

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

Gordon D. Schaber Superior Court, Department 22

JUDICIAL OFFICER: HONORABLE LAURI A. DAMRELL

Courtroom Clerk: V. Aleman
Court Attendant: J. Flores

CSR: None

34-2021-00302934-CU-PO-GDS

October 17, 2025
10:30 AM

Kelley O'Sullivan vs. Ghost Gunner Inc.

MINUTES

APPEARANCES:

Non-Party Defense Distributed represented by John William Dillon via virtual conference.
Defendant Ryan Beezley represented by Sean A Brady via virtual conference.
Defendant Ghost Firearms LLC represented by David Howard Pollock via virtual conference.
Defendant Tactical Gear Heads LLC represented by Craig A Livingston Special Appearing
Darrin -Paulo Baluyot via virtual conference.
Defendant Polymer80, Inc represented by Sean A Brady via virtual conference, Michael
Christopher Kronlund via virtual conference.
Defendant WM. C. Anderson, Inc represented by Brian M Sanders via virtual conference.
Defendant Defense Distributed represented by John William Dillon via virtual conference.

Other Appearance Notes: Counsel Howard Schilsky & Madeline Mezger for Defendant
Juggernaut Tactical, Inc d/b/a Jtactical.com (Via Virtual Appearance); Counsel Ryan Erdreich
for Defendant James Tromblee, Jr., d/b/a USPATRIOTARMORY.COM (Via Virtual
Appearance).

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: Case Management Conference

COURT RULING:

The above-referenced counsel appeared on behalf of the parties and discussed the following issues with the Court.

Counsel reported that they have been in communication with the Sacramento City Attorney's office regarding how and when certain key physical evidence may be made available for the parties' inspection, and the extent of any limitations that would be imposed by the City due to the potential for appeals in the related criminal matter. The City Attorney has advised that: (1) a court order is likely needed for law enforcement to comply with any subpoena for a physical inspection; and (2) the parties must confer with counsel in the criminal matter regarding any objections they may have to the inspection. The discussions with the City are ongoing, and counsel needs additional time to finalize what they hope will be a joint proposed subpoena.

All parties stipulated and clarified for the record that this case has been formally stayed

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

since March 11, 2022, and the 5-year deadline has been tolled since that time. Counsel generally agreed that the stay should be lifted when Plaintiffs' inspection of evidence proceeds, with the understanding that all deadlines to respond to the Complaint will continue to be tolled until Plaintiffs have completed their evidence inspection and determined whether certain Defendants should be dismissed based on the results of that inspection. Counsel shall meet and confer to prepare a stipulation that more specifically defines when the stay should be lifted and for how long the deadlines to respond to the Complaint will be extended.

The Court sets a further Case Management Conference for **December 19, 2025 at 10:30 a.m.** in this department. The parties shall file a Joint Case Management Statement at least 15 days before the CMC.

On the Court's own motion, the Case Management Conference scheduled for 10/17/2025 is continued to 12/19/2025 at 10:30 AM in Department 22 at Gordon D. Schaber Superior Court.

TENTATIVE RULING:

The Court has read and considered the Joint Case Management Statement filed by the parties and now issues the following tentative ruling:

APPEARANCE REQUIRED. The parties shall be prepared to address the issues raised in the Joint Statement.

Parties requesting services of a court reporter will need to arrange for private court reporter services at their own expense, pursuant to Government code §68086 and California Rules of Court, Rule 2.956.

*Requirements for requesting a court reporter are listed in the **Policy for Official Reporter Pro Tempore** available on the Sacramento Superior Court website at <https://www.saccourt.ca.gov/court-reporters/docs/crrp-6a.pdf>. Parties may contact Court-Approved Official Reporters Pro Tempore by utilizing the list of Court Approved Official Reporters Pro Tempore available at <https://www.saccourt.ca.gov/court-reporters/docs/crrp-13.Pdf>*

*A **Stipulation and Appointment of Official Reporter Pro Tempore** (CV/E-206) is required to be signed by each party, the private court reporter, and the Judge prior to the hearing, if not using a reporter from the Court's Approved Official Reporter Pro Tempore list, Once the form is signed it must be filed with the clerk.*

*If a litigant has been granted a fee waiver and requests a court reporter, the party must submit a **Request for Court Reporter by a Party with a Fee Waiver** (CV/E-211) and it must be filed with the clerk at least 10 days prior to the hearing or at the time the proceeding is scheduled if less than 10 days away. Once approved, the clerk will be forward the form to the Court Reporter's Office and an official reporter will be provided.*

The parties are encouraged to attend via Zoom with the links below:
To join by Zoom link - <https://saccourt-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/my/sscdept22>

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

To join by phone dial (833) 568-8864 ID 16184738886

Please note that the Complex Civil Case Department now provides information to assist you in managing your complex case on the Court website at <https://www.saccourt.ca.gov/civil/complex-civil-cases.aspx>. The Court strongly encourages parties to review this website regularly to stay abreast of the most recent complex civil case procedures. Please refer to the website before directly contacting the Court Clerk for information.

/s/ V. Aleman

By: V. Aleman, Deputy Clerk

Minutes of: 10/17/2025
Entered on: 10/20/2025