120:14	22:4	192:7
178:19 183:5	reasons 27:10	received 8:9,12	recommend
183:21 188:8	80:22 108:15	20:4 77:16	112:2
193:12 197:5,6	157:3 175:4	118:23	recommendat
197:12 198:5,6	177:13	receivers 75:7	123:4
198:17	<b>recall</b> 9:5 14:7	recent 183:8,19	<b>record</b> 8:3 9:11
reader 151:5	17:7 19:4 23:4	184:3	38:9,12 66:8
readers 150:9	24:17 26:9	recently 18:24	70:13 94:8,12
reading 37:6	27:19 29:21	recess 38:7	115:13 118:6
44:14 150:1	30:13 31:13,13	94:9 144:18	130:24 144:20
152:5 169:9	32:10 34:11	recognition	161:23 166:16
178:1 196:19	47:8 58:9,16	106:15	183:2 198:9
reads 71:2	64:21 68:17	recognize	recorded 77:8
75:23	70:11 72:4	41:24 64:11	78:3 80:8
<b>ready</b> 174:2	91:20 92:6	recollection	186:20
<b>real</b> 162:5	96:13 99:13	57:12 68:7	records 77:14
164:1	101:16 103:13	70:16 72:14	186:14
realize 31:24	104:5 107:14	73:5,11 76:4	recreational
108:9 188:20	111:6 113:2	86:4 91:6 95:9	17:22
really 49:9 59:2	119:24 122:18	97:3,8 99:7	recreationally
93:18 99:13	123:5 124:13	104:24 109:9	177:12
108:13,20	127:18 128:20	112:8 119:15	recruit 16:9
112:9 116:16	129:2,3 139:13	121:4 122:10	23:24
139:17,19	140:11 144:14	123:20 128:14	

## [recruitment - report]

Page 41

recruitment	regionalized	187:1	61:11,13,17,18
11:19	141:7	<b>relied</b> 49:14	62:9,18,24
redirected	<b>regular</b> 17:9,10	<b>rely</b> 46:23	63:9,13,16,18
162:16,18	regularly 39:13	<b>relying</b> 145:24	63:23 64:6
<b>reds</b> 81:11	regulating	remaining	65:3,17,23
<b>reduced</b> 194:16	14:13	51:16	67:18 70:21
reduction	regulations	remember 30:4	72:3,17 75:19
150:13,14	29:24 56:10	32:11 34:11	77:13 81:4
<b>refer</b> 15:7	relate 37:8	36:3 40:18	83:11,12 88:9
46:10	179:6	97:18 116:6	95:23 99:23,24
reference	related 15:19	120:16 126:21	100:11,19
110:15 196:7	15:20 27:10	141:12 143:2	101:6,8,18
197:2 198:2	49:9 195:4	155:13	102:5,19,23
referenced	relations 29:9	remembered	104:18 105:8
183:16 197:11	29:12 174:5	76:10	106:21 108:20
198:15	relationship	reminded	109:15 110:16
references	18:4,6,8	128:18	110:20 111:11
123:15 124:3	relationships	remington 50:4	111:17 112:18
125:2,3	13:3 15:22	51:12 90:18	113:16 115:11
referring 98:20	relatively 93:6	91:24 92:11	115:22 116:1,9
148:9 192:17	185:5	repeat 90:8	116:15,18
192:21	release 115:19	repeating	118:4,8,9
refers 71:20,23	155:13 193:4	165:15	119:11,12,16
72:1	relevant 25:1	replace 114:12	119:19 120:11
reflect 55:9	29:16 109:6	replaced 27:21	120:23 121:2,7
56:23 113:14	reliability 30:5	report 14:8	121:12,16,23
reflected 96:10	30:24 116:15	15:22,24 32:10	122:2,3 127:11
reflecting	122:16 123:18	32:11 33:14	127:17 128:11
149:21 186:14	124:16,18	39:2,3,8,10,12	129:1,4,6,22
reflects 67:20	169:14	40:9,11,21	130:12,13
69:8,10 81:14	reliable 48:3	41:15,21 42:10	131:22 134:12
116:24 150:15	60:5 104:21	42:20 43:6	135:8,22 143:5
regarding	115:2 120:3	44:19 45:14	143:8 144:8
17:20 65:7	121:23 139:19	48:6,7 53:19	145:20,23
169:2 171:8	158:4 166:3	56:4 58:15,20	147:15 150:7

## [report - responses]

Page 42

			•
150:10 151:4,5	represent	20:24 21:4,7	respondent
151:7 152:12	67:17 70:7	21:10,16 23:1	136:9 162:14
152:17,21	representation	23:11,16,20	164:6,7 169:15
153:7,8,16	110:5 114:24	24:4,18 25:1,6	respondents
154:17 155:6	156:23	25:11 28:1	104:20 105:15
155:10,19	representative	29:11,12 30:6	107:16,18
156:10,17	109:16 140:6	30:9,14 31:1,3	108:1 109:14
158:6,8,17	141:22 157:6	31:11,16 40:2	112:5 127:16
159:17 160:5	represented	62:14,16 81:5	134:13 141:21
160:20 161:2	151:1 181:18	114:21 118:17	148:10 163:3
165:3 167:18	representing	167:15 178:21	163:22 165:2
182:12 186:23	9:15,22	191:12,15	169:12
reportable 84:5	reputation	researching	responding
reported 1:23	93:18	15:23	78:2 151:8
26:17 68:23	request 50:17	resembles 62:2	response 68:19
83:18 84:2	90:13 91:16	<b>reserve</b> 190:18	71:9 75:24
87:3 88:1	95:1 198:9,11	193:11	76:14 85:1
134:13 137:4	requested 92:3	resistance	101:11 103:1
147:8 148:9,10	requests 38:5	93:18	107:3 108:12
148:12 194:14	require 21:18	resource	108:22 120:17
reporter 1:15	required 48:6,7	106:24	121:9,10 124:1
6:24 8:11 38:5	196:24	resources	126:4 127:2
105:12 194:2	requirement	101:4 113:24	133:24 134:3
195:13 197:7	22:2,23	138:8	148:4 149:11
reporting	requirements	<b>respect</b> 152:12	150:17 151:24
64:18,24 65:7	20:2	153:8 158:7	183:4 184:19
reports 14:5	rerunning	respective	184:20 185:23
24:4 30:19	125:7	194:22	186:2,4 187:2
39:15 41:11,22	research 12:5	respond 78:7	responses
48:5 63:21	12:16 14:24	78:16 108:17	101:8 103:22
67:22 96:4	15:3,4,10,15,20	129:15 174:23	105:6 106:13
101:6 119:13	15:20 16:5,21	responded	106:22 107:7
125:1 131:23	16:24 17:5	76:13 78:14	107:10 108:11
146:23 157:14	18:12,16,18	178:24	109:23 110:3
	19:7 20:9,19		120:19 126:1,5

## [responses - rifles]

Page 43

			_
127:3 128:9,12	169:14 170:18	reviewed 8:22	110:20,22,24
134:9,13 135:7	171:14,16	9:1 29:15	111:8 118:9
136:1,5,12	<b>retail</b> 59:21	115:15	123:8 125:18
137:9 139:19	103:1	reviewing 10:5	125:21,23
141:13 146:24	retailer 10:14	28:11 76:4	129:5,13,17
147:1,5,8,13,14	30:21 99:23	100:9,10 118:3	130:4,16,20
158:1 159:12	100:10 101:6	169:21,24	131:6 132:8,15
159:17 169:19	102:21 107:1	172:6	133:15 134:6
178:14,22	retailers	revolver 33:19	136:4,6 137:11
181:9 191:6	100:24 101:7	33:23 48:10	137:19 140:7
responsibilities	102:24 103:23	74:19	144:10 152:8
11:13 15:17	104:3,8,11,17	revolvers 74:11	154:16 155:21
16:6,9 28:2,13	105:3,18	74:11	161:23 169:17
36:8	106:11,18	<b>rid</b> 126:1,9	177:5 178:13
responsibility	108:3,10 109:1	ridiculous	179:4,10,12
45:13,20,22	109:7,12,17	125:20	190:6,10,15
responsible	110:8 145:13	<b>rifle</b> 10:15	191:8,11,14
16:20 21:9	<b>retain</b> 16:10	25:20 30:17	192:8,9 193:7
26:14 39:21	24:1	34:23,24 35:1	<b>rifles</b> 24:22
41:14 61:10	retained 13:24	35:6,14,14,15	25:23 30:2
121:22 128:11	<b>return</b> 180:20	35:16,17,22	34:1,4,7 35:7
128:18 156:15	returned 87:7	36:11 37:15	35:18 36:17
156:18	93:22 196:18	38:2 41:2	37:3,4,10,11
responsive	returning 85:8	43:16,22 48:11	42:5,6 44:3
119:3	revenue 27:1	48:16,17 50:5	47:11 49:3,11
restate 188:13	101:15	50:6 52:7	49:16,18,21
result 68:24	review 8:17	57:18 58:11,24	50:1,2,12,18
102:20 107:17	10:13,17 38:22	68:14,16,23	51:9,9,18,19,23
resulted 117:12	52:12 62:19	69:14 74:19	52:12,13,22
results 17:5	67:6 102:16	76:22 79:13	53:8,10,11,12
40:6 110:12	115:17 150:9	86:13,13 90:14	55:1,4,11,15
135:5 136:13	162:5 168:20	90:19,21 92:5	56:3 57:20
137:3 151:21	174:3 178:2	92:10,21,24	59:7 60:21
154:6 165:14	196:12 197:1	93:6,12 94:23	67:2 68:21
165:16 169:12	198:1	95:13 109:5	69:12,13 71:7

[rifles - saw] Page 44

73:21 74:4,7	81:6 84:10	<b>role</b> 11:13,24	<b>safe</b> 71:9 75:24
74:11,24 76:5	85:13 87:16	15:14,18 16:13	77:24 183:4
76:23 82:13,19	88:10,22 89:19	27:22 29:19	safeguard
83:2 86:10,17	89:21 90:15	31:18 39:21	135:4
86:21 87:9	94:11 96:18	121:22 167:11	safeguards
90:5,17,19,24	97:21 98:14	<b>roles</b> 16:2	134:8,16
92:8,19 93:8	100:12 102:2	<b>room</b> 32:9	safes 125:14
95:17,19 96:6	103:23 104:1	38:11	126:20 134:23
97:14 111:14	106:24 108:11	<b>round</b> 35:2,9	<b>safety</b> 39:18,19
111:17 112:1,4	108:14 117:6	192:11	<b>salam</b> 8:22 10:7
130:15 131:22	122:2 123:21	rounded 32:15	27:24 29:2
132:20 135:15	124:8 125:9	46:13 80:6	41:19 65:5
136:2,21	134:14,24	140:14	116:5
137:15 138:9	135:10 136:13	<b>rounds</b> 59:5,6	salam's 29:5
139:15 140:23	138:15 139:6	193:3	37:6
148:23 149:9	143:18 144:1	routinely 88:17	sale 53:8 187:6
160:4 177:9	146:15 149:23	88:23	sales 59:16,16
178:6 179:19	155:22 157:1	<b>row</b> 68:9 69:4	59:21 109:11
187:7,13 188:4	157:15 158:19	69:11 78:1	178:21
189:9,10,16,18	165:22 168:9	<b>rows</b> 70:19	<b>sample</b> 21:22
189:19 190:23	168:17 171:2	98:24	22:2,17,20
191:19 192:4,4	171:12 173:8	<b>ruger</b> 60:24	104:21 105:1
192:6,14,18,21	180:4,12,23	61:2	105:24 108:18
<b>right</b> 7:11	181:19 183:4	<b>rules</b> 1:11 6:17	110:6 140:14
14:19 31:8	187:7 191:16	56:10 197:5	141:4 142:9
32:3 35:11	<b>rights</b> 159:23	198:5	143:17,18
41:11,15 51:2	160:24 164:22	<b>run</b> 11:15	145:4,6 146:6
54:22 55:8	168:15 169:5	107:19	146:14 147:7
57:6,14 59:19	171:9	<b>runs</b> 6:18	151:1
61:4 64:7	<b>rings</b> 173:13	S	sanetti 45:2
65:13 68:24	<b>river</b> 49:19	s 5:1 11:21	46:3,11 60:1
69:6 70:24	51:21 84:10	26:24 71:8	61:15
71:5,10 74:8	<b>rock</b> 49:19	74:13,14	sats 126:18
76:4 78:8 79:5	51:21 84:10	196:15 198:8,8	saw 32:9 84:19
79:10 80:9,11		199:3	138:6 150:6

[saw - sent] Page 45

	1	1	1
181:12 186:3	scratch 77:3	118:14 121:13	<b>select</b> 134:8,23
saying 44:4	<b>seal</b> 197:15	123:12 133:1	selected 118:21
77:7 105:6	198:21	134:1 139:3	<b>self</b> 88:22
108:9 118:24	search 84:15	141:10 142:4	134:23 136:12
140:13 141:12	second 24:22	142:23 152:18	136:15,22
149:19 159:7	44:20 85:9	154:21 156:7	172:11,14
says 9:8 42:17	94:1 120:14,19	156:11 159:14	176:19,22
44:8 45:5 55:8	158:22,23	159:24 163:23	177:3,6,19,24
63:3 69:5 70:5	164:19,19	164:15,23	179:21
70:24 71:7	168:5,12,16	165:7 167:7,15	<b>sell</b> 101:1
74:3 85:22	170:12,13,15	168:6 169:15	<b>semi</b> 33:18 35:1
86:13 102:2	170:17,17	169:18 170:2	35:6,11,13,15
118:13 130:2	171:6 172:5	171:23 172:10	74:18 110:24
130:24 133:24	182:18 185:2	172:16 173:7	111:1 130:4,7
138:21 142:7	188:15	173:14 176:20	131:1,5,22
151:7 154:3	<b>seconds</b> 144:16	181:4 186:22	191:18 192:4,9
159:11,12	section 70:17	187:17	192:14 193:2
160:22 164:19	70:21 73:3	<b>seeing</b> 155:13	semiautomatic
167:23 168:13	102:16	seeking 99:2	90:20
169:19 170:16	see 10:10 17:15	<b>seem</b> 117:19	<b>send</b> 22:1 106:3
170:23 171:1	40:16 41:4	seemed 24:9	145:12,13
171:22 172:10	42:16 44:3,7	34:20 148:14	<b>senior</b> 167:15
173:2,8,14	46:13,16 47:20	seemingly	sense 7:15 33:4
176:18 179:9	48:21 64:19	62:12	41:13 47:21
179:11 185:3	65:22 70:4,18	seems 167:21	98:1,16 99:8
185:22	74:5 80:17,23	171:7 173:15	127:4 153:14
schedule 41:21	81:7,10,16,21	174:11 183:22	166:1 167:21
<b>school</b> 126:18	83:3 85:24	seen 38:18,21	177:3,14
schwartz	88:7 89:20	62:5,8,17	179:15 181:21
119:24 140:12	93:1 95:22	66:15,18 67:11	185:14
science 19:10	96:19 98:6	118:2,5 146:22	<b>sent</b> 8:16 10:12
<b>scope</b> 93:2	99:22 102:14	148:1 155:5	103:16 105:3
117:5 135:23	105:8 106:23	162:2 166:19	143:11 152:22
166:9	110:15 111:13	segmentation	166:21,23
	114:14 116:19	25:19	
i .	I .	į	į .

[sentence - size] Page 46

	T	I	I
sentence 130:2	<b>share</b> 47:16,19	178:24 179:3	<b>signing</b> 196:19
130:9 139:6	47:21 103:24	shotguns 34:5	similar 39:3
142:17 149:19	<b>shared</b> 163:22	36:16,22 43:23	57:2 59:23
158:23 164:19	<b>sheet</b> 67:20	74:12 131:17	60:10 64:11,14
168:16 169:2	178:2 196:13	131:19	66:20 68:20
169:20 170:17	198:7,10,18	<b>show</b> 26:22,23	100:5,8 109:1
171:8,11 177:1	199:1	27:2 38:10	117:19 119:19
185:2	shelby 3:3	42:2 58:22	120:24 121:5
separate 49:15	shelves 157:2	61:19 115:4	121:11 163:6
77:14	sheriff's 57:2	117:18	165:2 166:4
<b>series</b> 57:19	<b>shift</b> 132:6	<b>showed</b> 113:2	178:15
<b>serves</b> 158:19	154:1 160:13	174:18	<b>simple</b> 21:11,14
services 23:15	161:13 169:19	<b>shown</b> 30:23	58:12
29:10,10 119:1	<b>shifted</b> 132:15	183:13 196:16	sincerely
<b>set</b> 66:1 99:15	132:17 151:11	<b>shows</b> 42:3	196:21
104:20 117:16	<b>shifting</b> 121:14	<b>shut</b> 191:4	<b>single</b> 84:22
141:5 154:7	<b>shoot</b> 61:3	<b>shy</b> 123:1	175:14
161:15 166:11	shooters 11:20	144:21	sir 172:2
195:7	11:22	<b>side</b> 28:1 66:2	190:23 196:10
settle 54:6	shooting 10:23	78:20 82:16	sit 73:6 81:12
<b>seven</b> 6:15	14:19,21 15:21	83:7 85:6	81:15 114:16
31:14 73:18,18	17:20,23 24:2	99:16 117:16	116:13 128:22
seventh 69:21	26:23 42:18	154:8 166:12	169:8,11 175:8
71:17 82:1	101:3 102:22	173:8 185:18	175:12
180:21	161:22 177:12	185:21 187:21	sitting 57:11
several 15:22	<b>shops</b> 104:13	<b>sift</b> 149:12	73:15 114:20
20:20 21:11	<b>short</b> 38:7	<b>sigale</b> 3:7 9:8	177:2 184:8,22
24:8 30:18	144:18	signature	situation 74:23
39:3,14,15	shorted 102:8	190:18 193:12	121:19,21
45:10 57:13	shorten 175:1	194:20 195:12	situations 99:2
72:16 91:19	shorthand 1:14	196:14	six 31:14 39:20
100:6 127:19	194:1 195:13	<b>signed</b> 197:13	size 21:22 22:2
142:1 143:19	<b>shot</b> 26:22 27:2	198:18	60:9 104:21
148:2 166:22	shotgun 44:4	significantly	143:16,17
168:1 182:14	48:11 74:20	89:13 159:16	145:5 146:7,14

[size - sporting] Page 47

	T	T	
147:7,21	87:7 89:8	<b>south</b> 2:18	specifics 51:6
<b>skew</b> 169:23	95:14 97:23	southern 1:2	98:2
skewed 141:4	99:9 115:2	194:7	specified 130:3
<b>slash</b> 71:3	122:23 123:2	southwick	131:4
111:14 181:2	124:6 125:22	109:21 110:1	speculation
<b>slightly</b> 148:13	164:13 174:5	119:4	78:12 79:7,8
157:16 170:7	174:12,17	<b>space</b> 111:21	114:9
176:4	186:9	<b>spans</b> 175:2	speeders 126:9
<b>slip</b> 42:23 44:5	somebody's	<b>speak</b> 17:11	126:14 128:1
slipped 44:1	47:4	speaking 21:24	128:19,24
<b>small</b> 36:19	somewhat	23:10	spell 8:5
44:5,6 53:10	52:15 123:21	speaks 29:5	spelled 74:13
147:18 177:11	132:17 140:11	special 58:15	<b>spend</b> 138:8
<b>smaller</b> 146:14	170:12	specific 25:3	spending
smbtrials.com	<b>sorry</b> 27:18,20	32:10 40:19	138:11
2:10,11	28:9 72:15	59:16 60:21	<b>spent</b> 46:22
<b>smith</b> 3:3	80:1 90:8	61:9 63:16	61:8 77:18
smoothly 6:18	121:19 136:16	68:19,20 69:3	<b>spit</b> 22:22
snapshot 149:9	149:24 160:16	73:8 74:22	105:5
157:6	162:6 191:9	75:9 87:3	<b>spm</b> 1:6
<b>sold</b> 59:19	<b>sort</b> 88:11	96:10 97:10	<b>spoke</b> 9:21,24
101:12 109:5,6	126:6 144:2	99:3 109:7	sponsored
114:5	<b>sounds</b> 26:10	149:5 158:7	102:21
solicit 107:9	27:6 28:23	162:2 165:10	sporting 10:15
soliciting 107:7	149:20	175:23 192:12	24:21 25:20,23
<b>solid</b> 49:9	<b>source</b> 44:8,16	specifically	30:17 34:23,24
143:1,4 145:16	44:20 45:5	18:24 35:4,7	35:14,14,16,17
184:20	57:17 64:17	49:16 62:19	35:22 36:11,17
solutions 196:1	73:6 139:20	71:24 72:4	37:9,15 38:2
199:1	sources 43:12	81:1 95:16	39:18 41:2
somebody 12:4	44:13 45:6,13	101:16 112:13	42:5,6 43:16
12:5,8 27:24	45:19 48:3	132:12 135:14	43:22 44:2
42:11 46:4	64:17 83:13	143:7 148:6	47:11 48:16,17
48:21 53:22,24	114:22 119:3	152:12 175:22	49:3,16,21
56:7 58:10		176:6,11,24	50:2,6,18 51:9
	1	1	

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

## [sporting - states]

Page 48

- 2			•
51:18,23 52:7	154:16 155:21	spreadsheet	65:20 74:15
52:13,22 53:10	160:4 161:22	55:5 66:11,14	124:6 158:18
53:11 55:1,3	169:17 177:5,9	66:16,16 67:9	158:22
55:11,14 56:2	178:6,12 179:4	70:14 72:8	staple 70:1
57:18,20 58:11	179:10,12,19	77:9,15 78:1,3	<b>start</b> 40:19
60:21 67:2	187:7,13	81:3 85:8	45:3
68:16,21 69:12	189:10,15,19	87:15 88:1	started 14:19
69:13 73:21	190:6,10,15,23	89:15 96:9	24:6,7 33:13
76:23 79:12	191:8,11,13,19	98:4 180:19	34:8 35:24
82:19 83:2	192:6,8,18,21	189:21	36:23 37:16
86:9,17,21	193:7	spreadsheets	79:2 91:7
87:9 90:4,14	<b>sports</b> 10:23	77:23	111:21 119:2
90:23 92:5,8	14:19,21 15:21	squirrels 61:3	starting 60:8
92:10,21,24	39:18 42:17	<b>staff</b> 178:12	174:6
93:6,12 94:23	101:3 102:22	<b>stage</b> 103:10	<b>starts</b> 168:4
95:13,16,19	118:13,16	<b>stamm</b> 1:14,23	<b>state</b> 1:15 2:14
96:6 97:14	119:7,12,21	194:1	6:11 8:2 14:14
109:5 110:19	120:1,2,22	<b>stamp</b> 40:22	17:21 28:20
110:22 111:8	121:17 122:9	64:9 66:10	39:17 56:9
111:14,17,24	122:17,22	75:15,16,17	86:12 141:7
112:4 118:9	123:3,16	102:7 116:22	194:2 197:10
122:10 123:8	124:19 125:8	157:9 166:16	198:15
125:18,21,22	128:5,12 133:6	stamped 42:14	<b>stated</b> 13:18
125:23,24	133:10,21	75:11 76:19	45:11 90:7
127:5 129:5,13	134:18 140:13	<b>stand</b> 24:20	95:10 152:16
129:17 130:15	141:19 144:9	65:21 124:11	160:2
132:15,19	145:18,22	169:7	statement
133:15 134:6	151:1 152:22	standard 31:9	165:1,1 197:13
135:15 136:2,4	152:24 153:5	32:5 92:19	197:14 198:19
136:6,20	153:17 155:23	107:6 127:23	198:19
137:10,15,19	156:9,13	130:22 144:7	statements
138:9 139:15	157:11 161:22	standards	163:21
140:7,23	167:6,12	22:24	states 1:1,12
144:10 148:23	168:21 169:1	<b>stands</b> 11:22	44:21 55:15
149:9 152:8	172:7	32:20 44:16	67:3 103:2

[states - sure] Page 49

	1		
104:3 110:4	<b>stood</b> 150:4	110:18 114:2	summarize
112:18 130:12	151:4	125:4 126:5	43:21
141:23 144:11	<b>stop</b> 26:21	137:22 138:23	<b>summary</b> 31:17
146:2,17	stopped 122:2	147:4 155:13	84:7 150:4,8
159:19 194:6	store 104:15	155:16 161:23	<b>suny</b> 19:14
statistic 21:1	<b>stores</b> 59:18	170:18 171:14	superior 196:1
statistical	stories 18:10	171:16	supervise 16:14
20:19 21:9,14	<b>story</b> 119:24	<b>stuff</b> 22:6 88:11	supervisors
21:18 110:10	140:12	127:10 128:8	26:16
124:21 141:20	straightlining	129:3 143:4	<b>supply</b> 143:14
152:10	126:16,16	<b>style</b> 111:14,18	support 11:19
statistically	strategy 128:23	112:7	12:5 109:20
21:12 104:21	<b>street</b> 1:16 2:18	subject 189:6	supporting
statisticians	strictly 18:7	submitted	30:2 31:4
20:21	27:12 56:18	30:15	186:14
statistics 19:20	58:3 107:13	subscribed	<b>suppose</b> 102:12
19:22 20:5	188:18	197:10 198:14	supposed 76:10
23:5 39:19	strike 18:1	199:21	<b>sure</b> 6:17,19
125:5 143:15	36:14 69:9	subscribers	22:11 23:7,13
<b>status</b> 148:13	89:11 99:1	139:13	24:19 25:11
stenographic	124:17 170:9	substantially	29:7 31:8 32:6
194:15	189:16	120:24 191:6	32:22 33:5
<b>step</b> 94:1	strikes 84:17	<b>sudden</b> 138:14	41:20 44:12
100:17 142:18	<b>strive</b> 142:23	sufficient	45:17 46:1,12
<b>steps</b> 47:24	strived 22:7	104:21	48:2,2 51:12
104:19 109:13	<b>strong</b> 145:19	sufficiently	52:4 53:3 54:8
122:15 123:17	152:1	147:8	57:23 61:16
127:13 169:22	stronger	suggested	62:11 64:4
steve 45:1 46:2	108:21 135:5	26:18 134:19	70:9 71:3,14
46:11 60:1	<b>struck</b> 29:15	179:19	72:2,10,23
61:15	stuck 122:6	<b>suit</b> 195:5	75:2 76:24
stewards 11:12	studied 104:6	<b>suite</b> 1:16 2:7	81:19,23 82:20
11:24 14:16	<b>study</b> 10:15	196:2	86:3 91:6
155:15	22:3 26:15	<b>sum</b> 79:20	98:15 100:20
	30:17 57:18		105:10 106:14

[sure - talk] Page 50

108:6 110:7	126:10,22	107:3 110:14	synonymously
121:22 122:5,8	127:16 128:10	111:22 118:13	111:18
122:18 124:21	129:8,8,10,11	118:16 119:7	<b>system</b> 49:9
125:8 127:13	130:3 131:4	119:13,21	t
129:19 132:5	134:4 135:1,13	120:2,3,23	t 3:9 5:1 8:6
136:17,24	135:14,23	121:18 122:9	11:21 26:24
138:20 139:10	136:1,9 137:3	122:17,22	110:11
140:3 144:17	137:13 138:19	123:3,16	tail 112:11
146:4 155:4	139:18,21	124:19 125:8	tah 112.11 take 7:17,18
157:19,22	141:21 143:22	125:14 128:5,9	19:20 20:15
165:6 167:9	148:10,14,18	128:13,17	38:6,20,22
169:9 170:20	150:14,17	133:6,11,21	57:16 93:24
170:22 173:12	151:21,24	134:19 140:13	94:7 97:13
174:7 176:13	154:6,24 155:5	141:14,20	100:17 109:13
178:9 180:1,19	155:19 157:12	142:2 143:23	122:4 126:5,19
181:2,12 184:1	158:16,17,24	144:9 145:18	128:19 133:8
184:18 185:16	159:2 161:2	145:22 151:2	136:17,21
188:19,23	162:11,15,19	152:22,24	139:18 144:15
192:2	162:20 163:3	153:6,18,19	150:9 162:14
surprise 28:3	163:13,17,22	155:24 156:10	164:1,16
<b>survey</b> 10:14	163:24 164:11	156:13 157:12	169:21 174:4
20:13 22:1	164:16 165:2,3	159:11 162:13	178:2 187:14
26:3 30:21	165:11,13,16	163:4 165:20	193:12
99:23 100:10	166:5,9 167:18	166:3 167:7,12	taken 1:13 9:2
101:7,10	167:23 169:12	168:21 169:1	38:8 94:9
102:23 103:1	169:14 170:24	172:8 173:22	103:4 104:19
103:22 104:4	171:1 174:21	175:13	128:10 143:18
105:15 106:3	174:23 175:10	swanson 2:3	144:19 145:6
106:17,19	175:11 176:6,7	<b>swath</b> 135:9	takes 142:18
107:10,16,20	176:15 177:8	switch 16:3	162:14
108:1,1,17	178:8,14	171:18	talk 10:13
109:14,22	179:18	sworn 6:2,5,20	18:11 60:1
110:12 112:5,6	<b>survey's</b> 134:5	194:11 197:10	94:16 124:4,6
120:8,20	surveys 21:13	197:13 198:14	138:18
124:10 126:2,7	106:11,12	198:18 199:21	150.10

[talked - think] Page 51

	1000		100 10 10 10
talked 63:6	190:9	test 126:19	122:18 126:19
72:10 83:20	<b>telling</b> 168:24	tested 157:22	126:21 156:7
104:23 110:23	169:12	testified 6:5	158:18,22
113:18 142:1	ten 48:24 66:22	67:20 68:12	163:10 164:15
142:21 146:13	73:18 88:12	90:2,7 188:6	164:17 182:18
147:23 150:24	98:22 106:3,4	<b>testify</b> 13:19	182:19
182:1,10 183:6	120:4 128:15	28:8 166:8	<b>things</b> 13:16
187:21	131:11 132:5	194:11	25:20 37:24
<b>talking</b> 7:2 9:13	134:18 140:2	testifying 6:22	81:10 84:9
77:10 92:8,12	140:11 143:2	testimony 7:24	91:12 99:10
92:14 123:21	148:20 152:14	11:5 14:1,2	101:1 126:15
130:22 132:3	156:6 157:15	29:5 31:18	135:19 140:10
144:23 185:7	159:6,9 161:3	43:19 50:21	150:8 155:8
192:9 193:8	165:5 174:24	78:10 79:7	174:20 177:1,7
<b>talks</b> 181:1	189:1	83:20 107:22	190:20
tap 148:14	<b>tenure</b> 62:12	184:11 188:1,7	think 37:23
<b>tapped</b> 148:19	112:10 132:20	188:12 189:5	40:14 46:12
<b>target</b> 17:23	156:8	189:14,17	48:23 58:5
24:1 177:11	term 21:21	190:3,3 194:13	60:15 69:22
tax 17:21	22:9 34:22	194:18 195:7	72:12 73:20
<b>team</b> 16:18,19	35:17,22 37:14	197:6,7 198:6	74:14 76:24
16:20,23 17:17	37:19,22 38:1	198:9,12	77:10 79:9,11
27:14,15 40:3	43:18,21 65:9	text 163:19,21	83:9,9,10,19
40:5 88:10	92:5,5 93:6,12	164:5,10	84:23 85:16
122:3 174:5	102:16 110:19	thank 51:15	96:20 97:9
techniques	111:4,18	87:20 94:15	98:11,12 99:17
152:11	129:16 132:15	98:14 162:9	101:12 103:9
tell 9:23 27:9	142:15,16	180:12 193:9	105:23 108:8
33:8 52:20	terminology	thankfully	109:20 111:21
56:1 71:23,24	32:21 110:23	93:22	113:18,23
76:18,21 80:24	terms 13:15	<b>theirs</b> 122:21	114:13 120:17
94:4 97:16	33:7 34:6	thereof 195:6	122:12 126:24
126:24 137:24	45:18 59:11	<b>thing</b> 31:4 49:7	131:12 132:2
140:2 151:10	93:1 128:9	53:23 71:13	134:16 135:4
167:14 187:18	140:7	76:11 89:9	135:21 141:2,8

[think - topic] Page 52

141:9 142:17	three 8:16,24	128:21 131:10	115:16 116:13
142:21 149:7	9:4 10:5,12	132:16 133:8	128:22 139:11
151:6 155:9	24:14 59:7	137:17 139:10	165:22 169:8
157:3 159:4	63:5 84:9,17	139:11,21	169:11 175:8
166:9 167:22	87:11 117:4,8	149:10 151:2	175:12 177:2
177:13,22	151:9 162:23	152:21 153:22	184:8,22
181:8 182:1	174:15	156:22 159:7	185:23 189:6
184:3 185:19	<b>throw</b> 114:11	161:7 164:12	192:9,16 193:8
190:2	<b>tight</b> 142:24	164:17 165:12	together 50:7
thinking 33:12	time 7:10,10	175:6,16 180:8	61:11 89:19
34:18 49:1	19:7 22:13	187:13 188:10	122:13 133:12
56:7 120:15	23:21 24:24	188:20	<b>told</b> 112:6,9
<b>third</b> 8:21	25:18,21 27:15	times 6:14 9:23	182:22 184:7
44:23 49:12	27:19,20 30:12	26:17 47:1	ton 83:10
116:21 148:7	33:1 36:1	58:12 68:13	took 19:22
173:2 179:17	38:22 41:9	79:11 148:2	20:11 101:10
182:19	45:1,2,10	162:13 175:7	122:15 123:17
<b>thirty</b> 196:18	47:19 49:3	181:24 186:9	155:16 174:23
thomas 3:8	53:21 54:11	188:7	188:15
thorough 37:21	55:19,24 61:8	<b>tin</b> 61:4	tool 81:2
84:21	70:15 72:7	<b>title</b> 15:16	136:22
thoroughly	73:15 77:18	58:23 63:3	<b>tooting</b> 93:19
118:3	79:9,19 81:17	167:15	top 18:20 41:1
thought 25:22	82:11,23 84:12	<b>titled</b> 39:10	43:10 46:13
73:16,19 78:15	84:19 86:24	99:22 161:21	60:11 69:24
92:15 128:7	87:24 88:13	titles 16:3	71:17 85:4
133:15 170:3	94:3 97:18	tobacco 44:17	106:8 133:2
thousand 22:20	98:17,22 103:7	44:17	138:21 167:13
72:18 95:18	104:3,6 107:19	today 6:18,20	171:21 186:21
96:21,23 106:6	109:6 111:22	7:24 9:15 12:9	<b>topic</b> 23:7
140:18 145:13	113:16 116:12	28:8 61:8	25:23 26:15,18
146:24 180:3	120:14,18,19	62:20 67:5	90:10 112:11
thousands 80:6	120:21 123:10	73:6,16 81:12	123:8,9 135:15
threat 172:23	124:9 126:4	81:15 107:22	177:16
	127:12 128:10	114:16,20	
L	1	1	

[topics - under] Page 53

<b>topics</b> 25:6,9,14	transcribed	<b>truth</b> 194:12,12	typical 12:13
26:2,7,11 30:1	197:7	194:13	35:11 73:11
39:16,20	transcript 8:19	truthful 7:24	79:19 168:19
total 8:24 54:18	10:6,7 194:18	<b>try</b> 7:1,2 34:11	173:18
55:10 58:7	196:11,12	135:2 149:18	typically 96:2,8
59:3,9 71:2,21	197:5,12 198:5	150:21 152:16	106:12 107:9
75:21 79:20	198:11,17	<b>trying</b> 16:3	110:14 111:19
95:6 97:7	transcription	36:3 83:4 90:9	152:16 163:21
104:2 105:17	194:17	132:2 150:2,11	<b>typing</b> 45:18
109:4,16	transfer 108:16	186:8	u
113:12 116:24	transferred	<b>turn</b> 31:7 40:21	
144:9 146:1,8	56:8	43:8 64:7	<b>u</b> 8:6,6,6 <b>u.s.</b> 33:15 39:11
147:9,22 181:1	transition 16:7	69:16 82:1	
181:22 183:9	trappers 11:20	102:6 116:21	42:4,6 44:8,22
183:24 184:4	11:22	148:5 158:8	48:6,8 49:6,8
<b>totals</b> 191:19	travel 11:6	<b>two</b> 10:1 16:18	49:13,22 54:8
<b>touch</b> 17:8	<b>trend</b> 47:19	16:19,20 24:19	55:10,21 56:3
53:24	121:6,8 123:13	26:17 33:17	56:6,8,17 59:1
<b>touched</b> 100:13	153:13 157:19	59:4 66:1 99:9	59:24 64:6,18
toward 34:20	158:2,4	119:3,23 126:8	68:8 71:3
112:11 118:12	<b>tried</b> 22:23	142:18 162:22	75:19 102:20
towards 139:1	25:21 28:17	type 21:13	113:8 114:19 130:14 143:22
track 54:15	109:10,19	25:20 39:8,12	181:2 183:24
75:18 88:14	128:7 136:3	46:7 53:23	ultimate
162:20	142:2,22 186:7	86:8 89:9	191:16
tracked 112:10	<b>trigger</b> 35:3,8	126:19 129:14	
<b>tracks</b> 75:13	75:17 111:2	156:7 162:4,10	ultimately 80:7 unavailable
<b>trade</b> 23:13	193:3	163:1 164:17	83:6
26:23 44:21	triggers 192:11	172:22 173:2	under 6:21
47:7 100:20	<b>trip</b> 90:9	173:20	12:11 33:16,21
traditional	true 156:23	<b>types</b> 33:17	36:7 41:1 43:5
35:12,15 50:1	157:20 186:19	37:4 43:13	43:7 52:6 70:1
50:5,13 51:9	194:18	52:11 127:22	75:11 94:19
90:19 92:13	<b>trust</b> 80:13	typewriting	102:16 127:9
190:14		194:16	136:6 143:12
			130.0 143.12

[under - utilize] Page 54

			,
190:5,10	113:22 137:14	<b>upper</b> 75:16	116:1,9 117:11
192:13,15	143:20 145:10	<b>usa</b> 118:13,16	119:3 120:3
undercount	165:13,16	167:7	127:16 128:24
82:20	176:2 177:18	<b>usable</b> 159:12	130:11 131:21
undercounted	understood 7:8	159:17	142:15 144:4
82:19	111:5 160:19	<b>usage</b> 135:20	152:11,24
underlying	189:5	use 12:18 22:19	154:2 157:14
43:11 145:5	undetermined	23:22 56:20,21	157:23 158:1
146:8 147:9,21	194:6	56:24 57:1	163:16 164:20
158:17 160:4	unfortunately	58:3 59:23	165:9,23
161:1	71:24 73:8	71:8 73:13	168:13 169:3
undermine	81:15 105:10	75:24 91:24	169:13 170:16
31:19	127:18 132:12	92:4 93:2	171:14,15
understand	143:21 146:3	108:19 112:3	174:6 177:9,19
6:21 7:6 25:15	155:17 169:6	120:24 125:17	182:15 183:18
34:6 47:18	174:16 182:12	125:21,23	189:13 192:16
50:23 77:6	<b>united</b> 1:1,12	127:4 139:20	useful 46:20
79:18 83:17	44:21 55:15	149:14 152:3	136:11
84:23 94:19	67:3 104:3	154:5 160:20	<b>user</b> 127:9
113:14 123:22	112:18 130:12	165:7,13,16	uses 43:15
123:24 137:7	141:23 144:11	178:12,23	111:19 159:21
149:19 150:19	146:1,17 194:6	179:9 183:3	160:3,10
155:3 190:2	university	185:17	171:12 177:23
understanding	19:15,18	<b>used</b> 22:4,9	<b>using</b> 61:3
21:1,21 22:15	unquote 192:5	24:5 37:10,17	83:13,16 89:2
24:24 28:12	unreliable	41:20 43:12,18	89:2,13,16
29:14 32:24	31:12	43:21 46:9	92:20 121:12
33:2,6,10	<b>unsure</b> 54:13	47:23,24 57:6	177:5 178:5,11
35:10 37:19,22	update 41:21	59:12 63:15	179:4,7 192:7
47:12 56:22	42:24 91:13	65:9 70:12	<b>usual</b> 76:14
60:8 72:6	updated 41:23	72:9 81:3 93:1	82:23 86:24
73:10 75:5	42:23 63:9	93:11 95:10	91:15 93:2
81:13 82:23	65:23 91:10	106:9 109:15	usually 96:17
87:1 89:1 96:1	156:1 157:12	111:4,18 113:3	utilize 70:20
96:3 98:9		113:20 114:5	

[utilized - way] Page 55

			,
utilized 70:16	63:9,16,18	voids 25:7	184:17 185:15
<b>uzi</b> 191:13	64:8,21 65:3	<b>vouch</b> 116:14	185:18 188:9
$\mathbf{v}$	65:16 66:16,19	<b>vrablic</b> 16:1,14	188:10,13
v 167:24 196:6	67:6,13,18	17:15 18:6	wanted 31:5,24
197:3 198:3	100:8 103:14	40:2 87:16,18	33:13 37:24
<b>v5</b> 167:23	156:16,19	87:21 89:13	46:19 47:13,15
v3 107.23 valuable 93:20	163:1,12,12,16	<b>vs</b> 1:6	86:15 91:13
106:24 141:16	167:24 169:10	w	108:17 111:23
149:2	170:1,8,8,20,21	<b>w</b> 110:11	119:5 121:5
variant 190:24	170:21 171:4,8	<b>wabash</b> 1:16	122:5,8 123:6
191:1,13	173:24 174:1,9	2:6	127:8 129:18
variations	174:10 178:9	wait 79:13	136:5 148:22
143:19	versions 63:22	<b>waived</b> 194:21	154:5 157:17
various 138:24	155:18 168:1	196:19	157:22 174:7
158:24	versus 33:11	<b>walking</b> 47:24	186:3
varmint 177:11	50:13,13 56:14	want 9:20	wants 9:8
variant 177.11 vary 147:21	64:24 117:14	14:22 17:10	171:11
vary 147.21 vast 16:22	146:9	22:2 31:8 32:3	<b>watch</b> 38:10
43:24 49:22	vet 122:16	32:21 50:14	way 28:14
53:9 56:5 88:8	123:18	58:17 61:19	36:20 37:1
89:5 91:23	<b>veteran</b> 148:13	75:3 84:21	52:19 54:14
92:6 96:24	150:16	89:22 98:3	57:7 59:22
97:9 99:7	veterans	103:24 105:5	66:19 72:3
vendor 128:4,6	151:12 152:13	103:24 103:3	83:23 89:21
163:8	<b>vetted</b> 119:1,8	112:14 115:1	92:16 107:24
vendors 118:23	122:8	117:18 130:1	114:21 121:11
122:19 154:2	vice 27:17	132:22 133:22	121:14 138:17
verbiage	video 3:5	134:20,21	140:4 145:15
165:24	129:12 130:18	138:10,13,18	145:16 151:17
veritext 196:1,7	<b>view</b> 21:18	142:6 149:18	157:24 158:16
199:1	30:24	160:15,20	162:16,17,18
veritext.com.	<b>visits</b> 140:5	168:3 171:20	162:19 170:10
196:17	<b>vogts</b> 2:5 9:7	172:9 174:24	178:19 180:5
<b>version</b> 32:9	166:21	175:1,22 179:8	183:5 186:24
40:8 62:24		179:8 180:3,4	195:4,5
70.0 02.2 <del>7</del>		177.0 100.5,4	

[ways - yeah] Page 56

<b>ways</b> 124:1	152:10,15	166:7 176:11	40:13,13,15
145:11 150:23	<b>weird</b> 77:22	180:1,11 186:1	64:4 91:11
<b>we've</b> 130:22	welcome 7:17	188:13 189:24	93:13 119:6
170:7 192:8	wells 2:16	190:2 191:22	122:10 123:16
<b>weapon</b> 178:16	went 73:17	194:5,14,16,19	130:12 132:14
179:20,20	86:7 89:14	196:8,11 197:1	155:8 163:7
weapons 14:14	91:8,9 103:14	197:4,11 198:1	167:9
98:19,21 140:8	103:16 136:14	198:4,15	working 26:21
178:18	157:8 163:13	witness' 196:14	36:1 72:7
website 44:3	168:1,23	<b>word</b> 133:1	87:24 119:12
48:20 52:4	174:10,12	162:11	140:12 165:12
73:17 76:4	186:5 190:4	words 150:17	<b>worry</b> 82:8
79:10 82:5,14	whereof 195:7	<b>work</b> 11:18	<b>worth</b> 63:5
82:24 83:14	<b>wife</b> 11:2	12:14,19,19	writing 151:4
84:3,3,12,13,13	<b>wild</b> 12:19	13:12 14:17,23	160:17
85:1 86:6,8,16	17:21 28:20	17:2 18:14	<b>written</b> 14:5,8
87:11 90:18	wilmington	19:1,6 20:10	26:6 87:16
99:11 141:8	19:16	29:16,17 31:20	133:10 185:6
143:10 182:19	<b>win</b> 107:4	36:9,13,15	<b>wrong</b> 47:5
187:11,12	126:11 134:22	37:10,18,21	159:8
190:4	134:24	63:21 81:3	<b>wrote</b> 71:14
websites	<b>wipe</b> 126:2	88:16,24 89:18	133:12 172:3
138:24 187:5	wish 132:13	100:18 118:19	173:11,16
188:2 189:7	<b>wit</b> 194:3	118:21 119:5,5	186:1
<b>weed</b> 135:2	witness 4:2 6:1	119:13 120:1	X
136:3	6:4 8:12 13:19	120:11,12,15	<b>x</b> 4:1 5:1 22:22
weeding 128:9	29:7,20 30:23	122:7,23	50:5 90:21
128:12	31:18 39:1	123:14 125:10	143:11
weeks 179:23	78:11,13 79:8	125:11	
<b>weight</b> 110:14	87:6 102:11	worked 8:15	<b>y</b>
142:5 152:16	105:21 108:6,8	10:15 14:16	yeah 22:18
152:20	109:19 114:10	20:20 24:3	23:2 26:13
weighting	131:2 137:7	27:24 28:1,20	31:21 32:20
110:10,16,17	140:10 145:9	33:1 34:9 39:2	34:2,10 41:12
141:21 142:2,3	146:13 150:21	39:3,13 40:3	53:14,15 57:4

[yeah - zeros] Page 57

# Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 30

- (e) Review By the Witness; Changes.
- (1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:
- (A) to review the transcript or recording; and
- (B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.
- (2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted

fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions'
confidentiality and security policies and practices
should be directed to Veritext's Client Services
Associates indicated on the cover of this document or
at www.veritext.com.