ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT A	ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Robert J. Nelson (State	Bar No. 132797)	
Lieff Cabraser Heimann		
	Floor, San Francisco, CA 94111-3339	
TELEPHONE NO.: 415		
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): The	(4,4,4,4,7,110.000.1000	
	lley and Denis O'Sullivan	
	ALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Sacramento	
STREET ADDRESS: 720 9th		
MAILING ADDRESS: 720 9th		
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Sacram		
	D. Schaber Courthouse	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONEF	R: Kelley and Denis O'Sullivan	
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT	T: Ghost Gunner, Inc., et al.	
C	ASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT	CASE NUMBER:
l —	NLIMITED CASE LIMITED CASE	34-2021-00302934
	Amount demanded (Amount demanded is \$25,000	
ex	xceeds \$25,000) or less)	
A CASE MANAGEMENT	CONFERENCE is scheduled as	
		Doom:
follows: Date: 11/15/202		Div.: Room:
Address of court (if different	ent from the address above):	
	Appear by Telephone, by (name): Robert J. Nelson; Caitl	in M. Woods
INSTRUCTION	NS: All applicable boxes must be checked, and the specified	I information must be provided.
		Р
	•	
	ment is submitted by party (name): ment is submitted jointly by parties (names): See attachment	1/b)
D. TIIIS State	ment is submitted jointly by parties (<i>names).</i> See attachment	1(6)
2. Complaint and cross	s-complaint (to be answered by plaintiffs and cross-complainant	s only)
	as filed on (date): June 17, 2021	•,
b. The cross-	-complaint, if any, was filed on (date):	
0 0 1 1 1		
	red by plaintiffs and cross-complainants only)	
	named in the complaint and cross-complaint have been served,	have appeared, or have been dismissed.
	ing parties named in the complaint or cross-complaint	
(1)	have not been served (specify names and explain why not):	
(2)	have been corried but have not appeared and have not been	dismissed (specify names):
(2)	have been served but have not appeared and have not been a See attachment 3(b)(2)	uismisseu (specny names).
(3)	have had a default entered against them (specify names):	
(-)	(.,,,	
c. The followi	ing additional parties may be added (specify names, nature of in	volvement in case, and date by which
they may b		•
4 December of the		
4. Description of case a. Type of case in	complaint cross-complaint (Describe, ir	ncluding causes of action):
	•	
	 case alleging: (1) negligence; (2) negligence per se; (3) r riolation of Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 et seq. Defendants 	

CM-110

	PLAINTIFF.	/PETITIONER: Kelley and Denis O'Sullivan	CASE NUMBER.
D	EFENDANT/R	ESPONDENT: Ghost Gunner, Inc., et al.	34-2021-00302934
4.	damages earnings	a brief statement of the case, including any damages. (If personal injury dams claimed, including medical expenses to date [indicate source and amount], to date, and estimated future lost earnings. If equitable relief is sought, descaphent 4(b)	estimated future medical expenses, lost
	// //f ma	ura anaca ia nacedad, abaal, thia bay and attach a naga designated as Attach	mont 4h)
_	•	re space is needed, check this box and attach a page designated as Attachi	nent 40.)
5.	Jury or non The party or requesting a	parties request a jury trial a nonjury trial. (If more than	one party, provide the name of each party
6.	Trial date		
		e trial has been set for (date):	
	(if	trial date has been set. This case will be ready for trial within 12 months of not, explain): Penalty phase in related criminal prosecution expected titical discovery cannot occur until that time	
		n which parties or attorneys will not be available for trial (specify dates and e	xplain reasons for unavailability):
7.	Estimated le	ength of trial	
	The party or	parties estimate that the trial will take (check one):	
		ys (specify number): 10	
	b ho	ours (short causes) (specify):	
8.	The party or a. Attorney b. Firm: c. Address	:	ne caption by the following:
		ne number: f. Fax numbe	
	e. E-mail a ✓ Additi	ddress: g. Party repronal representation is described in Attachment 8.	esented:
9.	Preference		
		ase is entitled to preference (specify code section):	
10.		dispute resolution (ADR)	
	the ADR	formation package. Please note that different ADR processes are available the information package provided by the court under rule 3.221 for information documently programs in this case.	
		rties represented by counsel: Counsel has has not provid 3.221 to the client and reviewed ADR options with the client.	ed the ADR information package identified
	(2) For se	If-represented parties: Party has has not reviewed the ADR in	nformation package identified in rule 3.221.
		to judicial arbitration or civil action mediation (if available).	
	(1)	This matter is subject to mandatory judicial arbitration under Code of Civil F mediation under Code of Civil Procedure section 1775.3 because the amountatutory limit.	Procedure section 1141.11 or to civil action into the interest of the interest
	(2)	Plaintiff elects to refer this case to judicial arbitration and agrees to limit rec Civil Procedure section 1141.11.	overy to the amount specified in Code of
	(3)	This case is exempt from judicial arbitration under rule 3.811 of the Californ mediation under Code of Civil Procedure section 1775 et seq. (specify exe	ia Rules of Court or from civil action mption):
		(1) At least one claim exceeds the jurisdictional limit on amount in controve	rsy; and (2) Plaintiffs demand equitable relie

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Kelley and Denis O'Sullivan	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Ghost Gunner, Inc., et al.	34-2021-00302934

10. c. Indicate the ADR process or processes that the party or parties are willing to participate in, have agreed to participate in, or have already participated in *(check all that apply and provide the specified information):*

	The party or parties completing this form are willing to participate in the following ADR processes (check all that apply):	If the party or parties completing this form in the case have agreed to participate in or have already completed an ADR process or processes, indicate the status of the processes (attach a copy of the parties' ADR stipulation):
(1) Mediation		 Mediation session not yet scheduled Mediation session scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete mediation by (date): Mediation completed on (date):
(2) Settlement conference		Settlement conference not yet scheduled Settlement conference scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete settlement conference by (date): Settlement conference completed on (date):
(3) Neutral evaluation		Neutral evaluation not yet scheduled Neutral evaluation scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete neutral evaluation by (date): Neutral evaluation completed on (date):
(4) Nonbinding judicial arbitration		Judicial arbitration not yet scheduled Judicial arbitration scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete judicial arbitration by (date): Judicial arbitration completed on (date):
(5) Binding private arbitration		Private arbitration not yet scheduled Private arbitration scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete private arbitration by (date): Private arbitration completed on (date):
(6) Other (specify):		ADR session not yet scheduled ADR session scheduled for (date): Agreed to complete ADR session by (date): ADR completed on (date):

CM-110 CASE NUMBER: PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Kelley and Denis O'Sullivan 34-2021-00302934 Ghost Gunner, Inc., et al. DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: 11. Insurance Insurance carrier, if any, for party filing this statement (name): Reservation of rights: Yes Coverage issues will significantly affect resolution of this case (explain): 12. Jurisdiction Indicate any matters that may affect the court's jurisdiction or processing of this case and describe the status. Bankruptcy Other (specify): Status: 13. Related cases, consolidation, and coordination a. There are companion, underlying, or related cases. (1) Name of case: California v. Adel Sambrano Ramos (2) Name of court: Sacramento Superior Court (3) Case number: 19FE011057 (4) Status: Pending; see 16(c) Additional cases are described in Attachment 13a. A motion to consolidate coordinate will be filed by (name party): 14. Bifurcation The party or parties intend to file a motion for an order bifurcating, severing, or coordinating the following issues or causes of action (specify moving party, type of motion, and reasons): 15. Other motions The party or parties expect to file the following motions before trial (specify moving party, type of motion, and issues): 16. Discovery a. ____ The party or parties have completed all discovery. b. The following discovery will be completed by the date specified (describe all anticipated discovery): Party Description Date Discovery has not commenced. The parties can propose a discovery schedule once certain proceedings are completed in the trial court in the criminal matter. c. The following discovery issues, including issues regarding the discovery of electronically stored information, are anticipated (specify):

In the related criminal prosecution, the defendant has changed his plea to guilty, and the penalty phase is expected to conclude by mid-November. Because law enforcement will not allow inspection of the recovered evidence until the trial has concluded, the signing parties propose that the Court postpone this CMC to December 13, 2024 to give the parties time to discuss with the DA's office how and when the parties can access the relevant evidence. The signing parties agree that inspection of the firearms is an important threshold issue which may

potentially exculpate certain defendants and/or establish which, if any, is the manufacturer.

CM-110

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER:	Kelley and Denis O'Sullivan		CASE NUMBER:
— DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT:	Ghost Gunner, Inc., et al.		34-2021-00302934
of Civil Procedure b. This is a limited of	e sections 90-98 will apply to this case. civil case and a motion to withdraw the ca filed (if checked, explain specifically why	ase from the economic	
conference (specify)	request that the following additional matt): gning parties request that the Court p		-
19. Meet and confer a. ☑️ The party or parti of Court <i>(if not, e.</i>	ies have met and conferred with all partie xplain):	es on all subjects requ	ired by rule 3.724 of the California Rules
b. After meeting and cor (specify): See 16(c).	nferring as required by rule 3.724 of the C	California Rules of Cou	urt, the parties agree on the following
20. Total number of pages atta	ached <i>(if any):</i>		
as well as other issues raised	his case and will be fully prepared to disc by this statement, and will possess the a ence, including the written authority of the	uthority to enter into s	tipulations on these issues at the time of
Date: 10/29/24			
Robert J. Nelson	R PRINT NAME)	Coluf	GNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)
Caitlin M. Woods		caitti	woods
(TYPE OF	R PRINT NAME)		gnature of party or attorney) gnatures are attached.

CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT FORM: ATTACHMENT 1(b)

This statement is submitted jointly by the parties listed below:

- Kelley and Denis O'Sullivan; and
- GHOST GUNNER INC., d/b/a GHOSTGUNNER.NET, and GHOST FIREARMS LLC, d/b/a GRID DEFENSE and GHOSTRIFLES.COM; and
- JUGGERNAUT TACTICAL, INC. d/b/a JTACTICAL.COM; and
- RYAN BEEZLEY AND BOB BEEZLEY d/b/a RBTACTICIALTOOLING.COM,
 THUNDER GUNS LLC, d/b/a THUNDERTACTICAL.COM, and BLACKHAWK
 MANUFACTURING GROUP INC., d/b/a 80PERCENTARMS.COM, MATRIX ARMS, d/b/
 a MATRIXARMS.COM, JAMES MADISON TACTICAL LLC, d/b/a
 JAMESMADISONTACTICAL.COM, MFY TECHNICAL SOLUTIONS LLC, d/b/a
 5DTACTICAL.COM, JSD SUPPLY, d/b/a JSDSUPPLY.COM and
 80PERCENTGUYS.COM; and
- TACTICAL GEAR HEADS LLC, d/b/a 80-LOWER.COM;
 AR-15LOWERRECEIVERS.COM and 80LOWERJIG.COM; and
- JAMES TROMBLEE, JR., d/b/a USPATRIOTARMORY.COM; and
- GHOST GUNNER INC., d/b/a GHOSTGUNNER.NET (DEFENSE DISTRIBUTED).
- WM. C. ANDERSON, INC., d/b/a ANDERSONMANUFACTURING.COM

CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT FORM: ATTACHMENT 3(b)(2)

The following parties have been served but have not appeared and have not been dismissed:

- INDUSTRY ARMAMENT INC., d/b/a AMERICANWEAPONSCOMPONENTS.COM;
- M-16 PARTS SUPPLY LLC, d/b/a M-16PARTS.COM; and
- POLYMER80, INC., d/b/a POLYMER80.COM and P80 TACTICAL.

2378198.2 CASE NO. 34-2021-00302934

CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT FORM: ATTACHMENT 4(b)

The parties jointly submit this Attachment 4(b) to the Case Management Statement, as follows:

Plaintiffs' Statement

Plaintiffs' daughter, a Sacramento police officer, was killed in the line of duty by one or more ghost gun products. Plaintiffs seek damages for her pain and suffering, loss of property, medical expenses, funeral expenses, and future contributions. Plaintiffs further seek punitive damages and equitable relief, including implementation of responsible business practices and abatement of public nuisance relating to Defendants' ghost gun products. Market share liability allows a plaintiff to establish a prima facie case against a group of defendants for an injury caused by a harmful product when it cannot be determined from which defendant the product originated. Liability is apportioned according to each defendants' respective market share of sales of that product when the harm occurred. It is appropriately applied here, to the extent that the very nature of Defendants' ghost gun products renders them untraceable, and Defendants together comprise a substantial share of the ghost gun market.

Defendants' Statement

Plaintiffs allege that the shooting incident at issue was perpetrated using one or more semi-automatic rifles assembled using a variety of readily available component parts, including an unfinished lower receiver – or "receiver blank" – which was machined further to become an operable lower receiver incorporated into a functioning firearm. Plaintiffs seek to hold numerous manufacturers, distributors and retailers of unfinished lower receivers – which were legal to manufacture and sell – liable for the criminal misuse of their products by a third party. Plaintiffs, however, concede that they do not know which, if any, of the defendants named in this action manufactured the parts used by the shooter to assemble the firearms. Plaintiffs are pursuing a market share liability theory for this reason. Market share liability, however, is an alternative theory of liability applicable in a very narrow subset of product liability cases where a group of manufacturers produce from an identical formula a defective product that poses a singular, inherent risk of harm. It does not – and cannot – apply in this case.

2378881.1 CASE NO. 34-2021-00302934

CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT FORM: ATTACHMENT 8

Additional representation at trial will be as follows:

• Sean A. Brady

Michel & Associates, P.C. Attorneys at Law

180 East Ocean Boulevard, Suite 200

Long Beach, CA 90802

Email: sbrady@michellawyers.com

Telephone: 562-216-4444 Facsimile: 562-216-4445

Attorneys for Defendants RYAN BEEZLEY AND BOB BEEZLEY d/b/a

RBTACTICIALTOOLING.COM, THUNDER GUNS LLC, d/b/a

THUNDERTACTICAL.COM, and BLACKHAWK MANUFACTURING GROUP INC., d/b/a 80PERCENTARMS.COM, MATRIX ARMS, d/b/a MATRIXARMS.COM, JAMES MADISON TACTICAL LLC, d/b/a JAMESMADISONTACTICAL.COM, MFY TECHNICAL SOLUTIONS LLC, d/b/a 5DTACTICAL.COM, JSD SUPPLY, d/

b/a JSDSUPPLY.COM and 80PERCENTGUYS.COM

David A. Melton

Porter Scott Law Firm

350 University Ave, Suite 200

Sacramento, CA

Email: dmelton@porterscott.com

Telephone: 916.929.1481 Facsimile: 916.927.3706

And

• Anthony M. Pisciotti

Danny Lallis

Ryan Erdreich

Pisciotti Lallis Erdreich, P.C.

30 Columbia Turnpike, Suite 205

Florham Park, NJ 07932

TEL: (973)245-8100

FAX: (973)245-8101

Email: apisciotti@pisciotti.com

dlallis@pisciotti.com

rerdreich@pisciotti.com

Attorneys for Defendant JAMES TROMBLEE, JR., d/b/a

USPATRIOTARMORY.COM

Howard Schilsky

Renzulli Law Firm, LLP

One N. Broadway, Suite 1005

White Plains, NY 10601

Email: hschilsky@renzullilaw.com

Telephone: 914-285-0700 Facsimile: 914-285-1213

And

Richard Linkert

Madison Simmons

Matheny Sears Linkert & Jaime LLP

3638 American River Drive

Sacramento, CA 95864-4711

rlinkert@mathenysears.com

msimmons@mathenysears.com

Attorneys for Defendant JUGGERNAUT TACTICAL, INC. d/b/a JTACTICAL.COM

• Brian M. Sanders

Lisa Corman

Koeller Nebeker, Carlson & Haluck, LLP

1478 Stone Point Dr, Suite 435

Roseville, CA 95661

Telephone ((916) 724-5700

Facsimile: (916) 788-2850

Email: brian.sanders@knchlaw.com

Lisa.corman@knchlaw.com

Attorneys for Defendant WM. C. ANDERSON, INC., d/b/a

ANDERSONMANUFACTURING.COM

David H. Pollock

Jacobsen & McElroy PC

2401 American River Drive, Suite 100

Sacramento, CA 95825

Email: dpollock@jacobsenmcelroy.com Telephone: (916) 971-4100 Ext. 231

Facsimile: (916) 971-4150

Attorneys for Defendants *GHOST FIREARMS LLC*, *d/b/a GRID DEFENSE and GHOSTRIFLES.COM*

• John W. Dillon

Dillon Law Group APC

2647 Gateway Rd Suite 105, No. 255

Carlsbad, CA 92009

Email: jdillon@dillonlawgp.com

Telephone: (760) 642-7150

Attorneys for Defendant GHOST GUNNER INC., d/b/a GHOSTGUNNER.NET

(DEFENSE DISTRIBUTED)

• Craig Livingston

Crystal Van Der Putten

Livingston Law Firm

1600 South Main Street, Suite 280

Walnut Creek, CA 94596

Email: clivingston@livingstonlawyers.com

cvanderputten@livingstonlawyers.com

Telephone: (925) 952-9880 Facsimile: (925) 952-9881

Attorneys for Defendants TACTICAL GEAR HEADS LLC, d/b/a 80-LOWER.COM;

AR-15LOWERRECEIVERS.COM and 80LOWERJIG.COM

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (NAME, STATE BAR # AND ADDRESS):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Robert J. Nelson (State Bar No. 132797)	
Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP	
275 Battery Street, 29th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94111-3339	
TELEPHONE NO. 415.956.1000 FAX NO. (Optional) 415.956.1008	
EMAIL ADDRESS (Optional)rnelson@lchb.com	
ATTORNEY FOR (NAME): Kelley and Denis O'Sullivan	_
Superior Court of California, County of	
Sacramento 720 Ninth Street Sacramento, CA 95814-1380	
(916) 874-5522—Website www.saccourt.ca.gov	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Kelley and Denis O'Sullivan	
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Ghost Gunner, Inc., et al.	
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT.	CASE NUMBER: 34-2021-00302934
MEDIATION STATEMENT	CASE NOWIDER: 34-2021-00302934
INESTATION OTAL EMENT	ASSIGNED DEPT:23
	ACCIONED DEL 1.=3
A CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE is	
scheduled as follows: Date: 11/15/24 Time:10:30AM Dept.: 23	
Address of court (if different from the address above):	
Address of court (if different from the address above):	
INSTRUCTIONS: All applicable boxes must be checked, and the speci	fied information must be provided.
INSTRUCTIONS: All applicable boxes must be checked, and the speci-	•
	ave agreed: alation and Order for Mediation form or
All parties have considered Mediation as a means to resolving this case and h Mediation is appropriate for this case. Parties have submitted a Stipu	ave agreed: slation and Order for Mediation form or Conference.
All parties have considered Mediation as a means to resolving this case and h Mediation is appropriate for this case. Parties have submitted a Stipu will submit a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management	ave agreed: slation and Order for Mediation form or Conference.
 All parties have considered Mediation as a means to resolving this case and had been defined in the mediation is appropriate for this case. Parties have submitted a Stipulation will submit a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management ☑ Mediation is not appropriate for this case for the following reasons: The parties concur that mediation is inappropriate at this early stage. The parties we have a properties of the following reasons: 	ave agreed: Ilation and Order for Mediation form or Conference. Vill reconsider whether mediation is
All parties have considered Mediation as a means to resolving this case and harmonic Mediation is appropriate for this case. Parties have submitted a <i>Stipul</i> will submit a <i>Stipulation</i> within 14 days following the Case Management ✓ Mediation is not appropriate for this case for the following reasons: The parties concur that mediation is inappropriate at this early stage. The parties was appropriate following resolution of any demurrers and/or completion of certain critical description.	ave agreed: Ilation and Order for Mediation form or Conference. Vill reconsider whether mediation is
 All parties have considered Mediation as a means to resolving this case and had been defined in the mediation is appropriate for this case. Parties have submitted a Stipulation will submit a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management ☑ Mediation is not appropriate for this case for the following reasons: The parties concur that mediation is inappropriate at this early stage. The parties we have a properties of the following reasons: 	ave agreed: Ilation and Order for Mediation form or Conference. Vill reconsider whether mediation is
All parties have considered Mediation as a means to resolving this case and harmonic Mediation is appropriate for this case. Parties have submitted a <i>Stipul</i> will submit a <i>Stipulation</i> within 14 days following the Case Management ✓ Mediation is not appropriate for this case for the following reasons: The parties concur that mediation is inappropriate at this early stage. The parties was appropriate following resolution of any demurrers and/or completion of certain critical description.	ave agreed: Ilation and Order for Mediation form or Conference. Vill reconsider whether mediation is
All parties have considered Mediation as a means to resolving this case and harmonic Mediation is appropriate for this case. Parties have submitted a <i>Stipul</i> will submit a <i>Stipulation</i> within 14 days following the Case Management ✓ Mediation is not appropriate for this case for the following reasons: The parties concur that mediation is inappropriate at this early stage. The parties was appropriate following resolution of any demurrers and/or completion of certain critical description.	ave agreed: Ilation and Order for Mediation form or Conference. Vill reconsider whether mediation is tical discovery regarding the specific discovery and Alternative Dispute authority to enter into stipulation on these
All parties have considered Mediation as a means to resolving this case and had Mediation is appropriate for this case. Parties have submitted a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management. Mediation is not appropriate for this case for the following reasons: The parties concur that mediation is inappropriate at this early stage. The parties was appropriate following resolution of any demurrers and/or completion of certain crievapons at issue. I am completely familiar with this case and will be fully prepared to discuss the status of Resolution (ADR), as well as other issues raised by this statement, and will possess the	ave agreed: Ilation and Order for Mediation form or Conference. Vill reconsider whether mediation is tical discovery regarding the specific discovery and Alternative Dispute authority to enter into stipulation on these
All parties have considered Mediation as a means to resolving this case and he Mediation is appropriate for this case. Parties have submitted a Stipulation will submit a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management. Mediation is not appropriate for this case for the following reasons: The parties concur that mediation is inappropriate at this early stage. The parties was appropriate following resolution of any demurrers and/or completion of certain crievageness at issue. I am completely familiar with this case and will be fully prepared to discuss the status of Resolution (ADR), as well as other issues raised by this statement, and will possess the issues at the time of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the Case Management Case Ma	ave agreed: Ilation and Order for Mediation form or Conference. Vill reconsider whether mediation is tical discovery regarding the specific discovery and Alternative Dispute authority to enter into stipulation on these
All parties have considered Mediation as a means to resolving this case and he Mediation is appropriate for this case. Parties have submitted a Stipulation will submit a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management. Mediation is not appropriate for this case for the following reasons: The parties concur that mediation is inappropriate at this early stage. The parties was appropriate following resolution of any demurrers and/or completion of certain crievageness at issue. I am completely familiar with this case and will be fully prepared to discuss the status of Resolution (ADR), as well as other issues raised by this statement, and will possess the issues at the time of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of the Case Management Case Ma	ave agreed: Ilation and Order for Mediation form or Conference. Vill reconsider whether mediation is tical discovery regarding the specific discovery and Alternative Dispute authority to enter into stipulation on these
All parties have considered Mediation as a means to resolving this case and have submitted a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management will submit a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management Mediation is not appropriate for this case for the following reasons: The parties concur that mediation is inappropriate at this early stage. The parties was appropriate following resolution of any demurrers and/or completion of certain criewapons at issue. I am completely familiar with this case and will be fully prepared to discuss the status of Resolution (ADR), as well as other issues raised by this statement, and will possess the issues at the time of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of Date: 10/29/2024 Robert J. Nelson	ave agreed: Idation and Order for Mediation form or Conference. It is reconsider whether mediation is tical discovery regarding the specific discovery and Alternative Dispute authority to enter into stipulation on these of the party where required.
All parties have considered Mediation as a means to resolving this case and have submitted a Stipulation will submit a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management Will submit a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management Will mediation is not appropriate for this case for the following reasons: The parties concur that mediation is inappropriate at this early stage. The parties was appropriate following resolution of any demurrers and/or completion of certain crieval weapons at issue. I am completely familiar with this case and will be fully prepared to discuss the status of Resolution (ADR), as well as other issues raised by this statement, and will possess the issues at the time of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of Date: 10/29/2024 Robert J. Nelson	ave agreed: Ilation and Order for Mediation form or Conference. Vill reconsider whether mediation is tical discovery regarding the specific discovery and Alternative Dispute authority to enter into stipulation on these
All parties have considered Mediation as a means to resolving this case and have submitted a Stipulation will submit a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management Mediation is not appropriate for this case for the following reasons: The parties concur that mediation is inappropriate at this early stage. The parties was appropriate following resolution of any demurrers and/or completion of certain criseweapons at issue. I am completely familiar with this case and will be fully prepared to discuss the status of Resolution (ADR), as well as other issues raised by this statement, and will possess the issues at the time of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of Date: 10/29/2024 Robert J. Nelson (Type or Print Name)	ave agreed: Idation and Order for Mediation form or Conference. It is reconsider whether mediation is tical discovery regarding the specific discovery and Alternative Dispute authority to enter into stipulation on these of the party where required.
All parties have considered Mediation as a means to resolving this case and have submitted a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management will submit a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management Mediation is not appropriate for this case for the following reasons: The parties concur that mediation is inappropriate at this early stage. The parties wappropriate following resolution of any demurrers and/or completion of certain crievappropriate following resolution of any demurrers and/or completion of certain crievappropriate issue. I am completely familiar with this case and will be fully prepared to discuss the status of Resolution (ADR), as well as other issues raised by this statement, and will possess the issues at the time of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of Date: 10/29/2024 Robert J. Nelson (Type or Print Name)	discovery and Alternative Dispute authority to enter into stipulation on these of the party where required. Signature of Party or Attorney)
All parties have considered Mediation as a means to resolving this case and have submitted a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management will submit a Stipulation within 14 days following the Case Management Mediation is not appropriate for this case for the following reasons: The parties concur that mediation is inappropriate at this early stage. The parties wappropriate following resolution of any demurrers and/or completion of certain crievappropriate following resolution of any demurrers and/or completion of certain crievappropriate issue. I am completely familiar with this case and will be fully prepared to discuss the status of Resolution (ADR), as well as other issues raised by this statement, and will possess the issues at the time of the Case Management Conference, including the written authority of Date: 10/29/2024 Robert J. Nelson (Type or Print Name)	ave agreed: Idation and Order for Mediation form or Conference. It is reconsider whether mediation is tical discovery regarding the specific discovery and Alternative Dispute authority to enter into stipulation on these of the party where required.

1	Robert J. Nelson (State Bar No. 132797) Caitlin M. Woods (State Bar No. 335601)	Philip Bangle (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Brady: United Against Gun Violence	
2	Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor	840 First Street, NE Suite 400	
3	San Francisco, CA 94111-3339	Washington, DC 20002 Telephone: 202-370-8100	
4	Telephone: 415.956.1000 Facsimile: 415.956.1008	Facsimile: 202-898-8100	
5	rnelson@lchb.com cwoods@lchb.com	pbangle@bradyunited.org	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	COUNTY (OF SACRAMENTO	
10			
11	KELLEY and DENIS O'SULLIVAN, in their Individual Capacity and KELLY	Case No. 34-2021-00302934	
12	O'SULLIVAN as Administrator of the Estate of TARA O'SULLIVAN, Deceased,	PROOF OF SERVICE	
13			
14	Plaintiffs,		
15	v.		
16	GHOST GUNNER INC., d/b/a GHOSTGUNNER.NET; et al.		
17	Defendants.		
18			
19	PROOF	F OF SERVICE	
20	I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County of Alameda, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business		
21	mailing address is 275 Battery St, 29 th Floor, San Francisco, California 94111. I am familiar with		
22	this firm's practice whereby the mail, after being placed in a designated area, is given the appropriate postage and is deposited in a U.S. mailbox after the close of the day's business.		
23		rved the document(s) designated below on all parties	
24	in said action as set forth below:		
25	<u>X</u> On the date indicated below, I served the document(s) designated below on all parties in said action by placing a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope and mailed it via		
26	USPS addressed as set forth below:	. Topy more of m a source on verope and marica it via	
27	X On the date indicated below, I personally served the document(s) designated below by transmitting a true copy thereof via electronic mail in .pdf format as an e-mail		
28	attachment to each addressee set forth	<u>-</u>	

1 On the date indicated below, I served the document(s) designated below by transmitting a true copy thereof via Fax to the person(s) and at the Fax number(s) set forth 2 below: 3 CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT FORM AND ATTACHMENTS 4 5 David A. Melton Sean A. Brady Porter Scott Law Firm Michel & Associates, P.C. Attorneys at Law 6 350 University Ave, Suite 200 180 East Ocean Boulevard, Suite 200 Long Beach, CA 90802 Sacramento, ČA 7 Email: sbrady@michellawyers.com Email: dmelton@porterscott.com Telephone: 562-216-4444 8 Facsimile: 562-216-4445 Telephone: 916.929.1481 9 Facsimile: 916.927.3706 Attorneys for Defendants, RYAN BEEZLEY AND BOB BEEZLEY d/b/a 10 Anthony M. Pisciotti RBTACTICIALTOOLING.COM, THUNDER Danny Lallis GUNS LLC, d/b/a THUNDERTACTICAL.COM, 11 Ryan Erdreich BLACKHAWK MANUFACTURING GROUP Pisciotti Lallis Erdreich INC., d/b/a 80PERCENTARMS.COM; MATRIX 12 30 Columbia Turnpike, Suite 205 ARMS, d/b/a MATRIXARMS.COM, JAMES Florham Park, NJ 07932 MADISON TACTICAL LLC, d/b/a 13 TEL: (973)245-8100 JAMESMADISONTACTICAL.COM, MFY FAX: (973)245-8101 TECHNICAL SOLUTIONS LLC, d/b/a 14 Email: apisciotti@pisciotti.com 5DTACTICAL.COM, JSD SUPPLY, d/b/a dlallis@pisciotti.com JSDSUPPLY.COM and 15 rerdreich@pisciotti.com 80PERCENTGUYS.COM (VIA EMAIL ONLY) 16 Attorneys for Defendants, JAMES TROMBLEE, JR., d/b/a 17 USPATRIOTARMORY.COM 18 (VIA EMAIL ONLY) 19 David H. Pollock Brian M. Sanders Jacobsen & McElroy PC Lisa Corman 20 2401 American River Drive, Suite 100 Koeller Nebeker, Carlson & Haluck, LLP Sacramento, CA 95825 1478 Stone Point Dr, Suite 435 21 Email: dpollock@jacobsenmcelroy.com Roseville, CA 95661 Telephone: (916) 971-4100 Ext. 231 Telephone ((916) 724-5700 22 Facsimile: (916) 788-2850 Facsimile: (916) 971-4150 Email: brian.sanders@knchlaw.com 23 Attorneys for Defendant GHOST FIREARMS LLC, d/b/a GRID DEFENSE Lisa.corman@knchlaw.com 24 and GHOSTRIFLES.COM Attorneys for Defendant WM. C. ANDERSON, 25 INC.. d/b/a (VIA EMAIL ONLY) ANDERSONMANUFACTURING.COM 26 (VIA EMAIL ONLY) 27 28

2940231.1 - 2 -

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Craig Livingston Crystal Van Der Putten Livingston Law Firm 1600 South Main Street, Suite 280 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Email: clivingston@livingstonlawyers.com	John W. Dillon Dillon Law Group APC 2647 Gateway Rd Suite 105, No. 255 Carlsbad, CA 92009 Email: jdillon@dillonlawgp.com Telephone: (760) 642-7150 Facsimile: Attorneys for Defendant GHOST GUNNER INC., d/b/a GHOSTGUNNER.NET, (DEFENSE DISTRIBUTED) (VIA EMAIL ONLY)
10 11	Howard Schilsky Christopher Renzulli Renzulli Law Firm, LLP One N. Broadway, Suite 1005	INDUSTRY ARMAMENT INC., d/b/a AMERICANWEAPONSCOMPONENTS.COM CT Corp Trust Co 1209 N Orange Street
12 13 14	White Plains, NY 10601 Email: hschilsky@renzullilaw.com Telephone: 914-285-0700 Facsimile: 914-285-1213	Wilmington, DE 19801-1120 (VIA REGULAR MAIL ONLY)
15 16 17 18 19 20	Richard Linkert Madison Simmons Matheny Sears Linkert & Jaime LLP 3638 American River Drive Sacramento, CA 95864-4711 rlinkert@mathenysears.com msimmons@mathenysears.com Attorneys for Defendant, JUGGERNAUT TACTICAL, INC. d/b/a JTACTICAL.COM	
21	(VIA EMAIL ONLY)	
22 23 24	POLYMER80, INC., d/b/a POLYMER80.COM and P80 TACTICAL 134 Lakes Blvd.	M-16 PARTS SUPPLY LLC, d/b/a M-16PARTS.COM c/0 Terry J. Weisflog
2425	Dayton, NV 89403 (VIA REGULAR MAIL ONLY)	5918 England Avenue Orlando, FL 32808 (VIA REGULAR MAIL ONLY)
2627		

2940231.1 - 3 -

1	I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that
2	the foregoing is true and correct. Executed October 29, 2024, at Oakland,
3	California.
4	/s/ Caitlin M. Woods CAITLIN M. WOODS
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

2940231.1 - 4 -