

1 EDWARD T. SCHEXNAYDER (State Bar No. 284494)
ORRAN G. BALAGOPALAN (State Bar No. 341508)
2 EMMA LEWIS (State Bar No. 358060)
SHUTE, MIHALY & WEINBERGER LLP
3 550 California Street, Suite 1200
San Francisco, California 94104
4 Telephone: (415) 552-7272
Facsimile: (415) 552-5816
5 schexnayder@smwlaw.com
obalagopalan@smwlaw.com
6 elewis@smwlaw.com

7 Attorneys for Real Party in Interest
CATALINA ISLAND CONSERVANCY

8
9 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
10 **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

11 SAFARI CLUB INTERNATIONAL;
CALIFORNIA RIFLE & PISTOL
12 ASSOCIATION, INCORPORATED;
CALIFORNIA BOWMEN
13 HUNTERS/STATE ARCHERY
ASSOCIATION; HOWL FOR
14 WILDLIFE, INC.; CALIFORNIA DEER
ASSOCIATION; and COALITION TO
15 SAVE CATALINA ISLAND DEER,

16 Plaintiffs and Petitioners,

17 v.

18 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH
AND WILDLIFE; CALIFORNIA
19 DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND
WILDLIFE, SOUTH COAST REGION;
20 and DOES 1-10,

21 Defendants and Respondents.

22 CATALINA ISLAND CONSERVANCY,

23 Real Party in Interest.
24
25
26
27
28

Case No. 26STCP00987

**REAL PARTY IN INTEREST CATALINA
ISLAND CONSERVANCY'S REQUEST
FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF
OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO CONFORM
FILING DATE**

(California Environmental Quality Act, Pub.
Resources Code, § 21168.5; Code of Civ. Proc.,
§ 1085)

Assigned for All Purposes to:
Honorable Curtis A. Kin, Dept. 836

Hearing Date: June 11, 2026
Time: 1:30 p.m.

CEQA CASE

Filed Concurrently with Opposition to Motion

1 Pursuant to Evidence Code sections 452 and 453, Real Party in Interest Catalina Island
2 Conservancy (“Conservancy”) requests that the Court take judicial notice of the following
3 documents, true and correct copies of which are exhibits to the Verified Petition for Writ of
4 Mandate or Other Appropriate Relief (“Petition”) and attached to the Declaration of Sean A.
5 Brady In Support of Verified First Amended Complaint & Petition for Writ of Mandate or Other
6 Appropriate Relief (“Brady Decl.”), filed with the Court on May 27, 2026. Pursuant to
7 California Rule of Court 3.1.306(c), the Conservancy does not attach these documents to this
8 Request because they are already on file with the Court.

9 **Petition for Writ of Mandate Exhibit E:** First Notice of Exemption filed by the
10 California Department of Fish and Wildlife (January 28, 2026)

11 **Petition for Writ of Mandate Exhibit F:** Second Notice of Exemption filed by the
12 Department (February 2, 2026)

13 **Petition for Writ of Mandate Exhibit H:** Catalina Island Restoration Project 10-Year
14 Workplan (2026-2035)

15 **Petition for Writ of Mandate Exhibit I:** Island Restoration Scientific Assessment

16 **Petition for Writ of Mandate Exhibit J:** Habitat Restoration and Monitoring Plan

17 The Court can consider these documents because they are part of the administrative
18 record for this action. *See* Pub. Resources Code § 21167.6(e) (record in CEQA actions includes
19 all notices issued by the agency, all studies relied on by the agency, all project application
20 materials, and the documentation of the final public agency decision).

21 These documents are also subject to judicial notice under Evidence Code section 452(d)
22 and are relevant to the issues raised in the Conservancy’s opposition. Under Evidence Code
23 section 452(d), courts may take judicial notice of “[r]ecords of ... any court of this state.” The
24 above documents have been filed with the court and thus fall within the scope of Evidence Code
25 section 452(d).

26 These documents are further subject to judicial notice under Evidence Code section
27 452(c) as an “[o]fficial act[] of the legislative, executive, and judicial departments of any state of
28 the United States.” Courts have interpreted “[o]fficial acts” to encompass both formal and


1 informal agency actions, including “records, reports, and orders of administrative agencies.”
2 *Rodas v. Speigel* (2001) 87 Cal.App.4th 513, 518. *See, e.g., McAllister v. County of Monterey*
3 (2007) 147 Cal.App.4th 253, 286, fn. 8 (judicial notice taken of agency staff report and related
4 documents); *San Mateo County Coastal Landowners Assn. v. County of San Mateo* (1995) 38
5 Cal.App.4th 523, 552 (taking judicial notice of legal analysis issued by the Secretary of
6 Resources); *Fowler v. Howell* (1996) 42 Cal.App.4th 1746, 1750 (Court may take judicial notice
7 of records and files of public agencies). Each of the listed documents are records of the
8 California Department of Fish and Wildlife and thus fall within the scope of Evidence Code
9 section 452(c).

10 Judicial notice of these documents is required when the requesting party (i) gives the
11 adverse parties sufficient notice of the request and (ii) furnishes the Court with sufficient
12 information to enable the Court to take notice. Evid. Code § 453.

13 The Court may therefore properly consider the above documents in ruling on the
14 Petitioners’ Motion.

15 DATED: May 29, 2026

SHUTE, MIHALY & WEINBERGER LLP

17 By: 
18 _____
19 EDWARD T. SCHEXNAYDER
20 Attorneys for Real Party in Interest
21 CATALINA ISLAND CONSERVANCY

20 2067919.1